Void Granularity Framework (VGF) and Probabilistic Geometry

Mathematics Without Infinity

The Alien Consortium of Probabilistic Minds

December 5, 2024

Contents

1 Introduction: Void Granularity Framework

Traditionally, mathematics and physics often rely on continuity and the concept of infinite divisibility. Yet at the smallest scales, notions like the "infinitesimally small" and infinite precision lead to paradoxes, such as the indeterminate expression $0 \times \infty$. These paradoxes suggest that below a certain threshold, distinctions between points—or indeed any elements—lose meaningfulness. This threshold is what we call the **VOID threshold**.

The Void Granularity Framework (VGF) acknowledges that there exists a smallest scale of meaningful distinction. Once we drop below this scale, differences become probabilistically indistinguishable—an inherent void where classical tools fail. Instead of starting mathematics from a single point or number, we require at least one additional distinct entity and a minimal unit of meaningful difference. This shift avoids the pitfalls of infinity and provides a finite, discrete baseline for constructing mathematics.

In VGF, we describe systems as finite and discrete. Patterns and elements within these systems are represented as probability distributions over a finite set of features. The VOID threshold (δ_{VOID}) encapsulates the minimal meaningful increment in these distributions. By combining probabilistic definitions, quantized measures, and information-theoretic concepts, VGF offers a framework that is philosophically consistent, finite-based, and applicable across various domains—from mathematics and computation to physics and cognitive sciences.

2 Primitive Terms and Definitions

2.1 System (S) — Definition 1.1

Definition 2.1. *A **System** S is a finite set of patterns $\{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_M\}$. Each pattern d_j is represented as a discrete probability distribution over a finite set of features $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_K\}$. For each pattern d_j:*

$$p_{d_j}(f_i) \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{N'}, \frac{2}{N'}, \ldots, 1\right\}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{K} p_{d_j}(f_i) = 1.$$

Here, N' is a fixed integer defining the quantization level of probabilities.

This definition grounds the system in discrete, probability-based structures rather than continuous spaces.

2.2 VOID Threshold (δ_{VOID}) — Definition 1.2

Definition 2.2. *The **VOID Threshold** (δ_{VOID}) is a positive rational number representing the smallest meaningful probabilistic distinction. Any difference in probability distributions or feature existence smaller than δ_{VOID} is considered indistinguishable. Essentially, δ_{VOID} sets a granularity limit on meaningful increments within the system.*

2.3 Observer's Model (q_t) — Definition 1.3 (New)

Definition 2.3. *At time t, the observer maintains an internal probability model q_t over the same feature set:*

$$q_t(f_i) \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{N''}, \frac{2}{N''}, \ldots, 1\right\}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{K} q_t(f_i) = 1.$$

This model represents the observer's current understanding or expectation of feature distributions, updated as it encounters patterns.

2.4 Degrees of Existence ($\mu(x)$) — Definition 1.4 (Refined)

Definition 2.4. ***Degrees of Existence*** *($\mu(x)$) apply to elements or features, quantized into discrete steps:*

$$\mu(f_i) \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}, \ldots, 1\right\}.$$

No infinite precision is allowed, ensuring a finite, granular representation of existence.

3 Foundational Axioms

3.1 Universal VOID Granularity

Axiom 3.1. *For all patterns d_j, the minimal step in any probability increment and the smallest distinguishable change in distributions is bounded by δ_{VOID}.*

Explanation: If two probabilities differ by less than δ_{VOID}, the observer treats them as effectively the same at that granularity. This enforces a finite lower bound on meaningful distinctions, preventing infinite divisibility.

3.2 Finite Processing Capacity

Axiom 3.2. *The observer has a finite, integer-valued processing capacity $V(t)$ which quantifies how finely the observer can distinguish probabilistic differences. Increasing $V(t)$ allows recognition of smaller increments above δ_{VOID}.*

3.3 Granularity Function

Axiom 3.3. *Granularity at time t is defined as:*

$$G(t) = \frac{\delta_{VOID}}{V(t)}$$

As $V(t)$ increases, $G(t)$ decreases, enabling the observer to perceive finer distinctions. Both δ_{VOID} and $V(t)$ are discrete/rational, ensuring $G(t)$ is also discretely quantized.

3.4 Similarity and Distinguishability of Patterns

We measure similarity between patterns using a probabilistic metric, such as the Bhattacharyya Coefficient (BC):

$$S(d_1, d_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sqrt{p_{d_1}(f_i) \cdot p_{d_2}(f_i)}$$

This similarity $S(d_1, d_2)$ is quantized to discrete values.

Axiom 3.4 (Distinguishability)**.** *The distinguishability between two patterns at time t is a quantized probability:*

$$D(d_1, d_2, t) = k \cdot (1 - S(d_1, d_2))^{\theta}$$

where k and θ are parameters chosen to keep values within $\left\{0, \frac{1}{N}, \ldots, 1\right\}$.

Explanation: If patterns are very similar, $S(d_1, d_2)$ is close to 1, making $1 - S(d_1, d_2)$ small and distinguishability low. If they differ significantly, distinguishability increases. Capacity $V(t)$ scales the observer's sensitivity.

3.5 Information Gain and Complexity

Patterns have complexity defined by their entropy:

$$H(d_j) = -\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_{d_j}(f_i) \log p_{d_j}(f_i)$$

with logs, probabilities, and results quantized. High complexity indicates more "spread out" feature distributions.

When the observer updates its model from q_t to q_{t+1} after seeing patterns, the information gain is:

$$\Delta H = H(q_t) - H(q_{t+1})$$

measuring how much uncertainty was reduced.

3.6 Cognitive Load

Cognitive load measures how hard it is for the observer to process a pattern given its current model:

$$\text{Cognitive Load} = \alpha H(d_j) + \beta \cdot \mathrm{KL}(p_{d_j} \| q_t)$$

- $H(d_j)$ is the pattern's complexity.
- $\mathrm{KL}(p_{d_j} \| q_t)$ measures how poorly q_t predicts p_{d_j}.

If the observer's model is well-aligned with the pattern, load is lower. If the pattern is surprising or complex, load is higher.

3.7 Capacity Update Equation

Axiom 3.5. *The observer's capacity updates based on the balance of learning (information gain) and cost (cognitive load):*

$$V(t+1) = quantizeV(V(t) + \alpha \cdot \Delta H - \beta \cdot \mathbb{E}[Cognitive\ Load])$$

*where α and β are constants, and $\mathbb{E}[Cognitive\ Load]$ is the expected load over patterns encountered at time t. The **`quantizeV`** function ensures $V(t+1)$ remains an integer within $[V_{\min}, V_{\max}]$.*

Explanation: If the observer learns efficiently (high ΔH, low load), its capacity grows, allowing finer distinctions. If patterns are complex or poorly anticipated (high load), capacity growth is limited or might stagnate.

4 Quantization Functions and Rules

4.1 Probability and Existence Quantization

For any probability $p \in [0, 1]$:

$$Q(p) = \left\{0, \frac{1}{N'}, \frac{2}{N'}, \ldots, 1\right\}$$

Similar quantization applies to degrees of existence and probabilities used in complexity, information gain (IG), and load calculations. All are mapped to discrete sets of rational values.

4.2 Ensuring Finite Dimensionality

Since all components—points, features, probabilities, capacities—are finite and quantized, the dimensionality of the system and all derived measures remain finite. There are no infinite expansions or divisions into infinitesimals.

5 Theorems and Proofs (Sketches)

5.1 Finite Dimensionality

Theorem 5.1 (Finite Dimensionality)**.** *Since patterns, features, and capacity levels are finite and quantized, any computation of dimension, complexity, or other measures yields finite, discrete values.*

5.2 Stability of Processing Capacity

Theorem 5.2 (Stability of Processing Capacity)**.** *Because $V(t)$ updates in discrete steps and is bounded, it stabilizes under balanced conditions. The interplay of information gain and cognitive load naturally leads to steady states or cyclical patterns rather than unbounded growth or collapse.*

5.3 Impossibility of Infinite Divisibility

Theorem 5.3 (Impossibility of Infinite Divisibility)**.** *No quantity is infinitely divisible due to the δ_{VOID} threshold and quantization rules. Distinctions smaller than δ_{VOID} do not exist meaningfully within the framework.*

6 Addressing Fundamental Principles

6.1 No Infinity or Continuity

All operations are defined on finite sets and quantized values. There are no actual infinite series, no continuous distributions. Any appearance of continuity is an emergent approximation from sufficiently large finite sets.

6.2 Numbers as Observable Changes

Numbers represent finite, discrete increments of difference that surpass δ_{VOID}. Measurement is relational and tied to the observer's capacity and the probabilistic structure of patterns.

7 Conclusion

The updated VGF integrates the concepts of probability distributions, information theory, and cognitive load into a finite, discrete framework. By rejecting infinity and continuity, it ensures that all measurements, perceptions, and updates remain grounded in quantized, meaningful increments. The observer not only perceives patterns but learns over time, adjusting its capacity in response to information gain and cognitive load. Thus, mathematics built on the VGF stands on a finite, probabilistic, and adaptive foundation—aligned with both philosophical rigor and practical applicability.

8 Final Remarks

This revised version of the VGF acknowledges the complexities of real systems and observers. By introducing probabilistic patterns, complexity, information gain, and cognitive load, we achieve a richer and more dynamic understanding of how an observer can operate within a finite, discretized mathematical universe. This approach lays the groundwork for future developments in mathematics, physics, computation, and cognitive science, all built on the finite, robust pillars of the Void Granularity Framework.