Chicago Crime Analysis Visualization

Using a **Martini Glass Hybrid Structure** and following the path of Author-Driven Insights to Reader-Driven Exploration of Chicago's Crime Trends and Arrest Rates for Domestic and Non-Domestic Incidents.

Author: Preethi Venkatesan

# 1.Data Sources, Dashboard, and Resources

**Dashboard**

Narrative Visualization is hosted in **Git Public repository** [here](https://preethiv07.github.io/CS416-Data-Visualization-FinalProject/)

**Dataset**

The data reflects reported incidents of crime that have occurred in the City of Chicago over the past year. Data is extracted from the Chicago Police Department's CLEAR (Citizen Law Enforcement Analysis and Reporting) system.

* **Raw data:** Data is obtained from [data.gov](http://data.gov/) site [here](https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/crimes-one-year-prior-to-present/resource/e3a0a89d-cab5-4280-b6a5-20a1781139c3)
* **Processed data:** Additional processing and summarization conducted in excel for visualizations. Dataset [here](https://github.com/preethiv07/CS416-Data-Visualization-FinalProject/tree/main/data)
* About the dataset: Additional details can be found [here](https://catalog.data.gov/dataset/crimes-one-year-prior-to-present)

# 2.Messasing:

What is the message you are trying to communicate with the narrative visualization?

Despite non-domestic crimes being four times more frequent compared to domestic crimes, the **arrest percentage is higher for domestic crimes**. *(illustrated through interactive comparative chart of arrest %, highlighting the significant disparity in arrest rates and details of demand using tooltips)*

Chicago crime trends are on the **rise** in 2024 for both domestic and non-domestic incidents. *(illustrated through guided charts showing the trend of each crime type in scene 1 & 2)*

# 3.NARrative Structure

Which structure was your narrative visualization designed to follow? How does your narrative visualization follow that structure?

**Martini Glass Hybrid Structure.**

**Context:** Initial data analysis ***revealed intriguing patterns in crime incidents by nature*** (Domestic vs. Non-Domestic) and their corresponding arrest rates.

**Considerations:** Volume of data might be too big to present it to users directly, Martini glass structure is used.

**Aim:**

**\*** Share these insights with readers using a streamlined, author-led approach through message-focused, **non-interactive** scenes *(Scenes 1 & 2).*

\* Follow with an **interactive** scene *(Scene 3)* which will enable users to explore these observations further.

# 4. visual Structure

 What visual structure is used for each scene?

All the scenes use consistent theme and layout and follow the template here

A graph with arrows and lines

Description automatically generated

How does it ensure the viewer can understand the data and navigate the scene?

[ A **header** provides a brief overview to help the reader grasp the graph’ findings.

A **text description** above the graph offers a detailed explanation of the data observed.]

[ Each slide is navigated **using right arrow buttons for ease of progression.**

The navigation through the interactive narratives is **one-directional to next page,** leading to the last page, where there is an option to restart from the Home page.]

How does it highlight to urge the viewer to focus on the important parts of the data in each scene?

The scene is designed to draw the viewer's attention to the chart as the focal point. This is achieved through below strategies:

* The chart is set to use a white background, which **contrasts with the darker background** of the scene.
* The chart is **centrally aligned** and occupies the maximum space on the page, ensuring it stands out prominently.
* **Annotations** in red rectangle to highlight and text to call out focus to important data.

How does it help the viewer transition to other scenes, to understand how the data connects to the data in other scenes?

* **Consistent color, layout** used with **one-directional navigation** to ease viewer transition.
* Scene 1 captures incidents for non-domestic crimes independently. Scene 2 captures the same metrics for Domestic crimes. Scene 3 captures the Arrest% of Domestic and Non-Domestic crimes. Using tooltips, the data from the other scenes are connected and **brought together** to establish connectivity of the information.

# 5.scenes

What are the scenes of your narrative visualization?

* ***Five Webpages***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Page Name** | **Page Description** |
| *1* | *Introduction* | *Introduction page to establish the context of the Interactive webpage.* |
| *2* | *About the visualization* | *provides the design choices made for the Interactive visualization.* |
| 3 | Scene 1 | Non-Domestic Crime Trends |
| 4 | Scene 2 | Domestic Crime Trends |
| 5 | Scene 3 | Arrest rates by Domestic & Non-Domestic crimes |

* ***Three scenes***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Scene 1 | Non-Domestic Crime Trends | Non-Interactive |
| Scene 2 | Domestic Crime Trends | Non-Interactive |
| Scene 3 | Arrest rates by Domestic & Non-Domestic crimes | Interactive |

How are the scenes ordered, and why?

Followed Martini glass hybrid structure and the ***jump off point is after the scene 2.***

**Scene 1:** Highest contributor, non-domestic crimes is kept first to show trends for the last year averaging (18k)

**Scene 3:** Arrest % trends by Domestic or Non-domestic chart. *(Free-form user interaction through tooltip popups & radio button selection)*

**Scene 2:** Domestic crimes trends that average to 4k

# 6.annotations

What template was followed for the annotations, and why that template?

* The template for the annotations involved using a red dashed rectangle to highlight the range of data points central to the messaging.
* This template was chosen because the *red color contrasts sharply with the white background*, ensuring that the highlighted area stands out.
* Additionally, the **text providing context is colored to match the red rectangle**, *creating a* ***visual association and reinforcing the connection*** between the annotations and the specific data points they refer to.
* This template is ***consistently*** applied in the two non-interactive message-focused scenes, while tooltips are used in the interactive scene to maintain user engagement.

How are the annotations used to support the messaging?

The annotations support the messaging by visually highlighting the specific range of data points that are important for the viewer to focus on.

* 1. red dashed rectangle draws attention to these data points
* 2. matching red text provides additional context

Together, guiding the viewer's understanding of what they should gather from the highlighted selection. This **consistent** use of color and style ensures that the viewer can easily identify and interpret the key areas of focus, reinforcing the intended message.

Do the annotations change within a single scene, and if so, how and why?

Annotations are used in Scene 1 and Scene 2. The line chart animates upon selecting a scene. Initially, the annotations were appearing before the animation completed.

To address this: I have incorporated sequencing so that annotations only appear after the line chart animation finishes, allowing the state of the annotations to change within each scene.

Sequencing applied consistently across both scenes that use annotations ensures clarity and maintains viewer focus on the evolving data.

# 7. parameters

What are the parameters of the narrative visualization?

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Scene | Parameter | State | Function |
| Scene 1 | Crime type | \*Line chart using y-axis = “non-domestic field’  \*RenderChart function parameter state =” non-domestic” | render Chart |
| Scene 2 | Crime type | Line chart using y-axis = “domestic field’  \*RenderChart function parameter state =” domestic” | render Chart |

* Scene 1 and Scene 2 generate a line chart based on the Crime type parameter (Domestic vs. Non-Domestic).
* Scene 3 takes advantage of the same line chart functionality but use a dual chart and KPI in % to accommodate the interactivity of the scene in the Martini hybrid structure.

What are the states of the narrative visualization?

* I have used a single function “renderchart” that generates the line chart by Year month for the last 1 year. (States = Domestic or Non-Domestic)

How are the parameters used to define the state and each scene?

* Based on the state of Crime type parameter (Domestic or Non-Domestic state), the function generates charts that shows trends of crime incidents corresponding to parameter value.

Additional formatting customizations are incorporated within the same functions based on the state change of the parameter

# 8.triggers

What are the triggers that connect user actions to changes of state in the narrative visualization?

What affordances are provided to the user to communicate to them what options are available to them in the narrative visualization?

**Triggers**

**Scene 3:** selection of Radio button change states (Domestic, Non-Domestic or both) triggers the chart to show the line chart corresponding to the parameter selected in the radio button

**Accordance:**

A close up of a logo

Description automatically generated

Info marker in Scene 3 to cue reader

**Scene 1,2:** Animation will be triggered automatically when the scene / slide is displayed.
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