

# Personal Portfolio


## Prem Kumar R N



**Prem Kumar R N**

LinkedIn : Prem Kumar R Nagarajan

# About me and my journey





## Prem Kumar R N

MSE – Automotive & Mobility Systems Engineering  
University of Michigan - Dearborn



### Projects

#### Gearbox for ATV

Two stage speed reducer with reduction ratio of **7.5** designed to achieve desired performance of ATV.

#### Universal Joint Half shaft for ATV

Overall **weight reduction** of about 50 % achieved by customization from OEM Maruti 800 R-zeppa half shaft

#### Design of FSAE Radiator

Studied 2022 powertrain Radiator design and done theoretical and MATLAB Simulation to find the scope of downsizing.

#### Product Development – GMC Canyon EV 28

Benchmarking, preliminary design specifications, QFD, Interface requirement, Concept CAD design with system engineering V - Model

#### State of Charge Estimation

State of Charge and Parameters estimation of NMC battery were done with different Kalman filters such as Linear, Extended and Joint estimation

#### Energy Management with Intelligent Regenerative Braking system

Developed a control strategy for selection of automatic regenerative braking modes from driving pattern in Matlab/Simulink and study on energy saving compared with manual regenerative select modes



### Skills & Software Exposure

- Creo Parametric
- Ansys Workbench
- ADAMS View & Car
- KISSsoft
- Finite Element Analysis
- Solidworks
- Matlab & Simulink
- Romax DT



### Leadership Qualities

- **Powertrain subsystem captain** & Driver of Team Prometheus, Off-road racing team of TCE
- **Joint Secretary** of Mechanical Engineering department



### Achievements

- Students Achievers Award 2018, 2019
- Won 1st prize on "CAD CONTEST" SAE TIER 1 event.
- Secured 2nd position for "**Best overall conceptual design for designing drafting table**" in IUCEE EPICS Design Thinking Competition
- Course completion on "Hands on Introduction to Engineering Simulation" – **Cornell University**



### Competitions

- Baja SAE India 2019 – 16<sup>th</sup>/130 teams
- Enduro Student India 2018 – 14<sup>th</sup>/ 80 teams
- Mega ATV 2019 – 2<sup>nd</sup>/ 70 teams

# BAJA SAE, India

## 2 Stage Speed Reducer


### Powertrain specification and CVT tuning

ENGINE	BRIGGS & STRATON
Maximum Power	8.9 HP at 3600 RPM
Maximum Torque	18.98 Nm at 2600 RPM
TRANSMISSION UNIT	
Cvt (Gaged GX9) and reduction Gearbox	
Overall Transmission Ratio	30.55 – 7.05
TYRE SIZE	
Front wheels (inch)	22 X 7 X 10
Rear wheels (inch)	22 X 7 X 10




MegaATV Event India - Overall Runner


### Gearbox & Competitions



Powertrain System Layout



2 Stage Gearbox – 2.5 x 3 ratio



Gearbox Assembly drawing




# BAJA SAE, India

## Universal Joint Half shafts


### UJ overview

SI NO	PART NAME	Nos	MATERIAL
1	FORK(GEARBOX END)	2	EN24
2	INTERMEDIATE SHAFT	2	AL7075T6
3	FORK(WHEEL END)	2	EN24
4	SPIDER	4	EN24


**E1 Input**  
Equivalent Stress  
Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress  
Unit: MPa  
Time: 1  
14-04-2019 14:16




**E2 Input**  
Total Deformation  
Type: Total Deformation  
Unit: mm  
Time: 1  
14-04-2019 14:25




**E3 Input**  
Safety Factor  
Type: Safety Factor  
Unit: -  
Time: 1  
14-04-2019 14:18




**D1 cross**  
Equivalent Stress  
Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress  
Unit: MPa  
Time: 1  
14-04-2019 14:21




**D2 cross**  
Total Deformation  
Type: Total Deformation  
Unit: mm  
Time: 1  
14-04-2019 14:26




**D3 cross**  
Safety Factor  
Type: Safety Factor  
Unit: -  
Time: 1  
14-04-2019 14:23




**C1 output**  
Equivalent Stress  
Type: Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress  
Unit: MPa  
Time: 1  
14-04-2019 14:19




**C2 output**  
Total Deformation  
Type: Total Deformation  
Unit: mm  
Time: 1  
14-04-2019 14:25



**C3 output**  
Safety Factor  
Type: Safety Factor  
Unit: -  
Time: 1  
14-04-2019 14:20



### Product & Testing



Successful implemented design with 50% weight reduction

# Design of Experiments (DoE)

## Continuous Variable Transmission (CVT) - Tuning


### Tuning opportunities

Driver Clutch	
Weight pairs	3 sets (55, 65, 75 grams)
Ramps	2 different profiles
Main Clutch Spring	2 different stiffenss

Driven Clutch	
Springs	2 different stiffness
Preload holes	9 holes
Helix profile	2 different profiles

3 x 2 x 2 = 12  
Tuning  
opportunities

9 x 2 x 2 = 36  
Tuning  
opportunities




Ramps



Weights

Driver Clutch parts

### Product & Testing



CVT tuning results by rigorous testing, showing time to reach 55 meters under different tuning setups




# IUCEE – EPICS (Engineering Projects in Community Service)


## Best overall conceptual design for designing drafting table

Poster Presentation


Design Contribution



Thiagarajar College of Engineering, Madurai  
(where Quality and Ethics matter)



DRAFTING  
TABLE



Problem Identification

**Voice of the Students**

- No space to place stationeries such as pen, pencil, compass, eraser, and books on the drafting table.
- Inconvenient foot rest causing fatigue while drafting for more than an hour.
- Rigid table height and inclination.
- Dirt and scratch marks on table.
- Difficult to clamp and maneuver the drafter.

**Voice of the College Management**

- Durable, light weight and cheap.
- Drafting table must be used for writing theory exams also.

**Voice of the Faculty**

- Students drop their pencil and eraser often.
- Drafting table has remained the same for the past 15 years.

**MEASURABLE & TESTABLE REQUIREMENTS**

- Weight of the drafting table
- Height adjustment (Range)
- Inclination adjustment (Range)
- Life of the table
- Reduced fatigue for the student
- Ease of manufacture and assembly
- Scratch free drafting surface
- Space for holding pencil, erasers and books
- Quick and easy zero setting of paper
- Aesthetics

Students: R. N. Prem Kumar  
R. Rajkishore  
K. Sriram Sundar

Faculty: Mr. C. Selva Kumar

Specification Development

Functional Decomposition Diagram

Drafting Table

Drawing Zone

Stationery Zone

Chair

Height and inclination adjustment.

Easy clamping of paper.

Dust and scratch free.

Holds pencils and eraser.

Convenient to hold book.

Occupy minimum space.

Comfortable to sit.

Provision for foot rest.

Can be used as stationery zone also.

Conceptual Design

Multi Speciality Drafting Table

Ergonomic Drafting Table

Ruff & Tuff Drafting Table

**DECISION MATRIX**

Criteria	Weight	Multi Speciality Drafting Table	Ergonomic Drafting Table	Ruff and Tuff Drafting Table
Provision for adjustment	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.8
Ease of handling	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.8
Ergonomic	0.15	0.9	0.8	0.3
Aesthetics	0.15	0.8	0.6	0.4
Weighted Sum	1	0.715	0.44	0.665

"Multi Speciality Drafting Table" is evaluated to be the best among the 3 designs.

**FAILURE MODE AND EFFECT ANALYSIS**

Potential Failure	Effect of Failure	Cause of Failure	Severity 10 - Severe 1 - Not severe	Occurrence 10 - Very frequent 1 - Rare	Detection 10 - Easy 1 - Hard	Rating
Physical damage of table.	Rendered useless and requires repair	Student misbehaviour	8	2	5	80
Use by physically challenged students	Difficult to use the table	Lack of design focus	2	1	6	12
Table collapses	Ruins the drawing progress	Manufacturing / Assembly defect	3	4	2	24

**Reflection:** The proposed design shall be improved to withstand physical abuse by the students

**FEEDBACK FROM USER**

WHAT WORKED?	FURTHER IMPROVEMENTS
Comfortable drafting table. Proper space for pencil, eraser and books	More focus on chair can be given to improve the comfort.
INNOVATIVE IDEAS	QUESTIONS?
Hinges for easily adjusting height and inclination. Provision for keeping pencil, eraser within the chair.	Will the management approve the design and implement the new design?

My design contribution – Creative and lightweight

Design : PTC Creo 4.0  
Render: Keyshot

IUCEE – Indo Universal Collaboration for Engineering Education, **Purdue University**

Result : 2<sup>nd</sup> in Poster presentation

Prem Kumar R N - Portfolio

7

February 2024

# A Hands-on Introduction to Engineering Simulations


edX online course

## Syllabus and Assessment

- Describe the "big ideas" in finite-element analysis and computational fluid dynamics
- Develop structural mechanics simulations using ANSYS Mechanical™
- Develop fluid dynamics simulations using ANSYS Fluent™
- Describe the mathematical models underlying simulations
- Build simulations of real-world applications using ANSYS® software
- Verify and validate simulations including checking against hand calculations
- Approach engineering analysis and simulations like an expert

Assignment type	Weight	Grade	Weighted grade
Big Ideas FEA	10%	100%	10%
2D Conduction	3%	75%	2%
2D Conduction HW	24%	87%	21%
Big Ideas: Solid Mechanics	6%	100%	6%
Bike Crank	1%	100%	1%
Bike Crank HW	16%	80%	13%
Bolted Nozzle Flange	1%	100%	1%
Bolted Nozzle Flange HW	6%	100%	6%
Big Ideas: Fluid Dyanmics	5%	83%	4%
Big Ideas: CFD	4%	100%	4%
Laminar Pipe Flow	2%	67%	1%
Laminar Pipe Flow HW	22%	100%	22%
Your current weighted grade summary			91%

## Most interested topic & Certification



Bolt Pretention, Hoop stress, Thermal strain and deformations simulation

### Verified Certificate

This is to certify that

**PREM KUMAR R N**

successfully completed and received a passing grade in

**ENGR2000X: A Hands-on Introduction to Engineering Simulations**

a course of study offered by CornellIX, an online learning initiative of Cornell University.

**Rajesh Bhaskaran**  
Swanson Director of Engineering Simulation  
Sibley School of Mechanical & Aerospace Engineering  
Cornell University



Verified Certificate  
Issued May 22, 2020

Valid Certificate ID  
84e60d02092d419582633def9426e4af




# Ansys Simulations Simulated models – Structural, CFD, CFX & Explicit Dynamics

## Structural and CFD




Strain Gauge	Beam Theory	Ansys	Experiment	Difference
Center	321	311	348+-7	11%

Static Structural – Comparison with experimental study




Turbine Blade - CFD




Multi-fluid - CFX

## BAJA – Explicit Dynamics & Loop wheel Simulation



Explicit Dynamics – ATV Crash test



Structural Analysis - Loopwheel

# TAFE Product Training Centre, Chennai

Sep'19 – Oct'19

## ■ Key Learnings


- Basics knowledge on tractor – Models and HP range
- Classification of Implements and its usage
- Aggregate wise training – Function, Assembly & Dismantle procedures
- Aggregate wise Setting procedure
- Problem identification, Troubleshooting and Maintenance
- Hands-on experience on tractor driving and field applications.

## ■ Trackwidth change animation Project

- Creo Animation project - Change in trackwidth of TAFE tractors
- Front and Rear Trackwidth setting from 48 inch to 76 inch (8 settings)
- Manual changing of Tractor wheels – Difficult and Time consuming
- To transfer limpid knowledge on setting procedure, Animation was created.



Rear wheel Trackwidth adjustment – **48in**



8 settings – Animation highlights



Rear wheel Trackwidth adjustment – **76in**

Introduction

- Department : Research & Development
- Position : Mechanical Design and Development Engineer

Projects

- Domestic New tractor introduction

41 – 50  
Hp

DynaTrack (2 variants)

CRDI (2 Variants)

- OBD & Simulator

CRDI

Breakout Box – DFMEA CRDi  
failure study training  
OBD Tool development, testing  
and Validation

- 8 + 8 Shuttle Transmission Design

Design

CAD Models : PTC Creo 4.0  
Simulation : Ansys & KISSsoft  
Component : Shaft, Gears,  
gear shifting levers, Shifting rails,  
Shifting forks

- Development of Proto parts

Develop-  
ment

Coordinate with NPD team  
and sign off  
Coordinate with NPQ team  
and sign off

Roles and Deliverables

- Design of Transmission components
  - Conceptual design of transmission system that meets the design requirements
  - Perform vehicle dynamics calculation and optimize transmission ratios
  - To execute kinematic simulations to validate desired degrees of freedom and ensure functionality of each components in assembly
- Development of Prototype parts
  - Coordinate with New Part Development team to ensure feasibility study of prototype parts with potential suppliers and follow up on pilot lot production
  - Coordinate with the Part Quality team to verify that dimensional accuracy, material quality, and heat treatment core and surface hardening depth align with specifications and sign off
- eCIMP Co-ordination
  - To coordinate with R&D team to ensure posting and implementation of new ideas from all team members to reach objective targets as per TQM.




## Highlights



**Design Brainstorming session**



**Pilot tractor prototype**




**DFMEA study with breakout box for CRDi tractor**

## Key Learnings


- In-depth Product Training & CRDi diesel technology
- Knowledge on AGCO products
- SAM check procedures
- New technologies – **DynaTRACK, PST transmission, Hydraulic Clutch**
- Online Product Training on **ISEKI HC80P** Combine Harvester
- Eicher ADDC Hydraulics – **Hydromatic & Non-Hydromatic**
- Learning on issues from Complaint tracker
- Right To Repair Movement

## Skill & Leadership development

- Managerial skills
- Technical knowledge
- Design and Analysis
- Windchill, MS Office
- Presentation skills



### FSAE Project



Reverse Engineered - 3D OEM Radiator  
Model in SolidWorks

- 9'' x 14.5'' inch Aluminium alloy Radiator
- Fin density: 12 fins per inch
- Mishimoto 2 bar pressure cap - Raises boiling point to 120 degree C
- Calculation method : NTU-ε method
- Expected Heat rejection = 35 KW
- Expected Heat rejection = ε x Qmax

Radiator effectiveness calculator using,

$$\epsilon = 1 - e^{-\frac{C_{max}(1 - e^{-Cratio Ntu})}{C_{min}}}$$


### Heat capacity rates :

- Air = mfr\_a x Specific heat capacity (C\_min)
- Water = mfr\_w x Specific heat capacity (C\_Max)
- Cratio = C\_min / C\_max
- No. of Transfer Units (NTU) = UA / C\_min

U = Overall Heat transfer coefficient

A = Coolant surface area

### 1D Model Based Development of Cooling System




### 1D Model Based Simulations - 4 blocks :

- Vehicle Dynamics** block analyzes road loads to determine engine torque and speed based on the current vehicle speed, which acts as input for flow models.
- Cooling System** block assesses the dimensions of hoses and predicts anticipated pressure losses across the system, as well as pump speed and coolant flow rates.
- Airflow rate** block evaluates ambient pressure, temperature, and vehicle speed to estimate the pressure drop across the sidepods and compute the airflow rate.
- Heat transfer rate** block computes two factors: the anticipated heat rejection from the engine to the coolant, considering torque, rpm, and BMEP data; and the expected heat rejection from the radiator to the air, employing effectiveness NTU calculations.

# Hexagon Manufacturing Intelligence – Summer Internship

## EV Drivetrain build and System Dynamic load analysis

### Project Objective



**Romax Reference Model**

- Building an Empire EV drivetrain template in Adams Car using an existing Romax transmission model
- Simulate dynamic load in Adams Car and compare the results of coupler and gear contacts modelling
- High fidelity model : Gear AT, Bearing AT, Flexible shafts and Housing

### Learning Objective


#### Software :

- Fundamentals of Adams/View & Adams/Car
- Adams Car – template builder
- Romax basics – User interface
- Elements overview


#### Prerequisite/training :

- To understand Romax user interface and Romax EV drivetrain model
- ADM740 – Adams Car (till templates session)
- Adams installation documents - Adams/Car
- Adams driveline template tutorial
- Adams Gear AT introduction

### Project outcome



**EV Drivetrain created in Adams car from scratch**



**Full Car simulation – Dynamic Analysis**



### Training certificate

Awarded to

Prem Kumar Ramachandriya Nagarajan

For successful completion of the

ADM740 - Vehicle Modeling and Simulation using Adams Car

training on 08/08/2023

hexagonmi.com


**ADM740 Certification – Adams Car**




# Academic Projects – University of Michigan

## Battery Modelling and Controls – State of Charge Estimation

### 1D Model Based Development




**NMC Battery – OCV-R-2RC  
Plant model**



**Kalman Filter algorithm – Linear, Extended,  
Joint Estimation blocks**

### Model Results



**SOC and Vt - Estimation vs Measurement Result**

#### Results:

##### **Linear and Extended :**

- Output voltage profiles are more likely same and SOC with good accuracy in Linear than extended.
- Soc values are expected to be poor when actual Soc are below 15 percentage and above 85 percentage of charge, as linearization was done.

##### **Joint Estimation – 5 states (Soc, Vt, R0, R1, C1) :**

- Since many unknown states, the result produces more RMSE values.
- C1 estimation didn't converge as expected
- Soc RMSE is high as it took much time to converge at the initialization point.


##### **Joint Estimation – 3 states (Soc, Vt, R0) :**

- The results are more accurate with slightly low computational time.
- R0 & Soc results are good as compared to 5 state estimation


# Academic Projects – University of Michigan

## Energy Management of Evs – Regenerative Braking : Automation of Energy Recuperation Modes selection

### 1D Model Based Development




Overall PHEV Model – Vehicle Dynamics, Battery Model, Motor Control, Transmission gear selector, Regenerative braking model




Automation of Regenerative braking modes – Balancing Recuperation and Ride Comfort

### Model Results



SOC and Vt - Estimation vs Measurement Result




This graph shows the comparison of traction energy and recuperation energy in the 3 modes, zero and 100% recuperation. As desired, in mode 1 with 30% recup, 5.48 MJ of energy is restored. In mode 2 with 45% recup, 7.62 MJ of energy is restored and in mode 3, 11.12 MJ of energy is saved. This is a significant number considering energy management and increase in range.

# Academic Projects – University of Michigan

## Vehicle Electronics I – Analysis of Generator Charging System with 4 diode pairs (Mini project)

### 1D Model Based Development



Model - Three-phase AC voltages with noise, RL components, and four pairs of diodes for DC conversion for battery charging


#### Input parameters :

- The Resistance and Inductance are taken as 0.04 Ohms and 200e-6 Henry.
- Assuming the diodes are silicon diodes with forward voltage of 0.6V and 0.3 Ohm resistance
- The battery on the right side of the circuit is chosen to be 12V nominal.

Voltage source	Volts (V)	Frequency (Rad/sec)	Frequency Hz	Phase (rad)	Phase (deg)
Vsa, Vsb, Vsc	V	1884.95	300	0, 2.0944, 4.18879	0, 120, 240
Vsa_K, Vsb_K, Vsc_K	V*K	5654.86	900	0	0

AC Voltage inputs

### Model Results



Voltage, Current and Power measurements

#### Result :

K value	Blue Line	Volts (V)	Ampere (Amps)	Power (Watts)	Power % change
0.5	Included	12.69	79.35	1009	12
	Excluded	12.54	72.01	903.3	
0.25	Included	12.55	72.69	912	1
	Excluded	12.54	72.02	903.3	