## RISTCON 2024, Faculty of Science, University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka Evaluation form for reviewers

		Abstract reference n	umber	D51			
Title	Ex	oloring Monthly Births Trend i	n Sri La	nka	••••••	••••	
Is the v	vork descri	oed adequately for a conference pr	esentatio	n?			
1.	Abstract				YES/ <del>NO</del>		
	a. Is the	itle appropriate?			YES/ <del>NO</del>		
	Suggestions for revising the title (if any)?						
	•••••		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
	•••••		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	b. Does the text of the abstract adequately reflect the o			objectives, methods, results and outcome of			
	the stu	the study described in the extended abstract?			YES/ NC	)	
	If	not, any suggestions for revising th	e abstrac	t.			
	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • •			
	•••••		•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
2.	Your reco	mmendation					
	a	Accepted without any correc	tion				
	b	·					
	C	Accepted with major revision	1	X			
	d	Rejected :(please specify the	reason)				
	o p fo n m	The study explores significant as the theoretical framework is lacking the theoretical framework is lacking the theoretical framework is lacking to the second seco	ng. In the me comm otivation down of ed data a lation to i	Materials & only used, only used, on the characteristics and the characteristics are the characteristics.	Methods s well-known osing these I training se I recommen ughly evalua	ection, the au time series ar modeling app t is not clearly d that the aut	ithors nd proaches is
3.	Type of p	resentation format recommended:	Oral	X	Poster		
4.		icate the potential to be published E: High potential and 0: no potentia		arch work a	s a full pape	er in a peer-re	viewed
	The ord	anizing cor $0$ $1$ $2$	3	4 5		w process. The	ank you.

Name and signature of the reviewer:	Dr. Priyanga D. Talagala	11/11/23