Handling of Rejected Papers Changes after the last submission

This paper was submitted earlier, and out of the three reviewers, two of them had pronounced this as "publish unaltered" and one reviewer (R1) did not recommend resubmission.

The handling Editor has encouraged a resubmission of this paper taking into account the comments of R1. In particular, R1 has these concerns and we quote these

The authors have spent considerable effort in revising the manuscript and addressing the concerns of the reviewers. I would like to thank the authors for their effort. I believe the manuscript has improved because of these revisions, especially in the structure of the paper and the discussion of related work. Unfortunately, some of my main concerns with regards to the experiments, the accuracy of the proposed method, and the presentation of the findings remain present in the revised manuscript. In some cases the revisions have even increased my concerns about certain aspects. Mainly, the included experiments still do not clearly show that the proposed method improves upon existing 'simple' prior-based methods, and the new results even show that there is evidence that FDK is more accurate than the proposed method exactly in the region that changed

In this document, we explain the steps we have taken to address the reviews received in our previous submission to TCI. In particular, we address the main concern of the reviewer below.

• Simple methods are better, why yours?

The Reviewer has taken pains in showing how the Total-Variation method is better than our earlier Compressed Sensing plus spatially-varying prior-based reconstruction. In some cases FDK is more accurate.

This is correct for the dataset shown, and we accept this to be true.

That said, the goal of our previous and curent work is to provide a technique that can improve upon a chosen baseline reconstruction when measurements are extremely sparse. One may choose any baseline reconstruction for pedagogical, historical, or commercial reasons, and is there a way to improve?

Nevertheless, after noting reviewer's comments, we conducted further analysis and observed that TV is indeed better suited as a baseline reconstruction method for our datasets and our subsampling choices. Hence, our current paper presents all results using TV regularization coupled with spatially-varying technique, and demonstrates its benefits over TV-only and backprojection-only methods. We have eliminated our previous implicit claim that the CS based scheme is optimal for the dataset provided.

As far as FDK is concerned, the current results indeed show the superiority of the TV-based scheme (as also noted by the reviewer).

• Other comments given by Reviewer R1 and the handling editor

- Machine Learning

In a machine learning based setting, our goal of detecting new changes is a 'prediction' problem in a continuous solution space i.e., "given intensities of a voxel at various time

instants in a longitudinal setting and partial measurements of the voxel at the current time, what will be the intensity of the same voxel at the current time instant?" This estimation can be learnt by a deep neural network if there are hundreds of labeled data. However, generalization of this across multiple datasets poses a question mark.

Further, a specific 'event-of-interest' may occur just once in a longitudinal study and hence training on data of all previous time instants may be misleading. If however, we use measurements from identical and full-cycle longitudinal studies (including events-of-interest) of similar specimen (instead of the 'same' specimen as we used), a deep-learning technique may be applied. We see this as an extension of using object-prior generated from the same object, and hence we have not explored this direction.

Another avenue for using deep-networks within our current work is to replace the currently used fixed eigenspace provided by PCA by a learnt feature basis provided by a network such as an autoencoder. For each dataset, an autoencoder may be trained to learn a specific set of latent features (this might again require atleast a few tens of volumes). We see this as a future direction of work.