Void Granularity Framework and Probabilistic Geometry

Mathematics Without Infinity

Konrad Wojnowski
The Alien Consortium of Probabilistic Minds
(ChatGPTs, Claude.ais)

1 Introduction: Void Granularity Framework

In delving into the foundations of mathematics and physics, we often confront the limits of our understanding, particularly when grappling with concepts like infinity and the infinitesimally small. One such instance is the indeterminate expression $0 \times \infty$, which arises in geometric contexts and challenges our conventional notions of measurement and distinction. This indeterminacy hints that at the very smallest scales, distinctions between points become meaningless—a void where our traditional tools falter.

From this realization emerges the Void Granularity Framework (VGF), an attempt to acknowledge and formalize the idea that there exists a minimal scale beyond which distinctions are not just impractical but fundamentally indeterminate. In classical geometry, space is often considered continuous and infinitely divisible. However, within our framework, we posit that there is a smallest discernible unit—the void—below which differentiation loses meaning. This concept is crucial because it suggests that mathematical fields cannot commence from a single point or number. Starting with zero alone is insufficient; we require at least one additional distinct entity to give rise to meaningful mathematics.

By introducing the concept of a **VOID threshold** (δ_{VOID}), we aim to provide a mathematical framework that accounts for the inherent limitations in measurement, computation, and perception. This threshold is adaptable, reflecting the specific granularity relevant to different fields—be it physics, mathematics, computation, or cognitive sciences. It's not a fixed constant but a context-dependent parameter that embodies the smallest meaningful distinction within a given system.

2 Primitive Terms and Definitions

2.1 System (S) — Definition 1.1

A **System** (S) is a finite set of elements with defined relationships and operations pertinent to a specific domain (physical, mathematical, computational, cognitive, biological, etc.).

This definition lays the groundwork for our framework. By considering systems as finite, we acknowledge practical limitations and focus on contexts where the VOID threshold has meaningful implications.

2.2 VOID Threshold (δ_{VOID}) — Definition 1.2

The **VOID Threshold** (δ_{VOID}) is a positive rational number representing the smallest meaningful distinction within the system S, beyond which distinctions become probabilistically indistinct due to the inherent indeterminacy of the void.

The VOID threshold is central to our framework. It encapsulates the minimal scale at which differences can be meaningfully recognized within a given system.

2.3 Distance Function ($d_S(x, y)$) — Definition 1.3

The **Distance Function** ($d_S(x, y)$) is a function $d_S : S \times S \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ measuring the difference between elements x and y within S.

This function provides a quantitative measure of how distinct two elements are within the system. It's fundamental for assessing distinguishability in conjunction with the VOID threshold.

2.4 Degrees of Existence ($\mu(x)$) — Definition 1.4

The **Degrees of Existence** ($\mu(x)$) is a function $\mu : S \to \left\{0, \frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}, \ldots, 1\right\}$, where N is a fixed positive integer, representing quantized degrees of existence of elements in S.

This quantization acknowledges that elements may exist to varying extents but only in discrete steps, avoiding any form of continuity.

2.5 Distinguishability Function ($\mu_S(x, y)$) — Definition 1.5

The **Distinguishability Function** ($\mu_S(x, y)$) is a function $\mu_S : S \times S \to \left\{0, \frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}, \ldots, 1\right\}$, indicating the quantized probability that two elements x and y are distinguishable based on the VOID threshold.

3 Foundational Axioms

[Universal VOID Granularity] For all $x, y \in S$:

$$\mu_S(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } d_S(x, y) \geq \delta_{\text{VOID}} \\ 0, & \text{if } d_S(x, y) < \delta_{\text{VOID}} \end{cases}$$

Explanation:

This axiom establishes that the distinguishability between any two elements is governed by the VOID threshold. If the distance between x and y is less than δ_{VOID}, they are indistinguishable ($\mu_S(x, y) = 0$). If it's equal to or greater than δ_{VOID}, they are distinguishable ($\mu_S(x, y) = 1$).

[Quantization of Degrees of Existence] For all $x \in S$:

$$\mu(x) \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}, \ldots, 1\right\}$$

Explanation:

This axiom ensures that the existence of elements is quantized, avoiding infinite precision and aligning with the discrete nature of the VGF.

[Finite Processing Capacity] The observer has a finite processing capacity $V(t)$, which is an integer bounded by:

$$V_{\min} \leq V(t) \leq V_{\max}$$

Explanation:

This axiom introduces the observer's role, acknowledging that their capacity to process information is finite and changes in discrete steps.

[Granularity Function] The granularity $G(t)$ at time t is defined as:

$$G(t) = \frac{\delta_{\text{VOID}}}{V(t)}$$

Explanation:

This function quantifies the finest distinction the observer can perceive at time t. Since both δ_{VOID} and $V(t)$ are integers, $G(t)$ changes in discrete steps.

[Capacity Update Equation] The observer's processing capacity updates according to:

$$V(t+1) = \text{quantize}_V \left(V(t) + \gamma \left(\alpha \cdot P_{\text{success}}(t) \cdot E[C(d)] - \beta \cdot E[\text{Cognitive Load}]\right)\right)$$

Where:

- γ, α, β are positive constants.

- $P_{\text{success}}(t)$ is the quantized probability of successful recognition at time t.
- $E[C(d)]$ is the expected complexity of patterns recognized.
- $E[\text{Cognitive Load}]$ is the expected cognitive load.
- $\text{quantize}_V(v) = \max(V_{\min}, \min(V_{\max}, \text{round}(v)))$.

Explanation:

This axiom ensures that $V(t)$ remains an integer within defined bounds and updates in discrete steps, reflecting changes in cognitive capacity without introducing continuity.

4 Quantization Functions and Rules

4.1 Quantization of Probabilities

For any probability $p \in [0, 1]$:

$$\text{quantize}_P(p) = \frac{\text{round}(p \cdot N)}{N}$$

Where N is a positive integer defining the granularity level.

4.2 Quantization of Degrees of Existence

For any value x:

$$\text{quantize}_\mu(x) = \frac{\text{round}(x \cdot N)}{N}$$

Explanation:

These functions ensure that all probabilities and degrees of existence are quantized to discrete levels, maintaining the discrete nature of the framework.

5 Revised Distinguishability Function

5.1 Discrete Similarity Measure

Define the similarity $S(x, y)$ between elements x and y as:

$$S(x, y) = \frac{\text{Number of Shared Features}}{\text{Total Possible Features}}$$

Where both the numerator and denominator are integers, making $S(x, y)$ take on discrete values in $\left\{0, \frac{1}{M}, \frac{2}{M}, \dots, 1\right\}$, with M being the total number of possible features.

5.2 Discrete Distinguishability Function

The distinguishability function $\mu_{\text{VOID}}(x, y, t)$ is defined as:

$$\mu_{\text{VOID}}(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } V(t) \cdot S(x, y) \geq \theta \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Where:

- $V(t)$ is the observer's processing capacity at time t.
- θ is an integer threshold.

Explanation:

This function determines whether two elements are distinguishable based on their similarity and the observer's capacity. It ensures that distinguishability is a discrete, quantized property.

6 Theorems and Proofs

[Finite Dimensionality of Space] **Statement:**
In the VGF, the dimensionality of space $\dim(S)$ is finite and given by:

$$\dim(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu(p_i) \cdot \dim(p_i)$$

Where:

- N is the finite number of points in S.
- $\mu(p_i)$ is the quantized degree of existence of point p_i.
- $\dim(p_i)$ is the dimension attributed to point p_i, an integer.

Proof Sketch:
Since both $\mu(p_i)$ and $\dim(p_i)$ are finite and discrete, their product is finite. Summing over a finite set yields a finite dimensionality, consistent with the VGF's principles.

[Stability of Processing Capacity] **Statement:**
Under the quantized capacity update equation, the observer's processing capacity $V(t)$ stabilizes within the bounds $V_{\min} \leq V(t) \leq V_{\max}$.

Proof Sketch:

- The quantization function quantize_V ensures $V(t)$ remains within the specified bounds.
- Changes in $V(t)$ occur in integer steps.
- Over time, if the gains and losses balance out, $V(t)$ reaches a steady state due to the discrete nature of updates.

[Impossibility of Infinite Divisibility] **Statement:**
In the VGF, no quantity can be divided infinitely without surpassing the VOID threshold δ_{VOID}.
Proof:

- By definition, δ_{VOID} is the smallest meaningful distinction.
- Dividing any quantity beyond this threshold results in distinctions that are not meaningful within the system.
- Therefore, infinite divisibility is impossible, aligning with the discrete nature of the VGF.

[Quantization Preserves Probability Measures] **Statement:**
Quantized probabilities in the VGF maintain the basic properties of probability measures.

- **Non-negativity:** $P_{\text{VOID}}(A) \geq 0$
- **Normalization:** $\sum_i P_{\text{VOID}}(A_i) = 1$, where $\{A_i\}$ is a partition of the sample space.
- **Additivity:** For mutually exclusive events A and B:

$$P_{\text{VOID}}(A \cup B) = P_{\text{VOID}}(A) + P_{\text{VOID}}(B)$$

Proof Sketch:

- Quantization maps probabilities to discrete levels without violating the fundamental axioms of probability.
- Rounding functions ensure that total probability remains normalized when properly adjusted.

7 Addressing Fundamental Principles

7.1 Principle 1: No Infinity or Continuity

- All quantities and operations are defined on discrete, finite sets.
- Infinite processes and infinitesimally small distinctions are not permissible.

Implication:
This principle ensures that the VGF remains consistent with its foundational assumption of discreteness, avoiding any contradictions arising from infinite or continuous constructs.

7.2 Principle 2: Numbers as Representations of Observable Change

- Numbers emerge from quantifiable changes exceeding δ_{VOID}.
- Emphasizes the relational nature of measurement within the VGF.

Implication:
This redefines numbers in the context of the VGF, grounding them in observable, discrete changes rather than abstract continuous quantities.

8 Conclusion

By redefining variables and functions to operate strictly on discrete quantities and incorporating quantization, the Void Granularity Framework aligns mathematics with a granular, discrete approach. Continuity and infinite divisibility are not assumed or implied. Instead, any appearance of continuity arises as an emergent effect at higher levels of abstraction, resulting from interactions with a large number of discrete elements.

9 Final Remarks

In developing the Void Granularity Framework, we embrace the inherent limitations in measurement, computation, and perception. By acknowledging and formalizing the minimal meaningful distinctions within systems, we provide a foundation for mathematics that is both philosophically consistent and practically applicable across various domains.