# SE 3XA3: Test Plan Rogue Reborn

Group #6, Team Rogue++

Ian PrinsprinsijMikhail Andrenkovandrem5Or Almogalmogo

Due Monday, October 31st, 2016

# Contents

1	Ger	neral Information
	1.1	Purpose
	1.2	Scope
	1.3	Acronyms, Abbreviations, and States
	1.4	Overview of Document
2	Pla	n 4
	2.1	Software Description
	2.2	Test Team
	2.3	Automated Testing Approach
	2.4	Testing Tools
	2.5	Testing Schedule
3	Sys	tem Test Description 7
	3.1	Tests for Functional Requirements
		3.1.1 Basic Mechanics
		3.1.2 Interaction
		3.1.3 The Dungeon
	3.2	Tests for Non-Functional Requirements
		3.2.1 Look and Feel Requirements
		3.2.2 Usability and Humanity Requirements 16
		3.2.3 Performance Requirements
		3.2.4 Operational and Environment Requirements 20
		3.2.5 Maintainability Requirements
		3.2.6 Security Requirements
		3.2.7 Legal Requirements
		3.2.8 Health and Safety Requirements
4	Tes	ts for Proof of Concept 24
	4.1	Static Testing
	4.2	Rendering
	4.3	Dungeon Generation
	4.4	Basic Movement
	4.5	Score File
	4.6	Line of Sight System

5	Cor	nparison to Existing Implementation	<b>28</b>				
6	Unit Testing Plan 6.1 Unit testing of internal functions						
		Unit testing of output files	29 30				
7	App	pendix	31				
	7.1	Symbolic Parameters	31				
		Usability Survey Questions					
${f L}_{f i}$	ist	of Tables					
	1	Revision History	ii				
	2	Table of Abbreviations and Acronyms					
	3	Table of Definitions	2				
	4	Table of States					
	5	Symbolic Parameter Table					

Table 1: Revision History

List of Figures

Date	Version	Notes
10/21/16	0.0	Initial Setup
10/24/16	0.1	Added Unit Testing and Usability Survey
10/24/16	0.2	Added Most of Section 2
10/24/16	0.3	Added Section 1
10/26/16	0.4	Added PoC tests
10/26/16	0.4.1	Added Test Template
10/30/16	0.5	Added Non-Functional Req. Tests
10/30/16	0.5.1	Added Bibliography
10/31/16	0.6	Switch PoC to Test Template
10/31/16	0.7	Add Name to Test Template

## 1 General Information

### 1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this document is to explore the verification process that will be applied to the Rogue Reborn project. After reviewing the document, the reader should understand the strategy, focus, and motivation behind the efforts of the Rogue++ testing team.

## 1.2 Scope

This report will encompass all technical aspects of the testing environment and implementation plan, as well as other elements in the domain of team coordination and project deadlines. The document will also strive to be comprehensive by providing context behind critical decisions, motivating the inclusion of particular features by referring to the existing *Rogue* implementation, and offering a large variety of tests for various purposes and hierarchical units. Aside from the implementation, the report will also discuss a relevant component from the requirements elicitation process.

## 1.3 Acronyms, Abbreviations, and States

Table 2: Table of Abbreviations and Acronyms

Abbreviation	Definition
GUI	Graphical User Interface
PoC	Proof of Concept

Table 3: Table of Definitions

Term	Definition	
Boost	C++ utility library that includes a comprehensive unit testing framework	
Frame	An instantaneous "Snapshot" of the GUI screen	
${f Libtcod}$	Graphics library that specializes in emulating a roguelike experience	
Monochrome	The brightness of a given colour (with respect to the	
Luminance	average sensitivity of the human eye)	
Permadeath	Feature of roguelike games whereby a character death will end the game	
Roguelike	Genre of video games characterized by ASCII graphics, procedurally-generated levels, and permadeath	

Table 4: Table of States

State	Definition	
Developer State	The file system state corresponding to the latest	
	source code revision from the Git repository	
Fresh State	The file system state corresponding to a "fresh"	
	Rogue Reborn installation	
Gameplay State	Any application state that reflects the actual game-	
	play	
Generic State	The file system state corresponding to a functional	
	installation of Rogue Reborn	
High Score State	Any application state that reflects the top high	
	scores screen	
Menu State	Any application state that reflects the opening menu	
Seasoned State	The system state corresponding to an installation	
	of Rogue Reborn that already contains several high	
	score records	

#### 1.4 Overview of Document

The early sections of the report will describe the testing environment and the logistic components of the Rogue Reborn testing effort, including the schedule and work allocation. Next, a suite of tests will be discussed with respect to the functional requirements, nonfunctional requirements, and proof of concept demonstration. Upon discussing the relevance of this project to the original *Rogue*, a variety of unit tests will be given followed by a sample usability survey to guage the interest and opinion of the Rogue Reborn game. A breakdown of the sections is listed below:

- §1 Brief overview of the report contents
- §2 Project logistics and the software testing environment
- §3 Description of system-level integration tests (based on requirements)
- §4 Explanation of test plans that were inspired by the PoC demonstration
- §5 Comparison of the existing *Rogue* to the current project in the context of testing
- §6 Outline of the module-level unit tests
- §7 Appendix for symbolic parameters and the aforementioned usability survey

## 2 Plan

### 2.1 Software Description

Initially, the plan for testing involved the usage of a pre-made testing system called Boost. Boost has industry renown and is very well documented. The drawback to using such a profound system is exactly its advantage - it is heavy, globally encompassing, and requires a lot of work to use properly. The Boost library is suitable for projects spanning years, with dedicated testing teams. This is not the present situation. With hardly over a month until the completion of the project, starting to use Boost would be most unwise.

Instead, an alternative solution has been proposed and implemented. Native test cases can be written in C++ to do exactly that which is required. The details of this implementation will be explained in the parts to follow.

#### 2.2 Test Team

All members of the team will take part in the testing procedure. While Mikhail was given the title of project manager, and Ian C++ expert, Ori was assigned the role of testing expert. Testing will be monitored by Ori, but of course every member of the team will contribute to the testing facilities. It would be desirable for the team member who wrote class C to write the unit tests for this class. Due to the dependency-tree-like structure of the project's design, there will be cases where a unit test for one class encompasses a partial system test for another one. This can be extrapolated from the class inheritance diagram.

## 2.3 Automated Testing Approach

We have made a very large attempt at automating whatever we could for this project. In the real world, any task that can be automated, is automated. The steps we have taken are as follows:

 Set up a GitLab pipeline for the project. The pipeline is programmed to run a series of commands on an external VPS whenever a push is made to the git repository. Each run is documented and its history may be accessed.

- Write a special makefile that outputs 2 executables: the first being the actual project, and the second the project's tests. The details will be delved into in the following sub-section.
- The team's primary method of communication is Slack, a cross-platform, programmer-friendly chat interface. We hooked up the GitLab project repository to the Slack channel such that whenever a push is made or an issue addressed, a notification is sent. This method makes it far easier to communicate about project-related inquiries.

## 2.4 Testing Tools

The special makefile discussed previously utilizes a phenomenon of C++ to perform the necessary steps. First, it places *all* source files into a dedicated folder, distinguishing between program files and test files. This is an absolutely necessary step, as there is an important relationship between *source* and *test* classes. See the diagram below:



As the diagram above depicts, there are classes shared between both final programs. The vast majority of classes fall in the center, required by both the final project and its testing component. The files required by the test which are not required by the source are, obviously, testing-related files. These are the files that contain the test case implementations. At the time of writing, there is actually only one file required by source that is not required by the test, and that is the source program entry (i.e. the file that contains the main() method).

The entire procedure of file collection, compilation, and separate linking is handled by the makefile, and is triggered by the "make" command. Then, simply running Test.exe will fire off all of the pre-written tests.

There is a plan to implement a python script on the GitLab pipeline that will cause the build to fail if any of the tests do not pass. At the time of writing this document, it is not yet implemented, but note will be made when it does. It should be noted that if a build fails, the pipeline not only reports the failure, but also logs where the failure happened, down to the specific test case. This will hopefully make debugging a more pleasant experience later on.

As an extra safety measure, the Rogue++ team will also be utilizing a tool called Valgrind in the testing procedure. Valgrind is a tool that tests the amount of memory a C++ program utilizes, and detects memory allocation errors (such as memory leaks). This is an extremely useful and powerful tool. C++, unlike Java and other high level languages, does not have a built-in garbage collector. This is just one of the reasons why it is so much faster than the rest. A consequence of this, however, is that it is very easy to accidentally leave behind an object in memory, causing a memory leak in the program.

At the time of writing, the entire program occupies 1 MB of memory. This is not much, and even if it was all left behind in a leak, the system would not be too hindered. However, memory leaks represent only a consequence of a larger issue: incorrect code! Using Valgrind, we will be able to detect these kinds of errors, potentially pointing us in the direction of a crucial bugfix.

## 2.5 Testing Schedule

See Gantt Chart at the following url ... TODO

## 3 System Test Description

## 3.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

#### 3.1.1 Basic Mechanics

#### New game start - Functional Test # 1

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Nothing running.

Input: A new game is started.

Output: The program is started.

Execution: Either double-clicking the .exe or via terminal:

./RogueReborn.exe.

## Save game - Functional Test # 2

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Game screen

*Input:* Save command is given or save key is pressed.

Output: A message saying that the game has been saved is shown to the

user in the status box.

Execution: A user will have to play the game and trigger the input

sequence. This process can be verified to work by the following

test.

## **Load game** - Functional Test # 3

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Game screen

Input: Load command is given or save key is pressed.

Output: A message saying that the game has been loaded is shown to

the user in the status box. The data model (level, player, monsters, etc.) is also updated to reflect the state changes.

Execution: A user will have to play the game and trigger the input

sequence to load, and verify that it is in fact the same state

that was previously saved.

#### New game starting statistics - Functional Test # 4

Type: Dynamic / Automatic / Black Box

Initial State: Nothing running.

Input: A new game is started.

Output: The player has the default starting gear and statistics.

Execution: This feature can be tested by analyzing a save file. In the file is

listed everything about the player, meaning the information can

be attained from there.

#### **Help command** - Functional Test # 5

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Game screen

Input: The "help" command is given or the "help" key is pressed.

Output: The user is shown a screen with a list of possible actions and

other information

Execution: Players will be given the game with no instructions or guide.

The usefulness and accessibility of the help screen will be judged by their performance after having seen the help screen.

#### 3.1.2 Interaction

#### **Detailer player information** - Functional Test # 6

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Game screen.

Input: None.

Output: Details about the player (such as level, health, known status

effects, current depth, etc.) are displayed at the bottom of the

screen, in the area known as the "Info bar".

Execution: At random points during the playtest, players will be asked to

answer basic questions about their player. To answer these

questions, the player will have to refer to the info bar.

#### **Environment inspection** - Functional Test # 7

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Game screen.

Input: The "look" key or command, and then an environment aspect

character.

Output: After the input is supplied, a brief description of the

environment aspect is supplied. This can be limited to a word

or two (i.e. "This is an Emu").

Execution: Players will be told about the "look" key before starting, and

will have to employ it to get to know their surroundings.

#### Pass turn - Functional Test # 8

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Game screen.

*Input:* The player wishes to skip his turn. This is usually the case if an

enemy is about to move perpendicularly to the player's

pre-determined projectile path, which will place the enemy in

the direction of the player's projectile.

Output: All entities but the player act, performing whatever action their

AI has instructed them to perform.

Execution: Players will be asked to skip their turn several times once an

enemy is spotted.

## Trap activation - Functional Test # 9

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Game screen.

Input: A dungeon level that can generate traps (This only occurs in

the deeper levels).

Output: A message and effect describing what the trap has done.

Execution: Players will be asked to report any trap they come across and

the effect it has bestowed upon them.

#### 3.1.3 The Dungeon

## Staircase guarantee - Functional Test # 10

Type: Dynamic / Automatic / Black Box

Initial State: Nothing running.

Input: A randomly generated dungeon (preferably many).

Output: An assertion that all contain a downwards staircase.

Execution: The algorithm for this is rather straight-forward; it is a simple

BFS or DFS touring every passable block in the dungeon.

#### Connectedness & Reachability - Functional Test # 11

Type: Dynamic / Automatic / White Box

Initial State: Nothing running.

Input: A randomly generated dungeon (preferably many).

Output: An assertion that the dungeon is connected and all tile are

reachable from one-another.

Execution: Again, another simple algorithm. A BFS or DFS can acquire a

list of all passable tiles in the dungeon, which can be compared to the list provided by the source-code. If the two lists match,

then the assertion is true.

#### Line of Sight - Functional Test # 12

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Game screen.

*Input:* Player is somewhere in the dungeon that is recognizable (i.e.

not hidden), and player is not blind.

Output: Visibility dependent on surroundings. If in a room, the player

should be able to see the entire room. If in a corridor, the player should only be able to see in a 3x3 square centered on

the player.

Execution: Players will be asked to assess the visibility standards. This is a

bug-prone feature, as many exceptions exist in the realm of

"What is the player on?".

## Amulet of Yendor - Functional Test # 13

Type: Dynamic / Automatic / White Box

Initial State: Nothing running.

Input: Levels generated with a depth of 26

Output: A correct assertion that all levels generated contain the amulet

somewhere on the level.

Execution: It only takes a double-nested for-loop to make sure that

somewhere in the level, on a passable tile, the amulet exists. Any since we already know that every passable tile is reachable,

we know that the amulet is as well.

#### Name - Functional Test # 14

Type: Type / ManAut / Color Box

Initial State: InitState

Input: Input

Output: Output

Execution: Execution

#### Name - Functional Test # 15

Type: Type / ManAut / Color Box

Initial State: InitState

Input: Input

Output: Output

Execution: Execution

## Name - Functional Test # 16

Type: Type / ManAut / Color Box

Initial State: InitState

Input: Input

Output: Output

Execution: Execution

#### Name - Functional Test # 17

Type: Type / ManAut / Color Box

Initial State: InitState

Input: Input

Output: Output

Execution: Execution

## Name - Functional Test # 18

Type: Type / ManAut / Color Box

Initial State: InitState

Input: Input

Output: Output

Execution: Execution

## 3.2 Tests for Non-Functional Requirements

#### 3.2.1 Look and Feel Requirements

## Aesthetic Similarity Check - Non-Functional Test # 1

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Generic State

Input: Users are asked to rate the aesthetic similarity between Rogue

and Rogue Reborn.

Output: A numeric quantity between 0 and 10, where 0 indicates that

the graphics are entirely disjoint and 10 indicates that the

graphics are virtually indistinguishable.

Execution: A random sample of users will be asked to play Rogue and the

Rogue Reborn variant for PLAYTEST\_SHORT\_TIME minutes. Afterwards, they will be asked to judge the graphical similarity

of the games based on the aforementioned scale.

#### 3.2.2 Usability and Humanity Requirements

#### Interest Gauge Check - Non-Functional Test # 2

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Generic State

Input: New users are instructed to play Rogue Reborn.

Output: The quantity of time the user willingly decides to play the

game.

Execution: A random sample of users who are unfamiliar with Roque will

be asked to play Rogue Reborn until they feel bored (or MAXIMUM\_ENTERTAINMENT\_TIME has expired). Once the user indicates that they are no longer interested in the

game, their playing time will be recorded.

#### English Mechanics Check - Non-Functional Test # 3

Type: Static / Manual / White Box

Initial State: Developer State

Input: Rogue Reborn source code.

Output: An approximation of the English spelling, punctuation, and

grammar mistakes that are visible through the GUI.

Execution: All strings in the Rogue Reborn source code will be

concatenated with a newline delimiter and outputted to a text file. A modern edition of Microsoft Word from (?) will be used to open this generated text file, and a developer will manually correct all of the indicated errors that are potentially associated

with a GUI output.

#### Key Comfort Check - Non-Functional Test # 4

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Generic State

Input: Users are asked to rate the intuitiveness of the Rogue Reborn

key bindings.

Output: A numeric quantity between 0 and 10, where 0 indicates that

the key bindings are extremely confusing and 10 indicates that

the key bindings are perfectly natural.

Execution: A random sample of users who are inexperienced with the

roguelike genre will be asked to play Rogue Reborn for SHORT\_TIME minutes without viewing the in-game help screen. Next, the key bindings will be revealed, and the users

will continue to play the game for an additional

PLAYTEST\_SHORT\_TIME minutes. Afterwards, they will be asked to judge the quality of the key bindings based on the

aforementioned scale

#### 3.2.3 Performance Requirements

#### Response Delay Check - Non-Functional Test # 5

Type: Dynamic / Automatic / White Box

Initial State: Generic State

Input: Users are instructed to play Rogue Reborn.

Output: A log of occurrences that indicate events where a computation

that was initiated by a user input took an excessive quantity of

time to execute.

Execution: A random sample of experienced users will be asked to play a

special version of Rogue Reborn for

PLAYTEST\_MEDIUM\_RANGE minutes. This edition will utilize a StopWatch implementation to measure the execution

time of a computation, and if the computation exceeds RESPONSE\_SPEED milliseconds, the user action and the

associated timestamp will be recorded in a log file.

#### Overflow Avoidance Check - Non-Functional Test # 6

Type: Static / Manual / White Box

Initial State: Developer State

Input: Rogue Reborn source code.

Output: All declarations of integer-typed variables.

Execution: All occurrences of lines that match REGEX\_INTEGER (i.e.,

integer declarations) in the Rogue Reborn source code will be outputted to a file. A group of Rogue++ developers will then review these declarations together and alter them if deemed

necessary to avoid integer overflow issues.

#### Crash Collection Check - Non-Functional Test # 7

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Generic State

Input: Playtesters are instructed to play Rogue Reborn for at least

PLAYTEST\_LONG\_TIME hours.

Output: A collection of crash occurrences along with a detailed

description of the failure environment.

Execution: All Rogue Reborn playtesters will be required to play the game

for at least PLAYTEST\_LONG\_TIME hours in total (spanned over multiple sessions if desired). Every time the application crashes, the playtester must record the incident along with a description of the visible GUI state and the steps required to reproduce the failure. After this data has been collected, the Rogue++ team will address every crash occurrence by either resolving the issue or confidently declaring that the event is

irreproducible.

#### Score Overflow Check - Non-Functional Test # 8

Type: Dynamic / Dynamic / White Box

Initial State: High Score State

*Input:* A high score record file containing a large quantity of entries.

Output: Rogue Reborn GUI displaying the top high scores.

Execution: The Rogue Reborn developers will artificially fabricate a high

score record file with at least HIGH\_SCORE\_CAPACITY + 2 records. The game will then be played until the high score screen is revealed; only the top HIGH\_SCORE\_CAPACITY

scores should be displayed.

#### 3.2.4 Operational and Environment Requirements

#### Processor Compatibility Check - Non-Functional Test # 9

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Fresh State

Input: Users are instructed to install and run Rogue Reborn on their

personal machines.

Output: An indication of whether or not the game is able to successfully

execute.

Execution: A random sample of users with computers that are equipped

with Intel x64 processors will be asked to download the latest Rogue Reborn distribution, perform any necessary installation, and then run the executable file. The user will then report if

the game was able to successfully run on their machine.

## Streamline Distribution Check - Non-Functional Test # 10

Type: Static / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Developer State

Input: Rogue Reborn distribution package.

Output: An indication of whether or not the distribution contains any

files aside from the primary executable and the associated

development licenses.

Execution: The public distribution package will be visually inspected for

extraneous files.

#### 3.2.5 Maintainability Requirements

#### Bug Productivity Check - Non-Functional Test # 11

Type: Static / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Developer State

Input: All ITS issues labeled as bugs in the Rogue Reborn GitLab

repository.

Output: An indication of whether or not all bug reports were closed

within a month of their conception.

Execution: The Rogue Reborn GitLab repository will be queried for all

issues concerning bugs (which are denoted by a "Bug" label). Next, a developer will manually verify that every closed bug fix

request was resolved within a month of its creation.

#### Linux Compatibility Check - Non-Functional Test # 12

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Fresh State

Input: Users are instructed to run Rogue Reborn on their personal

machine.

Output: An indication of whether the game can successfully execute.

Execution: A random sample of users with computers that use a modern

64-bit Linux operating system will be asked to download the

latest Rogue Reborn distribution, perform any necessary

installation, and then run the executable file. The user will then report if the game was able to successfully run on their machine.

#### 3.2.6 Security Requirements

#### Illegal Records Check - Non-Functional Test # 13

Type: Dynamic / Manual / White Box

Initial State: Seasoned State

*Input:* A corrupted high score record file.

Output: Rogue Reborn GUI displaying the top high scores.

Execution: The Rogue++ team will illegally modify a high score record file

by manually altering or adding values such that the expected format or value integrity is violated. These modifications should include negative high score values, missing text, and incorrect delimiter usage. The game will then be played until the high score screen is revealed; all invalid record file contents should be

ignored and amended in the next write to the record file.

#### 3.2.7 Legal Requirements

#### License Presence Check - Non-Functional Test # 14

Type: Static / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Developer State

Input: Rogue Reborn distribution package.

Output: An indication of whether or not the distribution is missing any

mandatory license files.

Execution: The original Roque source code hosted by (?) will be reviewed

for legal requirements, and the public distribution package will be visually inspected to ensure that all mandatory license files

are present.

#### 3.2.8 Health and Safety Requirements

#### Seizure Prevention Check - Non-Functional Test # 15

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Developer State

Input: Two screenshots denoting the largest possible luminosity

difference present between consecutive frames.

Output: The difference in luminosity between the two captured frames.

Execution: After identifying the frame pair that is most likely to induce a

seizure, the game will be played to reach the states that reflect each frame (this should be a brief process; no clever game model manipulation is required). At the occurrence of each desired frame, the game screen will be captured and saved. At this point, the average monochrome luminance across each

frame will be calculated according to the formula

L = 0.299R + 0.587G + 0.114B

where L is the luminance, R is the red RGB component, G is the green RGB component, and B is the blue RGB component (?). Finally, the absolute value of the luminance difference can

then compared to LUMINOSITY\_DELTA.

## 4 Tests for Proof of Concept

## 4.1 Static Testing

#### Compile Test - PoC Test # 1

Type: Static / Automatic / White Box

Initial State: None

Input: Program Source

Output: Program Executable

Execution: Verify that the program compiles with g++.

#### Memory Check - PoC Test # 2

Type: Dynamic / Manual / White Box

Initial State: None

Input: A brief but complete playthrough of the game.

Output: Breakdown of program memory usage.

Execution: A tester will briefly play the game, and a developer will use

Valgrind's memcheck utility to verify that program does not

leak memory or utilize uninitialized memory.

## 4.2 Rendering

## Render Check - PoC Test # 3

Type: Dynamic / Manual / 1 Box

Initial State: Black

Input: Gameplay State

Output: 30-60 seconds of gameplay.

Execution: The player character and any dungeon features should be

shown at the correct location with the correct glyphs. Correct player statistics will be shown along the bottom. The dialog

box will correctly display the log and any prompts.

A tester will manually play the game and verify the display is correct.

## 4.3 Dungeon Generation

#### **Dungeon-Gen Check** - PoC Test # 4

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: None

Input: Repeated restarts of the game

Output: Level should contain ROOMS\_PER\_LEVEL rooms, which

should form a connected graph.

Execution: A tester will manually start the game, briefly explore the level

to verify correct generation, then repeat this process until

confidence is achieved.

#### 4.4 Basic Movement

#### Movement Check - PoC Test # 5

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Gameplay State

Input: Movement commands

Output: Player should move about the level, without clipping through

walls, failing to walk through empty space, or jump to an

unconnected square.

Execution: A tester will manually walk through the level, and visually

verify correctness.

#### 4.5 Score File

#### Scoring File Check - PoC Test # 6

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Menu State

*Input:* Enter name, then quit, restart game, enter name again, and

quit.

Output: 1st name should appear in both the first and second score

screens. The 2nd should appear in the second. Both should have correct values for level, cause of death/quit, and gold

collected.

Execution: A developer will manually perform the above input, and verify

the output. Should be tested both with and without an initial

score file.

## 4.6 Line of Sight System

## $\mathbf{LoS}$ Check - PoC Test # 7

Type: Dynamic / Manual / Black Box

Initial State: Gameplay State

Input: Movement commands

Output: Screen should display correct portions of level, with correct

coloration schemes. This means that the player should be able to see the entirety of a room they are in or in the doorway of, and VIEW\_DISTANCE squares away if they are in a corridor. Squares that the player has seen in the past but cannot see currently should be shown greyed out. Squares they have not

seen should be black and featureless.

Execution: A developer will manually walk through the level, verifying that

the above LoS rules are preserved, especially in edge cases like

the corners of rooms and doorways.

5 Comparison to Existing Implementation

## 6 Unit Testing Plan

After examining the boost library's utilities for unit testing, we have decided we will not use a unit testing framework for testing the product. We concluded that adding a framework would not make the work significantly easier, while reducing our flexibility and adding installation difficulties. Since we are not using a framework, drivers will be written by hand. Stubs will be produced when necessary to simulate system components. Since there are no database or network connections, stubs should hopefully be kept to a minimum. However, functions may be required to construct objects in states suitable for easy testing, for example creating a level or player with certain known properties, rather than by random generation.

## 6.1 Unit testing of internal functions

Internal functions in the product will be unit tested. This will be reserved for more complex functions so as to not waste development time unnecessarily. As complete code coverage is not a goal, generic code coverage metrics will not be used. Instead, care will be taken that complex functions are covered by unit tests. The following are examples of internal functions that are initial candidates for unit testing. Other functions will be added as necessary:

- The dungeon generation functions. The work of generating the dungeon is complex, but it is also easy to automate verification of dungeon properties such as a correct number of rooms, connectedness, compliance with formulas for item generation, presence or absence of certain key features such as the stairs connecting levels or the Amulet of Yendor in the final level.
- The keyboard input functions. As libtcod provides a Key struct which models keyboard input, we can mock/automate these functions. They are fairly complex, and since they return a pointer to the next desired state (similar to a finite state machine) we can easily verify their behavior.
- The item activation functions. For example it could be verified that when the player drank a potion of healing their health increased (if it was not at its maximum), that a scroll of magic-mapping is reveals the level, or that a scroll of identification reveals the nature of an item.

• The item storage functions. Each item is mapped to a persistent hotkey in the player's inventory. Certain items can stack with copies, reducing the amount of inventory space they take up, and how they are displayed. These factors make the inventory fairly complex. It is however easily verifiable, and automated testing can examine edge cases that would be impractical to test manually.

## 6.2 Unit testing of output files

There is only one output file for the product, the high score file, which stores the scores in a csv format. The production and reading of this file can be unit-tested by verifying its contents after writing to it, and by providing a testing version of the file with known contents and verifying the function reads them correctly.

# 7 Appendix

This is where you can place additional information.

## 7.1 Symbolic Parameters

Table 5: Symbolic Parameter Table

Parameter	Value
ROOMS_PER_LEVEL	9
FINAL_LEVEL	26
HEIGHT_RESOLUTION	400
LUMINOSITY_DELTA	0.5
MINIMUM_ENTERTAINMENT_TIME	20
MINIMUM_RESPONSE_SPEED	30
HIGH_SCORE_CAPACITY	15
PLAYTEST_SHORT_TIME	5
PLAYTEST_MEDIUM_RANGE	10-20
PLAYTEST_LONG_TIME	3
REGEX_INTEGER	(char int long).*(, ;)
START_LEVEL	1
VIEW_DISTANCE	1
WIDTH_RESOLUTION	1280

## 7.2 Usability Survey Questions

- 1. Is there any game feature you were unable to figure out how to utilize?
- 2. How helpful was the help screen for you?
- 3. Was there anything going on in the game that the interface failed to make clear to you or deceived you about?
- 4. What common UI interactions did you find particularly lengthy?
- 5. What aspects of the interface did you find unintuitive?
- 6. How responsive was the interface?
- 7. How easy was it to see everything that was going on?
- 8. How effective are the graphics/symbols?
- 9. Would an alternative input device such as a mouse make interacting with the interface easier for you?
- 10. Is there any extra functionality you would like added to the interface?
- 11. How difficult was it to learn the game? How much experience do you have with Roguelikes?
- 12. How helpful was the original game manual?
- 13. How pleasing was the color scheme?
- 14. Was the font large enough for easy use?
- 15. Were you able to learn the hotkeys easily?