## **Team Assessment**

**Group Name: Lab Week 1 Group 7** 

GitHub Repository Name: Rummy-Game-Final-Group7-Week1

Members: Preet Patel (100708239), Mitul Patel (100700131), Krutarth Dave

(100730797), Jay Patel (100658857)

## Team Approach

Question 1: How well do the team members understand the problem?

All the team members understood the problem presented very well. They understood the requirements of the problem given to them. Everyone knew how the game was supposed to function in different scenarios before any planning occurred. The understanding of the problem was further solidified when creating a list of all the requirements for this problem.

Question 2: How are the project tasks achieved?

The team members would work on a given task at the same time to complete tasks. The different type of work required to complete the task would be divided among the team members depending on who can best complete that work to ensure the task was completed.

Question 3: How did the team approach solving a problem?

Whenever a problem was encountered, the team members would hold a meeting to propose solutions they thought of beforehand or during the meeting. The team members would discuss several options and choose the most suitable solution. The team members would only move on to the next part of the project only after solving the problem.

Question 4: What approach was taken to solve the problem?

To solve the problem, all the team members would spend time before the holding a meeting to think of possible solutions. Then, a meeting is held between the team members to discuss the solutions every member thought of implementing. These ideas would then be built upon and edited until a suitable and appropriate solution was found.

Overall Comments on team approach:

## Team Coordination of Task Responsibilities

Question 1: How actively did team members take in interest and supported in terms of individual member responsibilities?

All the members of the team were active and taking interest when working on this project. Every member well understood their responsibilities in achieving the solution of this project. All the members contributed equally throughout the creation of this project and no one was required to be reminded to complete the work given to them.

Question 2: How well did the completion of tasks require active interchanges and sharing of information among members?

The team members completed all the tasks either in a virtual meeting or an in-person meeting. There was a great deal of exchange of information between the members due to every task being divided into sub parts and distributed among the members in order to complete that task. Every team member was always well informed due to the approach applied to completing the project requirements.

Question 3: How well did the assignment of tasks to individuals match their expertise?

The approach of completing tasks used by the team was to divide up work based on which member could best complete it. This approach ensured that the tasks presented to individuals of the team always matched their expertise. The tasks were also given based on the fact that who is more willing to complete that task which also helped to provide tasks to the member who thinks they have more expertise for that task and can complete it more efficiently and complete the requirements of that task better.

Question 4: How well did the team coordinate individual task assignments?

The team ensured that the task assignments given to an individual matched their expertise and the everyone in the team knew what the other individuals were doing which would affect the way the they have to complete their task. The approach taken by the team to always work in an in-person meeting or over a virtual meeting environment helped a lot in coordinating individual task assignments.

Overall Comments on team coordination of task responsibilities:

The approach used by the team based of their previous experiences helped the team a lot to coordinate the assigning of task responsibilities and completion of these tasks. The communication within the team was excellent which ensured better coordination. Tasks were always assigned to members based on their ability to complete the task better which ensured efficiency and better quality. All the members actively took part in the distribution of tasks which helped in keeping all the team members on the same page for the overall completion of the project.