Mathematical Methods in Engineering and Applied Science

This webpage contains all assignments and solutions to the MMEAS course.

Problem Set 1

Problem 1

Some basic problems on matrix/vector multiplication.

(a) Calculate by hand the following matrix/vector products:

as a combination of columns of the left matrix aswell as a combination of rows of the right matrix.

(b) Write down a permutation matrix \(P_4\) that exchanges row 1 with row 3 and row 2with row 4. What is the connection of this matrix with the permutation matricesthat exchange only row 1 and row 3, and only row 2 and row 4?

Solution

Given a $\(\Delta \times \A = \begin{bmatrix}a1&a2&a3\end{bmatrix})\)$ with columns $\(a_i\)$, find a matrix $\(B\)$ that when multiplied with $\(A\)$, either from left or right, performs the following operations with $\(A\)$:

- (a) exchanges row 1 and row 2;
- (b) exchanges columns 1 and 2;
- (c) doubles the first row;
- (d) subtracts twice row 1 from row 2.

Also find the inverse of this matrix. What doesthe inverse of this \(B\) do?

Solution

a) Exchanges row 1 and row 2:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad BA = C$$

b) Echanges cob 2 and col 1:

Same matrix B, multiplied from right: AB = C

c) Doubles the first rows

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

d) Subtracts twice row 1 from row 2:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad B \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 \\ \Gamma_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_2 - 2\Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_3 \end{bmatrix} = B^{-4} \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_4 \\ \Gamma_2 - 2\Gamma_1 \\ \Gamma_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Problem 2

Problem 3

For matrix A = $\ A = \ A \ge 0 \ 3 \& 4 \& 5 \ 5 \& 6 \& 7 \ A \le 5 \ 0$, determine the following:

- (a) rank
- (b) eigenvalues and eigenvectors;
- (c) nullspace and left nullspace;
- (d) column space and row space;
- (e) write \(A\) as a sum of rank-1 matrices in at least two different ways.

Solution

Problem 2

Problem 2

d) Column space and row space:

• Column space: \(span(\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 2 \end{bmatrix}) \); equals to the row space.

e) write \(A\) as a sum of rank-1 matrices in at least two different ways:

Obviously, we can present the matrix \(A\) as a sum of single-row or single-column rank-1 matricies (with other rows or columns filled with zeros). Also, we have 3 non-colinear eigenvectors, that can be our matrix basis in the canonical decomposition (diagonalization):

 $\label{eq:limits} $$ [A = UDU^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}\leq ^{3}{d_i\leq i\leq i}] $$$

Problem 4

 $$ (A_1 = \text{begin\{bmatrix} \ 1 \& 2 \& 2 \setminus 0 \& 2 \& 2 \setminus 0 \& 0 \& 3 \setminus 6 \text{bmatrix}, \ A_2 = \text{begin\{bmatrix} \ 1 \& 2 \& 2 \setminus 0 \& 2 \& 2 \setminus 0 \& 0 \& 0 \setminus 6 \& 0 \setminus 6$

Relate the results to the ranks of (A_k) and to the dimensions and bases of the four fundamental subspaces of (A_k) . Is there a $(3\times)$ matrix (A) that can transform a cube into a tetrahedron? Explain.

Solution

Firstly, let us see what every transformation does with the cube.

```
# Creating matricies
A1 = np.matrix([
     [1, 2, 2],
     [0, 2, 2],
     [0, 0, 3]
A2 = np.matrix([
     [1, 2, 2],
[0, 2, 2],
     [0, 0, 0]
])
A3 = np.matrix([
     [0, 2, 2], [0, 2, 2],
     [0, 0, 0]
])
C = np.matrix([
     [2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1],
     [1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1],
[1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2]
#Applying A matricies to the cube
B1 = A1*C
B2 = \Delta 2 * C
B3 = A3*C
#Plotting
%config InlineBackend.figure_format = 'svg'
fig = plt.figure()
ax = fig.add_subplot(111, projection='3d')
ax.scatter(xs = C[0], ys = C[1], zs = C[2])
ax.scatter(xs = B1[0], ys = B1[1], zs = B1[2], color='red', depthshade = True)
ax.scatter(xs = B2[0], ys = B2[1], zs = B2[2], color='green', depthshade = True) ax.scatter(xs = B3[0], ys = B3[1], zs = B3[2], color='yellow', depthshade = True)
ax.set_xticks(np.arange(0, 11, 2))
ax.set_yticks(np.arange(0, 11, 2))
ax.set_zticks(np.arange(0, 7, 1))
ax.set_xlabel('x')
ax.set_ylabel('y')
ax.set_zlabel('z')
plt.title('Cube Transformations')
plt.legend(('Initial Cube', 'A1', 'A2', 'A3'))
```

```
<matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x1a848bc78c8>
```

 $C:/Users/backg/OneDrive/Workspace/Study/Skoltech/MMEAS/MMEASbook/_build/jupyter_execute/ProblemSet1_11_1.svg$

The points on this 3D plot represent the verticies of the initial cube (blue) and resulting shapes. As we see,

- A1 combines some stretching and rotation;
- A2 projects the first transformation on the \(XY\) plane;
- A3 projects the first (or the second) transformation on a line.

Now, let's derive the properties of each matrix (A_i) . \[rg(A_1) = 3;\hspace{3mm}rg(A_2) = 2;\hspace{3mm}rg(A_3) = 1 \] It follows from the Main theorem of Linear Algebra that \[rg(ker(A_1)) = 0;\hspace{3mm}rg(ker(A_2)) = 1;\hspace{3mm}rg(ker(A_3)) = 2 \] That means,

- \(A_1\) maps \(\mathbb{R}^3\) to \(\mathbb{R}^3\)
- $(A_2) \text{ maps }(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ to }(\mathbb{R}^2)$
- \(A_3\) maps \(\mathbb{R}^3\) to \(\mathbb{R}^1\)

That corresponds to our findings in the previous section.

Let us find the four fundamental subspaces for each \(A_i\) operator:

• \(A_1\) is a full-rank matrix, that means nullspace is empty.

• for \(A_2\) we solve a simple set of linear equations and receive the following result: \[\begin{split}Null(A_2) = span(\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}); \hspace{3mm} Null(A_2^T) = span(\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}). \end{split}\]

The column space of (A_2) is $(\begin{bmatrix} 1\ 0\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\ 2\ 0 \end{bmatrix}), the row space is the same.$

for \(\((A_3\)\)\), we have two-dimensional null space: \(\((Null(A_3) = span(\)begin\)\) begin\((bmatrix\) 1\\\ -1\\ 1\\) end\((bmatrix\)\)\)\). It coincides with the nullspace of \((A_3^T\)\).

The column space of (A_3) is $(span(begin{bmatrix} 1) \setminus 0 \end{bmatrix}))$, as well as the row space. This result is easily observed on the visualisation: the result of the 3rd transformation lies on the (y = x) line on the (XY) plane.

```
def print_eig(A, number):
    val, vec = np.linalg.eig(A)
    print("Eigenvalues and eigenvectors for matrix A{}:".format(number))
    print(val)
    print(vec)
    print()

#print_eig(A1, 1)
#print_eig(A2, 2)
#print_eig(A3, 3)
```

On transforming a cube into a tetrahedron: Linear transformation implies that we can describe it only acting on basis vectors. That means, I suppose there is no such \(3\times 3\) matrix to perform this operation.

Problem 5

For matrix \(A= \ \ 2 & 1\\ 1 & 2 \ end{bmatrix} \) determine which unit vector \(x_M\) is stretched the most and which \(x_m\) the least and by how much. That is, find \(x\) such that \(y=Ax\) has the largest (or smallest) possible Euclidian length. You can do this by calculus methods, e.g. using Lagrange multipliers. Relate your findings to eigenvalues and eigenvectors of \(A\).

Solution

Firstly, we SVD the matrix \(A\):

```
U:
  [[-0.70710678 -0.70710678]
  [-0.70710678 0.70710678]]
  E: [3. 1.]
  V*:
  [[-0.70710678 -0.70710678]
  [-0.70710678 0.70710678]]
```

We see that the matricies \(U\) and \(V*\) may be rewritten as a combination of the following matricies: \[\begin{split} U = V^* = \Big\{sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{2}{2} & -\frac{2}{2} \\ \end{bmatrix} = \frac{sqrt{2}}{2} \Big\} & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{sqrt{2}}{2} \Big\}

-1\\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} =\\ = cR\times M, \end{split}\] where \(c = \frac{1}{4}\pii), \(cR\) is a rotation matrix with \(\varphi = \frac{1}{4}\pii), \(M\) is a mirror operator that mirrors about \(X\) axis.

The matrix \(\Sigma\) has diagonal elements \(\begin\{bmatrix\}\) and represents stretching times 3 along \(X\) axis.

After the stretch has been completed, the matrix (U) does the reverse transformation: mirrors the (X') axis and rotates $(\frac{1}{4}\pi c)$ counterclockwise.

Now we can say that the most stretched vector (x_M) will be the $(\frac{sqrt{2}}{2} \ge \frac{1}{1 \pmod{bmatrix}})$ (or it's mirrored counterpart) unit vector, which during the transformations is aligned along the direction of the stretch operation and it's Euclidian length will be (3), and the least stretched vector (x_m) is the $(\frac{sqrt{2}}{2} \le \frac{1}{1 \pmod{bmatrix}})$ (or it's mirrored counterpart), which lies perpendicular to the axis of the stretch operation. It's Euclidian length remains (1).

Now let's look at the Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors of the matrix (the values and the vectors can be easily calculated by hand):

```
Eigenvalues: [3. 1.]
Eigenvectors:
[[ 0.70710678 -0.70710678]
[ 0.70710678 0.70710678]]
```

The result coincides with the result derived from SV decomposition: the $\ \$ \(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \) vector remains the same.

Problem 6

Find eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the following matrices:

(a) $(A_1 = \beta 0 \in \mathbb{N})$ 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) . If \(x\) is any real vector, how is \(y = A_1x\) related to \(x\) geometrically?

Solution

The calculation of eigenvalues and eigenvectors may be performed by hand easily, as well as with NumPy package.

We start with matrix (A_1) :

Instantly from one glance at the matrix (A_1) , as well as by seeing the result of eigen_operations, we derive that the matrix conducts a rotation. There are no real eigenvectors, obviously, as there are no vectors (x) that would be collinearly translated into another vectors (y).

```
Eigenvalues and eigenvectors for matrix A2:
[1. 1. 1.]
[[ 1.000000000e+00 -1.000000000e+00 1.00000000e+00]
[ 0.00000000e+00 2.22044605e-16 -2.22044605e-16]
[ 0.000000000e+00 0.00000000e+00 4.93038066e-32]]
```

There is one eigenvalue $(\lambda = 1)$ of multiplicity 3, and only one eigenvector $h = \beta 1 \ 0 \ eigenvector \ 1 \ 0 \ eigenvector \ 1 \ eige$

Problem Set 2

Problem 1

Consider linear system

- (b) Solve the system using Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel iterations. How many iterations are needed to reduce the relative error of the solution to (10^{-8}) ?
- (c) Plot in semilog scales the relative errors by both methods as a function of the number of iterations.
- (d) Explain the convergence rate. Which of the methods is better and why?

Solution

Let us perform the \(LU\) factorization by hand:

 $\label{thm:linear_continuous_co$

```
import numpy as np
from fractions import Fraction
from scipy import linalg as lin
import sympy as sp
from sympy import abc
A = np.matrix([
    [2, 1, 0],
    [1, 2, 1],
    [0, 1, 2]
])
def bmatrix(a):
    """Returns a LaTeX bmatrix
    :a: numpy array
    :returns: LaTeX bmatrix as a string
    if len(a.shape) > 2:
         raise ValueError('bmatrix can at most display two dimensions')
    lines = str(a).replace('[', '').replace(']', '').splitlines()
rv = [r'\begin{bmatrix}']
rv += [' ' + ' & '.join(l.split()) + r'\\' for l in lines]
    rv += [r'\end{bmatrix}']
    return '\n'.join(rv)
E, L, U = lin.lu(A, permute_l = False)
L = np.matrix(L)
U = np.matrix(U)
print("L:")
print(L)
print("\nU:")
print(U)
```

Let us show, that $U = DL^T$:

Now, let us solve the system using \(LU\) factorization:

 $\label{thm:linear_continuous_c$

Next we solve the system using Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods:

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import copy
```

```
D = np.matrix(np.diag(np.diag(A)))
U = np.matrix(np.triu(A-D))
L = np.matrix(np.tril(A-D))
x_{exact} = np.matrix([1/2, 0, 3/2]).T
tol = 1e-8
err = 1
x_init = np.matrix([1, 1, 1]).T #initial x
b = np.matrix([1, 2, 3]).T
def Jacobi(L, D, U, x_init, b, err, tol):
    x = copy.deepcopy(x_init)
    max iters = 500
    err_iter = np.array([])
    err_exact_iter = np.array([])
    iters = 0
    while ((err > tol) and (iters <= max_iters)) :</pre>
        iters = iters + 1
        bb = b - (U + L)*x
        x_new = np.linalg.solve(D, bb)
        err = np.linalg.norm(x_new - x)/np.linalg.norm(x)
        err_exact = np.linalg.norm(x_new - x_exact)
        err_iter = np.append(err_iter, err)
        err_exact_iter = np.append(err_exact_iter, err_exact)
        x = x_new
    return err_iter, err_exact_iter, x_new, iters
def Gauss_Seidel(L, D, U, x_init, b, err, tol):
    x = copv.deepcopv(x init)
    max iters = 250
    err_iter = np.array([])
    err_exact_iter = np.array([])
    while ((err > tol) and (iters <= max_iters)):</pre>
        iters = iters + 1
        bb = b - U*x
        x_new = np.linalg.solve(D+L, bb)
        err = np.linalg.norm(x_new - x)/np.linalg.norm(x)
        err_exact = np.linalg.norm(x_new - x_exact)
        err_iter = np.append(err_iter, err)
        err_exact_iter = np.append(err_exact_iter, err_exact)
    \textbf{return} \ \texttt{err\_iter}, \ \texttt{err\_exact\_iter}, \ \texttt{x\_new}, \ \texttt{iters}
```

```
%config InlineBackend.figure_format='svg'
fig = plt.figure()
ax = plt.gca()
errs_j, ex_errs_j, x_j, i_j = Jacobi(L, D, U, x_init, b, err, tol)
plt.plot(errs_j)
errs_g, ex_errs_g, x_g, i_g = Gauss_Seidel(L, D, U, x_init, b, err, tol)
plt.plot(errs_g)
ax.set_yscale('log')

ax.legend(('Jacobi', 'Gauss_Seidel'))
ax.set_xlabel('Iterations')
ax.set_ylabel('Relative error')
ax.set_yticks([1e-7, 1e-5, 1e-3, 1e-1])
ax.tick_params(axis='y', which='minor')
ax.grid(which='both')
```

 $C:/Users/backg/OneDrive/Workspace/Study/Skoltech/MMEAS/MMEASbook/_build/jupyter_execute/ProblemSet2_13_0.svg$

As we see, it took (26) iterations for Gauss-Seidel method to reach the target tolerance of (10^{-8}) , while Jacobi method required (54) iterations.

This can be explained by the following: in Gauss-Seidel, as soon as we acquire a new iteration of a vector $\(x)$ component $\(x_i^{(k+1)})$, we instantly utilize this updated value in the computation of the following components: $\(x_i^{(k+1)}) = f(x_1^{(k+1)}, ..., x_{i-1}^{(k+1)}, x_{i+1}^{(k)}, ..., x_n^{(k)})$. In Jacobi, we calculate the new vector $\(x^{k+1})$ relying solely on the result of the previous iteration: $\(x_i^{k+1}) = f(x_j^{(k)})$, hspace{3mm}j \neq i\).

Problem 2

Factor these two matrices (A) into $(S\Lambda S^{-1})$:

 $[\begin{array}{c} A1 = \begin{array}{c} 1 \& 2\\ 0 \& 3 \end{array}] , \$ A2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} , \begin{bmatrix} A2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}\]

Using that factorization, find for both: (a) (A^3) ; (b) (A^{-1}) .

Solution

Firstly, we find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors:

By performing simple calculations by hand, we obtain:



We normalized the eigenvectors

Now let's perform a check with numpy:

```
A1 = np.matrix([
       [1, 2],
       [0, 3]
])

val1, vec1 = np.linalg.eig(A1)
print("E_values A1:")
print(val1)
print("E_vectors A1:")
print(vec1)
```

```
E_values A1:
[1. 3.]
E_vectors A1:
[[1. 0.70710678]
[0. 0.70710678]]
```

As we have our vectors and values, we can construct $(\Delta \ and \ S), (S^{-1})$ matrices:

```
Lambda = np.matrix(np.diag(val1))
S = vec1
Si = np.linalg.inv(S)
print("S:")
print(S)
print("Lambda:")
print(Lambda)
print(Lambda)
print("S_inverse:")
print(Si)
```

From now, we can fing the (A^3) powered matrix by simply multiplying the decomposition:

 $[\begin{array}{c} \label{eq:continuous} $$\left(-1\right) S \Lambda^3 = \Lambda$

And for \(\Lambda\) it is easy to power because it is a diagonal matrix.

 $\$ \[begin{split} \Lambda ^3 = \Big\{ 1 & 0\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix} , \\ \A & 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \] = \Big\{ 0 & 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \]

For inverse matrix:

 $[S\Lambda S^{-1} A_1^{-1} = E, Rightarrow A_1^{-1} = S^{-1} \Lambda ^{-1} S]$

We already have (S) and (S^{-1}) , and for diagonal (Λ) the inverse matrix contains the inverse diagonal elements of (Λ)

 $\left[\left| A_{-1} \right| \ A_{-1} \right] \ A_{-1} \$

So we easily find (A_1^{-1}) :

 $\left[\left(\frac{3}\right) A_1^{-1} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) 0 \& 1/3 \end{bmatrix} \right]$

Now let's look at the second matrix \(A_2\). Instantly we notice it is a rank-1 matrix, thus, \(A_2^{-1}\) matrix doesn't exist. \[\begin{split} h_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix} ,\hspace{3mm} \lambda_1 = 0 \\ \hspace{1mm} \\ h_2 = \lambda_1 = 0 \\ \hspace{1mm} \\ h_2 = \lambda_1 \\ \text{pace} \]

 $\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \\ & \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \\ & \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \\ & \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \\ & \end{array} & \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} \\$

It's eigenvectors are non-collinear and form a basis in 2-dimensional space. Thus we can perform the factorization. \[\begin\split\} S = \begin\bmatrix\} 1 & 1\\ -1 & 3 \end\bmatrix\} ;\hspace\3mm\ \Lambda = \begin\bmatrix\} 0 & 0\\ 0 & 4 \end\bmatrix\} ;\hspace\3mm\ S^\{-1\} = \begin\bmatrix\} 3/4 & -1/4\\ 1/4 & 1/4 \end\bmatrix\} \end\split\\]
For \(\A_2^3\):

 $[\begin{array}{c} A_2^3 = \begin{array}{c} 64 & 64\\ 192 & 192 \end{array}]$

Problem 3

Given a system (Ax = b) with

for which (a) there is a solution? Find the general solution of the system for that (a).

Solution

Let's check the matrix' rank:

```
a = abc.symbols('a')

A = sp.Matrix([
          [1, -1, -3],
          [2, 3, 4],
          [-2, 1, 4]
])

b = sp.Matrix([
          3, a, -1]
)

print('Rank: {}'.format(A.rank()))
A.rref()[0]
```

```
Rank: 2
```

This matrix is a rank-2 matrix. Let's find it's left nullspace, write down the solvability condition and find the appropriate \ (a\). Starting with the left null-space:

```
y = A.T.nullspace()[0]
y
```

We want to fulfill the following condition:

```
[y^Tb = 0]
```

```
ans = sp.solve(y.T*b, a)
ans
```

```
{a: -19}
```

As we see, the system is solvable with (a = -19). Let us perform some check:

```
bs = b.subs(a, -19)
y.T*bs
```

 $[\displaystyle \left[\begin{matrix}0\end{matrix}\right]]$

Now as we have our vector \((b\) with wich the system is solvable, we may find the general solution for the system:

```
a, b, c = abc.symbols('a b c')
system = A, bs
sol = sp.linsolve((A, bs), a, b, c); sol
```

 $\label{left} $$ \left(c - 2, \ - 2 \ c - 5, \ c\right)\right) \$

With $(c \in \mathbb{R})$ we get our solution:

 $\label{locality} $$\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} -2 \right) - (0 \cdot 1) + \left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} -2 \right) - (1 \cdot 1) -$

Problem 4

By Arseniy Buchnev

© Copyright 2021.