

```
-----
      name:  PK
      log:   /Users/priyakoirala/Desktop/school/econometrics
/koirala_project1.log
      log type:  text
      opened on:  27 Feb 2023, 19:16:40

.
/*=====
> (Q1): Open the data set in Stata (via the use command).
=====*/

. use "/Users/priyakoirala/Desktop/school/econometrics/bwght.dta"
```

```
/*=====
> (Q2): Summarize the data in Stata. What is the average birthweight of
infants in the sample?
=====*/
```

```
. summarize
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
-----+-----					
bwght	1,180	119.0551	20.19077	23	271
cigs	1,180	2.208475	6.222801	0	50

The average birthweight of infants in the sample is 119.0551.

```
/*=====
> (Q3): Construct a binary variable (using the "gen" command) named
anycig that equals one if the mother smoked at least one cigarette per
day and equals zero otherwise.
=====*/

. gen anycig = .
(1,180 missing values generated)

. replace anycig = 1 if cig >= 1
(187 real changes made)

. replace anycig = 0 if cig < 1
(993 real changes made)
```

```
/*=====
> (Q4): Use the "tab" command to determine the share (percent) of moms
who smoked during pregnancy.
=====*/
```

```
. tab anycig
```

anycig	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
-----+-----			
0	993	84.15	84.15
1	187	15.85	100.00
-----+-----			
Total	1,180	100.00	

15.85% of mothers smoked during pregnancy.

```

/*=====
> (Q5): We want to test the hypothesis that the average birthweight of
infants born to smokers vs. non-smokers is the same. Use the "reg"
command to estimate the following model using OLS:  $bwght_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 anycig_i + u_i$ 
=====*/

```

```

. reg bwght anycig

```

Source		SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	1,180	
-----+-----								
Model		12531.7427	1	12531.7427	F(1, 1178)	=	31.54	
Residual		468107.677	1,178	397.374938	Prob > F	=	0.0000	
-----+-----								
Total		480639.419	1,179	407.667022	R-squared	=	0.0261	
-----+-----								
						Adj R-squared	=	0.0252
						Root MSE	=	19.934

-----+-----						
bwght		Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t	[95% conf.interval]
-----+-----						
anycig		-8.92383	1.58908	-5.62	0.000	-12.04157 -5.806087
_cons		120.4693	.6325948	190.44	0.000	119.2281 121.7104
-----+-----						

```
/*=====
> (Q6): How do you interpret  $\beta_1$  in this regression?
=====*/
```

β_1 is the difference in the average birthweights of infants born to mothers who smoked and the mothers who did not smoke during pregnancy.

β_1 is -8.92383.

```
/*=====
> (Q7): How do you interpret  $\beta_0$ ?
=====*/
```

β_0 represents the average (constant) birthweight of infants, whether they were born to mothers who smoked during pregnancy or mothers who did not.

β_0 is 120.4693

```

/*=====
> (Q8): Rather than looking at the effect of smoking versus not smoking,
we want to determine how smoking intensity affects birthweight. Use the
"reg" command to estimate:  $bwght_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1cigs_i + u_i$ 
=====*/

```

```
. reg bwght cigs
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=
1,180					
-----+-----				F(1, 1178)	=
29.80					
Model	11857.097	1	11857.097	Prob > F	=
0.0000					
Residual	468782.322	1,178	397.947642	R-squared	=
0.0247					
-----+-----				Adj R-squared	=
0.0238					
Total	480639.419	1,179	407.667022	Root MSE	=
19.949					

	bwght	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P> t	[95% conf. interval]
-----+-----						
cigs	-.5096199	.093362	-5.46	0.000	-.6927942	-
.3264455						
_cons	120.1806	.6162443	195.02	0.000	118.9715	
121.3896						


```
/*=====
> (Q9): What is the marginal effect of smoking an additional cigarette on
> birthweight?
=====*/
```

For every additional cigarette smoked by pregnant mothers, an infant's birthweight is estimated to decrease by 0.5096199.

```
/*=====
> (Q10): Using your regression results in question (8). If a mother
smokes 5 cigarettes per day, what is the effect on birthweight?
=====*/
```

Based on the model $\text{bwght}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{cigs}_i + u_i$, if we were to assign cig to equal to 5 and β_1 is approximated to -0.509619, then that gives us the algebraic product of -2.548095. Therefore at 5 cigarettes a day the effect on birthweight is that it decreases by 2.548095.

```
/*=====
> (Q11): Does the regression model in question (8) reflect the causal
> relationship between a mother's smoking habits and her infant's
birthweight? Explain. (Hint: What is contained in ui?)
=====*/
```

The regression model in question 8 reflects the causal negative relationship between a mother's smoking habits and an infant's birthweight. The regression suggests that mother's who smoked during pregnancy gave birth to infants with a lower birthweight than mother's who did not smoke during pregnancy.

We reject our hypothesis that average birthweight of infants born to mothers who smoked vs non-smokers is the same.

However, despite our findings that there is a causal relationship between smoking and low infant birthweight, the model fails to reflect all of the other factors that might contribute to low birthweight. Such as the environment the mother lives in, household income, diet, exercise. All of these factors plus more can impact an infants birthweight. (ui is the error term, it contains all other factors besides smoking which impacts and infant's birthweight).*/

```
/*=====
=====*/
```

```
.
. cap log close _all
```