MTH5105 Differential and Integral Analysis 2010-2011

Solutions 5

1 Exercises for Feedback

- 1) Let $f(x) = \exp(\sqrt{x})$, $g(x) = \sin(\pi x)$, and $P = \{0, 1, 4, 9\}$.
 - (a) Find the upper and lower sums U(f, P) and L(f, P) of f for the partition P. Use these sums to give bounds for $\int_0^9 f(x) dx$.
 - (b) Find the upper and lower sums U(g,P) and L(g,P) of g for the partition P. Use these sums to give bounds for $\int_0^9 g(x) dx$.

Solution:

(a) Recall that $I_i = [x_i - x_{i-1}]$, $\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$, $M_i = \sup_{x \in I_i} f(x)$, and $m_i = \inf_{x \in I_i} f(x)$. We have

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= [0,1] \;, & \Delta_1 &= 1 \;, & M_1 &= \exp(1) \;, & m_1 &= \exp(0) \;, \\ I_2 &= [1,4] \;, & \Delta_2 &= 3 \;, & M_2 &= \exp(2) \;, & m_2 &= \exp(1) \;, \\ I_3 &= [4,9] \;, & \Delta_3 &= 5 \;, & M_3 &= \exp(3) \;, & m_3 &= \exp(2) \;. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} M_i \Delta x_i = 1 \exp(1) + 3 \exp(2) + 5 \exp(3) ,$$

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} m_i \Delta x_i = 1 \exp(0) + 3 \exp(1) + 5 \exp(2) .$$

Hence we have

$$1 + 3e + 5e^2 \le \int_0^9 f(x) \, dx \le e + 3e^2 + 5e^3 \, .$$

(In fact, the integral evaluates to $2+4e^3\approx 82.3$, while the lower and upper sums are approximately 46.1 and 125.3.)

(b) We have now

$$M_1 = 1$$
, $m_1 = 0$, $M_2 = 1$, $m_2 = -1$, $M_3 = 1$, $m_3 = -1$.

Therefore

$$U(g, P) = 1 \cdot 1 + 3 \cdot 1 + 5 \cdot 1$$
, $L(g, P) = 1 \cdot 0 + 3 \cdot (-1) + 5 \cdot (-1)$.

Hence we have

$$-8 \le \int_0^9 g(x) dx \le 9$$
.

2 Extra Exercises

2) Suppose $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq 0 \\ 1 & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

- (a) Given a partition P of [-1,1], what is L(f,P)? What is $\int_{*-1}^{1} f(x) dx$?
- (b) For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, find a partition P of [-1, 1] such that $U(f, P) < \epsilon$. What is $\int_{-1}^{*1} f(x) dx$?
- (c) Is f integrable on [-1,1]? If so, what is its integral?

Solution:

(a) Given a partition P of [-1, 1], the function f has infimum 0 in any subinterval. Therefore L(f, P) = 0 for any partition P.

Hence $\int_{*-1}^{1} f(x) dx = 0$.

(b) For $0<\delta<1$, choose $P=\{-1,-\delta,\delta,1\}$. On the intervals $[-1,-\delta]$ and $[\delta,1]$ the function f has maximum value 0. On the interval $[-\delta,\delta]$ it has maximum value 1. Therefore

$$U(f, P) = ((-\delta) - (-1)) \cdot 0 + (\delta - (-\delta)) \cdot 1 + (1 - \delta) \cdot 0 = 2\delta,$$

and if we choose $\delta < \epsilon/2$, we have $U(f, P) < \epsilon$.

Hence $\int_{-1}^{*1} f(x) dx \le 0$. Using (a), we have

$$0 = \int_{x-1}^{1} f(x) dx \le \int_{-1}^{x} f(x) dx \le 0,$$

so that $\int_{*-1}^{1} f(x) \, dx = 0$.

(c) As

$$\int_{-1}^{*1} f(x) \, dx = \int_{-1}^{*1} f(x) \, dx = 0 \; ,$$

f is integrable and $\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) dx = 0$.

- 3) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = x^2$. Consider the equidistant partitions P_n of [0,1] into n subintervals.
 - (a) Find $U(f, P_n)$. What can you say about $\int_0^{*1} f(x) dx$?
 - (b) Find $L(f, P_n)$. What can you say about $\int_{*0}^{1} f(x) dx$?
 - (c) Is f integrable on [0,1]? If so, what is its integral?

[Hint:
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} j^2 = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1)$$
.]

Solution:

We have

$$P_n = \{0/n, 1/n, \dots, n/n\}$$
,

or $x_i = i/n$ for i = 0, ..., n. Thus, $I_i = [(i-1)/n, i/n]$ and $\Delta x_i = 1/n$.

(a) We have $M_i = (i/n)^2$ and thus

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{i}{n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6n^3} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{6n^2}.$$

Hence, $\int_0^{*1} f(x) dx \le 1/3$.

(b) Similarly we have $m_i = ((i-1)/n)^2$ and thus

$$L(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i \Delta x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{i-1}{n}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i-1)^2 = \frac{(n-1)n(2n-1)}{6n^3} = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{6n^2}.$$

Hence, $\int_{*0}^{1} f(x) dx \ge 1/3$.

- (c) Combining these we see that $\int_0^1 x^2 dx$ exists and equals 1/3.
- 4^*) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1/q & x = p/q \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ with } p, q \text{ coprime and } q > 0, \text{ and } \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q}. \end{cases}$$

Prove that f is Riemann-integrable on [0,1] and that $\int_0^1 f(x)dx = 0$. If you want to practice old material, show also that f is discontinuous at $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and continuous at $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$ (easy), and that it is nowhere differentiable (hard).

Solution:

Clearly, for any partition P of [0,1], $m_i = 0$ (can you explain why this is obvious?) and thus L(f, P) = 0.

If we can show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition P of [0,1] such that $U(f,P) < \varepsilon$, then it follows that $U(f,P) - L(f,P) < \varepsilon$ and therefore that f is Riemann integrable. As L(f,P) = 0 for all partitions, it will then follow that $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = \int_{*0}^1 f(x) dx = 0$ as needed.

The key for estimating the upper sum is to observe that there are actually very few points at which f(x) is not small. More precisely, given $\varepsilon' > 0$, there are only finitely many points $x \in [0,1]$ such that $f(x) \ge \varepsilon'$ (only those rational numbers with denominator not exceeding $1/\varepsilon'$), i.e.

$$N + 1 = |\{x \in [0, 1] : f(x) > \varepsilon'\}|$$

is finite. Let's call these points $y_0 < y_1 < \ldots < y_N$. We now choose a partition

$$P = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots x_{2N+1}\}\$$

such that

$$x_0 = y_0 < x_1 < x_2 < y_1 < x_3 < x_4 < y_2 < x_5 < x_6 < y_3 < \ldots < x_{2N} < y_N = x_{2N+1}$$

and such that $\Delta_{2j+1} = x_{2j+1} - x_{2j} < \varepsilon'/(N+1)$ for j = 0, ..., N. Then we can estimate $M_{2j+1} \le 1$ for j = 0, ..., N and $M_{2j} < \varepsilon'$ for j = 1, ..., N. Splitting the upper sum U(f, P) into even and odd parts, we estimate

$$U(f,P) = \sum_{i=1}^{2N+1} M_i \Delta_i = \sum_{j=0}^{N} M_{2j+1} \Delta_{2j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} M_{2j} \Delta_{2j}$$

$$< \sum_{j=0}^{N} 1 \cdot \Delta_{2j+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varepsilon' \Delta_{2j} < (N+1)\varepsilon'/(N+1) + \varepsilon' \cdot 1 = 2\varepsilon'.$$

Thus, by choosing $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/2$ for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, $U(f, P) < \varepsilon$ as needed.

Thomas Prellberg, February 2011