Titanic Dataset - Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA) Report

Objective: Extract meaningful insights using visual and statistical exploration techniques on the Titanic dataset.

Tools Used: Python (Google Collab), Pandas (Data manipulation), Matplotlib & Seaborn (Data Visualization)

1. Dataset Overview:

The Titanic dataset contains data on passengers aboard the RMS Titanic. The goal is to explore which factors influenced survival rates.

| Feature | Description |
|-------------|--|
| PassengerId | Unique ID of passenger |
| Survived | Survival (0 = No, 1 = Yes) |
| Pclass | Ticket class (1 = 1st, 2 = 2nd, 3 = 3rd) |
| Name | Full name of the passenger |
| Sex | Gender |
| Age | Age in years |
| SibSp | No of siblings/spouses aboard |
| Parch | No of parents/children aboard |
| Ticket | Ticket number |
| Fare | Ticket fare |
| Cabin | Cabin number |
| Embarked | Port of embarkation (C = Cherbourg, Q = Queenstown, S = Southampton) |

2. Data Summary:

• **Total Rows:** 891

• Total Columns: 12

Missing Values:

Age: 177 missingCabin: 687 missingEmbarked: 2 missing

3. Missing Value Handling

- Age → Filled with median (28.0)
- Embarked → Filled with mode ('S')
- Cabin → Dropped (too many missing values)

4. Univariate Analysis

- Survival: 62% died, 38% survived. Class imbalance present.
- **Pclass**: Majority in 3rd class
- **Sex:** More males than females (65% male)
- Age: Right-skewed; most between 20–40 years old
- Fare: Highly skewed; most fares under \$50
- **Embarked:** Most passengers boarded from Southampton (S)

5. Bivariate Analysis

• Gender vs Survival:

- Females had ~75% survival
- Males had ~19% survival

• Pclass vs Survival:

- 1st class: 63% survived

- 3rd class: 24% survived

• Age vs Survival:

- Children had higher survival
- Adults 20-40 had higher fatalities

• Fare vs Survival:

- Higher fare = higher survival

6. Correlation Matrix

- **Pclass Survived:** strong negative correlation
- **Fare** → **Survived**: moderate positive correlation
- No multicollinearity observed

7. Pairplot Insights

- Higher fare and 1st class → higher survival
- Clusters observed in Fare, Age, Pclass combinations

8. Summary of Key Insights

• High Impact:

- Sex (female > male)
- Pclass (1st class)
- Fare (higher fare = higher survival)

• Other:

- Most were 3rd class males
- Port 'S' was most common
- Missing values handled logically

9. EDA Outcome

- Identified important predictors
- Highlighted class imbalance
- Addressed missing values professionally
- Suggested modeling directions (logistic regression, trees)