

Last time: Poly's \leftrightarrow integers

- if $f(x), g(x) \in F[x]$

$\exists!$ $q, r \in F[x]$

s.t h quotient remainder

↓ /

$$(1) \quad f = gq + r$$

$$(2) \deg r < \deg g.$$

e.g

$$f(x) = 6x^3 - 2x^2 + x + 3$$

$$g(x) = x^2 - x + 1$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 6x + 4 \\ \hline x^2 - x + 1 \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{r} 6x^3 - 2x^2 + x + 3 \\ - 6x^3 + 6x^2 - 6x \\ \hline \end{array} \right]$$

$$\overline{r_1 = 4x^2 - 5x + 3}$$

$$\overline{4x^2 - 4x + 4}$$

$$\overline{-x - 1}$$

$$6x^3 - 2x^2 + x + 3 = (x^2 - x + 1)(6x + 4) - (x + 1).$$

Def: A poly $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$

is prime (irreducible) if

it cannot be decomposed

as $\underline{f = a \cdot b}$ with

$\deg a, \deg b \geq 1$

Examples

(1) Any poly f of $\deg f = 1$

is prime ($f(x) = \alpha x + \beta$)

(2) $F = F_3$. $f(x) = x^2 + 1$

is prime. If it wasn't

$f(x) = (x-a)(x-b)$ for
some $a, b \in F$

but f has no roots
over F_3 .

(3) $F = F_5$. $f(x) = x^2 + 1$

then f is not prime:

$$3^2 + 1 = 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$2^2 + 1 = 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$x^2 + 1 = (x-2)(x-3).$$

We restrict attention to
monic poly's, ie where
the coefficient of the
leading monomial is 1.

Prop: Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be
a monic poly. Then there is
 $k \geq 1$ and monic prime poly's
 a_1, \dots, a_k s.t h

$$f = a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$$

and a_1, \dots, a_k are unique
up to re-ordering.

Proof: Existence is clear.

For uniqueness, suppose that f is of minimal degree s.t.

$$b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_l = \underline{f} = a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k$$

\Rightarrow

where a_i, b_i 's are monic

primes. Now a_i cannot

appear on the LHS since

o/w we could cancel it

and contradict the minimality

of f . Similarly, b_i

cannot appear on RHS.

Wlog, $\underbrace{\deg b_i}_{+} \leq \deg a_i$.

Then $\exists q, r$ s.t.

$$\rightarrow \boxed{a_i = b_i \cdot q + r}$$

w/ $\boxed{\deg r < \deg b_i} \leftarrow$

$r =$ has monic prime factorisation:

$$r = d \cdot r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_m. \quad (d \in \mathbb{F})$$

and $b_i \mid r_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m$

bc's of degree reasons.

Now

$$(*) \left(q^{b_1} + d \cdot r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_m \right) \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k = b_1 \cdot \dots \cdot b_l.$$

Set

$$f' = r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_m \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k.$$

Then $(*)$ implies

$$(**) f' = \underbrace{d \cdot b_1}_{=} \left(b_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b_l - q a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k \right)$$

$$= \underbrace{r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_m \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k}_{}$$

So we get two factorisations

of f' where b_i appears
in one fact. but not in
the other . and

$\deg f' < \deg f$ -
contradiction to minimality
of $\deg f$. //

Def: Let $f, g \in F[x]$.

A greatest common divisor

$\gcd(f, g)$ is a poly
that divides both f and g
and is of maximal degree.

Rem if f, g are monic

$\gcd(f, g)$ is unique

$$p_1 \cdots p_k \quad q_1 \cdots q_\ell$$

if f or g are not monic

$\gcd(f, g)$ is unique up
to multiplication by invertible
element of $\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Recall

Lem: For positive integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.th

$$\underline{au} + \underline{bv} = \gcd(u, v).$$

Pf: consider the set

$$K = \{ au + bv \mid u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \}$$

and let k be the smallest
element in K .

positive element
Since $k \in k$, $\exists u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$

s.t. $k = au + bv$.

We can write

$$a = k \cdot q + r \quad w/ \quad 0 \leq r < \underline{k}$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned} r &= a - qk = a - q(au + bv) \\ &= a(1 - qu) + b(-qv) \in k \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow r = 0$$

Thus $a = qk$ so $k \mid a$.

Similarly $k \mid b$ so

$$\underline{k \leq \gcd(a, b)}$$

Since $\underline{\gcd(a, b)} \mid a$ and

$$\gcd(a, b) \mid b$$

and $k = \underline{au} + \underline{bv}$,

$$\gcd(a, b) \mid k$$

so $\underbrace{\gcd(a, b)}_{\leq k} \leq k$

$$au + bv = k = \gcd(a, b). //$$

Lemma: Let F be a field
and $a, b \in F[x]$. Then

$$\exists u, v \in F[x] \text{ s.t.}$$

$$au + bv = \gcd(a, b)$$

Pf: Consider

$$k = \{ au + bv \mid u, v \in F[x] \}$$

Let $\underline{k} \in k$ be an element

of smallest degree $\neq 0$.

$$k = au + bv.$$

We can write

$$a = k \cdot q + r, \quad 0 \leq \deg r < \deg k.$$

$$r = a - qk = a - (au + bv)q$$

$$= a(1 - qu) + b(-qv) \in k$$

$$\Rightarrow r = 0$$

=====

$$\Rightarrow a = k \cdot q$$

$\Rightarrow k \mid a$ and similarly

$k \mid b$ so

k is a common divisor

of a and b , so

$$\deg k \leq \deg \gcd(a, b)$$

$$\text{but } \gcd(a, b) \mid a$$

$$\text{and } \gcd(a, b) \mid b$$

$$\text{so } \gcd(a, b) \mid au + bv = k$$

$$\text{ie } \deg \gcd(a, b) \leq \deg k$$

$$\Rightarrow \deg \gcd(a, b) = \deg k$$

Since we assume a, b are monic then

$$\gcd(a, b) = k = au + bv . //$$

Modulo arithmetic of poly's.

Let $\phi(x) \in F[x]$ be of

$\deg \phi = n$. We define

$$F := \left\{ r(x) = a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0 \mid a_i \in F \right\}$$

$\overline{F_{\emptyset(x)}}$

the set of all possible
remainders of long division

$$\underline{\underline{f \% \emptyset}} \quad \text{for } f \in F[x]$$

on $\overline{F_{\emptyset(x)}}$ we have

mod-∅ arithmetic :

$$r, s \in \overline{F_{\emptyset(x)}} \leftarrow$$

$$\cdot \quad r+s \bmod \emptyset := r+s$$

$$\cdot \quad r \cdot s \bmod \emptyset := rs \% \emptyset$$

(these op's are associative)

$$\text{if } \begin{cases} r = f \bmod \emptyset \\ s = g \bmod \emptyset \end{cases}$$

$$f = q \cdot \emptyset + r$$

$$g = t \cdot \emptyset + s.$$

$$f+g = (q+t) \emptyset + (r+s)$$

$$\Rightarrow f+g \bmod \emptyset = r+s.$$

similarly

$$f \cdot g = r \cdot s \bmod \emptyset.$$

Thus $\underline{\underline{F_{\emptyset(x)}}}$ is almost a field

$-r$ is the additive
inverse, \circ is neutral
to addition,
 1 is neutral to mult.

Thm: F_{x_0} is a field

— $\phi(x)$

iff $\phi \in F[x]$ is prime.

Proof: If ϕ is not prime,

write $\phi = f \cdot g$ w

$1 \leq \deg f, \deg g < \deg \phi$.

then $f, g \in F_{\phi(x)}$

and $f \cdot g = 0 \pmod{\phi}$

so $F_{\phi(x)}$ has zero divisors

and cannot be a field.

Conversely, suppose ϕ is prime,

and let $0+r \in F_{\phi(x)}$ (say monic)

Then $\gcd(r, \phi) = 1$

$\exists u, v \in F[x]$ s.t.

hence

$$ru + \phi v = 1$$

$$\text{ie } \underline{\underline{ru}} \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi},$$

so u is a multiplicative inverse to r .

$\Rightarrow F_{\phi(x)}$ is a field. //

Cor: If $F = F_p$ and

$\phi \in F_p[x]$ a prime poly

$F_{\phi(x)}$ is a field

with \overline{p}^n elements

Where $n = \deg \phi$.

Example: Let us construct

a field with 4 elements

a field with 9 elements.

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_2$ and

$$\phi(x) = x^2 + x + 1.$$

ϕ has no roots over \mathbb{F}_2

hence ϕ is prime.

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{c} \mathbb{F}_2 \\ \phi(x) \end{array}} = \{0, 1, x, x+1\}$$

+	0	1	x	$x+1$
0	0	1	x	$x+1$
1	1	0	$x+1$	x
x	x	$x+1$	0	1
$x+1$	$x+1$	x	1	0

x	0	1	x	$x+1$
x	0	1	x	$x+1$

0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	x	$x+1$
x	0	x	$x+1$	1
$x+1$	0	$x+1$	1	x
$x^2+x+1 = 0 \pmod{p}$				

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= -(x+1) \pmod{x^2+x+1} \\ &= x+1 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Note: There are prime poly's
of arbitrarily large degree

over \mathbb{F}_p : o/w

there would be finitely
many prime poly's over
 \mathbb{F}_p , say a_1, \dots, a_k .

But then

$$a = a_1 \cdot \dots \cdot a_k + 1$$

is also prime bcs

$\forall i \ a_i \nmid a$

- contradiction

