TASK 3

SUBMITTED BY:

Priyadarshini Parida

Project Summary:

1. Model Performance Summary:

Using standardised feature values taken from pictures of breast masses, the logistic regression model was trained to categorise tumours as benign (0) or malignant (1). Accuracy, F1-score, confusion matrix, and ROC-AUC score on a hold-out test set were used to assess the model.

Evaluation Metrics:

Metric Value (Typical Results)

Accuracy ~96%

F1-Score ~96%

ROC-AUC Score ~99%

Confusion Matrix:

 $[[71 \ 1]$

[2 40]]

- True Positives (TP): 40 malignant tumors correctly predicted
- True Negatives (TN): 71 benign tumors correctly predicted
- False Positives (FP): 1 benign predicted as malignant
- False Negatives (FN): 2 malignant predicted as benign

2. Feature Importance Insights:

Top 5 Positively Impactful Features (increase malignancy odds):

| Feature | Coefficient |
|----------------------|-------------|
| worst_radius | +2.27 |
| worst_perimeter | +2.12 |
| worst_concave_points | +1.98 |
| mean_concave_points | +1.76 |
| mean_perimeter | +1.53 |

Top 5 Negatively Impactful Features (suggest benign):

| Feature | Coefficient |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| mean_smoothness | -1.43 |
| worst_fractal_dimension | -1.37 |
| mean_texture | -1.21 |
| mean_fractal_dimension | -1.18 |
| symmetry_se | -1.11 |

Interpretation:

• Malignancy is strongly predicted by characteristics of tumour size and irregularity, such as radius, concave spots, and perimeter.

| • | When lower, texture and smoothness are more frequently linked to benign tumours. |
|---|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| • | Medical practitioners can use these findings to prioritise traits during diagnosis and potentially guide further clinical testing. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |