DAY 2 STUDENT STUDY HOURS ANALYSIS DATA FINAL REPORT

B Data Cleaning and Analysis Report

1. Handling Missing Values

Study Hours:

- o Issue: Some values were missing.
- o Action: Replaced missing values using the **mean (average)** of the column.
- Insight: This ensures the central tendency is preserved without biasing results too high or low.

• Screen Time:

- o Issue: Some values were missing.
- o Action: Replaced missing values using the **median**.
- Insight: Median is more robust against outliers (e.g., very high screen times), so it provides a stable replacement.

Test Scores:

- o Issue: A few records had missing values.
- o Action: Replaced missing values using the **mode**.
- Insight: Since test scores often have repeated values, mode is a good fit to keep results consistent with common performance levels.

2. Data Formatting and Transformation

- Converted all **General columns into Numeric** to allow calculations and pivot table analysis.
- Ensured **consistent column names** (e.g., "Study_Hours", "Screen_Time", "Test_Scores").

Created new derived columns:

- o **Age Group** (e.g., 13–14, 15–16, 17+)
- Screen Time Range (Low, Moderate, High)

3. Pivot Table Analysis

- Screen Time vs Test Scores:
 - High screen time students: Average ~75
 - Low screen time students: Average ~78 (highest)
 - o Moderate screen time students: Average ~63 (lowest)
 - Moderate usage surprisingly underperformed compared to high/low.
- Age Group vs Test Scores:
 - 15–16 years: Highest average (~75.2)
 - 13–14 years: Average ~71.5
 - 17+ years: Lowest (~67.9)
 - Ferformance peaks in mid-teens and drops at 17+.

4. Dashboard Highlights

- Visual dashboards created in Excel using **Pivot Charts**:
 - o Bar chart of Screen Time Range vs Scores
 - o Age Group vs Scores trend line
 - o Distribution of Study Hours

5. Key Insights

- 1. Data cleaning ensured no missing values remain, improving reliability.
- 2. Choice of mean, median, and mode preserved **statistical integrity** depending on column type.
- 3. Study shows **high and low screen time** both correlate with better scores compared to moderate.
- 4. 15–16 years old students perform best, suggesting peak focus/learning.
- 5. Cleaned, numeric-formatted dataset is now **ready for advanced analysis & reporting**.