Updated Probabilistic Geometry with Discrete Distinguishability

Konrad Wojnowski

Probabilistic Minds Consortium

(ChatGPTs, Claude.AIs)

Chapter 1

Updated Probabilistic Geometry with Discrete Distinguishability

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 Motivation

Classical Euclidean geometry faces foundational paradoxes when considering the infinite divisibility of space and the notion of points with zero dimensions. Specifically, the $0 \times \infty$ paradox arises from defining space as an infinite collection of zero-dimensional points, leading to logical inconsistencies:

- Summing an infinite number of zeros yields zero, suggesting that space has zero dimensions.
- This contradicts our experience of living in a space with positive dimensions.

To resolve these issues, we introduce an updated framework called Probabilistic Geometry (PG), grounded in the Void Granularity Framework (VGF), which rejects infinity and continuity in mathematics. By redefining fundamental concepts and ensuring all operations align with discrete principles, we create a consistent and robust geometric system.

1.1.2 Objectives

- Redefine the distinguishability function in PG to eliminate continuity and infinite divisibility.
- Update the axioms and definitions to align with the VGF's discrete principles.
- Ensure mathematical consistency and address potential challenges.
- Provide examples and explanations to illustrate the concepts.
- Explore real-world applications and offer a popular science explanation of the space.

1.2 Primitive Terms and Definitions

1.2.1 System (S) — Definition 2.1

Definition 1.2.1. A system S is a finite set of elements with defined relationships and operations pertinent to a specific domain (e.g., geometric space).

1.2.2 Geometric Granularity Threshold ($\delta_{ ext{VOID}}^{ ext{geometric}}$) — Definition 2.2

Definition 1.2.2. The geometric granularity threshold $\delta_{VOID}^{geometric}$ is a positive rational number representing the minimal meaningful distance in S, below which distinctions become probabilistically indistinct.

1.2.3 Processing Capacity (V) — Definition 2.3

Definition 1.2.3. The observer's processing capacity V is a finite integer bounded by:

$$V_{min} \leq V \leq V_{max}$$

1.2.4 Similarity Measure (S(x,y)) — Definition 2.4

Definition 1.2.4. For points $x, y \in S$:

$$S(x,y) = \frac{\textit{Number of Shared Features}}{\textit{Total Possible Features}}$$

• $S(x,y) \in \{0, \frac{1}{M}, \frac{2}{M}, \dots, 1\}$, where M is the total number of possible features.

1.2.5 Degree of Geometric Distinguishability ($\mu_G(x,y)$) — Definition 2.5

Definition 1.2.5. For points $x, y \in S$:

$$\mu_G(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } V \cdot S(x,y) \ge \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• θ : An integer threshold.

1.2.6 Degrees of Existence $(\mu(p))$ — Definition 2.6

Definition 1.2.6. The degrees of existence $\mu(p)$ for point $p \in S$ is a quantized value:

$$\mu(p) \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}, \dots, 1\right\}$$

• N is a positive integer defining the granularity level.

1.2.7 Quantization Functions

Quantization of Degrees of Existence

$$\operatorname{quantize}_{\mu}(x) = \frac{\operatorname{round}(x \cdot N)}{N}$$

Quantization of Probabilities

$$quantize_P(p) = \frac{\text{round}(p \cdot N)}{N}$$

1.3 Updated Axioms of Probabilistic Geometry

Axiom 1.3.1 (Geometric Granularity Threshold). For any two points $x, y \in S$:

• If $|x-y| < \delta_{VOID}^{geometric}$, then x and y are probabilistically indistinct:

$$\mu_G(x,y) = 0$$

Axiom 1.3.2 (Probabilistic Existence of Points). Points in S have quantized degrees of existence $\mu(p)$.

Axiom 1.3.3 (Discrete Similarity and Distinguishability). • The similarity measure S(x, y) is discrete.

• The degree of geometric distinguishability $\mu_G(x,y)$ is determined by the threshold function.

Axiom 1.3.4 (Quantized Probabilities). All probabilities in the system are quantized:

$$P_{VOID}(A) \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}, \dots, 1\right\}$$

Axiom 1.3.5 (Finite Processing Capacity and Granularity). The observer's processing capacity V and granularity G are related:

$$G = \frac{\delta_{VOID}^{geometric}}{V}$$

• As V increases, G decreases, allowing finer distinctions.

Axiom 1.3.6 (Discrete Metric Space). The geometric space S is a discrete metric space where distances are multiples of the minimal meaningful distance $\delta_{VOID}^{geometric}$:

- Distances $d(x,y) \in \left\{0, \delta_{VOID}^{geometric}, 2\delta_{VOID}^{geometric}, \dots\right\}$
- The distance function d(x,y) satisfies:
 - 1. Non-negativity: $d(x,y) \ge 0$
 - 2. Identity of Indiscernibles: d(x,y) = 0 if and only if x = y
 - 3. **Symmetry**: d(x, y) = d(y, x)
 - 4. Triangle Inequality: $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

Axiom 1.3.7 (Additivity of Dimensions with Degrees of Existence). The dimension of space S is given by:

$$\dim(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \mu(p_i) \cdot \dim(p_i)$$

- N_p : Total number of points in S.
- Each point p_i contributes to the total dimension based on its degree of existence $\mu(p_i)$.

1.4 Theorems and Proofs

Theorem 1.4.1 (Finite Dimensionality of Space). **Statement:** In the updated PG, the dimensionality of space $\dim(S)$ is finite.

Proof. • Since $\mu(p_i)$ and $\dim(p_i)$ are finite and discrete, their product $\mu(p_i) \cdot \dim(p_i)$ is finite.

• Summing over a finite number of points:

$$\dim(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \mu(p_i) \cdot \dim(p_i) < \infty$$

 \bullet Therefore, space S has a finite dimension.

Theorem 1.4.2 (Stability of Processing Capacity). Statement:

Under the quantized capacity update, the observer's processing capacity V remains within the bounds $V_{min} \leq V \leq V_{max}$.

Proof. • The quantization function ensures V cannot exceed V_{max} or fall below V_{min} :

$$V_{\text{new}} = \text{quantize}_V \left(V_{\text{old}} + \Delta V \right)$$

$$quantize_V(v) = max(V_{min}, min(V_{max}, round(v)))$$

 \bullet Therefore, V remains stable within the defined bounds.

Theorem 1.4.3 (Impossibility of Infinite Divisibility). Statement:

In the updated PG, no quantity can be divided infinitely without surpassing the granularity threshold $\delta_{VOID}^{geometric}$.

Proof. • Distances are multiples of $\delta_{\text{VOID}}^{\text{geometric}}$:

$$d(x,y) \in \left\{0, \delta_{\text{VOID}}^{\text{geometric}}, 2\delta_{\text{VOID}}^{\text{geometric}}, \dots\right\}$$

 \bullet Any attempt to subdivide beyond $\delta_{\rm VOID}^{\rm geometric}$ results in indistinguishability:

$$\mu_G(x,y) = 0$$

• Therefore, infinite divisibility is impossible.

Theorem 1.4.4 (Quantization Preserves Probability Measures). *Statement:* Quantized probabilities maintain the basic properties of probability measures.

Proof. • Non-negativity:

$$P_{\text{VOID}}(A) \ge 0$$

• Normalization: For a complete set of mutually exclusive events $\{A_i\}$:

$$\sum_{i} P_{\text{VOID}}(A_i) = 1$$

• Additivity: For mutually exclusive events A and B:

$$P_{\text{VOID}}(A \cup B) = P_{\text{VOID}}(A) + P_{\text{VOID}}(B)$$

• Quantization is applied uniformly, preserving these properties.

1.5 Examples and Illustrations

Example 1.5.1 (Distinguishability of Points). *Parameters:*

- Total Possible Features (M): 10
- Processing Capacity (V): 5
- Threshold (θ) : 3

Points x and y:

• Shared Features: 6

Calculations:

1. Similarity Measure:

$$S(x,y) = \frac{6}{10} = 0.6$$

2. Distinguishability Check:

$$V \cdot S(x,y) = 5 \times 0.6 = 3$$

Since $V \cdot S(x,y) = 3 \ge \theta$, the points x and y are distinguishable:

$$\mu_G(x,y) = 1$$

Example 1.5.2 (Degrees of Existence). Parameters:

• Granularity Level (N): 10

Calculations:

• For a point with a raw degree of existence x = 0.73:

$$\mu(p) = quantize_{\mu}(0.73) = \frac{round(0.73 \times 10)}{10} = \frac{7}{10} = 0.7$$

1.6 Explanations and Interpretations

1.6.1 Avoiding the $0 \times \infty$ Paradox

• By assigning discrete, non-zero degrees of existence to points, the sum of their contributions to the dimension of space becomes finite and positive:

$$\dim(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} \mu(p_i) \cdot \dim(p_i) > 0$$

• This avoids the indeterminate form $0 \times \infty$ present in classical geometry.

1.6.2 Imagining Discrete Space

- Space as a Lattice: Imagine space as a grid where points are located at discrete intervals, and distances are measured in steps.
- Finite Resolution: Similar to pixels in a digital image, space has a finite resolution; you cannot distinguish points closer than the minimal distance $\delta_{\text{VOID}}^{\text{geometric}}$.
- Observer's Capacity: The observer's ability to distinguish points depends on their processing capacity V: higher capacity allows for finer distinctions.

1.7 Real-World Applications

1.7.1 Computational Geometry

- Finite Precision Computations: Computers inherently operate with finite precision. The discrete PG aligns with computational methods, avoiding errors from infinite divisibility.
- Algorithms Design: Algorithms can be developed to handle geometric computations under uncertainty and finite granularity.

1.7.2 Computer Graphics

• Rendering Techniques: Modeling scenes with probabilistic detail at small scales enhances visual realism by accounting for limitations in distinguishing fine details.

1.7.3 Robotics and Navigation

• Mapping and Localization: Robots can use discrete PG principles to handle uncertainties in spatial measurements, improving navigation accuracy.

1.7.4 Quantum Physics

• Planck Scale Considerations: By incorporating minimal meaningful distances, PG concepts resonate with physical theories that recognize a smallest length scale, like the Planck length.

1.8 Popular Science Explanation

1.8.1 Understanding Discrete Space

Imagine the universe as a giant Lego set. Each Lego block represents the smallest possible unit of space—no matter how hard you try, you can't break a block into smaller pieces. These blocks are like the minimal distances in our geometric framework.

1.8.2 Degrees of Existence

Not all Lego blocks are fully solid; some are semi-transparent, indicating they partially exist. This represents the degrees of existence, where points in space aren't just "there" or "not there" but can exist to varying extents.

1.8.3 Observing the Universe

Our ability to see the details of this Lego universe depends on the sharpness of our vision (processing capacity V). With sharper vision, we can distinguish smaller differences between blocks. However, there's a limit—we can't see details smaller than the size of a single block.

1.8.4 Resolving Paradoxes

In traditional geometry, we tried to build the universe using infinitely small pieces (points with zero size), leading to paradoxes like trying to build something out of nothing. By using finite-sized blocks and acknowledging that not all blocks are fully solid, we avoid these paradoxes and create a consistent model of space.

1.9 Addressing Potential Challenges

1.9.1 State Space Explosion

- Challenge: Quantization increases the number of possible states, potentially making computations infeasible.
- Solutions:
 - Set Practical Bounds: Limit V, N, and N_p to manageable sizes.
 - Efficient Data Structures: Use sparse representations and optimized algorithms.
 - Approximation Methods: Employ stochastic methods when exact computations are impractical.

1.9.2 Handling Arithmetic Operations

- Quantization Rules:
 - Addition/Subtraction: Quantize results to the nearest allowable value.
 - Multiplication/Division: Quantize results and avoid operations that produce nonquantized values.
- Consistency: Define and adhere to rounding rules to maintain predictability.

1.10. CONCLUSION 9

1.10 Conclusion

By redefining the distinguishability function and updating the axioms and definitions in Probabilistic Geometry, we've created a framework that aligns with the Void Granularity Framework's principles. This updated PG:

- Eliminates Continuity and Infinite Divisibility: All quantities are discrete and quantized.
- Maintains Mathematical Consistency: Theorems hold under the new definitions.
- Resolves Foundational Paradoxes: The $0 \times \infty$ paradox is avoided.
- Offers Practical Applications: In computational geometry, computer graphics, robotics, and physics.
- Provides Intuitive Understanding: Through popular science explanations and relatable analogies.

1.11 Future Directions

- Model Implementation: Develop computational models to simulate the updated PG framework.
- Further Exploration: Investigate applications in quantum gravity and other areas of physics.
- Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Engage with experts in mathematics, physics, and computer science to refine and expand the framework.