Team Name: RedVelvet

**Team Number:** 7

## **Search Algorithms**

We plan to use one of the following algorithms:

- Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS):
  - MCTS with an Upper Bound Confidence (UCB) function which keeps balance between exploitation and exploration.
  - For each move, we run some iterations of the algo (around 500) ensuring that a valid move is returned within the time limit.
  - $\circ \quad UCB = w/n + c * \sqrt{lnN/n}$

w: number of wins with that node as an

intermediate

*n* : number of simulations with that node as an intermediate

N: total simulations for current move

c: a balancing constant

- MiniMax with Alpha-Beta Pruning:
  - Due to time limit of 24 secs, the depth of our search tree will be limited to three.

## **Heuristics**

1. Considering the evaluation criteria (section 5.1) following are the scores assigned to each smallboard type:

- Winning a center small board adds 30 points to the heuristic.
- Winning a corner small board adds 60 points to the heuristic.
- Winning a side small board adds 100 points to the heuristic.
- **2.** Considering the *small\_boards\_status* of the board, we check for all of the eight possible winning combinations:
  - **Scale\_Factor** indicates the importance of winning that small board. Higher the *scale\_factor* of a small board, higher are the chances of winning the big board by playing / winning that small board.
  - [---]
    - In this case, we will try to win any of the small board and initialise the scale\_factor of each of the small boards to
      1.
  - [x -] adds 250 points to the heuristics.
    - Here, we assign a scale\_factor of 2 to the empty small boards of this combo. Hence, this will increase the chances of a move being played in one of the empty small boards and increase the chances of winning.
  - [xx-] adds 500 points to the heuristics.
    - Here, we assign a scale\_factor of 3 to the empty small board of this combo. Hence, this will increase the chances of a move being played in the empty small board and increase the chances of winning.
  - [xxx] adds 1000 points to the heuristics.
- 3. Considering the cells of a small board:
  - [x -] adds **scale\_factor \* 5** points to the heuristics.
  - [xx-] adds **scale\_factor \*10** points to the heuristics.
  - [xxx] adds **scale\_factor \* 20** points to the heuristics.
- **4.** The above scoring criteria will be followed for the opponent but with negative score (basic minimax approach).

## **Competency**

We think our heuristic is competent because of following reasons:

- Introduction of a scale factor to each small board.
- Our heuristic gives prefers moves which lead to winning the big board and not just a small board.
- In case of a draw the scores are being assigned according to the small boards won by each player. Our heuristic keeps this into account in point 1 of the above section.

## **Advantages of Minimax over MCTS**

- MCTS works on random simulations, so it needs a lot of iterations to find a good move. And since the time limit is 24 seconds, we may not find the best possible move using MCTS.
- Also, with the increase in the number of iterations, the memory requirement increases.