Experiment No. 4	
Binary Search Algorithm	
Date of Performance:	
Date of Submission:	

Experiment No. 4

Title: Binary Search Algorithm

Aim: To study and implement Binary Search Algorithm

Objective: To introduce Divide and Conquer based algorithms

Theory:

Search a sorted array by repeatedly dividing the search interval in half. Begin with an interval covering the whole array. If the value of the search key is less than the item in the middle of the interval, narrow the interval to the lower half. Otherwise, narrow it to the upper half. Repeatedly check until the value is found or the interval is empty

- Binary search is efficient than linear search. For binary search, the array must be sorted, which is not required in case of linear search.

- It is divide and conquer based search technique.

- In each step the algorithms divides the list into two halves and check if the element to be searched is on upper or lower half the array

- If the element is found, algorithm returns.

The idea of binary search is to use the information that the array is sorted and reduce the time complexity to O(Log n).

- ❑ Compare x with the middle element.
- ❑ If x matches with the middle element, we return the mid index.
- ❑ Else If x is greater than the mid element, then x can only lie in the right half subarray after the mid element. So we recur for the right half.
- ❑ Else (x is smaller) recur for the left half.
- ❑ Binary Search reduces search space by half in every iterations. In a linear search, search space was reduced by one only.
- ❑ n=elements in the array
- ❑ Binary Search would hit the bottom very quickly.

	Linear Search	Binary Search
2nd iteration	n-1	n/2
3rd iteration	n-2	n/4

Example:

Algorithm BINARY_SEARCH(A, key)

// Description: Perform BS on array A
// I/P : array A of size n & key element
          to be searched.
// O/P : Success/failure.

low ← 1
high ← n
while low < high do
    mid ← (low + high)/2
    if A[mid] == key then
        return mid
    else if A[mid] < key then
        low ← mid+1
    else
        high ← mid-1
    end
end
return 0

$$A\{ \underset{\textcircled{1}}{11}, \underset{2}{22}, \underset{3}{33}, \underset{4}{44}, \underset{5}{55}, \underset{6}{66}, \underset{7}{77}, \underset{8}{88}\}$$

key = 33

low = 1
high = 8
mid = 1+8/2
    = 4
A[4] == 33 ✗
A[4] < 33 ✗
44

high = 4-1
high = 3

{ 11, 22, 33 }
   1   2   3

low = 1
high = 3
mid = 1+3/2
    = 2
A[2] == 33 ✗
22 < 33
low = 3

{33} mid = 3+3/2 = 3
A[3] = 33
A[mid] = 33
key = A[3]

Algorithm and Complexity:

The binary search

- Algorithm 3: the binary search algorithm

Procedure binary search (x: integer, a_1, a_2, …,a_n: increasing integers)

    i :=1 { i is left endpoint of search interval}

    j :=n { j is right endpoint of search interval}

While i < j

begin

    m := $\lfloor (i + j) / 2 \rfloor$

    if x > a_m **then** i := m+1

    else j := m

end

If x = a_i **then** *location* := i

else l*ocation* :=0

{*location* is the subscript of the term equal to x, or 0 if x is not found}

2

BINARY SEARCH				Array
Best	Average	Worst		Divide and Conquer
O (1)	O (log n)	O (log n)		

```
search (A, t)
1.   low = 0
2.   high = n −1
3.   while (low ≤ high) do
4.       ix = (low + high)/2
5.       if (t = A[ix]) then
6.           return true
7.       else if (t < A[ix]) then
8.           high = ix − 1
9.       else low = ix + 1
10.  return false
end
```

search (A, 11)

```
                low            ix           high
first pass  | 1 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 17 |

                               low   ix   high
second pass | 1 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 17 |

                                     low
                                     ix
                                     high
third pass  | 1 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 15 | 17 |
                             _____/
                              explored
                              elements
```

Best Case:

Key is first compared with the middle element of the array.
The key is in the middle position of the array, the algorithm does only one comparison, irrespective of the size of the array.
T(n)=1

Worst Case:

In each iteration search space of BS is reduced by half, Maximum log n(base 2) array divisions are possible.
Recurrence relation is
T(n)=T(n/2) +1
Running Time is O(logn).

Average Case:

Key element neither is in the middle nor at the leaf level of the search tree.
It does half of the log n(base 2).
Base case=O(1)
Average and worst case=O(logn)

Implementation:

```c
#include <conio.h>

#include <stdio.h>

int main(){

int key, low, high, mid, n, i, A[100];

clrscr();

printf("Enter the size of array ;");

scanf("%d",&n);

printf("\nEnter the array elements :  \n");

for(i=0;i<n;i++){

 scanf("%d",&A[i]);

 }
```

```
printf("\nEnter the key : ");

scanf("%d",&key);

low=1;

high=n;

while(low<=high){

 mid=(low+high)/2;

 if(A[mid]==key){

  printf("\nKey found at: %d ",mid);

  break;

  }

 else if(A[mid]<key){

  low=mid+1;

  }

 else{

  high=mid-1;

  }

 }

return 0;

}
```

Output:


```
=[■]=
Enter the size of array ;5

Enter the array elements :
2 4 1 0 22

Enter the key : 0

Key found at: 3
```

Conclusion: the experimental deployment of binary search has validated its prowess in swiftly locating target elements within sorted arrays. Leveraging its logarithmic time complexity, binary search stands as a formidable algorithm, offering optimal performance and scalability in diverse computational contexts. This empirical confirmation underscores its indispensable role in efficient data retrieval and underscores its status as a cornerstone technique in algorithmic design and analysis.