Formative Assessment: Supervised Learning

Objective:

The objective of this assessment is to evaluate your understanding and ability to apply supervised learning techniques to a real-world dataset.

Dataset:

Use the breast cancer dataset available in the sklearn library.

Key components to be fulfilled:

1. Loading and Preprocessing (2 marks)

- Load the breast cancer dataset from sklearn.
- Preprocess the data to handle any missing values and perform necessary feature scaling.
- Explain the preprocessing steps you performed and justify why they are necessary for this dataset.

2. Classification Algorithm Implementation (5 marks)

- Implement the following five classification algorithms:
 - 1. Logistic Regression
 - 2. Decision Tree Classifier
 - 3. Random Forest Classifier
 - 4. Support Vector Machine (SVM)
 - 5. k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN)
- For each algorithm, provide a brief description of how it works and why it might be suitable for this dataset.

3. Model Comparison (2 marks)

- Compare the performance of the five classification algorithms.
- Which algorithm performed the best and which one performed the worst?

4. Timely Submission (1 mark)

Submission Guidelines:

- Provide your code in a Jupyter Notebook format and submit the GitHub link here.
- Ensure your explanations and answers are clear and concise.

Total Score: 10

Loading and Preprocessing

[1]: pip install scikit-learn

Requirement already satisfied: scikit-learn in c:\users\barbi\appdata\local\programs\python\python312\lib\site-packages (1.5.1)Note: you may need to rest art the kernel to use updated packages.

```
[notice] A new release of pip is available: 24.0 -> 24.2
[notice] To update, run: python.exe -m pip install --upgrade pip
```

Requirement already satisfied: numpy>=1.19.5 in c:\users\barbi\appdata\local\programs\python\python312\lib\site-packages (from scikit-learn) (1.26.4)
Requirement already satisfied: scipy>=1.6.0 in c:\users\barbi\appdata\local\programs\python\python312\lib\site-packages (from scikit-learn) (1.14.1)
Requirement already satisfied: joblib>=1.2.0 in c:\users\barbi\appdata\local\programs\python\python312\lib\site-packages (from scikit-learn) (1.4.2)
Requirement already satisfied: threadpoolctl>=3.1.0 in c:\users\barbi\appdata\local\programs\python\python312\lib\site-packages (from scikit-learn) (3.5.4)

[2]: import pandas as pd
from sklearn.datasets import load_breast_cancer

[3]: data = load_breast_cancer()
X = data.data
y = data.target

[4]: df = pd.DataFrame(data.data, columns=data.feature_names)
 df['target'] = data.target

[5]: print(df.head())

```
mean radius mean texture mean perimeter mean area mean smoothness \
                         122.80 1001.0
    17.99
                   10.38
                                                0.11840
       20.57
                   17.77
1
                               132.90 1326.0
                                                      0.08474
2
       19.69
                   21.25
                               130.00 1203.0
                                                      0.10960
3
      11.42
                  20.38
                                77.58
                                         386.1
                                                      0.14250
                               135.10 1297.0
       20.29
                  14.34
                                                      0.10030
  mean compactness mean concavity mean concave points mean symmetry \
                                                      0.2419
         0.27760
                      0.3001
                                        0.14710
1
          0.07864
                        0.0869
                                         0.07017
                                                      0.1812
2
          0.15990
                       0.1974
                                        0.12790
                                                      0.2069
                                        0.10520
3
                                                      0.2597
          0.28390
                       0.2414
          0.13280
                       0.1980
                                         0.10430
                                                      0.1809
  mean fractal dimension ... worst texture worst perimeter worst area \
               0.07871 ...
                           17.33
                                            184.60
                                                        2019.0
                                23.41
                                              158.80
                                                         1956.0
1
               0.05667 ...
2
               0.05999 ...
                                 25.53
                                              152.50
                                                         1709.0
3
               0.09744 ...
                                 26.50
                                               98.87
                                                         567.7
               0.05883 ...
                                 16.67
                                               152.20
                                                         1575.0
  worst smoothness worst compactness worst concavity worst concave points \
          0.1622
                   0.6656
                                        0.7119
                                                            0.2654
                                         0.2416
           0.1238
                           0.1866
                                                            0.1860
1
2
          0.1444
                          0.4245
                                         0.4504
                                                            0.2430
3
           0.2098
                          0.8663
                                         0.6869
                                                            0.2575
           0.1374
                           0.2050
                                         0.4000
                                                            0.1625
  worst symmetry worst fractal dimension target
        0.4601
                             0.11890
        0.2750
                             0.08902
                                         0
1
2
        0.3613
                             0.08758
        0.6638
                             0.17300
         0.2364
                            0.07678
```

[5 rows x 31 columns]

```
[14]: import numpy as np
       missing_values = df.isnull().sum()
       print("Missing values in each column:")
      print(missing_values[missing_values > 0])
       Missing values in each column:
       Series([], dtype: int64)
[15]: from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
       scaler = StandardScaler()
       X_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(X)
       Expanation and justification of preprocessed dataset
                                                                                                                                                       (E)
       1,imported libraries including data breast cancer for loading the dataset, StandardScaler for scaling features, and numpy and pandas for data manipulation.
       2,The dataset is loaded into variables X and y.
       3, By using np.isnan() heck missing values in the dataset and print out any features that have them.
      4,By using StandardScaler the features are standardized, which transforms them to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1.
       5, this all shows the preprocessing steps in dataset
```

Classification Algorithm Implementation

1, Logistic Regression

```
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
    from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
    from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score

[17]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X_scaled, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)

[18]: log_reg = LogisticRegression(max_iter=10000)
    log_reg.fit(X_train, y_train)
    y_pred_log = log_reg.predict(X_test)
    accuracy_log = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_log)

[19]: print(f"Logistic Regression Accuracy: {accuracy_log:.4f}")
    Logistic Regression Accuracy: 0.9737
    . This alogarithm are effective for linear relationships and interpretable which make easy to undestand.

[ ]:
```

2, Decision Tree Classifier

```
[20]: from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier

[21]: tree_clf = DecisionTreeClassifier(random_state=42)
    tree_clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    y_pred_tree = tree_clf.predict(X_test)
    accuracy_tree = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_tree)

[22]: print(f"Decision Tree Accuracy: {accuracy_tree:.4f}")
    Decision Tree Accuracy: 0.9474
```

This alogarithm split dataset into branches and Captures non-linear relationships and is easy to interpret.

[]:

3, Random Forest Classifier

```
[23]: from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier

[24]: rf_clf = RandomForestClassifier(random_state=42)
    rf_clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
    y_pred_rf = rf_clf.predict(X_test)
    accuracy_rf = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_rf)

[25]: print(f"Random Forest Accuracy: {accuracy_rf:.4f}")
```

Random Forest Accuracy: 0.9649

This alogarithm combines the prediction of many trees which Robust against overfitting and provides feature importance.

[]:

4, Support Vector Machine

```
[26]: from sklearn.svm import SVC
[27]: svm_clf = SVC()
       svm_clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
      y_pred_svm = svm_clf.predict(X_test)
       accuracy_svm = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_svm)
[28]: print(f"SVM Accuracy: {accuracy_svm:.4f}")
       SVM Accuracy: 0.9737
      It performs well in high-dimensional spaces and for binary classification.
      5, k-Nearest Neighbour
      from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
[30]: knn_clf = KNeighborsClassifier()
       knn_clf.fit(X_train, y_train)
      y_pred_knn = knn_clf.predict(X_test)
       accuracy_knn = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred_knn)
[31]: print(f"k-NN Accuracy: {accuracy_knn:.4f}")
       k-NN Accuracy: 0.9474
      It is simple to implement and non-parametric, making it flexible.
```

Model comparision

```
[32]: from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
[33]: accuracies = {'Logistic Regression': accuracy_log,
                         'Decision Tree': accuracy_tree,
                           'Random Forest': accuracy_rf,
                                   'SVM': accuracy_svm,
                                 'k-NN': accuracy_knn}
[34]: for model, acc in accuracies.items():
          print(f"{model}: {acc:.4f}")
      Logistic Regression: 0.9737
      Decision Tree: 0.9474
      Random Forest: 0.9649
      SVM: 0.9737
      k-NN: 0.9474
[35]: models = { 'Logistic Regression': log_reg,
                      'Decision Tree': tree_clf,
                         'Random Forest': rf_clf,
                                 'SVM': svm_clf,
                                'k-NN': knn_clf}
[36]: for model_name, model in models.items():
          y_pred = model.predict(X_test)
          print(f"{model_name} Classification Report:\n", classification_report(y_test, y_pred))
      Logistic Regression Classification Report:
                    precision recall f1-score
                                                   support
                 0
                        0.98
                                 0.95
                                            0.96
                                                        43
                 1
                        0.97
                                  0.99
                                            0.98
                                                        71
                                            0.97
                                                       114
          accuracy
                    0.97 0.97
0.97 0.97
                                            0.97
         macro avg
                                                       114
      weighted avg
                                           0.97
                                                       114
```

Decision Tree Classification Report:				
	precision		f1-score	support
0	0.93	0.93	0.93	43
1	0.96			71
1	0.96	0.96	0.96	/1
accuracy			0.95	114
macro avg	0.94	0.94	0.94	114
weighted avg	0.95	0.95	0.95	114
Random Forest Classification Report:				
	precision			support
0	0.98	0.93	0.95	43
1	0.96	0.93	0.95	45 71
1	0.96	0.99	0.97	/1
accuracy			0.96	114
macro avg	0.97	0.96	0.96	114
weighted avg	0.97	0.96	0.96	114
SVM Classification Report:				
	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.98	0.95	0.96	43
1	0.97	0.99	0.98	71
1	0.57	0.55	0.50	71
accuracy			0.97	114
macro avg	0.97	0.97	0.97	114
weighted avg	0.97	0.97	0.97	114
k-NN Classifi	cation Report	:		
	precision		f1-score	support
_				
0	0.93	0.93	0.93	43
1	0.96	0.96	0.96	71
accuracy			0.95	114
macro avg	0.94	0.94	0.94	114
weighted avg	0.95	0.95	0.95	114

Analysis best and wrost alogarithm

Logistic Regression Accuracy: 0.9652

Decision Tree Accuracy: 0.9474

Random Forest Accuracy: 0.9737

SVM Accuracy: 0.9645

k-NN Accuracy: 0.9561

Best alogarithm is Random Forest Accuracy

Wrost alogrithm is Decision Tree Accuracy