

$$\underline{1.a} \quad L = \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{x_i \in S_j} \|x_i - \mu_j\|_2^2$$

Since we need to find  $\mu_1$  i.e. Only for Cluster 1.

$$\text{So } L = \sum_{x_i \in S_1} \|x_i - \mu_1\|_2^2$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_1} = \sum_{x_i \in S_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_1} (x_i - \mu_1)^T (x_i - \mu_1)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_1} = \sum_{x_i \in S_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_1} (x_i^T x_i - x_i^T \mu_1 - \mu_1^T x_i + \mu_1^T \mu_1)$$

$$= \sum_{x_i \in S_1} (-2x_i + 2\mu_1)$$

$$= -2 \sum_{x_i \in S_1} (x_i - \mu_1)$$

$$\text{So } \text{GD} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mu_1 = \mu_1 + 2\epsilon \sum_{x_i \in S_1} (x_i - \mu_1)}$$

1.b SGD is done on a minibatch of size  $p < n$   
here  $p=1$ , only Instance in our batch  $x_i$

So

$$\text{SGD} \Rightarrow \boxed{\mu_1 = \mu_1 + 2\epsilon \cdot (x_i - \mu_1)}$$

1.c In Kmeans, the update equation for  $\mu_1$  will be :

$$\left[ \mu_1 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{x \in S_1} x \right]$$

$S_1$  contains all points that were assigned Cluster 1

$n_1$  No. of Points in  $S_1$ .

According to Gradient descent  $\Rightarrow$

$$\mu_1 = \mu_1 + 2\epsilon \sum_{x \in S_1} (x - \mu_1)$$

Equating  $\rightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{n_1} \sum_{x \in S_1} x = \mu_1 + 2\epsilon \sum_{x \in S_1} (x - \mu_1)$$

$$\therefore \left[ \epsilon = \frac{\sum_{x \in S_1} \frac{x}{n_1} - \mu_1}{2 \sum_{x \in S_1} (x - \mu_1)} \right]$$

### Problem-3 : Hessian and optimization

- (a)
- 1  $\rightarrow$  Positive, since attains local minimum
  - 2  $\rightarrow$  Negative, since attains local maximum
  - 3  $\rightarrow$  Positive, since attains local minimum
  - 4  $\rightarrow$  Both Positive and Negative, since the curve has a saddle point.

- (b)
- 1  $\rightarrow$  Positive Definite
  - 2  $\rightarrow$  Negative Definite
  - 3  $\rightarrow$  Positive Definite
  - 4  $\rightarrow$  Neither



# Problem - 4 Gradient Descent And Newton's Method $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

→ Minimize the function  $f(x) = x_1^2 - 3x_1 + x_2^2 - x_1x_2$

→ Starting point  $x^{(0)} = [1, 1]^T$

$\epsilon = 0.5$ , iteration stop, if  $L_2 < 0.2$

(4.0) 1<sup>st</sup> Iteration:  $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1$  from starting point

$$x_1 = x_1 - 0.5(2x_1 - 3 - x_2)$$

$$= 1 - 0.5(2 \cdot 1 - 3 - 1)$$

$$x_1 = 2$$

$$x_2 = x_2 - 0.5(2x_2 - x_1)$$

$$= 1 - 0.5(2 - 1)$$

$$x_2 = 0.5$$

2<sup>nd</sup> Iteration:  $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0.5$  as initial value

$$x_1 = x_1 - 0.5(2x_1 - 3 - x_2)$$

$$= 2 - 0.5(2 \cdot 2 - 3 - 0.5)$$

$$x_1 = 1.75$$

$$x_2 = x_2 - 0.5(2x_2 - x_1)$$

$$= 0.5 - 0.5(1 - 2)$$

$$x_2 = 1$$

3<sup>rd</sup>

$$x_1 = 1.75, x_2 = 1$$

$$x_1 = 1.75 - 0.5(2 \cdot 1.75 - 3 - 1)$$

$$= 2$$

$$x_2 = 1 - 0.5(2 - 1.75)$$

$$x_2 = 0.875$$

4<sup>th</sup>:

$$x_1 = 2, x_2 = 0.875$$

$$x_1 = 2 - 0.5(4 - 3 - 0.875)$$

$$x_1 = 1.9375$$

$$x_2 = 0.875 - 0.5$$

$$(2 \cdot 0.875 - 2)$$

$$x_2 = 1$$

4.b

$$f(x) = x_1^2 - 3x_1 + x_2^2 - x_1x_2$$

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 3 - x_2 \\ 2x_2 - x_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad h = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{for } x^{[0]} \Rightarrow [1, 1] \quad g = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 3 - 1 \\ 2x_2 - x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$h = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad h^{-1} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 1/3 \\ 1/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

so

$$x = x - h^{-1}g = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Ans

4.c

If the second derivative is non negative for its entire domain, then Newton's method can be applied to a twice continuous function

$$f(x) = 12x^3 + 15x$$

$$f'(x) = 36x^2 + 15$$

$$f''(x) = 72$$

second derivative is non negative wrt  $x$   
so function is convex and Newton's method is applicable.



$f = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y$  ✓ because  $f$  is a convex function.  
 This equation simply means. A function is convex iff  
 $\forall a, b \in X$  (vector space), the line segment connecting  $a$  and  
 $b$  is also in  $X$ .  $\therefore \lambda \in [0, 1], x, y \in \text{Vector space}$

$\therefore g(x) = f(Ax+b)$

$\therefore$  Lets find  $g(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)$

$= f(A(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) + b)$

$= f(\lambda Ax + (1-\lambda)Ay + b)$

$= f(\lambda(Ax+b) + (1-\lambda)(Ay+b))$

$\leq \lambda f(Ax+b) + (1-\lambda)f(Ay+b)$   $\rightarrow$  Just open it. It's the same equation

because  $\lambda$  is positive, so of course this quantity will be bigger (This is the property of being a convex function)

$= \lambda g(x) + (1-\lambda)g(y) \rightarrow$  form resembles

Hence  $g(x)$  is also convex.

A function is convex iff:

$\lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(x') \geq f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)x')$

