

PRIORITIZR WORKSHOP MANUAL

Jeffrey O. Hanson

2023-03-30

Contents

1	Welcome!	5
2	Introduction	7
3	Data	11
4	Gap analysis	29
5	Spatial prioritizations	37
6	Answers	67
7	Acknowledgements	73
8	Session information	75
9	References	77

Chapter 1

Welcome!

Here you will find the manual for the prioritizr module of the [Automation & Technical Skills GIS Group Meeting](#). Before you arrive at the workshop, you should make sure that you have correctly **set up your computer for the workshop** and you have **downloaded the data from here**.

Chapter 2

Introduction

2.1 Overview

The aim of this workshop is to help you get started with using the `prioritizr` R package for systematic conservation planning. It is not designed to give you a comprehensive overview and you will not become an expert after completing this workshop. Instead, we want to help you understand the core principles of conservation planning and guide you through some of the common tasks involved with developing prioritizations. In other words, we want to give you the knowledge base and confidence needed to start applying systematic conservation planning to your own work.

You are not alone in this workshop. If you are having trouble, please put your hand up and one of the instructors will help you as soon as they can. You can also ask the people sitting next to you for help too. **Most importantly, the code needed to answer the questions in this workshop are almost always located in the same section as the question. So if you are stuck, try rereading the example code and see if you can modify it to answer the question.** Please note that the first thing an instructor will ask you will be “what have you tried so far?”. We can’t help you if you haven’t tried anything.

2.2 Setting up your computer


You will need to have both [R](#) and [RStudio](#) installed on your computer to complete this workshop. Although it is not imperative that you have the latest version of RStudio installed, **you will need the latest version of R installed (i.e. version 4.2.3)**. Please note that you might need administrative permissions to install these programs. After installing them, you will also need to install some R packages too.

2.2.1 R

The [R statistical computing environment](#) can be downloaded from the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN). Specifically, you can download the latest version of R (version 4.2.3) from here: <https://cloud.r-project.org>. Please note that you will need to download the correct file for your operating system (i.e. Linux, Mac OSX, Windows).

2.2.2 RStudio

[RStudio](#) is an integrated development environment (IDE). In other words, it is a program that is designed to make your R programming experience more enjoyable. During this workshop, you will interact with R through RStudio—meaning that you will open RStudio to code in R. You can download the latest version of RStudio here: <http://www.rstudio.com/download>. When you start RStudio, you will see two main parts of the interface:



You can type R code into the *Console* and press the enter key to run code.

2.2.3 R packages

An R package is a collection of R code and documentation that can be installed to enhance the standard R environment with additional functionality. Currently, there are over fifteen thousand R packages available on CRAN. Each of these R packages are developed to perform a specific task, such as [reading Excel spreadsheets](#), [downloading satellite imagery data](#), [downloading and cleaning protected area data](#), or [fitting environmental niche models](#). In fact, R has such a diverse ecosystem of R packages, that the question is almost always not “can I use R to ...?” but “what R package can I use to ...?”. During this workshop, we will use several R packages. To install these R packages, please enter the code below in the *Console* part of the RStudio interface and press enter. Note that you will require an Internet connection and the installation process may take some time to complete.

```
install.packages(c("sf", "tidyverse", "terra", "rgeos", "rgdal",  
                  "units", "highs", "prioritizr", "prioritizrdata",  
                  "mapview", "assertthat", "remotes", "gridExtra"))
```

2.3 Further reading


There is a wealth of resources available for learning how to use R. Although not required for this workshop, I would highly recommend that you read [R for Data Science](#) by Garrett Grolemund and Hadley Wickham. **This veritable trove of R goodness is freely available online.** If you spend a week going through this book then you will save months debugging and rerunning incorrect code. I would urge any and all ecologists, especially those working on Masters or PhD degrees, to read this book. I even bought this book as a Christmas present for my sister—and, yes, she was happy to receive it! For intermediate users looking to skill-up, I would recommend the [The Art of R Programming: A Tour of Statistical Software Design](#) by Norman Matloff and [Advanced R](#) by Hadley Wickham. Finally, if you wish to learn more about using R as a geospatial information system (GIS), I would recommend [Geocomputation with R](#) by Robin Lovelace, Jakub Nowosad, and Jannes Muenchow which is also freely available online. I also recommend [Applied Spatial Data Analysis](#) by Roger S. Bivand, Edzer Pebesma, and Virgilio Gómez-Rubio too.

Chapter 3


Data

3.1 Starting out

We will start by opening RStudio. Ideally, you will have already installed both R and Rstudio before the workshop. If you have not done this already, then please see the [Setting up your computer](#) section. **During this workshop, please do not copy and paste code from the workshop manual into RStudio. Instead, please write it out yourself in an R script.** When programming, you will spend a lot of time fixing coding mistakes—that is, debugging your code—so it is best to get used to making mistakes now when you have people here to help you. You can create a new R script by clicking on *File* in the RStudio menu bar, then *New File*, and then *R Script*.



After creating a new script, you will notice that a new *Source* panel has appeared. In the *Source* panel, you can type and edit code before you run it. You can run code in the *Source* panel by placing the cursor (i.e. the blinking line) on the desired line of code and pressing **Control + Enter** on your keyboard (or **CMD + Enter** if you are using an Apple computer). You can save the code in the *Source* panel by pressing **Control + s** on your keyboard (or **CMD + s** if you are using an Apple computer).



You can also make notes and write your answers to the workshop questions inside the R script. When writing notes and answers, add a `#` symbol so that the text following the `#` symbol is treated as a comment and not code. This means that you don't have to worry about highlighting specific parts of the script to avoid errors.

```
# this is a comment and R will ignore this text if you run it
# R will run the code below because it does not start with a # symbol
print("this is not a comment")
```

```
## [1] "this is not a comment"
```

```
# you can also add comments to the same line of R code too
print("this is also not a comment") # but this is a comment
```

```
## [1] "this is also not a comment"
```


Remember to save your script regularly to ensure that you don't lose anything in the event that RStudio crashes (e.g. using Control + s or CMD + s)!

3.2 Attaching packages

Now we will set up our R session for the workshop. Specifically, enter the following R code to attach the R packages used in this workshop.

```
# load packages
library(tidyverse)
library(highs)
library(prioritizr)
library(rgdal)
library(terra)
library(sf)
library(rgeos)
library(mapview)
library(units)
library(scales)
library(assertthat)
library(gridExtra)
```

You should have already downloaded the data for the prioritizr module of this workshop. If you have not already done so, you can download it from here: <https://github.com/prioritizr/cibio-workshop/raw/master/data.zip>. After downloading the data, you can unzip the data into a new folder. Next, you will need to set the working directory to this new folder. To achieve this, click on the *Session* button on the RStudio menu bar, then click *Set Working Directory*, and then *Choose Directory*.



Now navigate to the folder where you unzipped the data and select *Open*. You can verify that you have correctly set the working directory using the following R code. You should see the output `TRUE` in the *Console* panel.

```
file.exists("data/pu.shp")
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

3.3 Data import

Now that we have downloaded the dataset, we will need to import it into our R session. Specifically, this data was obtained from the “Introduction to Marxan” course and was originally a subset of a larger spatial prioritization project performed under contract to Australia’s Department of Environment and Water Resources. It contains vector-based planning unit data (`pu.shp`) and the raster-based data describing the spatial distributions of 62 vegetation classes (`vegetation.tif`) in Tasmania, Australia. Please note this dataset is only provided for teaching purposes and should not be used for any real-world conservation planning. We can import the data into our R session using the following code.

```
# import planning unit data
pu_data <- st_read("data/pu.shp")
```

```
## Reading layer ‘pu’ from data source
##   ‘/home/runner/work/NCC-workshop/NCC-workshop/data/pu.shp’ using driver ‘ESRI Shapefile’
## Simple feature collection with 516 features and 5 fields
## Geometry type: MULTIPOLYGON
## Dimension:      XY
## Bounding box:   xmin: 348703.2 ymin: 5167775 xmax: 611932.4 ymax: 5344516
## Projected CRS: WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
```

```
# format columns in planning unit data
pu_data$locked_in <- as.logical(pu_data$locked_in)
pu_data$locked_out <- as.logical(pu_data$locked_out)

# import vegetation data
veg_data <- rast("data/vegetation.tif")
```

3.4 Planning unit data


The planning unit data contains spatial data describing the geometry for each planning unit and attribute data with information about each planning unit (e.g. cost values). Let's investigate the `pu_data` object. The attribute data contains 6 columns with contain the following information:

- `id`: unique identifiers for each planning unit
- `cost`: acquisition cost values for each planning unit (millions of Australian dollars).
- `status`: status information for each planning unit (only relevant with Marxan)
- `locked_in`: logical values (i.e. `TRUE/FALSE`) indicating if planning units are covered by protected areas or not.
- `locked_out`: logical values (i.e. `TRUE/FALSE`) indicating if planning units cannot be managed as a protected area because they contain are too degraded.

```
# print a short summary of the data
print(pu_data)
```

```
## Simple feature collection with 516 features and 5 fields
## Geometry type: MULTIPOLYGON
## Dimension:      XY
## Bounding box:   xmin: 348703.2 ymin: 5167775 xmax: 611932.4 ymax: 5344516
## Projected CRS: WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## First 10 features:
##      id      cost status locked_in locked_out      geometry
## 1  557 29.74225      0    FALSE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((363141.4 53...
## 2  558 29.87703      0    FALSE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((377633.2 53...
## 3  574 28.60687      0    FALSE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((356423.1 53...
## 4  575 30.83416      0    FALSE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((370910.6 53...
## 5  576 38.75511      0    FALSE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((385400.1 53...
## 6  577 38.11618      2     TRUE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((399891.6 53...
## 7  578 33.33462      2     TRUE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((414385.2 53...
## 8  592 31.67498      0    FALSE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((355774.9 53...
## 9  593 28.97336      0    FALSE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((361980.2 53...
## 10 594 31.63435      0    FALSE    FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((378676.8 53...
```

```
# plot the planning unit data
plot(pu_data)
```

```
# plot an interactive map of the planning unit data
mapview(pu_data)
```

```
# print the structure of object
str(pu_data, max.level = 2)
```

```
## Classes 'sf' and 'data.frame':  516 obs. of  6 variables:
## $ id      : int  557 558 574 575 576 577 578 592 593 594 ...
## $ cost    : num  29.7 29.9 28.6 30.8 38.8 ...
## $ status   : int   0 0 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 ...
## $ locked_in : logi  FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE ...
## $ locked_out: logi  FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE ...
## $ geometry :sfc_MULTIPOLYGON of length 516; first list element: List of 1
## ..$ :List of 1
## ..- attr(*, "class")= chr [1:3] "XY" "MULTIPOLYGON" "sfg"
## - attr(*, "sf_column")= chr "geometry"
## - attr(*, "agr")= Factor w/ 3 levels "constant","aggregate",...: NA NA NA NA NA
## ..- attr(*, "names")= chr [1:5] "id" "cost" "status" "locked_in" ...
```

```
# print the class of the object
class(pu_data)
```

```
## [1] "sf"          "data.frame"
```

```
# print the slots of the object
slotNames(pu_data)
```

```
## [1] ".S3Class"
```

```
# print the geometry for the 80th planning unit
pu_data$geometry[80]
```

```
## Geometry set for 1 feature
## Geometry type: MULTIPOLYGON
## Dimension:      XY
## Bounding box:   xmin: 515940.4 ymin: 5321029 xmax: 525604.7 ymax: 5330460
## Projected CRS: WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S

## MULTIPOLYGON (((518845.5 5321029, 515940.4 5325...
```

```
# print the coordinate reference system
st_crs(pu_data)
```

```
## Coordinate Reference System:
##   User input: WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
##   wkt:
## PROJCRS["WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S",
##     BASEGEOGCRS["WGS 84",
##       DATUM["World Geodetic System 1984",
##         ELLIPSOID["WGS 84",6378137,298.257223563,
##           LENGTHUNIT["metre",1]]],
##       PRIMEM["Greenwich",0,
##         ANGLEUNIT["degree",0.0174532925199433]],
##       ID["EPSG",4326]],
```

```
##      CONVERSION["UTM zone 55S",
##              METHOD["Transverse Mercator",
##                  ID["EPSG",9807]],
##      PARAMETER["Latitude of natural origin",0,
##              ANGLEUNIT["Degree",0.0174532925199433],
##              ID["EPSG",8801]],
##      PARAMETER["Longitude of natural origin",147,
##              ANGLEUNIT["Degree",0.0174532925199433],
##              ID["EPSG",8802]],
##      PARAMETER["Scale factor at natural origin",0.9996,
##              SCALEUNIT["unity",1],
##              ID["EPSG",8805]],
##      PARAMETER["False easting",500000,
##              LENGTHUNIT["metre",1],
##              ID["EPSG",8806]],
##      PARAMETER["False northing",10000000,
##              LENGTHUNIT["metre",1],
##              ID["EPSG",8807]]],
##      CS[Cartesian,2],
##      AXIS["(E)",east,
##          ORDER[1],
##          LENGTHUNIT["metre",1]],
##      AXIS["(N)",north,
##          ORDER[2],
##          LENGTHUNIT["metre",1]],
##      ID["EPSG",32755]]
```

```
# print number of planning units (geometries) in the data
nrow(pu_data)
```

```
## [1] 516
```

```
# print the first six rows in the attribute data
head(pu_data)
```

```
## Simple feature collection with 6 features and 5 fields
## Geometry type: MULTIPOLYGON
## Dimension:      XY
## Bounding box:   xmin: 355774.9 ymin: 5327253 xmax: 406623 ymax: 5343940
```

```
## Projected CRS: WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
##      id      cost status locked_in locked_out      geometry
## 1 557 29.74225      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((363141.4 53...
## 2 558 29.87703      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((377633.2 53...
## 3 574 28.60687      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((356423.1 53...
## 4 575 30.83416      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((370910.6 53...
## 5 576 38.75511      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((385400.1 53...
## 6 577 38.11618      2     TRUE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((399891.6 53...
```

```
# print the first six values in the cost column of the attribute data
head(pu_data$cost)
```

```
## [1] 29.74225 29.87703 28.60687 30.83416 38.75511 38.11618
```

```
# print the highest cost value
max(pu_data$cost)
```

```
## [1] 47.23834
```


```
# print the smallest cost value
min(pu_data$cost)
```

```
## [1] 3.597175
```

```
# print average cost value
mean(pu_data$cost)
```

```
## [1] 26.87393
```

```
# plot a map of the planning unit cost data
plot(pu_data["cost"])
```



```
# plot an interactive map of the planning unit cost data
mapview(pu_data, zcol = "cost")
```


Now, you can try and answer some questions about the planning unit data.

?

1. How many planning units are in the planning unit data?
2. What is the highest cost value?
3. How many planning units are covered by the protected areas (hint: `sum(x)`)?
4. What is the proportion of the planning units that are covered by the protected areas (hint: `mean(x)`)?
5. How many planning units are highly degraded (hint: `sum(x)`)?
6. What is the proportion of planning units are highly degraded (hint: `mean(x)`)?
7. Can you verify that all values in the `locked_in` and `locked_out` columns are zero or one (hint: `min(x)` and `max(x)`)?.
8. Can you verify that none of the planning units are missing cost values (hint: `all(is.finite(x))`)?.
9. Can you very that none of the planning units have duplicated identifiers? (hint: `sum(duplicated(x))`)?
10. Is there a spatial pattern in the planning unit cost values (hint: use `spplot` to make a map).
11. Is there a spatial pattern in where most planning units are covered by protected areas (hint: use `spplot` to make a map).

3.5 Vegetation data

The vegetation data describes the spatial distribution of 62 vegetation classes in the study area. This data is in a raster format and so the data are organized using a square grid comprising square grid cells that are each the same size. In our case, the raster data contains multiple layers (also called “bands”) and each layer has corresponds to a spatial grid with exactly the same area and has exactly the same dimensionality (i.e. number of rows, columns, and cells). In this dataset, there are 62 different regular spatial grids layered on top of each other – with each layer corresponding to a different vegetation class – and each of these layers contains a grid with 164 rows, 326 columns, and 5.3464×10^4 cells. Within each layer, each cell corresponds to a 0.967 by 1.02 km square. The values associated with each grid cell indicate the (one) presence or (zero) absence of a given vegetation class in the cell.




Let's explore the vegetation data.

```
# print a short summary of the data
print(veg_data)
```

```
## class      : SpatRaster
## dimensions  : 164, 326, 32  (nrow, ncol, nlyr)
## resolution  : 967, 1020  (x, y)
## extent     : 298636.7, 613878.7, 5167756, 5335036  (xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax)
## coord. ref. : WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S (EPSG:32755)
## source     : vegetation.tif
## names      : vegetation_1, vegetation_2, vegetation_3, vegetation_4, vegetation_5, v
## min values  :           0,           0,           0,           0,           0,
## max values  :           1,           1,           1,           1,           1,
```

```
# plot a map of the 36th vegetation class  
plot(veg_data[[32]])
```



```
# plot an interactive map of the 36th vegetation class  
# lmapview(veg_data[[32]])
```

```
# print number of rows in the data  
nrow(veg_data)
```

```
## [1] 164
```

```
# print number of columns in the data  
ncol(veg_data)
```

```
## [1] 326
```

```
# print number of cells in the data
ncell(veg_data)
```

```
## [1] 53464
```

```
# print number of layers in the data
nlyr(veg_data)
```

```
## [1] 32
```

```
# print resolution on the x-axis
xres(veg_data)
```

```
## [1] 967
```

```
# print resolution on the y-axis
yres(veg_data)
```

```
## [1] 1020
```

```
# print spatial extent of the grid, i.e. coordinates for corners
ext(veg_data)
```

```
## SpatExtent : 298636.732237428, 613878.732237428, 5167755.76801917, 5335035.76801917 (
```

```
# print the coordinate reference system
print(crs(veg_data))
```

```
## [1] "PROJCRS[\"WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S\", \n      BASEGEOGCRS[\"WGS 84\", \n      DATUM[\"
```



```
# print a summary of the first layer in the stack
print(veg_data[[1]])
```

```
## class      : SpatRaster
## dimensions  : 164, 326, 1  (nrow, ncol, nlyr)
## resolution  : 967, 1020  (x, y)
## extent     : 298636.7, 613878.7, 5167756, 5335036  (xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax)
## coord. ref. : WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S (EPSG:32755)
## source      : vegetation.tif
## name        : vegetation_1
## min value   :           0
## max value   :           1
```

```
# print the value in the 800th cell in the first layer of the stack
print(veg_data[[1]][800])
```

```
##  vegetation_1
## 1           0
```

```
# print the value of the cell located in the 30th row and the 60th column of
# the first layer
print(veg_data[[1]][30, 60])
```

```
##  vegetation_1
## 1           0
```

```
# calculate the sum of all the cell values in the first layer
global(veg_data[[1]], "sum", na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
##              sum
## vegetation_1  17
```

```
# calculate the maximum value of all the cell values in the first layer  
global(veg_data[[1]], "max", na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
##                max  
## vegetation_1    1
```

```
# calculate the minimum value of all the cell values in the first layer  
global(veg_data[[1]], "min", na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
##                min  
## vegetation_1     0
```

```
# calculate the mean value of all the cell values in the first layer  
global(veg_data[[1]], "mean", na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
##                mean  
## vegetation_1 0.00035239
```

```
# calculate the maximum value in each layer
as_tibble(data.frame(max = global(veg_data, "max", na.rm = TRUE)))
```

```
## # A tibble: 32 x 1
##       max
##   <dbl>
## 1     1
## 2     1
## 3     1
## 4     1
## 5     1
## 6     1
## 7     1
## 8     1
## 9     1
## 10    1
## # i 22 more rows
```

Now, you can try and answer some questions about the vegetation data.



1. What part of the study area is the 51st vegetation class found in (hint: make a map)?
2. What proportion of cells contain the 12th vegetation class?
3. Which vegetation class is present in the greatest number of cells?
4. The planning unit data and the vegetation data should have the same coordinate reference system. Can you check if they are the same?

Chapter 4

Gap analysis

4.1 Introduction

Before we begin to prioritize areas for protected area establishment, we should first understand how well existing protected areas are conserving our biodiversity features (i.e. native vegetation classes in Tasmania, Australia). This step is critical: we cannot develop plans to improve conservation of biodiversity if we don't understand how well existing policies are currently conserving biodiversity! To achieve this, we can perform a “gap analysis”. A gap analysis involves calculating how well each of our biodiversity features (i.e. vegetation classes in this exercise) are represented (covered) by protected areas. Next, we compare current representation by protected areas of each feature (e.g. 5% of their spatial distribution covered by protected areas) to a target threshold (e.g. 20% of their spatial distribution covered by protected areas). This target threshold denotes the minimum amount (e.g. minimum proportion of spatial distribution) that we need of each feature to be represented in the protected area system. Ideally, targets should be based on an estimate of how much area or habitat is needed for ecosystem function or species persistence. In practice, targets are generally set using simple rules of thumb (e.g. 10% or 20%), policy (17%; <https://www.cbd.int/sp/targets/rationale/target-11>) or standard practices (e.g. setting targets for species based on geographic range size) [Butchart et al., 2015, Rodrigues et al., 2004].

4.2 Feature abundance

Now we will perform some preliminary calculations to explore the data. First, we will calculate how much of each vegetation feature occurs inside each planning unit (i.e. the abundance of the features). To achieve this, we will use the `problem` function to create an empty conservation planning problem that only contains the planning unit and biodiversity data. We will then use the `feature_abundances` function to calculate the total amount of each feature in each planning unit.

```
# create prioritizr problem with only the data
p0 <- problem(pu_data, veg_data, cost_column = "cost")

# print empty problem,
# we can see that only the cost and feature data are defined
print(p0)
```

```
## A conservation problem (<ConservationProblem>)
## +@data
## | +@features:      "vegetation_1", "vegetation_2", "vegetation_3", "vegetation_4" , ...
## | \@planning units:
## | +@data:          <sfdata.frame> (516 total)
## | +@costs:          continuous values (between 3.5972 and 47.2383)
## | +@extent:         348703.1831, 5167774.5993, 611932.4474, 5344516.4109 (xmin, ymin, xmax)
## | \@CRS:           WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## +@formulation
## | +@objective:      none specified
## | +@penalties:      none specified
## | +@targets:        none specified
## | +@constraints:    none specified
## | \@decisions:      binary decision
## \@optimization
## +@portfolio:        shuffle portfolio ('number_solutions' = 1, ...)
## \@solver:           highs solver ('gap' = 0.1, 'time_limit' = 2147483647, ...)
## # i Use 'summary(...)' to see complete formulation.
```

```
# calculate amount of each feature in each planning unit
abundance_data <- feature_abundances(p0)

# print abundance data
print(abundance_data)
```

```
## # A tibble: 32 x 3
##   feature      absolute_abundance relative_abundance
##   <chr>          <dbl>          <dbl>
## 1 vegetation_1      16.0            1
## 2 vegetation_2      14.3            1
## 3 vegetation_3      10.4            1
## 4 vegetation_4      17.8            1
## 5 vegetation_5      13.0            1
```

##	6	vegetation_6	14.3	1
##	7	vegetation_7	20.0	1
##	8	vegetation_8	14.0	1
##	9	vegetation_9	18.0	1
##	10	vegetation_10	20.0	1
##	# i	22 more rows		

```
# note that only the first ten rows are printed,
# this is because the abundance_data object is a tibble (i.e. tbl_df) object
# and not a standard data.frame object
print(class(abundance_data))
```

```
## [1] "tbl_df"      "tbl"        "data.frame"
```

```
# we can print all of the rows in abundance_data like this
print(abundance_data, n = Inf)
```

```
## # A tibble: 32 x 3
##   feature      absolute_abundance relative_abundance
##   <chr>          <dbl>          <dbl>
## 1 vegetation_1      16.0            1
## 2 vegetation_2      14.3            1
## 3 vegetation_3      10.4            1
## 4 vegetation_4      17.8            1
## 5 vegetation_5      13.0            1
## 6 vegetation_6      14.3            1
## 7 vegetation_7      20.0            1
## 8 vegetation_8      14.0            1
## 9 vegetation_9      18.0            1
## 10 vegetation_10    20.0            1
## # i 22 more rows
```

The `abundance_data` object contains three columns. The `feature` column contains the name of each feature (derived from `names(veg_data)`), the `absolute_abundance` column contains the total amount of each feature in all the planning units, and the `relative_abundance` column contains the total amount of each feature in the planning units expressed as a proportion of the total amount in the underlying raster data. Since all the raster cells containing vegetation overlap with the planning units, all of the values in the `relative_abundance` column are equal to one (meaning 100%)—except for the 61st feature which has a value on `NaN` because it does not occur in the study area at all (i.e. all of its raster values are zeros). Now let's add a new column with the feature abundances expressed in area units (i.e. km^2).

```
# add new column with feature abundances in km^2
abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2 <-
  (abundance_data$absolute_abundance * prod(res(veg_data))) %>%
```



```

set_units(m^2) %>%
set_units(km^2)

# print abundance data
print(abundance_data)

```

```

## # A tibble: 32 x 4
##   feature      absolute_abundance relative_abundance absolute_abundance_km2
##   <chr>          <dbl>          <dbl>          [km^2]
## 1 vegetation_1      16.0            1            15.8
## 2 vegetation_2      14.3            1            14.1
## 3 vegetation_3      10.4            1            10.2
## 4 vegetation_4      17.8            1            17.6
## 5 vegetation_5      13.0            1            12.8
## 6 vegetation_6      14.3            1            14.1
## 7 vegetation_7      20.0            1            19.7
## 8 vegetation_8      14.0            1            13.9
## 9 vegetation_9      18.0            1            17.8
## 10 vegetation_10    20.0            1            19.7
## # i 22 more rows

```

Now let's explore the abundance data.

```

# calculate the average abundance of the features
mean(abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2)

```


```
## 86.82948 [km^2]
```

```

# plot histogram of the features' abundances
hist(abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2, main = "Feature abundances")

```

Feature abundances



```
# find the abundance of the feature with the largest abundance
max(abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2)
```

```
## 737.982 [km^2]
```

```
# find the name of the feature with the largest abundance
abundance_data$feature[which.max(abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2)]
```

```
## [1] "vegetation_12"
```

Now, try to answer the following questions.



1. What is the median abundance of the features (hint: `median`)?
2. What is the abundance of the feature with smallest abundance?
3. What is the name of the feature with smallest abundance?
4. What is the total abundance of all features in the planning units summed together?
5. How many features have a total abundance greater than 100 km² (hint: `sum(abundance_values > set_units(threshold_value, km^2))`)?

4.3 Feature representation by protected areas

After calculating the total amount of each feature in the planning units (i.e. the features' abundances), we will now calculate the amount of each feature in the planning units that are covered by protected areas (i.e. feature representation by protected areas). We can complete this task using the `feature_representation` function. This function requires (i) a conservation problem object with the planning unit and biodiversity data and also (ii) an object representing a solution to the problem (i.e. an object in the same format as the planning unit data with values indicating if the planning units are selected or not).

```
# create column in planning unit data with binary values (zeros and ones)
# indicating if a planning unit is covered by protected areas or not
pu_data$pa_status <- as.numeric(pu_data$locked_in)

# calculate feature representation by protected areas
repr_data <- eval_feature_representation_summary(p0, pu_data[, "pa_status"])

# print feature representation data
print(repr_data)
```

```
## # A tibble: 32 x 5
##   summary feature      total_amount absolute_held relative_held
##   <chr>    <chr>          <dbl>         <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 overall vegetation_1      16.0           0           0
## 2 overall vegetation_2      14.3           0           0
## 3 overall vegetation_3      10.4           0           0
## 4 overall vegetation_4      17.8           0           0
## 5 overall vegetation_5      13.0           0           0
## 6 overall vegetation_6      14.3           0           0
## 7 overall vegetation_7      20.0           0           0
## 8 overall vegetation_8      14.0           0           0
## 9 overall vegetation_9      18.0          0.846        0.0470
## 10 overall vegetation_10     20.0           0           0
## # i 22 more rows
```

Similar to the abundance data before, the `repr_data` object contains three columns. The `feature` column contains the name of each feature, the `absolute_held` column shows the total amount of each feature held in the solution (i.e. the planning units covered by protected areas), and the `relative_held` column shows the proportion of each feature held in the solution (i.e. the proportion of each feature's spatial distribution held in protected areas). Since the `absolute_held` values correspond to the number of grid cells in the `veg_data` object with overlap with protected areas, let's convert them to area units (i.e. km²) so we can report them.

```
# add new column with the areas represented in km^2
repr_data$absolute_held_km2 <-
  (repr_data$absolute_held * prod(res(veg_data))) %>%
  set_units(m^2) %>%
  set_units(km^2)

# print representation data
print(repr_data)
```

```
## # A tibble: 32 x 6
##   summary feature      total_amount absolute_held relative_held absolute_held_km2
##   <chr>    <chr>          <dbl>         <dbl>         <dbl>          [km^2]
## 1 overall vegetatio~      16.0           0           0           0
## 2 overall vegetatio~      14.3           0           0           0
## 3 overall vegetatio~      10.4           0           0           0
## 4 overall vegetatio~      17.8           0           0           0
## 5 overall vegetatio~      13.0           0           0           0
## 6 overall vegetatio~      14.3           0           0           0
## 7 overall vegetatio~      20.0           0           0           0
## 8 overall vegetatio~      14.0           0           0           0
## 9 overall vegetatio~      18.0         0.846       0.0470       0.834
## 10 overall vegetatio~      20.0           0           0           0
## # i 22 more rows
```

Now let's investigate how well the species are represented.



1. What is the average proportion of the features held in protected areas (hint: `mean(x, na.rm = TRUE)`)?
2. What is the average amount of land in km^2 that features are represented by protected areas?
3. What is the name of the feature with the greatest proportionate coverage by protected areas?
4. What is the name of the feature with the greatest area coverage by protected areas?
5. Do questions two and three have the same answer? Why could this be?
6. Is there a relationship between the total abundance of a feature and how well it is represented by protected areas (hint: `plot(abundances ~ relative_held)`)?
7. Are any features entirely missing from protected areas (hint: `sum(x == 0)`)?
8. If we set a target of 10% coverage by protected areas, how many features fail to meet this target (hint: `sum(relative_held >= target, na.rm = TRUE)`)?
9. If we set a target of 20% coverage by protected areas, how many features fail to meet this target?

Chapter 5

Spatial prioritizations

5.1 Introduction

Here we will develop prioritizations to identify priority areas for protected area establishment. Its worth noting that [prioritizr](#), [Marxan](#), and [Zonation](#) are all decision support tools. This means that they are designed to help you make decisions—they can’t make decisions for you.

5.2 Starting out simple

To start things off, let’s keep things simple. Let’s create a prioritization using the [minimum set formulation of the reserve selection problem](#). This formulation means that we want a solution that will meet the targets for our biodiversity features for minimum cost. Here, we will set 5% targets for each vegetation class and use the data in the `cost` column to specify acquisition costs. Unlike Marxan, we do not have to calibrate species penalty factors (SPFs) to ensure that our target are met—[prioritizr](#) should always return solutions to minimum set problems where all the targets are met. Although we strongly recommend using [Gurobi](#) to solve problems (with [add_gurobi_solver](#)), we will use the [lpsymphony solver](#) in this workshop since it is easier to install. The Gurobi solver is much faster than the lpsymphony solver ([see here for installation instructions](#)).

```
# print planning unit data
print(pu_data)
```

```
## Simple feature collection with 516 features and 6 fields
## Geometry type: MULTIPOLYGON
## Dimension:      XY
## Bounding box:   xmin: 348703.2 ymin: 5167775 xmax: 611932.4 ymax: 5344516
## Projected CRS: WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
```

```
## First 10 features:
```

```
##      id      cost status locked_in locked_out      geometry
## 1  557 29.74225      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((363141.4 53...
## 2  558 29.87703      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((377633.2 53...
## 3  574 28.60687      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((356423.1 53...
## 4  575 30.83416      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((370910.6 53...
## 5  576 38.75511      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((385400.1 53...
## 6  577 38.11618      2     TRUE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((399891.6 53...
## 7  578 33.33462      2     TRUE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((414385.2 53...
## 8  592 31.67498      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((355774.9 53...
## 9  593 28.97336      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((361980.2 53...
## 10 594 31.63435      0    FALSE      FALSE MULTIPOLYGON (((378676.8 53...
##      pa_status
## 1          0
## 2          0
## 3          0
## 4          0
## 5          0
## 6          1
## 7          1
## 8          0
## 9          0
## 10         0
```

```
# make prioritization problem
p1 <- problem(pu_data, veg_data, cost_column = "cost") %>%
  add_min_set_objective() %>%
  add_relative_targets(0.05) %>% # 5% representation targets
  add_binary_decisions() %>%
  add_highs_solver(verbose = FALSE)

# print problem
print(p1)
```

```
## A conservation problem (<ConservationProblem>)
## +@data
## |@features:      "vegetation_1", "vegetation_2", "vegetation_3", "vegetation_4" , ...
## |@planning units:
## | +@data:      <sftime.frame> (516 total)
## | +@costs:      continuous values (between 3.5972 and 47.2383)
## | +@extent:      348703.1831, 5167774.5993, 611932.4474, 5344516.4109 (xmin, ymin, xmax)
## | \@CRS:      WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
```

```
## +@formulation
## |@objective:    minimum set objective
## |@penalties:    none specified
## |@targets:      relative targets (between 0.05 and 0.05)
## |@constraints:  none specified
## |@decisions:    binary decision
## \@optimization
## +@portfolio:    shuffle portfolio ('number_solutions' = 1, ...)
## \@solver:       highs solver ('gap' = 0.1, 'time_limit' = 2147483647, ...)
## # i Use 'summary(...)' to see complete formulation.
```

```
# solve problem
s1 <- solve(p1)

# print solution, the solution_1 column contains the solution values
# indicating if a planning unit is (1) selected or (0) not
print(s1)
```

```
## Simple feature collection with 516 features and 7 fields
## Geometry type: MULTIPOLYGON
## Dimension:      XY
## Bounding box:   xmin: 348703.2 ymin: 5167775 xmax: 611932.4 ymax: 5344516
## Projected CRS: WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## # A tibble: 516 x 8
##       id  cost status locked_in locked_out pa_status solution_1
##   <int> <dbl> <int> <lgl>      <lgl>      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1   557  29.7     0 FALSE     FALSE         0         0
## 2   558  29.9     0 FALSE     FALSE         0         0
## 3   574  28.6     0 FALSE     FALSE         0         0
## 4   575  30.8     0 FALSE     FALSE         0         0
## 5   576  38.8     0 FALSE     FALSE         0         0
## 6   577  38.1     2 TRUE      FALSE         1         0
## 7   578  33.3     2 TRUE      FALSE         1         0
## 8   592  31.7     0 FALSE     FALSE         0         0
## 9   593  29.0     0 FALSE     FALSE         0         0
## 10  594  31.6     0 FALSE     FALSE         0         0
## # i 506 more rows
## # i 1 more variable: geometry <MULTIPOLYGON [m]>
```


```
# calculate number of planning units selected in the prioritization
sum(s1$solution_1)
```

```
## [1] 15
```

```
# calculate total cost of the prioritization
sum(s1$solution_1 * s1$cost)
```

```
## [1] 393.4956
```

```
# plot solution
plot(
  st_as_sf(s1[, "solution_1"]), main = "Prioritization",
  pal = c("grey90", "darkgreen")
)
```



Now let's examine the solution.



1. How many planning units were selected in the prioritization? What proportion of planning units were selected in the prioritization?
2. Is there a pattern in the spatial distribution of the priority areas?
3. Can you verify that all of the targets were met in the prioritization (hint: `feature_representation(p1, s1[, "solution_1"])`)?

5.3 Adding complexity

Our first prioritization suffers many limitations, so let's add additional constraints to the problem to make it more useful. First, let's lock in planning units that are already by covered protected areas. If some vegetation communities are already secured inside existing protected areas, then we might not need to add as many new protected areas to the existing protected area system to meet their targets. Since our planning unit data (`pu_da`) already contains this information in the `locked_in` column, we can use this column name to specify which planning units should be locked in.

```
# make prioritization problem
p2 <- problem(pu_data, veg_data, cost_column = "cost") %>%
  add_min_set_objective() %>%
  add_relative_targets(0.05) %>%
  add_locked_in_constraints("locked_in") %>%
  add_binary_decisions() %>%
  add_highs_solver(verbose = FALSE)

# print problem
print(p2)
```


```
## A conservation problem (<ConservationProblem>)
## +@data
## |@features:      "vegetation_1", "vegetation_2", "vegetation_3", "vegetation_4" , ...
## |@planning units:
## | +@data:        <sfdata.frame> (516 total)
## | +@costs:        continuous values (between 3.5972 and 47.2383)
## | +@extent:       348703.1831, 5167774.5993, 611932.4474, 5344516.4109 (xmin, ymin, xmax)
## | \@CRS:         WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## +@formulation
## |@objective:      minimum set objective
## |@penalties:      none specified
## |@targets:        relative targets (between 0.05 and 0.05)
## |@constraints:
## ||\@1:           locked in constraints (198 planning units)
## |@decisions:      binary decision
## \@optimization
## +@portfolio:      shuffle portfolio ('number_solutions' = 1, ...)
## \@solver:         highs solver ('gap' = 0.1, 'time_limit' = 2147483647, ...)
## # i Use 'summary(...)' to see complete formulation.
```

```

# solve problem
s2 <- solve(p2)

# plot solution
plot(
  st_as_sf(s2[, "solution_1"]), main = "Prioritization",
  pal = c("grey90", "darkgreen")
)

```



Let's pretend that we talked to an expert on the vegetation communities in our study system and they recommended that a 20% target was needed for each vegetation class. So, armed with this information, let's set the targets to 20%.

```

# make prioritization problem
p3 <- problem(pu_data, veg_data, cost_column = "cost") %>%
  add_min_set_objective() %>%
  add_relative_targets(0.2) %>%
  add_locked_in_constraints("locked_in") %>%
  add_binary_decisions() %>%
  add_highs_solver(verbose = FALSE)

# print problem
print(p3)

```

```


## A conservation problem (<ConservationProblem>)
## +@data

```

```
## |+@features:      "vegetation_1", "vegetation_2", "vegetation_3", "vegetation_4" , ...
## |\@planning units:
## | +@data:         <sftime.frame> (516 total)
## | +@costs:        continuous values (between 3.5972 and 47.2383)
## | +@extent:       348703.1831, 5167774.5993, 611932.4474, 5344516.4109 (xmin, ymin, xmax)
## | \@CRS:         WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## +@formulation
## |+@objective:     minimum set objective
## |+@penalties:     none specified
## |+@targets:       relative targets (between 0.2 and 0.2)
## |+@constraints:
## ||\@1:           locked in constraints (198 planning units)
## |\@decisions:     binary decision
## \@optimization
## +@portfolio:      shuffle portfolio ('number_solutions' = 1, ...)
## \@solver:         highs solver ('gap' = 0.1, 'time_limit' = 2147483647, ...)
## # i Use 'summary(...)' to see complete formulation.
```

```
# solve problem
s3 <- solve(p3)

# plot solution
plot(
  st_as_sf(s3[, "solution_1"]), main = "Prioritization",
  pal = c("grey90", "darkgreen")
)
```



Next, let's lock out highly degraded areas. Similar to before, this data is present in our planning unit data so we can use the `locked_out` column name to achieve this.

```
# make prioritization problem
p4 <- problem(pu_data, veg_data, cost_column = "cost") %>%
  add_min_set_objective() %>%
  add_relative_targets(0.1) %>%
  add_locked_in_constraints("locked_in") %>%
  add_locked_out_constraints("locked_out") %>%
  add_binary_decisions() %>%
  add_highs_solver(verbose = FALSE)
```


```
# print problem
print(p4)
```

```
## A conservation problem (<ConservationProblem>)
## +@data
## | +@features:      "vegetation_1", "vegetation_2", "vegetation_3", "vegetation_4" , ...
## | \@planning units:
## | +@data:          <sfddata.frame> (516 total)
## | +@costs:          continuous values (between 3.5972 and 47.2383)
## | +@extent:         348703.1831, 5167774.5993, 611932.4474, 5344516.4109 (xmin, ymin, xmax)
## | \@CRS:           WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## +@formulation
## | +@objective:      minimum set objective
## | +@penalties:      none specified
## | +@targets:        relative targets (between 0.1 and 0.1)
## | +@constraints:
## | | +@1:            locked in constraints (198 planning units)
## | | \@2:            locked out constraints (6 planning units)
## | \@decisions:      binary decision
## \@optimization
## +@portfolio:        shuffle portfolio ('number_solutions' = 1, ...)
## \@solver:           highs solver ('gap' = 0.1, 'time_limit' = 2147483647, ...)
## # i Use 'summary(...)' to see complete formulation.
```

```
# solve problem
s4 <- solve(p4)

# plot solution
plot(
```

```
st_as_sf(s4[, "solution_1"]), main = "Prioritization",  
pal = c("grey90", "darkgreen")  
)
```



Now, let's compare the solutions.



1. What is the cost of the planning units selected in **s2**, **s3**, and **s4**?
2. How many planning units are in **s2**, **s3**, and **s4**?
3. Do the solutions with more planning units have a greater cost? Why or why not?
4. Why does the first solution (**s1**) cost less than the second solution with protected areas locked into the solution (**s2**)?
5. Why does the third solution (**s3**) cost less than the fourth solution solution with highly degraded areas locked out (**s4**)?
6. Since planning units covered by existing protected areas have already been purchased, what is the cost for expanding the protected area system based on on the fourth prioritization (**s4**) (hint: total cost minus the cost of locked in planning units)?
7. What happens if you specify targets that exceed the total amount of vegetation in the study area and try to solve the problem? You can do this by modifying the code to make **p4** with `add_absolute_targets(1000)` instead of `add_relative_targets(0.2)` and generating a new solution.

5.4 Penalizing fragmentation

Plans for protected area systems should facilitate gene flow and dispersal between individual reserves in the system. However, the prioritizations we have made so far have been highly fragmented. Similar to the Marxan decision support tool, we can add penalties to our conservation planning problem to penalize fragmentation (i.e. total exposed boundary length) and we also need to set a useful penalty value when adding such penalties (akin to Marxan's boundary length multiplier value; BLM). If we set our penalty value too low, then we will end up with a solution that is identical to the solution with no added penalties. If we set our penalty value too high, then `prioritizr` will take a long time to solve the problem and we will end up with a solution that contains lots of extra planning units that are not needed (since the penalty value is so high that minimizing fragmentation is more important than cost). As a rule of thumb, we generally want penalty values between 0.00001 and 0.01 but finding a useful penalty value requires calibration. The "correct" penalty value depends on the size of the planning units, the main objective values (e.g. cost values), and the effect of fragmentation on biodiversity persistence. Let's create a new problem that is similar to our previous problem (**p4**)—except that it contains boundary length penalties and a slightly higher optimality gap to reduce runtime (default is 0.1)—and solve it. Since our planning unit data is in a spatial format (i.e. vector or raster data), `prioritizr` can automatically calculate the boundary data for us.

```
# make prioritization problem
p5 <- problem(pu_data, veg_data, cost_column = "cost") %>%
  add_min_set_objective() %>%
  add_boundary_penalties(penalty = 0.001) %>%
  add_relative_targets(0.1) %>%
  add_locked_in_constraints("locked_in") %>%
  add_locked_out_constraints("locked_out") %>%
  add_binary_decisions() %>%
  add_highs_solver(verbose = FALSE)
```

```
# print problem
print(p5)
```


```
## A conservation problem (<ConservationProblem>)
## +@data
## | +@features:      "vegetation_1", "vegetation_2", "vegetation_3", "vegetation_4" , ...
## | \@planning units:
## | +@data:          <sfddata.frame> (516 total)
## | +@costs:          continuous values (between 3.5972 and 47.2383)
## | +@extent:         348703.1831, 5167774.5993, 611932.4474, 5344516.4109 (xmin, ymin, xmax)
## | \@CRS:           WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## +@formulation
## | +@objective:      minimum set objective
## | +@penalties:
## | | \@1:           boundary penalties ('penalty' = 0.001, 'edge_factor' = 0.5, ...)
## | +@targets:        relative targets (between 0.1 and 0.1)
## | +@constraints:
## | | +@1:           locked in constraints (198 planning units)
## | | \@2:           locked out constraints (6 planning units)
## | \@decisions:      binary decision
## \@optimization
## +@portfolio:        shuffle portfolio ('number_solutions' = 1, ...)
## \@solver:           highs solver ('gap' = 0.1, 'time_limit' = 2147483647, ...)
## # i Use 'summary(...)' to see complete formulation.
```

```
# solve problem,
# note this will take around 30 seconds
s5 <- solve(p5)

# print solution
print(s5)
```

```
## Simple feature collection with 516 features and 7 fields
## Geometry type: MULTIPOLYGON
## Dimension:      XY
## Bounding box:   xmin: 348703.2 ymin: 5167775 xmax: 611932.4 ymax: 5344516
## Projected CRS: WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## # A tibble: 516 x 8
##       id  cost status locked_in locked_out pa_status solution_1
##   <int> <dbl> <int> <lgl>    <lgl>      <dbl>    <dbl>
## 1    557  29.7     0 FALSE    FALSE        0        0
## 2    558  29.9     0 FALSE    FALSE        0        0
## 3    574  28.6     0 FALSE    FALSE        0        0
## 4    575  30.8     0 FALSE    FALSE        0        0
## 5    576  38.8     0 FALSE    FALSE        0        0
## 6    577  38.1     2 TRUE     FALSE        1        1
## 7    578  33.3     2 TRUE     FALSE        1        1
## 8    592  31.7     0 FALSE    FALSE        0        0
## 9    593  29.0     0 FALSE    FALSE        0        0
## 10   594  31.6     0 FALSE    FALSE        0        0
## # i 506 more rows
## # i 1 more variable: geometry <MULTIPOLYGON [m]>
```

```
# plot solution
plot(
  st_as_sf(s5[, "solution_1"]), main = "Prioritization",
  pal = c("grey90", "darkgreen")
)
```



Now let's compare the solutions to the problems with (s5) and without (s4) the boundary length penalties.



1. What is the cost the fourth (**s4**) and fifth (**s5**) solutions? Why does the fifth solution (**s5**) cost more than the fourth (**s4**) solution?
2. Try setting the penalty value to 0.000000001 (i.e. $1\text{e-}9$) instead of 0.0005. What is the cost of the solution now? Is it different from the fourth solution (**s4**) (hint: try plotting the solutions to visualize them)? Is this is a useful penalty value? Why?
3. Try setting the penalty value to 0.5. What is the cost of the solution now? Is it different from the fourth solution (**s4**) (hint: try plotting the solutions to visualize them)? Is this a useful penalty value? Why?

5.5 Budget limited prioritizations

In the real-world, the funding available for conservation is often very limited. As a consequence, decision makers often need prioritizations where the total cost of priority areas does not exceed a budget. In our fourth prioritization (`s4`), we found that we would need to spend an additional \$247 million AUD to ensure that each vegetation community is adequately represented in the protected area system. But what if the funds available for establishing new protected areas were limited to \$100 million AUD? In this case, we need a “budget limited prioritization”. Budget limited prioritizations aim to maximize some measure of conservation benefit subject to a budget (e.g. [number of species with at least one occurrence in the protected area system](#), or [phylogenetic diversity](#)). Let’s create a prioritization by maximizing the number of adequately represented features whilst keeping within a pre-specified budget.

```
# funds for additional land acquisition (same units as cost data)
funds <- 100

# calculate the total budget for the prioritization
budget <- funds + sum(s4$cost * s4$locked_in)
print(budget)
```

```
## [1] 6580.64
```

```
# make prioritization problem
p6 <- problem(pu_data, veg_data, cost_column = "cost") %>%
  add_max_features_objective(budget) %>%
  add_relative_targets(0.1) %>%
  add_locked_in_constraints("locked_in") %>%
  add_locked_out_constraints("locked_out") %>%
  add_binary_decisions() %>%
  add_highs_solver(verbose = FALSE)


# print problem
print(p6)
```

```
## A conservation problem (<ConservationProblem>)
## +@data
## | +@features:      "vegetation_1", "vegetation_2", "vegetation_3", "vegetation_4" , ...
## | \@planning units:
## | +@data:          <sfddata.frame> (516 total)
## | +@costs:          continuous values (between 3.5972 and 47.2383)
```

```
## | +@extent:      348703.1831, 5167774.5993, 611932.4474, 5344516.4109 (xmin, ymin, xmax)
## | \@CRS:        WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## +@formulation
## | +@objective:   maximum representation objective ('budget' = 6580.6397)
## | +@penalties:   none specified
## | +@targets:     relative targets (between 0.1 and 0.1)
## | +@constraints:
## | | +@1:        locked in constraints (198 planning units)
## | | \@2:        locked out constraints (6 planning units)
## | \@decisions:   binary decision
## \@optimization
## +@portfolio:     shuffle portfolio ('number_solutions' = 1, ...)
## \@solver:        highs solver ('gap' = 0.1, 'time_limit' = 2147483647, ...)
## # i Use 'summary(...)' to see complete formulation.
```

```
# solve problem
s6 <- solve(p6)

# plot solution
plot(
  st_as_sf(s6[, "solution_1"]), main = "Prioritization",
  pal = c("grey90", "darkgreen")
)
```



```
# calculate feature representation
r6 <- eval_feature_representation_summary(p6, s6[, "solution_1"])
```

```
# calculate number of features with targets met
sum(r6$relative_held >= 0.2, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
## [1] 17
```

```
# find out which features have their targets met when we add weights,  
# note that NA is for vegetation.61  
print(r6$feature[r6$relative_held >= 0.2])
```

```
## [1] "vegetation_1" "vegetation_4" "vegetation_5" "vegetation_14"  
## [5] "vegetation_17" "vegetation_18" "vegetation_19" "vegetation_20"  
## [9] "vegetation_21" "vegetation_22" "vegetation_23" "vegetation_24"  
## [13] "vegetation_25" "vegetation_26" "vegetation_27" "vegetation_28"  
## [17] "vegetation_29"
```

We can also add weights to specify that it is more important to meet the targets for certain features and less important for other features. A common approach for weighting features is to assign a greater importance to features with smaller spatial distributions. The rationale behind this weighting method is that features with smaller spatial distributions are at greater risk of extinction. So, let's calculate some weights for our vegetation communities and see how weighting the features changes our prioritization.

```
# calculate weights as the log inverse number of grid cells that each vegetation  
# class occupies, rescaled between 1 and 100  
wts <- 1 / global(veg_data, "sum", na.rm = TRUE) %>%  
  pull(sum)  
wts <- scales::rescale(wts, to = c(1, 100))  
  
# print the name of the feature with smallest weight  
names(veg_data)[which.min(wts)]
```

```
## [1] "vegetation_12"
```


```
# print the name of the feature with greatest weight
names(veg_data)[which.max(wts)]
```

```
## [1] "vegetation_3"
```

```
# plot histogram of weights
hist(wts, main = "feature weights")

# make prioritization problem with weights
p7 <- problem(pu_data, veg_data, cost_column = "cost") %>%
  add_max_features_objective(budget) %>%
  add_relative_targets(0.1) %>%
  add_feature_weights(wts) %>%
  add_locked_in_constraints("locked_in") %>%
  add_locked_out_constraints("locked_out") %>%
  add_binary_decisions() %>%
  add_highs_solver(verbose = FALSE)

# print problem
print(p7)
```




```
## A conservation problem (<ConservationProblem>)
## +@data
## |+@features:    "vegetation_1", "vegetation_2", "vegetation_3", "vegetation_4" , ...
## |\@planning units:
```

```
## | +@data:      <sfdata.frame> (516 total)
## | +@costs:     continuous values (between 3.5972 and 47.2383)
## | +@extent:    348703.1831, 5167774.5993, 611932.4474, 5344516.4109 (xmin, ymin, xmax, ymax)
## | \@CRS:       WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## +@formulation
## | +@objective:  maximum representation objective ('budget' = 6580.6397)
## | +@penalties:
## | \@1:         feature weights ('weights' = asymmetric continuous values (non-zero values))
## | +@targets:   relative targets (between 0.1 and 0.1)
## | +@constraints:
## | \@1:         locked in constraints (198 planning units)
## | \@2:         locked out constraints (6 planning units)
## | \@decisions:  binary decision
## \@optimization
## +@portfolio:   shuffle portfolio ('number_solutions' = 1, ...)
## \@solver:      highs solver ('gap' = 0.1, 'time_limit' = 2147483647, ...)
## # i Use 'summary(...)' to see complete formulation.
```

```
# solve problem
s7 <- solve(p7)

# plot solution
plot(
  st_as_sf(s7[, "solution_1"]), main = "Prioritization",
  pal = c("grey90", "darkgreen")
)
```



```
# calculate feature representation
r7 <- eval_feature_representation_summary(p7, s7[, "solution_1"])
```

```
# calculate number of features with targets met
sum(r7$relative_held >= 0.2, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
## [1] 18
```

```
# find out which features have their targets met when we add weights,
# note that NA is for vegetation.61
print(r7$feature[r7$relative_held >= 0.2])
```

```
## [1] "vegetation_1" "vegetation_2" "vegetation_4" "vegetation_9"
## [5] "vegetation_14" "vegetation_17" "vegetation_18" "vegetation_19"
## [9] "vegetation_20" "vegetation_21" "vegetation_22" "vegetation_23"
## [13] "vegetation_24" "vegetation_25" "vegetation_26" "vegetation_27"
## [17] "vegetation_28" "vegetation_29"
```



1. What is the name of the feature with the smallest weight?
2. What is the cost of the sixth (s6) and seventh (s7) solutions?
3. Does there seem to be a big difference in which planning units were selected in the sixth (s6) and seventh (s7) solutions?
4. Is there a difference between which features are adequately represented in the sixth (s6) and seventh (s7) solutions? If so, what is the difference?

5.6 Solution portfolios

In systematic conservation planning, only rarely do we have data on all of the stakeholder preferences and biodiversity features that we are interested in conserving. As a consequence, it is often useful to generate a portfolio of near optimal solutions to present to decision makers to guide the reserve selection process. Generally we would want many solutions in our portfolio (e.g. 1000) to ensure that our portfolio contains a range of spatially distinct solutions, but here we will generate a portfolio containing just six near-optimal solutions so the code doesn't take too long to run. We will also increase the optimality gap to obtain solutions that are more suboptimal than earlier (the default gap value is 0.1).

```
# make problem with a shuffle portfolio
p8 <- problem(pu_data, veg_data, cost_column = "cost") %>%
  add_max_features_objective(budget) %>%
  add_relative_targets(0.2) %>%
  add_feature_weights(wts) %>%
  add_binary_decisions() %>%
  add_shuffle_portfolio(number_solutions = 6,
                       remove_duplicates = FALSE) %>%
  add_highs_solver(verbose = TRUE, gap = 10)
```



```
# print problem
```

```
print(p8)
```

```
## A conservation problem (<ConservationProblem>)
## +@data
## |@features:      "vegetation_1", "vegetation_2", "vegetation_3", "vegetation_4" , ...
## |@planning units:
## | +@data:        <sfdata.frame> (516 total)
## | +@costs:        continuous values (between 3.5972 and 47.2383)
## | +@extent:       348703.1831, 5167774.5993, 611932.4474, 5344516.4109 (xmin, ymin, xmax)
## | @CRS:          WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## +@formulation
## |@objective:      maximum representation objective ('budget' = 6580.6397)
## |@penalties:
## ||@1:            feature weights ('weights' = asymmetric continuous values (non-zero v
## |@targets:        relative targets (between 0.2 and 0.2)
## |@constraints:    none specified
## |@decisions:      binary decision
## @optimization
## +@portfolio:      shuffle portfolio ('number_solutions' = 6, ...)
## @solver:          highs solver ('gap' = 10, 'time_limit' = 2147483647, ...)
## # i Use 'summary(...)' to see complete formulation.
```

```
# solve problem
```

```
# note that this will contain six solutions since we added a portfolio
```

```
s8 <- solve(p8)
```

```
## Presolving model
```

```
##
```

```
## 33 rows, 497 cols, 1486 nonzeros
```

```
##
```

```
## 33 rows, 491 cols, 1467 nonzeros
```

```
##
```

```
##
```

```
## Solving MIP model with:
```

```
## 33 rows
```

```
## 491 cols (491 binary, 0 integer, 0 implied int., 0 continuous)
```

```
## 1467 nonzeros
```

```
##
```

```
##
```

```

##          Nodes          |      B&B Tree      |          Objective Bounds          |  Dy
##        Proc. InQueue |  Leaves   Expl. | BestBound      BestSol              Gap |  C
##
##
##          0          0          0   0.00%   163.8068955      -inf              inf
##
## R          0          0          0   0.00%   163.8061862      129.5288407      26.46%
##
##
## Solving report
##   Status              Optimal
##   Primal bound        129.528840726
##   Dual bound          163.806186236
##   Gap                 26.46% (tolerance: 1000%)
##   Solution status     feasible
##
##                      129.528840726 (objective)
##                      0 (bound viol.)
##                      0 (int. viol.)
##                      0 (row viol.)
##
##   Timing              0.01 (total)
##                      0.00 (presolve)
##                      0.00 (postsolve)
##   Nodes               1
##   LP iterations       56 (total)
##                      0 (strong br.)
##                      0 (separation)
##                      0 (heuristics)
##
## Presolving model
##
## 33 rows, 497 cols, 1486 nonzeros
##
## 33 rows, 491 cols, 1467 nonzeros
##
##
## Solving MIP model with:
##   33 rows
##   491 cols (491 binary, 0 integer, 0 implied int., 0 continuous)
##   1467 nonzeros
##
##
##          Nodes          |      B&B Tree      |          Objective Bounds          |  Dy
##        Proc. InQueue |  Leaves   Expl. | BestBound      BestSol              Gap |  C

```

```

##
##
##          0          0          0   0.00%   163.8068955       -inf           inf
##
## R          0          0          0   0.00%   163.8061862       112.3557655       45.79%
##
##
## Solving report
##   Status           Optimal
##   Primal bound      112.355765548
##   Dual bound        163.806186236
##   Gap               45.79% (tolerance: 1000%)
##   Solution status    feasible
##
##                   112.355765548 (objective)
##                   0 (bound viol.)
##                   0 (int. viol.)
##                   0 (row viol.)
##
##   Timing            0.01 (total)
##                   0.00 (presolve)
##                   0.00 (postsolve)
##   Nodes              1
##   LP iterations      55 (total)
##                   0 (strong br.)
##                   0 (separation)
##                   0 (heuristics)
##
## Presolving model
##
## 33 rows, 497 cols, 1486 nonzeros
##
## 33 rows, 491 cols, 1467 nonzeros
##
##
## Solving MIP model with:
##   33 rows
##   491 cols (491 binary, 0 integer, 0 implied int., 0 continuous)
##   1467 nonzeros
##
##
##           Nodes      |   B&B Tree      |           Objective Bounds           |   Dy
##           Proc. InQueue | Leaves   Expl. | BestBound      BestSol           Gap |   C
##
##

```

```

##          0          0          0  0.00%  163.8068955      -inf          inf
##
## R          0          0          0  0.00%  163.8061862      101.2388028      61.80%
##
## Solving report
##   Status      Optimal
##   Primal bound 101.238802824
##   Dual bound   163.806186236
##   Gap          61.8% (tolerance: 1000%)
##   Solution status feasible
##
##              101.238802824 (objective)
##              0 (bound viol.)
##              0 (int. viol.)
##              0 (row viol.)
##
##   Timing      0.01 (total)
##              0.00 (presolve)
##              0.00 (postsolve)
##   Nodes       1
##   LP iterations 55 (total)
##              0 (strong br.)
##              0 (separation)
##              0 (heuristics)
##
## Presolving model
##
## 33 rows, 497 cols, 1486 nonzeros
##
## 33 rows, 491 cols, 1467 nonzeros
##
## Solving MIP model with:
##   33 rows
##   491 cols (491 binary, 0 integer, 0 implied int., 0 continuous)
##   1467 nonzeros
##
##
##          Nodes      |      B&B Tree      |      Objective Bounds      |      Dy
##          Proc. InQueue | Leaves   Expl. | BestBound   BestSol          Gap |      C
##
##          0          0          0  0.00%  163.8068955      -inf          inf
##

```

```

## R      0      0      0  0.00%  163.8061862    98.40470554    66.46%
##
##
## Solving report
##   Status      Optimal
##   Primal bound 98.4047055398
##   Dual bound   163.806186236
##   Gap          66.46% (tolerance: 1000%)
##   Solution status feasible
##
##               98.4047055398 (objective)
##               0 (bound viol.)
##               0 (int. viol.)
##               0 (row viol.)
##
##   Timing      0.01 (total)
##               0.00 (presolve)
##               0.00 (postsolve)
##   Nodes      1
##   LP iterations 56 (total)
##               0 (strong br.)
##               0 (separation)
##               0 (heuristics)
##
## Presolving model
##
## 33 rows, 497 cols, 1486 nonzeros
##
## 33 rows, 491 cols, 1467 nonzeros
##
##
## Solving MIP model with:
##   33 rows
##   491 cols (491 binary, 0 integer, 0 implied int., 0 continuous)
##   1467 nonzeros
##
##
##           Nodes      |   B&B Tree      |           Objective Bounds           | Dy
##           Proc. InQueue | Leaves   Expl. | BestBound      BestSol              Gap | C
##
##           0      0      0  0.00%  163.8068955    -inf              inf
##
## R      0      0      0  0.00%  163.8061862    96.98916398    68.89%
##

```

```

##
## Solving report
##   Status          Optimal
##   Primal bound    96.9891639755
##   Dual bound      163.806186236
##   Gap             68.89% (tolerance: 1000%)
##   Solution status feasible
##
##               96.9891639755 (objective)
##               0 (bound viol.)
##               0 (int. viol.)
##               0 (row viol.)
##
##   Timing          0.01 (total)
##                   0.00 (presolve)
##                   0.00 (postsolve)
##   Nodes           1
##   LP iterations   55 (total)
##                   0 (strong br.)
##                   0 (separation)
##                   0 (heuristics)
##
## Presolving model
##
## 33 rows, 497 cols, 1486 nonzeros
##
## 33 rows, 491 cols, 1467 nonzeros
##
##
## Solving MIP model with:
##   33 rows
##   491 cols (491 binary, 0 integer, 0 implied int., 0 continuous)
##   1467 nonzeros
##
##
##           Nodes      |   B&B Tree      |           Objective Bounds           |   Dy
##           Proc. InQueue |   Leaves   Expl. | BestBound      BestSol              Gap |   C
##
##           0          0          0  0.00%   163.8068955   -inf              inf
##
## R           0          0          0  0.00%   163.8061862   122.9076543      33.28%
##
## Solving report

```

```
## Status Optimal
## Primal bound 122.907654259
## Dual bound 163.806186236
## Gap 33.28% (tolerance: 1000%)
## Solution status feasible
##
## 122.907654259 (objective)
## 0 (bound viol.)
## 0 (int. viol.)
## 0 (row viol.)
##
## Timing 0.01 (total)
## 0.00 (presolve)
## 0.00 (postsolve)
## Nodes 1
## LP iterations 55 (total)
## 0 (strong br.)
## 0 (separation)
## 0 (heuristics)
```

```
# print solution
print(s8)
```

```
## Simple feature collection with 516 features and 12 fields
## Geometry type: MULTIPOLYGON
## Dimension: XY
## Bounding box: xmin: 348703.2 ymin: 5167775 xmax: 611932.4 ymax: 5344516
## Projected CRS: WGS 84 / UTM zone 55S
## # A tibble: 516 x 13
##       id cost status locked_in locked_out pa_status solution_1 solution_2
##   <int> <dbl> <int> <lgl>      <lgl>      <dbl>      <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1  557  29.7     0 FALSE    FALSE         0         0         0
## 2  558  29.9     0 FALSE    FALSE         0         0         0
## 3  574  28.6     0 FALSE    FALSE         0         0         0
## 4  575  30.8     0 FALSE    FALSE         0         0         0
## 5  576  38.8     0 FALSE    FALSE         0         0         0
## 6  577  38.1     2 TRUE     FALSE         1         0         0
## 7  578  33.3     2 TRUE     FALSE         1         0         0
## 8  592  31.7     0 FALSE    FALSE         0         0         0
## 9  593  29.0     0 FALSE    FALSE         0         0         0
## 10 594  31.6     0 FALSE    FALSE         0         0         0
## # i 506 more rows
```

```
## # i 5 more variables: solution_3 <dbl>, solution_4 <dbl>, solution_5 <dbl>,  
## #   solution_6 <dbl>, geometry <MULTIPOLYGON [m]>
```

```
# calculate the cost of the first solution  
sum(s8$solution_1 * s8$cost)
```

```
## [1] 1127.409
```


```
# calculate the cost of the second solution  
sum(s8$solution_2 * s8$cost)
```

```
## [1] 1019.367
```


```
# calculate the proportion of planning units with the same solution values  
# in the first and second solutions  
mean(s8$solution_1 == s8$solution_2)
```

```
## [1] 0.9864341
```

```
# plot first solution  
plot(  
  st_as_sf(s8[, "solution_1"]), main = "Prioritization",  
  pal = c("grey90", "darkgreen")  
)
```




```
# plot all solutions
plot(st_as_sf(s8 %>% dplyr::select(starts_with("solution_"))),
     pal = c("grey90", "darkgreen"))
```



1. What is the cost of each of the six solutions in portfolio? Are their costs very different?
2. Are the solutions in the portfolio very different?
3. What could we do to obtain a portfolio with more different solutions?

Chapter 6

Answers

This chapter contains the answers to the questions presented in the earlier chapters. The answers are provided here so you can check if your answers are correct.

6.1 Data

6.1.1 Planning unit data



1. `nrow(pu_data)`
2. `max(pu_data$cost)`
3. `sum(pu_data$locked_in)`
4. `mean(pu_data$locked_in)`
5. `sum(pu_data$locked_out)`
6. `mean(pu_data$locked_out)`
7. `assert_that(min(c(pu_data$locked_in, pu_data$locked_out)) == 0)`
`assert_that(max(c(pu_data$locked_in, pu_data$locked_out)) == 1)`
8. `all(is.finite(pu_data$cost))`
9. `assert_that(sum(duplicated(pu_data$id)) == 0)`
10. Yes, the eastern side of Tasmania is generally much cheaper than the western side.
11. Yes, most planning units covered by protected areas are located in the south-western side of Tasmania.

6.1.2 Vegetation data



1. Central-north Tasmania
2. `cellStats(veg_data[[12]], "mean")`
3. `names(veg_data)[which.max(cellStats(veg_data, "sum"))]`
4. Yes, they are the same.

6.2 Gap analysis

6.2.1 Feature abundance



1. `median(abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2)`
2. `min(abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2)`
3. `abundance_data$feature[which.min(abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2)]`
4. `sum(abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2)`
5. `sum(abundance_data$absolute_abundance_km2 > set_units(100, km^2))`

6.2.2 Feature representation by protected areas



1. `mean(repr_data$relative_held, na.rm = TRUE)`
2. `mean(repr_data$absolute_held_km2, na.rm = TRUE)`
3. `repr_data$feature[which.max(repr_data$relative_held)]`
4. `repr_data$feature[which.max(repr_data$absolute_held)]`
5. No, just because a vegetation class is widespread does not necessarily mean that it has the greatest overlap with protected areas. In fact, due to biases in the establishment of protected areas this can often be the case.
6. Yes, the largest protected areas tend to have the great representation (broadly speaking).
`plot(abundance_data$absolute_abundance, repr_data$relative_held)`
7. `sum(repr_data$absolute_held < 1e-10)` (floating point errors)
8. `sum(repr_data$relative_held > 0.1, na.rm = TRUE)`
9. `sum(repr_data$relative_held > 0.2, na.rm = TRUE)`

6.3 Spatial prioritizations

6.3.1 Starting out simple



1. `sum(s1$solution_1)`
`mean(s1$solution_1)`
2. Yes, the planning units are generally spread out across most of the study area and they are not biased towards specific areas.
3. `all(feature_representation(p1, s1[, "solution_1"])$relative_held >= 0.2)`

6.3.2 Adding complexity



1. `sum(s2$cost * s2$solution_1)`
`sum(s3$cost * s3$solution_1)`
`sum(s4$cost * s4$solution_1)`
2. `sum(s2$solution_1)`
`sum(s3$solution_1)`
`sum(s4$solution_1)`
3. No, just because a solution a solution has more planning units does not mean that it will cost less.
4. This is because the planning units covered by existing protected areas have a non-zero cost and locking in these planning units introduces inefficiencies into the solution. This is very common in real-world conservation prioritizations because existing protected areas are often in places that do little to benefit biodiversity [Fuller et al., 2010].
5. This is because some of the planning units that are highly degraded—based on just the planning unit costs and vegetation data—provide cost-efficient opportunities for meeting the targets and excluding them from the reserve selection process means that other more costly planning units are needed to meet the targets.
6. `sum(s4$cost * s4$solution_1) - sum(s4$cost * s4$locked_in)`
7. We get an error message stating the the problem is infeasible because there is no valid solution—even if we selected all the planning units the study area we would still not meet the targets.

6.3.3 Penalizing fragmentation



1. The cost of the fourth solution is `sum(s4$solution_1 * s4$cost)` and the cost of the fifth solution is `sum(s5$solution_1 * s5$cost)`. The fifth solution (`s5`) costs more than the fourth solution (`s4`) because we have added penalties to the conservation planning problem to indicate that we are willing to accept a slightly more costly solution if it means that we can reduce fragmentation.
2. The solution is now nearly identical to the fourth solution (`s4`) and so has nearly the same cost. This penalty value is too low and is not useful because it does not reduce the fragmentation in our solution.
3. The solution now contains a lot of extra planning units that are not needed to meet our targets. In fact, nearly every planning unit in the study is now selected. This penalty value is too high and is not useful.

6.3.4 Budget limited prioritizations



1. `names(veg_data)[which.min(wts)]`
2. `sum(s6$cost * s6$solution_1)`
`sum(s7$cost * s7$solution_1)`
3. No, the sixth (`s6`) and seventh (`s7`) solutions both share many of the same selected planning units and there does not appear to be an obvious difference in the spatial location of the planning units which they do not share.
4. Yes. Both solutions contain adequately represent these features:
`r6$feature[r6$relative_held > 0.2 & r7$relative_held > 0.2]`
The sixth (`s6`) is adequately represents these features too:
`r6$feature[r6$relative_held > 0.2 & !r7$relative_held > 0.2]`
The seventh (`s7`) is adequately represents these features too:
`r7$feature[r7$relative_held > 0.2 & !r6$relative_held > 0.2]`

6.3.5 Solution portfolios



1. No the cost are very similar.

```
sum(s8$solution_1 * s8$cost)
sum(s8$solution_2 * s8$cost)
sum(s8$solution_3 * s8$cost)
sum(s8$solution_4 * s8$cost)
sum(s8$solution_5 * s8$cost)
sum(s8$solution_6 * s8$cost)
```

2. No the status of the planning units are very similar in the all of the solutions in the portfolio.

```
mean((s8$solution_1 == s8$solution_2) & (s8$solution_1 == s8$solution_3)
&      (s8$solution_1 == s8$solution_4) & (s8$solution_1 == s8$solution_5)
&      (s8$solution_1 == s8$solution_6))
```

3. We should increase the number of the solutions in the portfolio.

Chapter 7

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to [Icons8](#) for providing the icons used in this manual and to Yihui Xie for developing the [bookdown R package](#) that underpins this manual. We also thank Garrett Grolemund and Hadley Wickham for creating one of the Rstudio screenshots used in this manual that was originally a part of their *R for Data Science* book.

Chapter 8

Session information

```
# print session information
sessionInfo()
```

```
## R version 4.2.3 (2023-03-15)
## Platform: x86_64-pc-linux-gnu (64-bit)
## Running under: Ubuntu 20.04.6 LTS
##
## Matrix products: default
## BLAS:   /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/blas/libblas.so.3.9.0
## LAPACK: /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/lapack/liblapack.so.3.9.0
##
## locale:
##  [1] LC_CTYPE=C.UTF-8      LC_NUMERIC=C           LC_TIME=C.UTF-8
##  [4] LC_COLLATE=C.UTF-8    LC_MONETARY=C.UTF-8    LC_MESSAGES=C.UTF-8
##  [7] LC_PAPER=C.UTF-8      LC_NAME=C              LC_ADDRESS=C
## [10] LC_TELEPHONE=C        LC_MEASUREMENT=C.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C
##
## attached base packages:
## [1] stats      graphics  grDevices  utils      datasets  methods    base
##
## other attached packages:
##  [1] gridExtra_2.3    assertthat_0.2.1 scales_1.2.1    units_0.8-1
##  [5] mapview_2.11.0   rgeos_0.6-2      raster_3.6-20  rgdal_1.6-5
##  [9] sp_1.6-0         prioritizr_8.0.0 lubridate_1.9.2 forcats_1.0.0
## [13] stringr_1.5.0    dplyr_1.1.1      purrr_1.0.1    readr_2.1.4
## [17] tidyr_1.3.0      tibble_3.2.1     ggplot2_3.4.1  tidyverse_2.0.0
##
## loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
```

```
## [1] Rcpp_1.0.10      ape_5.7-1         lattice_0.20-45
## [4] png_0.1-8        class_7.3-21      digest_0.6.31
## [7] utf8_1.2.3       slam_0.1-50       R6_2.5.1
## [10] stats4_4.2.3     evaluate_0.20     e1071_1.7-13
## [13] pillar_1.9.0     rlang_1.1.0       rstudioapi_0.14
## [16] Matrix_1.5-3     rmarkdown_2.21    exactextractr_0.9.1
## [19] webshot_0.5.4    htmlwidgets_1.6.2 munsell_0.5.0
## [22] proxy_0.4-27     compiler_4.2.3    xfun_0.38
## [25] pkgconfig_2.0.3  base64enc_0.1-3   htmltools_0.5.5
## [28] tidyselect_1.2.0 bookdown_0.33.2   codetools_0.2-19
## [31] fansi_1.0.4      tzdb_0.3.0        withr_2.5.0
## [34] sf_1.0-12        grid_4.2.3        satellite_1.0.4
## [37] nlme_3.1-162     gtable_0.3.3      lifecycle_1.0.3
## [40] DBI_1.1.3        magrittr_2.0.3    KernSmooth_2.23-20
## [43] cli_3.6.1        stringi_1.7.12    leaflet_2.1.2
## [46] lpsymphony_1.26.3 generics_0.1.3     vctrs_0.6.1
## [49] tools_4.2.3      leafem_0.2.0      glue_1.6.2
## [52] hms_1.1.3        crosstalk_1.2.0   parallel_4.2.3
## [55] fastmap_1.1.1    yaml_2.3.7        timechange_0.2.0
## [58] colorspace_2.1-0 terra_1.7-18       classInt_0.4-9
## [61] knitr_1.42
```

Chapter 9

References

Bibliography

Stuart H.M. Butchart, Martin Clarke, Robert J. Smith, Rachel E. Sykes, Jörn P.W. Scharlemann, Mike Harfoot, Graeme M. Buchanan, Ariadne Angulo, Andrew Balmford, Bastian Bertzky, Thomas M. Brooks, Kent E. Carpenter, Mia T. Comeros-Raynal, John Cornell, G. Francesco Ficetola, Lincoln D.C. Fishpool, Richard A. Fuller, Jonas Geldmann, Heather Harwell, Craig Hilton-Taylor, Michael Hoffmann, Ackbar Joolia, Lucas Joppa, Naomi Kingston, Ian May, Amy Milam, Beth Polidoro, Gina Ralph, Nadia Richman, Carlo Rondinini, Daniel B. Segan, Benjamin Skolnik, Mark D. Spalding, Simon N. Stuart, Andy Symes, Joseph Taylor, Piero Visconti, James E.M. Watson, Louisa Wood, and Neil D. Burgess. Shortfalls and solutions for meeting national and global conservation area targets. *Conservation Letters*, 8(5):329–337, 2015.

Richard A Fuller, Eve McDonald-Madden, Kerrie A Wilson, Josie Carwardine, Hedley S Grantham, James EM Watson, Carissa J Klein, David C Green, and Hugh P Possingham. Replacing underperforming protected areas achieves better conservation outcomes. *Nature*, 466(7304):365, 2010.

Ana S. L. Rodrigues, H. Resit Akçakaya, Sandy J. Andelman, Mohamed I. Bakarr, Luigi Boitani, Thomas M. Brooks, Janice S. Chanson, Lincoln D. C. Fishpool, Gustavo A. B. Da Fonseca, Kevin J. Gaston, Michael Hoffmann, Pablo A. Marquet, John D. Pilgrim, Robert L. Pressey, Jan Schipper, Wes Sechrest, Simon N. Stuart, Les G. Underhill, Robert W. Waller, Matthew E. J. Watts, and Xie Yan. Global gap analysis: priority regions for expanding the global protected-area network. *BioScience*, 54(12):1092–1100, 2004.