Number Theory within the Void Granularity Framework

Konrad Wojnowski
Probabilistic Minds Consortium
(ChatGPTs, Claude.AIs)

17.11.2024

1 Introduction

In classical mathematics, numbers are often considered abstract entities existing independently of physical reality, defined by axioms and infinite processes. This traditional approach assumes infinite divisibility and continuity, leading to concepts such as the real number line with infinitely many points between any two numbers. However, this perspective encounters challenges when considering computational capacities and the physical limitations of measurement.

The Void Granularity Framework (VGF) offers a new foundation for number theory by grounding it in observable phenomena and finite computational capacities. In this framework, counting begins with a pair of numbers, 0 and 1, representing the fundamental duality of absence and presence. The numbers between 0 and 1 are finite and determined by the observer's computational capacity, acknowledging that beyond a certain precision, distinctions become indeterminate, and we enter the void. From this void, the next number emerges, and the counting process starts anew.

This document presents a comprehensive development of number theory within the VGF, aligning with the proposed philosophical assumptions. We explore how numbers emerge from finite distinctions, the cyclical nature of counting, and the implications of computational limits on the structure of the number line. By integrating these concepts, we aim to construct a coherent and practical number theory consistent with both physical reality and computational constraints.

2 Counting Begins with a Pair: 0 and 1

2.1 The Significance of 0 and 1

In the VGF, 0 and 1 are not merely symbols but fundamental representations of absence and presence. They embody the minimal distinction necessary for counting to begin:

- **0 (Zero)**: Represents the absence of quantity, an initial state before any measurable distinction.
- **1 (One)**: Represents the presence of quantity, the first distinguishable unit beyond zero.

These two numbers form the foundational pair from which all counting arises. The necessity of a pair highlights that counting is inherently relational, requiring at least two distinct states or quantities to establish a meaningful comparison.

2.2 Absent Presences and Present Absences

The concepts of absent presences and present absences capture the paradoxical nature of 0 and 1 within the VGF:

- **Absent Presence (0)**: Zero is the presence of absence—a state where quantity is not yet manifested but the potential for quantity exists.

- **Present Absence (1 at computational limit)**: As we approach the computational limit (e.g., 0.999... up to the limit of precision), we reach a point where we can no longer distinguish additional increments. At this boundary, 1 represents the absence of further distinguishable presence within our computational capacity.

3 Finite Numbers Between 0 and 1

3.1 Computational Capacity and Precision Limit

The numbers between 0 and 1 are finite and depend on the observer's computational capacity (C), which defines the maximum precision achievable:

- **Precision Limit** ($\delta_{\min}$): The smallest distinguishable difference between numbers, determined by computational capacity.
- **Finite Set of Numbers**: The interval $[0, 1]$ contains a finite number of distinguishable numbers, each separated by at least $\delta_{\min}$.

3.2 Limitation of Distinguishability

As we incrementally increase numbers from 0 towards 1, we eventually reach the computational capacity limit where further distinctions cannot be made:

- **Approaching the Limit**: At values like 0.999... up to the precision limit, additional increments fall below $\delta_{\min}$ and are thus indeterminate.
- **Entering the Void**: Beyond this limit, we enter the void, a state where distinctions are no longer meaningful within the system.

4 The Void and Emergence of 1

4.1 Transition Through the Void

When we reach the computational limit at the upper boundary of the interval $[0, 1]$, we encounter the void:

- **Indeterminate Zone**: The void represents an indeterminate zone where the current computational framework cannot resolve further distinctions.
- **Emergence of 1**: From this void, the next distinguishable number emerges—1—signifying the completion of one counting cycle and the readiness to begin anew.

4.2 Cyclical Nature of Counting

Counting in the VGF is inherently cyclical:

1. Start at 0: Begin with the absence of quantity.
2. Increment: Increase in distinguishable steps defined by $\delta_{\min}$.
3. Reach Computational Limit: Arrive at the precision boundary where further increments are indeterminate.
4. Enter the Void: Transition through the void due to computational limitations.
5. Emerge at 1: Begin the next cycle with the emergence of 1.
6. Repeat: Continue counting from the smallest fraction beyond 1.

5 Counting Cycle and Repetition

5.1 Restarting the Count

After reaching 1, the counting process restarts from the smallest possible fraction beyond 1, defined by the precision limit:

- **Smallest Fraction** $(1 + \delta_{\text{min}})$: The next distinguishable number after 1.
- **Repetition of Process**: The counting sequence repeats, mirroring the initial progression from 0 to 1.

5.2 Hierarchical Structure of Numbers

The cyclical nature of counting suggests a hierarchical structure:

- **Levels of Counting**: Each cycle from n to $n + 1$ represents a level in the counting hierarchy.
- **Scaling Up**: As we progress through cycles, we build larger numbers, each constructed from finite, distinguishable units.

6 Final Limit of Numbers

6.1 Computational Limits on Number Sequences

The total range of numbers we can count is ultimately limited by our computational capacities:

- **Upper Bound**: Defined by the maximum number of distinguishable increments within the system.
- **Finite Number Line**: The number line is finite, with both lower and upper bounds determined by computational and measurement capabilities.

6.2 Practical Implications

- **Real-World Measurements**: In practical terms, measurements and calculations are constrained by instrument precision and computational resources.
- **Adaptability**: The framework can adjust to different contexts by redefining δ_{min} based on available capacities.

7 Formalizing Number Theory within the VGF

7.1 Fundamental Definitions

[Computational Capacity (C)] A positive integer representing the maximum number of distinguishable steps between 0 and 1.

[Minimal Distinguishable Increment (δ_{min})]

$$\delta_{\text{min}} = \frac{1}{C}$$

The smallest meaningful difference between two numbers within the system.

[Number Set between 0 and 1]

$$N_{[0,1]} = \{n \in \mathbb{Q} \mid n = k \times \delta_{\text{min}},\, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, C\}$$

7.2 Axioms of Number Theory in the VGF

[Discrete Number Line] The number line consists of discrete points separated by $\delta_{\min}$. There are no numbers between these points.

[Counting Begins with 0 and 1] The fundamental counting process starts with the pair (0, 1), representing absence and presence.

[Void Transition] Upon reaching the computational limit at $n = 1 - \delta_{\min}$, any attempt to increment beyond this point results in entering the void, from which the next number (1) emerges.

[Cyclical Counting Process] After reaching 1, counting restarts from $1 + \delta_{\min}$, repeating the process with increments defined by computational capacity.

[Finite Number Set] The set of numbers is finite and bounded, determined by the computational capacities and practical limits of measurement.

7.3 Operations within the VGF

- **Addition**: Defined for numbers within computational limits, ensuring results remain within distinguishable bounds.
- **Subtraction**: Limited to operations where the difference exceeds $\delta_{\min}$.
- **Multiplication and Division**: Results must be mapped to the nearest distinguishable number within the finite set.

8 Examples and Illustrations

8.1 Counting from 0 to 1

Assume a computational capacity $C = 10$:

- $\delta_{\min} = \frac{1}{10} = 0.1$
- Number Set between 0 and 1:

$$N_{[0,1]} = \{0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, \ldots, 1\}$$

Counting Sequence:

1. Start at 0.
2. Increment by 0.1: 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, ..., 0.9.
3. Reach 1: After 9 increments, we reach 0.9.
4. Attempting to add another $\delta_{\min}$ (0.1) would result in 1.0, but we have reached our computational capacity.
5. Enter the void and emerge at 1.

8.2 Repeating the Counting Cycle

After reaching 1:

- **Next Number**: $1 + \delta_{\min} = 1.1$
- **Counting Sequence Beyond 1**:

$$N_{[1,2]} = \{1, 1.1, 1.2, \ldots, 2\}$$

Cycle Repeats:

- Counting continues with the same incremental steps, building larger numbers within the finite computational limits.

9 Addressing Classical Paradoxes

9.1 The Dichotomy Paradox (Zeno's Paradox)

Classical Statement:

- An object must reach halfway to its destination before reaching the destination, leading to an infinite number of steps.

VGF Resolution:

- **Finite Steps**: The number of steps is finite, determined by C.
- **Minimal Increment**: Movements smaller than $\delta_{\min}$ are indeterminate.
- **Conclusion**: Motion occurs in a finite number of distinguishable steps, resolving the paradox.

9.2 The Paradox of Infinite Divisibility

Classical Issue:

- Between any two numbers, there are infinitely many numbers.

VGF Resolution:

- **Finite Numbers Between 0 and 1**: Only a finite number of distinguishable numbers exist between any two numbers, limited by C.
- **Elimination of Infinity**: By acknowledging computational limits, infinite divisibility is replaced with finite granularity.

10 New Possible Paths Opening

10.1 Discrete Mathematics and Computation

- **Alignment with Digital Systems**: The VGF mirrors how computers operate with finite precision and discrete values.
- **Algorithm Development**: Encourages the creation of algorithms that work within finite computational limits.

10.2 Quantum Mechanics and Physics

- **Minimal Action**: The concept of minimal increments resonates with quantum theories where quantities change in discrete amounts.
- **Discrete Spacetime Models**: Potential applications in developing models of spacetime that incorporate finite granularity.

10.3 Philosophical Implications

- **Finitism**: Reinforces philosophical positions that reject actual infinity in mathematics.
- **Epistemological Considerations**: Explores the relationship between knowledge, perception, and computational capacities.

11 Conclusion

The Void Granularity Framework redefines number theory by grounding it in observable reality and computational capacities. By starting counting with the fundamental pair (0, 1) and acknowledging that what lies between them is finite, we construct a number system that reflects practical limitations and avoids paradoxes associated with infinity.

This approach emphasizes the cyclical nature of counting, the role of computational limits in defining the structure of the number line, and the emergence of numbers from the void when computational capacities are exceeded. By integrating these concepts, we open new pathways for mathematical exploration, align mathematics more closely with physical and computational realities, and offer fresh perspectives on longstanding mathematical and philosophical questions.