# Regression and Lab HW 5

Na SeungChan

2023-06-06

# Q1

## **Importing Data**

```
b1 < c(2.60, 31.0, 21)
b2 <- c(2.40, 31.0, 21)
b3 < c(17.32, 31.5, 24)
b4 < c(15.60, 31.5, 24)
b5 \leftarrow c(16.12, 31.5, 24)
b6 <- c(5.36, 30.5, 22)
b7 < c(6.19, 31.5, 22)
b8 < -c(10.17, 30.5, 23)
b9 < -c(2.62, 31, 21.5)
b10 < -c(2.98, 30.5, 21.5)
b11 < c(6.92, 31, 22.5)
b12 < -c(7.06, 30.5, 22.6)
Beverages <- as_tibble(rbind(b1, b2, b3, b4, b5, b6, b7, b8, b9, b10, b11, b12)) %>%
rename(Carbonation = V1, Temperature = V2, Pressure = V3)
## Warning: The `x` argument of `as tibble.matrix()` must have unique column names if
## `.name repair` is omitted as of tibble 2.0.0.
## i Using compatibility `.name_repair`.
```

## (a)

```
fit11 <- lm(Carbonation ~ poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE), data = Beverages) summary(fit11)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Carbonation ~ poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2,
    raw = TRUE), data = Beverages)
##
## Residuals:
            1Q Median
                           3Q Max
## -0.76031 -0.32595 0.04094 0.25689 0.95969
## Coefficients:
##
                             Estimate Std. Error
                                  2968.7591 2230.2245
## (Intercept)
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)1.0 -187.5829 143.9432
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)2.0 3.4640 2.4061
```

```
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)0.1 -10.4076 22.1038
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)1.1 -1.1758
                                                                      0.9638
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)0.2 1.1424
                                                                      0.3528
                              t value Pr(>|t|)
##
                                     1.331 0.2315
## (Intercept)
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)1.0 -1.303 0.2403
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)2.0 1.440 0.2000
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)0.1 -0.471 0.6544
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)1.1 -1.220 0.2683
## poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)0.2 3.238 0.0177 *
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.6746 on 6 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.992, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9854
## F-statistic: 149.2 on 5 and 6 DF, p-value: 3.305e-06
(b)
From summary of fit1, we can get F-statistic 149.2 on (5,6) DF. p-value is 3.305e-06, and regression is
significant.
(c)
lack of fit test
fit13 <- lm(Carbonation ~ Temperature + Pressure, data = Beverages)
anova(fit11, fit13)
## Analysis of Variance Table
## Model 1: Carbonation ~ poly(Temperature, Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)
## Model 2: Carbonation ~ Temperature + Pressure
## Res.Df RSS Df Sum of Sq F Pr(>F)
## 1
      62.7308
## 2
      9 8.6434 -3 -5.9126 4.3304 0.06021 .
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
no lack of fit.
(d)
t-value for interaction term is -1.220. Interaction term does not significantly contribute to the model.
fit12 <- lm(Carbonation ~ poly(Temperature, degree = 2, raw = TRUE) + poly(Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE), data
summary(fit12)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Carbonation ~ poly(Temperature, degree = 2, raw = TRUE) +
    poly(Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE), data = Beverages)
##
## Residuals:
```

Min

1Q Median

3Q Max

```
## -0.8209 -0.4707 0.1394 0.3155 0.8991
##
## Coefficients:
##
                        Estimate Std. Error t value
                             2781.5067 2301.2131 1.209
## (Intercept)
## poly(Temperature, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)1 -158.7518 146.8575 -1.081
## poly(Temperature, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)2 2.5748 2.3717 1.086
## poly(Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)1 -33.5184 11.7782 -2.846
## poly(Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)2
                                             0.8423 0.2616 3.220
##
                       Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                              0.2660
## poly(Temperature, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)1 0.3155
## poly(Temperature, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)2 0.3136
## poly(Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)1
                                             0.0248*
## poly(Pressure, degree = 2, raw = TRUE)2
                                             0.0147*
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.6978 on 7 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.99, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9843
## F-statistic: 174 on 4 and 7 DF, p-value: 4.402e-07
Adjusted R-Squared also does not changed significantly.
```

#### (e)

Fit the multiple linear regression model.

```
summary(fit13)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = Carbonation ~ Temperature + Pressure, data = Beverages)
##
## Residuals:
## Min
          10 Median
                         3Q Max
## -1.3640 -0.7462 0.1358 0.6475 1.3165
## Coefficients:
        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) -151.6265 21.9720 -6.901 7.06e-05 ***
## Temperature 1.8774 0.7822 2.400 0.0399 *
## Pressure
             ## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.98 on 9 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9747, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9691
## F-statistic: 173.7 on 2 and 9 DF, p-value: 6.47e-08
quadratic term for Pressure is significant, but for Temperature intercept isn't significant.
```

## **Q2**

Answer is on the 1st page of pdf file.

#### **Q3**

### **Data importing**

```
dfq3 <- na.omit(MPV::table.b3)
```

Trans AM, Astre has NA in x3. I just dropped all the data in Trnas AM and Astre, but additional analysis is needed for justification of droping those two data.

#### (a)

x6, x7, x11 are categorical variable, so those variables are not included in correlation matrix.

```
dfq3 %>%
select(x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, x8, x9, x10) %>%
cor()
```

```
##
             x2
       x1
                   х3
                         x4
                              x5
                                    x8
## x1 1.0000000 0.9408473 0.9891628 -0.3469725 -0.6720903 0.8623681
## x2 0.9408473 1.0000000 0.9643592 -0.2898995 -0.5509642 0.8027387
## x3 0.9891628 0.9643592 1.0000000 -0.3259992 -0.6728661 0.8641224
## x4 -0.3469725 -0.2898995 -0.3259992 1.0000000 0.4137808 -0.3041503
## x5 -0.6720903 -0.5509642 -0.6728661 0.4137808 1.0000000 -0.5613315
## x9 0.7974811 0.7105117 0.7881284 -0.3781736 -0.4534470 0.8831512
## x10 0.9515520 0.8878810 0.9434871 -0.3584588 -0.5798617 0.9554541
##
       x9
            x10
## x1 0.7974811 0.9515520
## x2 0.7105117 0.8878810
## x3 0.7881284 0.9434871
## x4 -0.3781736 -0.3584588
## x5 -0.4534470 -0.5798617
## x8 0.8831512 0.9554541
## x9 1.0000000 0.8994711
## x10 0.8994711 1.0000000
```

some data pairs like (x1, x2), (x1, x3), (x3, x10) show large correlation. There could be some multicolinearity and large VIFs.

#### (b)

```
lmq3 < -lm(y \sim x1 + x2 + x3 + x4 + x5 + x6 + x7 + x8 + x9 + x10 + x11, \frac{data}{data} = dfq3) vif(lmq3)
```

```
## x1 x2 x3 x4 x5 x6 x7

## 119.487804 42.800811 149.234409 2.060036 7.729187 5.324730 11.761341

## x8 x9 x10 x11

## 20.917632 9.397108 85.744344 5.145052

variables with VIF > 10 : x1, x2, x3, x7, x8, x10, x11.
```

This model shows serious multicolinearity problems.