

PRIOR GEOMETRY: EXTENSIVE FORMALIZATION PART 3

SECTION 10: ADDITIONAL FORMAL DEFINITIONS AND PROPERTIES

10.1 Minimal Increment Transitions

- (10.1.1) Define a minimal increment transition between patterns x and y at (a, e) if $d_{(a,e)}(x, y) = 1$. That is, they differ by exactly one increment of probability distribution.
- (10.1.2) Construct a graph $G_{(a,e)}$ with vertices as stable patterns and edges representing minimal increment transitions. This graph encodes geometric adjacency.

10.2 Polygonal Loops and Inside/Outside

- (10.2.1) A polygonal loop $C = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a simple cycle in $G_{(a,e)}$.
- (10.2.2) Removing edges of C from $G_{(a,e)}$ splits the graph into components. Designate one reference pattern x_{ref} known as “outside.” Patterns not reachable from x_{ref} after removing C are “inside” the loop.
- (10.2.3) Thus, polygonal loops define finite analogs of planar curves and inside/outside regions, purely combinational and finite.

10.3 Refinement of Numeric Scales

- (10.3.1) Consider two numeric scales: $\{0, 1/L, \dots, 1\}$ and $\{0, 1/M, \dots, 1\}$. To combine them, find capacity a' with increments $1/R$ where $R \geq \text{lcm}(L, M)$. Both scales embed into the finer scale.
- (10.3.2) This embedding shows how rational increments from different intervals can be reconciled into a common refined scale.

10.4 Negative Increments and Symmetry

- (10.4.1) Define negative increments by choosing a chain in the opposite direction. For any increment k/L , a corresponding pattern chain in the reverse order defines $-k/L$.
- (10.4.2) Thus, negative increments appear as reversible steps along stable pattern chains, ensuring that if we interpret increments as “positions,” negative increments represent going backward.

10.5 Representing Integers and Basic Arithmetic

- (10.5.1) Integers appear as repeated increments of a chosen unit increment $1/N(a)$. For example, $3 * (1/N(a))$ is just the third pattern after the start in a chain.
- (10.5.2) Since all arithmetic is finite, representing large integers requires longer chains or higher capacity states.

10.6 Algebraic Closure and Finite Domains

- (10.6.1) Within a given capacity a , the set of representable increments is finite. Addition and multiplication remain closed within this finite set of rational increments.
- (10.6.2) If a desired rational number cannot be represented exactly at capacity a , refine to a' with larger $N(a')$ until representation is possible. If no capacity within Ω can represent it exactly, we approximate it by the closest available increment.

10.7 Actions on Patterns and Dynamic Loops

- (10.7.1) Changing $(a, e) \rightarrow (a', e')$ modifies μ and p_x distributions, potentially altering which patterns are stable and the structure of lines and polygons.
- (10.7.2) This dynamical aspect shows that geometry and arithmetic are not fixed but depend on the joint state and can evolve over time.

10.8 Comparison with Classical Infinite Concepts

- (10.8.1) Classical number theory relies on infinite sets and limits. Here, no actual infinity is present. All sets are finite at each step.
- (10.8.2) Approximations to classical concepts like real numbers, continuity, or measure theory are done by capacity refinement and selection of increasingly large $N(a)$.
- (10.8.3) Without infinite sets, no perfect realization of π, e , or irrational numbers occurs. Instead, we get sequences of rational increments approximating them to arbitrary precision, constrained by Ω .

SECTION 11: PROOF SKETCHES AND CONSISTENCY ARGUMENTS

11.1 Consistency with Probability Axioms

- (11.1.1) Probability measures $\mu^{(a,e)}(x)$ and distributions $p_x^{(a,e)}(g)$ are finite rational numbers.
- (11.1.2) Normalization conditions are easily met by assigning uniform or arbitrary rational distributions that sum to 1, requiring no infinite processes.

11.2 Updating without Contradictions

- (11.2.1) For each $(a, e) \rightarrow (a', e')$, define U_μ and U_p as simple piecewise functions or lookup tables. Since A and E are finite, and increments are finite rationals, no contradictions arise.
- (11.2.2) Probability updates remain finite transformations, ensuring internal consistency at every step.

11.3 Constructing a Model

- (11.3.1) Start with minimal sets: $A = \{a1\}$, $E = \{e1\}$, $X = \{x1\}$, $G_{x1} = \{g11, g12\}$, etc.
- (11.3.2) Assign $\mu^{(a1, e1)}(x1) = 1$ and $p_{x1}^{(a1, e1)}(g11) = 1$, trivial stable pattern.
- (11.3.3) Add more states, patterns, and features step by step, always ensuring finite rational increments. This yields at least one non-empty model, proving the system is consistent.

SECTION 12: APPLICATION TO INTEGER SCALES AND RATIONALS

12.1 Integer Approximations

- (12.1.1) Fix capacity a . The smallest increment is $1/N(a)$. Counting k increments from a baseline pattern defines $k/N(a)$.
- (12.1.2) If $N(a) = 10$, for example, increments are multiples of 0.1. Integers like 1,2,3 appear as $10/10, 20/10, 30/10$ but must remain within representable sets.

12.2 Rational Density under Refinement

- (12.2.1) Increasing capacity state $a \rightarrow a'$ with $N(a') = N(a) * Q$ introduces finer increments of size $1/(N(a) * Q)$, making the rationals denser.
- (12.2.2) Thus, by repeated refinements (bounded by Ω), we simulate rational density up to the complexity limit.

12.3 Operations with Minimal Error

- (12.3.1) For any target rational number r , we can approximate r by $k/N(a')$ at a sufficiently high capacity a' .
- (12.3.2) The error $|r - k/N(a')| < \epsilon$ if we choose a' so $N(a') > k/(\epsilon)$. This process requires no infinite limit, just a finite large $N(a')$.

SECTION 13: STRUCTURED COMPLEXES AND MAPPINGS

13.1 Complexes of Patterns

- (13.1.1) Define a finite set of patterns arranged so that minimal increment edges form higher structures like cubes, tetrahedra, etc.
- (13.1.2) Each complex remains finite, and each topological property (connectedness, cycles) is computed combinationally.

13.2 Maps Between Pattern Sets

- (13.2.1) A map $F : X \rightarrow X$ between pattern sets can be defined increment-wise. If increments correspond to rational numbers, F can represent linear transformations over finite vector spaces.
- (13.2.2) Changing capacity refines these maps, allowing finer approximations of linear maps and eigen decompositions.

SECTION 14: NO SOLIPSISM PRINCIPLE

14.1 Joint Dependence on (a,e)

- (14.1.1) Probability structures $p_x^{(a,e)}$ depend on both observer state a and environment e . This prevents solipsism: distributions are not purely subjective but constrained by external signals.
- (14.1.2) As $(a, e) \rightarrow (a', e')$, environment changes force recalculation of probabilities, ensuring external grounding.

14.2 Capacity and External Constraints

- (14.2.1) Higher capacity states reveal finer distinctions in signals S_e . If S_e changes drastically, patterns compatible with S_e must also change.
- (14.2.2) This coupling ensures that the finite increments are always tied to external conditions, not abstractly chosen.

SECTION 15: STABILITY AND EMERGENT REGULARITIES

15.1 Attractors as Stable Patterns

- (15.1.1) If repeated environmental interactions lead to certain x always having high $\mu^{(a,e)}(x)$ and stable $p_x^{(a,e)}$, x is an emergent stable pattern.
- (15.1.2) Such attractors represent geometric objects: points, lines, etc., formed by consistent probability assignments.

15.2 Parameter Variation

- (15.2.1) Varying capacity systematically reveals more subtle patterns and increments.
- (15.2.2) At low capacity, multiple stable patterns might appear merged as one pattern. At high capacity, they differentiate into several distinct stable patterns.

SECTION 16: SYNTHESIS OF THE FORMAL SYSTEM

16.1 Summary

- Finite sets A, E, X, G_x
- Probability increments quantized by $N(a)$
- Capacity $V(a)$ controls granularity
- Stability defines geometric-like entities
- Refined increments yield rational arithmetic
- Complex algebraic structures built from finite increments
- Approximation of classical concepts via capacity refinement
- Finite complexity Ω forbids true infinity

16.2 Concluding Formal Remarks

- (16.2.1) The entire framework is self-contained, finite, and relies on no infinite sets, no limits, and no continuity arguments.
- (16.2.2) By careful selection of increments, chains, and distributions, all classical-like structures (numbers, geometry, algebra) appear as finite approximations.
- (16.2.3) This ensures a consistent, finite, rational-based mathematical universe where complexity and capacity guide the emergence of structured concepts.