

Sliding Window Maximum Problem

Problem Statement:

You are given an array of integers `nums`, and a sliding window of size `k` moves from the very left of the array to the very right. You can only see the `k` numbers in the window. Each time the sliding window moves right by one position.

Return the maximum of each sliding window.

Approach Used:

The problem requires us to efficiently determine the maximum element for every sliding window of size `k`. A naive brute-force approach would involve checking all elements in every window, leading to $O(N \cdot K)$ complexity, which is inefficient for large arrays. Instead, we use a **deque (double-ended queue)** to optimize the process and achieve an **$O(N)$ solution**.

Logic and Explanation:

To efficiently find the maximum in each window, we maintain a **monotonic decreasing deque**, which always holds the indices of the elements in the current window in decreasing order of their values. This ensures that the **maximum element** is always at the front of the deque.

Step-by-step breakdown of the logic:

1. Maintaining the Deque:

- The deque will store indices of elements in `nums`, but in such a way that the **largest element in the current window is always at the front**.

2. Processing each element `nums[i]` in the array:

- First, remove all elements from the **back of the deque** that are **smaller than `nums[i]`**, because they are useless (they will never be the max in the current or future windows).
- Add the **current index `i`** to the **back of the deque**.
- If the **front of the deque** is out of the current window's range (i.e., `dq.front() < i + 1 - k`), remove it.

3. Extracting the Maximum:

- Once we have processed at least `k` elements (`i >= k - 1`), the **maximum of the current window is the element at the front of the deque** (`nums[dq.front()]`).
 - Push this maximum into the result array.
-

Code Implementation:

```
vector<int> maxSlidingWindow(vector<int>& v, int k){  
    if(k == 1) return v;
```

```

int n = v.size();
vector<int> ans;
deque<int> dq;

for(int i = 0; i < n; i++){
    // Remove elements smaller than v[i] from the back of the deque
    while(dq.size() > 0 && v[i] >= v[dq.back()]) dq.pop_back();

    // Push current index into the deque
    dq.push_back(i);

    // Remove elements that are out of the window
    while(dq.front() < i + 1 - k) dq.pop_front();

    // Store the maximum for the current window
    if(i >= k - 1){
        ans.push_back(v[dq.front()]);
    }
}
return ans;
}

```

Time and Space Complexity Analysis:

Time Complexity:

- Each element is pushed and popped from the deque **at most once**.
- Hence, the overall time complexity is **$O(N)$** .

Space Complexity:

- The deque stores indices of elements, at most k elements.
 - The space complexity is **$O(k)$** (which is $O(N)$ in the worst case).
-

Why This Approach is Efficient?

- **Better than Brute Force ($O(N \cdot K)$):** Instead of checking every subarray separately, we maintain a deque for efficient retrieval of the max element.
 - **Deque Operations are $O(1)$:** Each element is processed only once, making it linear time.
 - **Sliding Window Optimization:** The deque helps in keeping track of max values dynamically.
-

Example Walkthrough:

Input:

nums = [1,3,-1,-3,5,3,6,7], k = 3

Deque Evolution:

Step	Window	Deque (indices)	Max Value
------	--------	-----------------	-----------

1	[1,3,-1]	[1]	3
2	[3,-1,-3]	[1,2]	3
3	[-1,-3,5]	[4]	5
4	[-3,5,3]	[4,5]	5
5	[5,3,6]	[6]	6
6	[3,6,7]	[7]	7

Output:

[3,3,5,5,6,7]

Edge Cases Considered:

1. $k == 1$: Directly return the input array as every element is its own window.
 2. $k == n$: There is only one window, return the max of the entire array.
 3. All elements are in increasing/decreasing order.
 4. Handling of negative numbers in the array.
-

Final Thoughts:

This approach effectively uses deque to optimize the solution, reducing the brute force complexity from $O(N \cdot K)$ to $O(N)$. This makes it a powerful technique for solving **Sliding Window Maximum** problems efficiently.

Alternative Approaches:

1. **Max Heap (Priority Queue) Approach**

- Time Complexity: $O(N \log K)$, as insertion and deletion in a heap take $O(\log K)$.
- Space Complexity: $O(K)$, as we store at most k elements in the heap.

2. **Segment Tree Approach**

- Preprocess the array in $O(N \log N)$.
- Query the max in $O(\log N)$ for each window.
- Overall Complexity: $O(N \log N)$, which is worse than the deque method for large inputs.

Thus, the **deque-based approach** remains the best for practical use!