Number Theory within the Void Granularity Framework

Probabilistic Minds Consortium

December 6, 2024

Contents

1 Introduction

Classical mathematics envisions numbers as ideal, perfectly precise abstractions. This leads to infinite divisibility and the notion of a real number line with infinitely many points between any two numbers. Such idealizations, however, stand at odds with practical realities: physical measurement limits, finite computational capacities, and the inherently probabilistic nature of observation. In a finite, capacity-dependent, and probabilistic world, infinite precision and absolute certainty cannot be attained.

The **Void Granularity Framework** (VGF) refines number theory by incorporating these real-world constraints. In the VGF:

- **Minimal Meaningful Distinction** δ_{VOID}: Determines the smallest resolvable increment at a given time.
- **Observer's Capacity** $V(t)$: A dynamic factor influencing how finely increments can be distinguished.
- **Distinguishability Functions** (Static and Dynamic): Determine which differences are perceptible, potentially evolving over time as $V(t)$ changes.
- **Finite Information Limit** Ω: Ensures complexity and refinement cannot expand without bound.

Crucially, the foundational pair of numbers—0 and 1—are not perfect absolutes. Each simultaneously embodies "absent presence" and "present absence." There is no pure zero or pure one; both represent conceptual anchors that remain probabilistic and capacity-dependent. Extending these ideas also allows us to accommodate negative numbers within the same framework. Negative numbers too emerge from finite increments, void transitions, and observer-dependent distinguishability, mirroring the structure found between 0 and 1 but applied in the opposite direction.

2 Counting Begins with a Duality: 0 and 1

2.1 0 and 1 as Dual Constructs

0 and 1 are conceptual poles marking baseline and boundary conditions:

- **0 and 1 as Absent Presence and Present Absence:** Neither 0 nor 1 is a perfect certainty. Zero suggests a baseline—an absence of quantity—yet by naming it "0," we grant it a presence in our conceptual framework. Similarly, one marks the first measurable unit beyond zero, yet at the limit of resolution, it's also a boundary beyond which we cannot distinguish finer increments. Both 0 and 1 thus carry the dual nature of being simultaneously absent presence and present absence, reflecting the fact that no perfect 0% or 100% certainty exists in a probabilistic, finite-resolution reality.

2.2 The Necessity of a Pair

Counting arises from difference. A single point cannot define scale. The pair (0,1) provides the minimal contrast needed to start defining increments. This minimal contrast sets the stage for building the entire number system from finite increments and transitions through the void.

3 Finite Numbers Between 0 and 1

3.1 Capacity, δ_{VOID}, and Distinguishability

Between 0 and 1, the count of distinguishable increments is finite and depends on:

- $V(t)$: Observer capacity at time t, influencing how small $\delta_{\text{VOID}}(t)$ can be.
- **Distinguishability Functions:** Both static and dynamic forms determine which increments are resolvable. A dynamic DF $\mu_{\text{VOID}}^{\text{dyn}}(x, y, t)$ may render some increments distinguishable at one time but not at another.

As capacity and conditions change, the set of discernible numbers between 0 and 1 can shift, making the number line adaptive and non-static.

3.2 Approaching the Void from Below 1

Incrementing from 0 towards 1 in steps of $\delta_{\text{VOID}}(t)$, we eventually reach a limit where further increments are not perceptible. Beyond this limit lies the void: an indeterminate zone reflecting the impossibility of infinite refinement under finite conditions.

4 The Void and the Emergence of 1

4.1 Void Transition at the Boundary

Upon hitting the capacity-defined boundary near 1, we cross into the void and then designate the next anchor as "1." Yet one does not represent perfect presence—just as zero does not represent pure absence. Both are constructs marking transitions through the void when increments fail to be resolved.

4.2 Cyclical Nature of Counting

This process is cyclical:

1. Start at 0.
2. Count in finite increments defined by $\delta_{\text{VOID}}(t)$.
3. Reach a limit where no finer increments are resolvable and enter the void.
4. Emerge at 1, having completed a cycle.
5. Beyond 1, counting restarts at $1 + \delta_{\text{VOID}}(t)$, possibly with altered conditions and resolution.

5 Counting Cycle and Repetition

5.1 Higher Intervals

After reaching 1, the same logic applies as we progress to [1,2], [2,3], and beyond. Each interval hosts a finite set of increments dependent on current capacity and distinguishability. The number line, rather than infinitely smooth, is a layered, ever-adaptive structure where each integral boundary is accompanied by a void transition.

5.2 Negative Direction and Symmetry

Just as we move from 0 to 1, we can also move from 0 to -1. Negative numbers emerge by considering increments in the opposite direction:

- Starting at 0 and going "downward" in increments of $\delta_{\text{VOID}}(t)$, we approach -1.
- Similar to approaching 1, we encounter a void transition before reaching -1.
- Upon passing through this negative void boundary, we designate the next anchor as "-1."

This symmetry ensures that negative integers and their fractional increments appear as a mirror image of the positive side. The same rules apply: finite increments, observer capacity, dynamic distinguishability, and void transitions govern how many steps can be discerned and when we enter a void. Thus, negative numbers do not represent "less than nothingness" but rather a directionally opposite extension of the finite incremental scale that starts from zero.

6 Final Limits and Finite Information

6.1 Information Limit Ω

Even as we construct integers, fractions, and extend the line both positively and negatively, the entire structure cannot surpass a finite information limit Ω. No infinite complexity, infinite refinement, or infinite extension is possible. Both positive and negative directions are finitely representable under given conditions.

6.2 Practical Implications

- **Measurements and Computations:** Real systems have finite precision and can handle only a finite range of increments in both positive and negative directions.
- **Dynamic Adaptation:** If $V(t)$ increases, we may resolve finer increments, revealing more intermediate numbers between 0 and 1 or between -1 and 0. Similarly, if capacity decreases, some increments vanish into the void again, merging numbers that were once distinct.

7 Formalizing Number Theory within the VGF

7.1 Key Definitions

- **Computational Capacity** $C(t)$: Sets how many increments can be discerned between 0 and 1 at time t. The same applies for intervals $[n, n+1]$ or $[-1, 0]$, etc.
- **Minimal Increment** $\delta_{\text{VOID}}(t) = \frac{1}{C(t)}$: Defines the smallest meaningful step at time t. This step size applies in both positive and negative directions.

- **Number Sets at Any Interval:** For $[0,1]$,

$$N_{[0,1]}(t) = \{k\delta_{\mathrm{VOID}}(t) \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, C(t)\}\,.$$

  Similarly, for $[-1,0]$ at time t:

$$N_{[-1,0]}(t) = \{-k\delta_{\mathrm{VOID}}(t) \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, C(t)\}\,.$$

7.2 Adjusted Axioms

- **Discrete, Dynamic, and Symmetrical Line:** At any time t, both $[0,1]$ and $[-1,0]$ (and all intervals) are discrete sets of increments defined by $\delta_{\mathrm{VOID}}(t)$.
- **Dual Nature of 0, 1, and -1:** Just as 0 and 1 are not pure absolutes, neither is -1. Each integer boundary on the number line—positive or negative—is achieved through a void transition. Negative numbers emerge by "counting downward" from 0 with similar constraints and paradoxical dualities.
- **Void Transitions and Cycles:** Each integral boundary, positive or negative, involves reaching a limit of distinguishable increments and entering a void before emerging at the next integral. The cyclical pattern of counting from integer to integer holds in both directions.
- **Finite Bound and Ω:** The complexity of the entire system—both positive and negative directions—is capped by Ω.

8 Examples and Illustrations

8.1 Negative Intervals

Suppose $C(t) = 10$ and thus $\delta_{\mathrm{VOID}}(t) = 0.1$. Between -1 and 0, we have:

$$N_{[-1,0]}(t) = \{-1.0, -0.9, -0.8, \ldots, 0\}.$$

We approach -1 from 0 by taking finite steps downward. Before reaching -1, increments become indistinguishable at some capacity limit, forcing a void transition. After crossing that void, -1 emerges as the next conceptual anchor "below" zero.

8.2 Changing Capacity and Negative Numbers

If at a later time t', $C(t') = 20$, $\delta_{\mathrm{VOID}}(t') = 0.05$. We now have more increments between 0 and 1, and similarly more increments between -1 and 0. The line "below" zero is refined just as it is above zero, demonstrating symmetry and observer dependence.

9 Resolving Classical Paradoxes and Extending Them Symmetrically

By employing finite increments and capacity-dependent distinguishability:

- **Zeno's Paradoxes:** No infinite sequence of halving exists in either direction. Negative direction halving is also finite and capacity-bound.

- **Infinite Divisibility:** Eliminated in both positive and negative domains. Both sides of zero are equally finite in their subdivisions at any given time.

10 New Perspectives and Negative Numbers

In classical number theory, negative numbers represent a conceptual extension of positive integers to indicate debts or positions below a reference point. In VGF, negative numbers also arise from finite increments, void transitions, and capacity-limited distinguishability. They are symmetrical counterparts to positive numbers, without introducing any new kind of infinity or perfect certainty.

- **Computational Realism:** Digital systems handle negative values with finite precision, mirroring the same constraints that apply to positive values.

- **Physical and Conceptual Symmetry:** Negative numbers do not break the framework's logic. Instead, they confirm it: the same conditions that govern increments from 0 to 1 govern increments from 0 to -1, ensuring uniformity and consistency.

11 Conclusion

Number theory within the VGF is shaped by finite increments, dynamic conditions, and the absence of perfect certainty. The line from negative integers through zero to positive integers is not a continuous infinity but a layered structure. Every integral boundary—positive or negative—emerges from a void, each interval hosting a finite set of increments defined by the current capacity and distinguishability conditions.

This balanced treatment ensures that negative numbers, like their positive counterparts, reflect the same philosophical stance: no perfect absence or presence exists, only finite, observer-dependent increments and transitions through void states at integral boundaries. Thus, negative numbers seamlessly fit into the capacity-driven, finite-information, and probabilistic universe of VGF-based number theory.