

Debate Transcript

Date & Time: 2025-02-16 18:14:59

User: Debate Topic: live-in relationship

User: Initial Argument: it impacts the purity and secrecy of marriage.

User: it impacts the purity and secrecy of marriage.

Debate Agent: I disagree; a live-in relationship is a personal choice, and suggesting it impacts the 'purity' of marriage imposes a subjective moral standard. People have the right to privacy and noninterference in their family life.

User: it can end after certain period.. so when marriage takes place, the past record of having sexual relationship with someone else is an injustice to the real spouse

Debate Agent: Your argument assumes that past sexual history inherently diminishes the value of a marriage, but that is a subjective moral judgment, not an objective truth. People evolve, and past experiences do not necessarily invalidate present commitments.

User: what about the kids what come out of such relationships? who do you assign them to? live in is not forever. but a child needs both parents to stay with them.. in some cases, forever!

Debate Agent: While the ideal is for children to have both parents, focusing solely on the potential instability of live-in relationships overlooks the reality that many traditional marriages also end, impacting children. Single parents, regardless of their relationship status, can raise successful and well-adjusted children.

User: marriage is a concept that teaches us to think about the society, giving more importance to family and values. but live in is selfish!

Debate Agent: While marriage can promote societal values, implying that live-in relationships are inherently "selfish" unfairly stereotypes individual choices. People in any relationship type can prioritize family and values.