
## Time series in epidemiology: Lab Exercises

After loading the Kericho.csv data in R. Please provide an answer to the following questions.


#### Exercise 1

1. Explore the association between maximum temperature (maxT), minimum temperature (minT) and rainfall (Rain) with the log-transformed number of reported malaria cases (Cases). What relationships do your observe and how strong are these?


```
mal <- read.csv("Kericho.csv")
plot(mal$maxT,log(mal$Cases))
abline(lm(log(Cases)~maxT,data=mal),col=2)</pre>
```



plot(mal\$minT,log(mal\$Cases))
abline(lm(log(Cases)~minT,data=mal),col=2)




```
plot(mal$Rain,log(mal$Cases))
abline(lm(log(Cases)~Rain,data=mal),col=2)
```




2. Consider the rainfall variable Rain. Create 4 time lagged variables that for a given month, give the rainfall amount of 1 month, 2 months, 3 months ago and 4 months ago. Plot the log-transformed number of cases against each of these variables. What do you observe?

```
create.lag.var <- function(x,lag) {</pre>
  tlag <- mal$t-lag</pre>
  ind.lag <- sapply(tlag,function(x) {</pre>
                 out \leftarrow which((mal$t-x)==0)
                 if(length(out)==0) out <- NA</pre>
                 return(out)
               })
  x[ind.lag]
}
mal$minT.lag1 <-create.lag.var(mal$minT,lag=1)</pre>
# Check on newly created variable
head(mal[sort.list(mal$t),c("minT.lag1","minT","t")])
##
     minT.lag1 minT t
## 1
           NA 11.8 1
          11.8 11.3 2
## 2
## 3
          11.3 10.9 3
          10.9 12.0 4
## 4
## 5
          12.0 10.9 5
## 6
          10.9 11.4 6
mal$Rain.lag1 <- create.lag.var(mal$Rain,lag=1)</pre>
mal$Rain.lag2 <- create.lag.var(mal$Rain,lag=2)</pre>
mal$Rain.lag3 <- create.lag.var(mal$Rain,lag=3)</pre>
mal$Rain.lag4 <- create.lag.var(mal$Rain,lag=4)</pre>
plot(mal$Rain,log(mal$Cases),
     main=paste("Lag 0, Corr=",round(cor(mal$Rain,log(mal$Cases)),3)))
```


Lag 0, Corr= 0.074




Lag 1, Corr= 0.166




Lag 2, Corr= 0.228



Lag 3, Corr= 0.112



#### Lag 4, Corr= -0.092



3. Fit a linear model for the log-transformed number of cases for each of the 4 lagged rainfall variables created in the previous point. Based on the fitted models, which lag has a stronger relationship with the reported malaria cases?


```
lm.lag0 <- lm(log(Cases) ~ Rain, data = mal)</pre>
lm.lag1 <- lm(log(Cases) ~ Rain.lag1, data = mal)</pre>
lm.lag2 <- lm(log(Cases) ~ Rain.lag2, data = mal)</pre>
lm.lag3 <- lm(log(Cases) ~ Rain.lag3, data = mal)</pre>
lm.lag4 <- lm(log(Cases) ~ Rain.lag4, data = mal)</pre>
summary(lm.lag0)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = log(Cases) ~ Rain, data = mal)
##
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                   1Q
                        Median
                                      3Q
                                              Max
   -2.10892 -0.49873 -0.03262 0.52464
                                          2.16426
##
   Coefficients:
##
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
  (Intercept)
                4.29802
                            0.09424
                                      45.607
                                                <2e-16 ***
## Rain
                 0.01991
                            0.01522
                                       1.308
                                                 0.192
##
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
## Residual standard error: 0.7678 on 308 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.005526,
                                      Adjusted R-squared:
## F-statistic: 1.712 on 1 and 308 DF, p-value: 0.1918
```


```
summary(lm.lag1)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = log(Cases) ~ Rain.lag1, data = mal)
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                     Median
                                   3Q
## -2.13518 -0.50605 -0.02626 0.53511 2.03922
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                         0.09336 44.626 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept) 4.16645
                                  2.955 0.00337 **
## Rain.lag1
              0.04448
                          0.01505
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.7575 on 307 degrees of freedom
## (1 observation deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared: 0.02765,
                                  Adjusted R-squared: 0.02448
## F-statistic: 8.73 on 1 and 307 DF, p-value: 0.003372
summary(lm.lag2)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = log(Cases) ~ Rain.lag2, data = mal)
## Residuals:
       Min
                 10 Median
                                   30
## -2.11249 -0.48276 -0.00874 0.55499 2.03159
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 4.08080
                        0.09198 44.367 < 2e-16 ***
## Rain.lag2
             0.06078
                          0.01483 4.098 5.34e-05 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.7462 on 306 degrees of freedom
## (2 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared: 0.05202,
                                  Adjusted R-squared: 0.04893
## F-statistic: 16.79 on 1 and 306 DF, p-value: 5.344e-05
summary(lm.lag3)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = log(Cases) ~ Rain.lag3, data = mal)
##
## Residuals:
       Min
                 1Q Median
                                   3Q
## -2.11411 -0.48978 -0.04558 0.56805 1.90081
##
## Coefficients:
```

```
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                4.25582
                           0.09353
                                    45.502
                                              <2e-16 ***
## (Intercept)
## Rain.lag3
                0.02979
                           0.01508
                                      1.976
                                               0.049 *
##
## Signif. codes:
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.7585 on 305 degrees of freedom
     (3 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared: 0.01264,
                                    Adjusted R-squared:
                                                          0.009405
## F-statistic: 3.905 on 1 and 305 DF, p-value: 0.04904
summary(lm.lag4)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = log(Cases) ~ Rain.lag4, data = mal)
##
  Residuals:
        Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                     3Q
                                             Max
   -2.05699 -0.49388 -0.01158
                              0.54307
                                        2.15010
##
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
  (Intercept) 4.55766
                           0.09380
                                   48.588
                                              <2e-16 ***
               -0.02445
                           0.01510 -1.619
                                               0.106
## Rain.lag4
##
## Signif. codes:
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 0.7591 on 304 degrees of freedom
     (4 observations deleted due to missingness)
## Multiple R-squared: 0.008552,
                                    Adjusted R-squared:
                                                          0.005291
## F-statistic: 2.622 on 1 and 304 DF, p-value: 0.1064
```


#### Exercise 2

- 1. Plot the minimum temperature variable (minT) against time. What patterns do you observe?
- 2. Write down the equation of a linear regression model that accounts for a seasonal trend with a period of year. Fit the model defined in the previous point and add the curve of predicted values to the plot generate in the first point.
- 3. Add another sinusoidal function with period of 6 months to model fitted in the previous point. Generate the following plots: 1) one that displays both the seasonal trends estimated from the first model with a single sinusoidal functions with a one-year period, and the second model that add the sinusoidal curve with a six-month period; 2) the autocorrelgram based on the residuals from the two models. Based on these plots, which model do you believe to the best one?
- 4. Repear points 1 to 3, for maxT and Rain.








# Series residuals(Im.fit1)



# Series residuals(Im.fit2)









#### acf(residuals(lm.fit1), lag.max = 50)


# Series residuals(Im.fit1)




acf(residuals(lm.fit2), lag.max = 50)


# Series residuals(Im.fit2)








# Series residuals(Im.fit1)




## Series residuals(Im.fit2)




4. For all the models fitted in the previous points, fit an autoregressive process of the first order. Do the residuals from these models show any further evidence of residual temporal correlation?


## Series minT.a1\$residuals



## Series maxT.a1\$residuals



#### Series Rain.a1\$residuals



5. Write your own likelihood function in R for fitting an autoregressive process of the first order. Then use your own function to obtain the parameter estimates in the previous point and compare them to those obtained using the arima function.

```
# y: data of the outcome to model
# D: matrix of covariates (use x=TRUE to obtain this from the linear model)
mle <- function(y,D) {</pre>
  p <- ncol(D) # number of covariates (including intercept)</pre>
  n <- nrow(D) # number of observations</pre>
  llik <- function(par) {</pre>
    beta <- par[1:p]
    phi \leftarrow 2*exp(par[p+1])/(1+exp(par[p+1]))-1
    sigma2 \leftarrow exp(par[p+2])
    stat.var <- sigma2/(1-phi^2)</pre>
    mu.t <- D%*%beta</pre>
    llik <- rep(NA,n)</pre>
    # Write the log-density function of Y1
    llik[1] \leftarrow dnorm(y[1], mean = mu.t[1],
                       sd=sqrt(stat.var),log=TRUE)
    for(i in 2:n) {
      # Write the log-density function of Yt given Yt-1
      llik[i] \leftarrow dnorm(y[i], mean = mu.t[i]+phi*(y[i-1]-mu.t[i-1]),
                       sd=sqrt(sigma2),log=TRUE)
```

```
}
    sum(llik)
  estim <- nlminb(rep(0,p+2),
           function(x) -llik(x))
  estim par[p+1] \leftarrow 2*exp(estim par[p+1])/(1+exp(estim par[p+1]))-1
  estim$par[p+2] <- exp(estim$par[p+2])</pre>
 names(estim$par) <- c(colnames(D), "phi", "sigma2")</pre>
  estim
}
estim.minT <- mle(y=mal$minT,D=lm.fit.minT$x)</pre>
estim.minT$par
##
          (Intercept) \sin(2 * pi * t/12) \cos(2 * pi * t/12) \sin(2 * pi * t/6)
##
           10.9549992
                              0.2505165
                                                   -0.2050617
                                                                       -0.2620755
##
    cos(2 * pi * t/6)
                                      phi
                                                       sigma2
            0.0328837
                                0.2729554
                                                    0.3608053
minT.a1
##
## Call:
## arima0(x = mal\$minT, order = c(1, 0, 0), xreg = lm.fit.minT\$x[, -1])
## Coefficients:
##
            ar1 intercept sin(2 * pi * t/12) cos(2 * pi * t/12)
##
         0.2729 10.9546
                                         0.2506
                                                             -0.2059
## s.e. 0.0553
                    0.0473
                                         0.0624
                                                              0.0626
##
         sin(2 * pi * t/6) cos(2 * pi * t/6)
##
                   -0.2617
                                        0.0332
                                        0.0539
## s.e.
                    0.0542
##
## sigma^2 estimated as 0.3608: log likelihood = -277.35, aic = 568.71
```

#### Exercise 3

The R function below allows to generate a time series for a set of equally spaces observation time points, between a given starting point (start) and end point (end).

```
diag(Sigma) <- diag(Sigma)+tau2
out <- as.numeric(mu+t(chol(Sigma))%*%rnorm(n))
out
}</pre>
```

The function uses the following model to generate a time serie.

$$Y(t) = \mu(t) + S(t) + Z(t)$$

where  $\mu(t)$  is the mean component of the model that includes the effects of covariates; S(t) is a Matern process with variance  $\sigma^2$ , scale parameter  $\phi$  and smoothness parameter  $\kappa$ ; the random variable Z(t) is Gaussian noise with variance  $\tau^2$ .

- 1. After setting  $\mu=0$ ,  $\sigma^2=1$ ,  $\kappa=0.5$  and  $\tau^2$ , choose a suitable value of  $\phi$  to simulate three processes with a correlation between two consecutive observations of 0.5, 0.75 and 0.98. For the three simulate time series display the correlogram and variogram. How do these two change across the three simulated time series?
- 2. Repeat the previous point, for  $\kappa = 1.5$  and keep all other parameters unchanged.
- 3. Challenge question. For this point, we shall consider the CO2 data-set analyzed in the lectures (muana\_loa.csv). Consider the following model for the data.

$$Y(t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 \sin(2\pi t/12) + \beta_3 \sin(2\pi t/12) + \beta_4 \sin(2\pi t/6) + \beta_5 \sin(2\pi t/6) + S(t) + Z(t).$$

After estimating the regression coefficients, from  $\beta_0$  to  $\beta_5$ , using a standard regression linear model (i.e. one that completely ignores temporal correlation), use the **simulate.matern** function to simulate a CO2 time series by setting  $\mu(t)$  to the mean of the process defined in the equation above. Make your own choice for the other parameters of the simulation. After simulating this time series, obtain the maximum likelihood estimates using the simulated data and compare these to the values that you have chosen.