



CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY
LONG BEACH

College of Business

IS 610 - Business Data Analysis

Project - Case A-U.S. Airways

Professor: Dr. Ming Chen

Submitted by:

Priti Chaudhari

Introduction and Problem Statement

U.S. Airways was one of the major players in the airlines industry that faced challenges in maintaining their service quality and customer satisfaction after restructuring operations and repatriating all call center functions to the home country, the United States.

The core problem lies in improving the speed and quality of customer service for preferred customers while maintaining cost efficiency and operational effectiveness. By analyzing this problem, this report evaluates costs associated with the scheduling problem at the call center.

The Preferred Customer Desk proposal at U.S. Airways aims to improve service delivery for preferred customers—its most valuable and loyal passenger group—through a dedicated team of specially trained agents. The primary objectives include:

1. Reducing the average hold times for preferred customers from 45 seconds to 30 seconds.
2. Applying queueing formula to calculate the minimum number of agents
3. Designing an efficient staffing and scheduling system for full-time and part-time agents.

Pros:

- Establishing specific wait-time targets to increase customer satisfaction.
- Specialized agents trained will handle complex enquiries under shorter hold time.
- Assigning calls to specialized agents can reduce pressure and help in focusing on better results.

Cons:

- Specialized training will be required, which will increase costs.
- Addition of operational challenges including resource allocation and shift coordination can lead to complexities.

The strategy can deliver competitive benefits by fostering loyalty among high-value customers and improving overall operational effectiveness.

Analysis and Solutions

Determining the Minimum Number of Agents using the queuing formula

To meet hold time targets (45 seconds and 30 seconds), the queuing formula was applied.

$$T_q = \left(\frac{p}{m}\right) \times \left(\frac{U^{\sqrt{2(m+1)}-1}}{1-U}\right) \times \left(\frac{CV_a^2 + CV_p^2}{2}\right)$$

where,

p = 6.41 minutes (given)

m = minimum number of agents

a = data from exhibit 6

CVa² and CVp² = 1

U = p/a*m

Tq = hold time (45 and 30 sec)

Applying Queuing method for all 7 days on all time frames (taking Sunday as reference):

| Hour | Sunday | m | U | Tq |
|-------|--------|----|------------|------------|
| 0:00 | 7.3 | 3 | 0.25996111 | 14.7514972 |
| 1:00 | 9.8 | 3 | 0.01388889 | 28.731874 |
| 2:00 | 14.8 | 4 | 0.02083333 | 21.3698568 |
| 3:00 | 20.5 | 5 | 0.43801667 | 17.9024445 |
| 4:00 | 42 | 7 | 0.641 | 40.3079657 |
| 5:00 | 76.8 | 11 | 0.74589091 | 43.8692342 |
| 6:00 | 66.8 | 10 | 0.71364667 | 38.6722359 |
| 7:00 | 85.3 | 12 | 0.75940694 | 43.1129611 |
| 8:00 | 129 | 17 | 0.81067647 | 41.840186 |
| 9:00 | 117.3 | 16 | 0.78322188 | 34.0593579 |
| 10:00 | 109.5 | 15 | 0.77988333 | 36.5984641 |
| 11:00 | 119.3 | 16 | 0.79657604 | 39.3840836 |
| 12:00 | 117.3 | 16 | 0.78322188 | 34.0593579 |
| 13:00 | 111.8 | 15 | 0.79626444 | 43.5601704 |
| 14:00 | 119 | 16 | 0.79457292 | 38.528543 |
| 15:00 | 106 | 15 | 0.75495556 | 28.2599146 |
| 16:00 | 133.8 | 18 | 0.79412778 | 31.5596034 |
| 17:00 | 112.5 | 16 | 0.75117188 | 24.2489232 |
| 18:00 | 82.8 | 12 | 0.73715 | 34.9324446 |
| 19:00 | 60.3 | 10 | 0.644205 | 21.3318704 |
| 20:00 | 48 | 8 | 0.641 | 31.661744 |
| 21:00 | 33.8 | 6 | 0.60182778 | 40.0106382 |
| 22:00 | 18.5 | 4 | 0.49410417 | 41.384604 |
| 23:00 | 8 | 3 | 0.28488889 | 18.0479722 |

Figure 1: 30 seconds

| Hour | Sunday | m | U | Tq |
|-------|--------|----|------------|------------|
| 0:00 | 7.3 | 3 | 0.25996111 | 14.7514972 |
| 1:00 | 9.8 | 3 | 0.34898889 | 28.731874 |
| 2:00 | 14.8 | 4 | 0.39528333 | 21.3698568 |
| 3:00 | 20.5 | 5 | 0.43801667 | 17.9024445 |
| 4:00 | 42 | 8 | 0.560875 | 16.787811 |
| 5:00 | 76.8 | 12 | 0.68373333 | 21.3290834 |
| 6:00 | 66.8 | 11 | 0.6487697 | 18.4233902 |
| 7:00 | 85.3 | 13 | 0.70099103 | 21.5405264 |
| 8:00 | 129 | 18 | 0.76563889 | 22.9563621 |
| 9:00 | 117.3 | 17 | 0.73715 | 18.7340522 |
| 10:00 | 109.5 | 16 | 0.73114063 | 19.6951403 |
| 11:00 | 119.3 | 17 | 0.74971863 | 21.4103288 |
| 12:00 | 117.3 | 17 | 0.73715 | 18.7340522 |
| 13:00 | 111.8 | 16 | 0.74649792 | 23.0948443 |
| 14:00 | 119 | 17 | 0.74783333 | 20.9844107 |
| 15:00 | 106 | 15 | 0.75495556 | 28.2599146 |
| 16:00 | 133.8 | 19 | 0.75233158 | 17.9605437 |
| 17:00 | 112.5 | 16 | 0.75117188 | 24.2489232 |
| 18:00 | 82.8 | 13 | 0.68044615 | 17.7400924 |
| 19:00 | 60.3 | 10 | 0.644205 | 21.3318704 |
| 20:00 | 48 | 9 | 0.56977778 | 14.0880291 |
| 21:00 | 33.8 | 7 | 0.51585238 | 15.5779303 |
| 22:00 | 18.5 | 5 | 0.39528333 | 12.9190009 |
| 23:00 | 8 | 3 | 0.28488889 | 18.0479722 |

Figure 2: 45 seconds

| # of Agents for Each Possible Shift | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Hour | # Part-time Agents | Hour | # Full-time Agents | Hour | # Agents Required | # Agents scheduled | Excess |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0-4,5-9 | 0 | 0-1 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 1-5 | 0 | 1-5,6-10 | 0 | 1-2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 2-6 | 2 | 2-6,7-11 | 0 | 2-3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 3-7 | 3 | 3-7,8-12 | 0 | 3-4 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 4-8 | 3 | 4-8,9-13 | 0 | 4-5 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 4 | 5-9,10-14 | 0 | 5-6 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
| 6-10 | 1 | 6-10,11-15 | 0 | 6-7 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| 7-11 | 0 | 7-11,12-16 | 5 | 7-8 | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| 8-12 | 5 | 8-12,13-17 | 3 | 8-9 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| 9-13 | 3 | 9-13,14-18 | 0 | 9-10 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 10-14,15-19 | 0 | 10-11 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| 11-15 | 0 | 11-15,16-20 | 9 | 11-12 | 17 | 20 | 3 |
| 12-16 | 0 | 12-16,17-21 | 0 | 12-13 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| 13-17 | 0 | 13-17,18-22 | 0 | 13-14 | 16 | 17 | 1 |
| 14-18 | 0 | 14-18,19-23 | 0 | 14-15 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 15-19,20-0 | 7 | 15-16 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| 16-20 | 0 | 16-20,21-1 | 0 | 16-17 | 19 | 19 | 0 |
| 17-21 | 1 | 17-21,22-2 | 1 | 17-18 | 16 | 18 | 2 |
| 18-22 | 0 | 18-22,23-3 | 0 | 18-19 | 13 | 18 | 5 |
| 19-23 | 0 | 19-23,0-4 | 0 | 19-20 | 10 | 11 | 1 |
| 20-0 | 0 | 20-0,1-5 | 0 | 20-21 | 9 | 9 | 0 |
| 21-1 | 0 | 21-1,2-6 | 0 | 21-22 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| 22-2 | 0 | 22-2,3-7 | 0 | 22-23 | 5 | 8 | 3 |
| 23-3 | 2 | 23-3,4-8 | 0 | 23-0 | 3 | 10 | 7 |
| Total | 25 | Total | 25 | | | | |
| | | Total Cost | \$5,396 | | | | |
| hourly | 17.5 | | | | | | |
| part time | 4 | | | | | | |
| full time | 8.5 | | | | | | |

Figure 3: Sample of total cost for Sunday for 30 seconds

| # of Agents for Each Possible Shift | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Hour | # Part-time Agents | Hour | # Full-time Agents | Hour | # Agents Required | # Agents scheduled | Excess |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0-4,5-9 | 0 | 0-1 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 1-5 | 0 | 1-5,6-10 | 0 | 1-2 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 2-6 | 2 | 2-6,7-11 | 0 | 2-3 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 3-7 | 3 | 3-7,8-12 | 0 | 3-4 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 4-8 | 2 | 4-8,9-13 | 0 | 4-5 | 7 | 7 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 4 | 5-9,10-14 | 0 | 5-6 | 11 | 11 | 0 |
| 6-10 | 1 | 6-10,11-15 | 0 | 6-7 | 10 | 10 | 0 |
| 7-11 | 4 | 7-11,12-16 | 3 | 7-8 | 12 | 14 | 2 |
| 8-12 | 4 | 8-12,13-17 | 1 | 8-9 | 17 | 17 | 0 |
| 9-13 | 0 | 9-13,14-18 | 3 | 9-10 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 10-14,15-19 | 0 | 10-11 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| 11-15 | 0 | 11-15,16-20 | 8 | 11-12 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| 12-16 | 0 | 12-16,17-21 | 2 | 12-13 | 16 | 16 | 0 |
| 13-17 | 0 | 13-17,18-22 | 1 | 13-14 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| 14-18 | 0 | 14-18,19-23 | 0 | 14-15 | 16 | 18 | 2 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 15-19,20-0 | 5 | 15-16 | 15 | 15 | 0 |
| 16-20 | 0 | 16-20,21-1 | 0 | 16-17 | 18 | 18 | 0 |
| 17-21 | 0 | 17-21,22-2 | 0 | 17-18 | 16 | 18 | 2 |
| 18-22 | 0 | 18-22,23-3 | 0 | 18-19 | 12 | 16 | 4 |
| 19-23 | 0 | 19-23,0-4 | 0 | 19-20 | 10 | 11 | 1 |
| 20-0 | 0 | 20-0,1-5 | 0 | 20-21 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| 21-1 | 0 | 21-1,2-6 | 0 | 21-22 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 22-2 | 1 | 22-2,3-7 | 0 | 22-23 | 4 | 6 | 2 |
| 23-3 | 2 | 23-3,4-8 | 0 | 23-0 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| Total | 23 | Total | 23 | | | | |
| | | Total Cost | \$5,031 | | | | |
| hourly | 17.5 | | | | | | |
| part time | 4 | | | | | | |
| full time | 8.5 | | | | | | |

Figure 4: Sample of total cost for Sunday for 45 seconds

Results

| Metric | 45-Seconds Target | 30-Seconds Target |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Total Cost | \$37,412 | \$39,019 |
| Peak Hour Identified | Yes | Yes |
| Staff Required | Lower | Higher |

Cost Difference = Cost at 30-Second Target – Cost at 45-Second Target

= \$39,019 - \$37,412

= \$1607

Percentage Change= 1607/ 37412 *100

= 4.3%

Proposed Scheduling for Cost Efficiency

Assigning entire shifts for full-time agents and specific peak periods for part time agents avoiding over staffing.

| 45 sec hold time | | | | 30 sec hold time | | | |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Day | Full Time | Part Time | Total | Day | Full Time | Part Time | Total |
| Sunday | 23 | 23 | 46 | Sunday | 25 | 25 | 50 |
| Monday | 26 | 26 | 52 | Monday | 27 | 27 | 54 |
| Tuesday | 24 | 24 | 48 | Tuesday | 25 | 25 | 50 |
| Wednesday | 25 | 24 | 49 | Wednesday | 26 | 24 | 50 |
| Thursday | 28 | 27 | 55 | Thursday | 29 | 28 | 57 |
| Friday | 28 | 28 | 56 | Friday | 28 | 28 | 56 |
| Saturday | 18 | 18 | 36 | Saturday | 19 | 19 | 38 |
| Total | 172 | 170 | 342 | Total | 179 | 176 | 355 |

Assumptions:

- Full-time agents: 8.5 hours/day (4-1-4 shift structure).
- Part-time agents: 4-hour shifts.
- Hourly wage: \$14/hour + 25% benefits = **\$17.50/hour.**

Proposed Staffing Schedule for 30 seconds

| Day | Shift 1 (8 AM – 4 PM) | Start Shift 2 (4 PM – 12 AM) | Part-Time (Flexible) | Total |
|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Sunday | 16 Full-time | 9 Full-time | 25 Part-time | 50 |
| Monday | 18 Full-time | 9 Full-time | 27 Part-time | 54 |
| Tuesday | 16 Full-time | 9 Full-time | 25 Part-time | 50 |
| Wednesday | 17 Full-time | 9 Full-time | 24 Part-time | 50 |
| Thursday | 19 Full-time | 10 Full-time | 28 Part-time | 57 |
| Friday | 18 Full-time | 10 Full-time | 28 Part-time | 56 |
| Saturday | 12 Full-time | 7 Full-time | 19 Part-time | 38 |
| TOTAL | 107 | 71 | 176 | 355 |

Staffing Schedule for 45 seconds

| Day | Shift 1 (8 AM – 4 PM) | Start Shift 2 (4 PM – 12 AM) | Part-Time (Flexible) | Total |
|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Sunday | 15 Full-time | 8 Full-time | 23 Part-time | 46 |
| Monday | 17 Full-time | 9 Full-time | 26 Part-time | 52 |
| Tuesday | 16 Full-time | 8 Full-time | 24 Part-time | 48 |
| Wednesday | 16 Full-time | 9 Full-time | 24 Part-time | 49 |
| Thursday | 18 Full-time | 10 Full-time | 27 Part-time | 55 |
| Friday | 18 Full-time | 10 Full-time | 28 Part-time | 56 |
| Saturday | 12 Full-time | 6 Full-time | 18 Part-time | 36 |
| TOTAL | 110 | 60 | 170 | 342 |

1. Peak Days and Staffing Needs

Thursday and Friday consistently require the highest total staff for both hold times:

45-second target: 55 (Thursday), 56 (Friday)

30-second target: 57 (Thursday), 56 (Friday)

Inference: These days are likely to have higher call volumes, necessitating more agents during peak times.

2. Part-Time Agent Utilization

Thursday and Friday rely heavily on Part-Time agents:

45-second target: 27–28 Part-Time agents

30-second target: 28 Part-Time agents

Inference: Part-Time staff are being used strategically to handle increased call demand without overburdening Full-Time agents.

3. Sunday and Saturday Are Off-Peak Days

Saturday has the lowest staffing requirements:

45-second target: 36 Total Staff

30-second target: 38 Total Staff

Sunday also shows reduced requirements compared to weekdays.

Inference: Call volumes drop significantly on weekends, allowing for a smaller team to maintain service levels.

Conclusion

- Reducing the wait time from 45 seconds to 30 seconds requires hiring more agents to handle the increased demand for quicker service.
- As calculated earlier, moving to a 30-second standard increases the staffing costs by approximately 4-5% compared to the 45-second standard.

By implementing a 30-second average wait time, supported by optimized scheduling and a hybrid staffing model, U.S. Airways can deliver superior service to its flagship customers, enhancing loyalty and positioning itself as a leader in customer satisfaction, all while controlling incremental costs.