



# HSA Platform System Architecture Specification

Revision: Version 1.0 Final • Issue Date: 23 Jan 2015

© 2015 HSA Foundation. All rights reserved.

The contents of this document are provided in connection with the HSA Foundation specifications. This specification is protected by copyright laws and contains material proprietary to the HSA Foundation. It or any components may not be reproduced, republished, distributed, transmitted, displayed, broadcast or otherwise exploited in any manner without the express prior written permission of HSA Foundation. You may use this specification for implementing the functionality therein, without altering or removing any trademark, copyright or other notice from the specification, but the receipt or possession of this specification does not convey any rights to reproduce, disclose, or distribute its contents, or to manufacture, use, or sell anything that it may describe, in whole or in part.

HSA Foundation grants express permission to any current Founder, Promoter, Supporter Contributor, Academic or Associate member of HSA Foundation to copy and redistribute UNMODIFIED versions of this specification in any fashion, provided that NO CHARGE is made for the specification and the latest available update of the specification for any version of the API is used whenever possible. Such distributed specification may be re-formatted AS LONG AS the contents of the specification are not changed in any way. The specification may be incorporated into a product that is sold as long as such product includes significant independent work developed by the seller. A link to the current version of this specification on the HSA Foundation web-site should be included whenever possible with specification distributions.

HSA Foundation makes no, and expressly disclaims any, representations or warranties, express or implied, regarding this specification, including, without limitation, any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose or non-infringement of any intellectual property. HSA Foundation makes no, and expressly disclaims any, warranties, express or implied, regarding the correctness, accuracy, completeness, timeliness, and reliability of the specification. Under no circumstances will the HSA Foundation, or any of its Founders, Promoters, Supporters, Academic, Contributors, and Associates members or their respective partners, officers, directors, employees, agents or representatives be liable for any damages, whether direct, indirect, special or consequential damages for lost revenues, lost profits, or otherwise, arising from or in connection with these materials.

## Acknowledgments

---

This specification is the result of the contributions of many people. Here is a partial list of the contributors, including the companies that they represented at the time of their contribution.

### **AMD**

- Ben Sander
- Brad Beckmann
- Mark Fowler
- Michael Mantor
- Paul Blinzer (Workgroup Chair)
- Rex McCrary
- Tony Tye
- Vinod Tipparaju

### **Roy Ju**

- Stephen Huang

### **Qualcomm**

- Alex Bourd
- Benedict Gaster
- Bob Rychlik
- Derek Hower
- Greg Bellows
- Jamie Esliger
- Lee Howes
- Lihan Bin
- Michael Weber
- PJ Bosley
- Robert J. Simpson
- Wilson Kwan

### **ARM**

- Andrew Rose
- Djordje Kovacevic
- Håkan Persson (Spec Editor)
- Ian Bratt
- Ian Devereux
- Jason Parker
- Richard Grisenthwaite

### **Samsung**

- Ignacio Llamas
- Michael C. Shebanow
- Soojung Ryu

### **General Processor Technologies**

- John Glossner

### **Sony**

- Jim Rasmusson

### **Imagination**

- Andy Glew
- Georg Kolling
- James Aldis
- Jason Meredith
- John Howson
- Mark Rankilor

### **ST Microelectronics**

- Marcello Coppola

### **Mediatek**

- ChienPing Lu
- Fred Liao
- Richard Bagley

## Contents

---

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Acknowledgments .....  | 3  |
| About the HSA Platform System Architecture Specification .....     | 7  |
| Audience .....   | 7  |
| Terminology .....  | 7  |
| HSA Information Sources .....                                      | 7  |
| Revision History .....   | 7  |
| Chapter 1. System Architecture Requirements: Overview .....        | 8  |
| 1.1 What is HSA? .....   | 8  |
| 1.2 Keywords .....   | 8  |
| 1.3 Minimum vs. complete HSA software product .....                | 9  |
| 1.4 HSA programming model .....                                    | 9  |
| 1.5 List of requirements .....                                     | 9  |
| Chapter 2. System Architecture Requirements: Details .....         | 11 |
| 2.1 Requirement: Shared virtual memory .....                       | 11 |
| 2.2 Requirement: Cache coherency domains .....                     | 13 |
| 2.2.1 Read-only image data .....                                   | 13 |
| 2.3 Requirement: Flat addressing .....                             | 13 |
| 2.4 Requirement: Endianess .....                                   | 13 |
| 2.5 Requirement: Signaling and synchronization .....               | 14 |
| 2.6 Requirement: Atomic memory operations .....                    | 16 |
| 2.7 Requirement: HSA system timestamp .....                        | 17 |
| 2.8 Requirement: User mode queuing .....                           | 17 |
| 2.8.1 Queue types .....  | 19 |
| 2.8.2 Queue features .....   | 19 |
| 2.8.3 Queue mechanics .....  | 19 |
| 2.8.4 Multiple vs. single submitting agents .....                  | 21 |
| 2.8.5 Queue index access .....                                     | 22 |
| 2.8.6 Runtime services dispatch queue .....                        | 24 |
| 2.9 Requirement: Architected Queuing Language (AQL) .....          | 24 |
| 2.9.1 Packet header .....  | 25 |
| 2.9.1.1 Acquire fences .....                                       | 25 |
| 2.9.1.2 Release fences .....                                       | 26 |
| 2.9.2 Packet process flow .....                                    | 26 |
| 2.9.3 Error handling .....   | 27 |
| 2.9.4 Vendor-specific packet .....                                 | 27 |
| 2.9.5 Invalid packet .....   | 27 |
| 2.9.6 Kernel dispatch packet .....                                 | 28 |
| 2.9.7 Agent dispatch packet .....                                  | 28 |
| 2.9.8 Barrier-AND packet .....                                     | 29 |
| 2.9.9 Barrier-OR packet .....                                      | 29 |
| 2.9.10 Small machine model .....                                   | 30 |
| 2.10 Requirement: Agent scheduling .....                           | 30 |
| 2.11 Requirement: Kernel agent context switching .....             | 31 |
| 2.12 Requirement: IEEE754-2008 floating point exceptions .....     | 32 |
| 2.13 Requirement: Kernel agent hardware debug infrastructure ..... | 33 |
| 2.14 Requirement: HSA platform topology discovery .....            | 34 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 2.14.1 Introduction .....               | 34 |
| 2.14.2 Topology requirements .....      | 36 |
| 2.14.3 Agent & kernel agent entry ..... | 37 |
| 2.14.4 Memory entry .....               | 38 |
| 2.14.5 Cache entry .....                | 39 |
| 2.14.6 Topology structure example ..... | 39 |
| 2.15 Requirement: Images .....          | 40 |

## Chapter 3. HSA Memory Consistency Model ..... 43

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 3.1 What is a memory consistency model? .....  | 43 |
| 3.2 What is an HSA memory consistency model? .....   | 43 |
| 3.3 HSA memory consistency model definitions .....   | 44 |
| 3.3.1 Operations .....   | 45 |
| 3.3.2 Atomic operations .....  | 46 |
| 3.3.3 Segments .....   | 46 |
| 3.3.4 Ownership .....  | 47 |
| 3.3.5 Scopes .....   | 47 |
| 3.3.6 Scope instances .....  | 47 |
| 3.3.7 Packet processor fences .....  | 49 |
| 3.3.8 Forward progress of special operations .....   | 49 |
| 3.4 Plausible executions .....   | 49 |
| 3.5 Candidate executions .....   | 49 |
| 3.5.1 Orders .....   | 49 |
| 3.6 Program order .....  | 50 |
| 3.7 Coherent order .....   | 50 |
| 3.8 Global dependence order .....  | 50 |
| 3.9 Scoped synchronization order .....   | 51 |
| 3.10 Sequentially consistent synchronization order .....                                   | 52 |
| 3.11 HSA-happens-before order .....  | 52 |
| 3.12 Semantics of race-free programs .....   | 53 |
| Definitions for a Valid Candidate Execution .....  | 53 |
| Conflict definitions .....   | 54 |
| Races .....  | 54 |
| Program Semantics .....  | 54 |
| Corollaries .....  | 54 |
| 3.13 Examples .....  | 55 |
| 3.13.1 Sequentially consistent execution .....   | 55 |
| 3.13.1.1 Synchronizing operations are sequentially consistent by definition .....          | 55 |
| 3.13.1.2 Successful synchronization between units of execution .....                       | 56 |
| 3.13.1.3 Correct synchronization, safe transitivity with a single scope .....              | 56 |
| 3.13.1.4 Race-free transitive synchronization through multiple scopes .....                | 57 |
| 3.13.1.5 Successful synchronization through scope inclusion .....                          | 58 |
| 3.13.1.6 Successful synchronization through scope inclusion and scope transitivity .....   | 58 |
| 3.13.1.7 Coh and hhb must be consistent .....  | 59 |
| 3.13.1.8 Separate segment synchronization .....  | 59 |
| 3.13.2 Sequentially consistent with relaxed operations .....                               | 60 |
| 3.13.2.1 Successful synchronization between units of execution using relaxed atomics ..... | 60 |
| 3.13.2.2 Store speculation is not observable .....   | 61 |
| 3.13.2.3 No out-of-thin-air values .....   | 61 |
| 3.13.3 Non-sequentially consistent execution .....   | 62 |
| 3.13.3.1 Dekker's Algorithm .....  | 62 |
| 3.13.4 Races .....   | 63 |
| 3.13.4.1 Conflict without synchronization .....  | 63 |
| 3.13.4.2 Insufficient scope .....  | 63 |

|                            |    |
|----------------------------|----|
| Appendix A. Glossary ..... | 64 |
| Index .....                | 68 |

## Figures

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Figure 2-1 Example of a Simple HSA Platform .....  | 35 |
| Figure 2-2 Example of an HSA platform with more advanced topology .....                    | 36 |
| Figure 2-3 General structure of an agent entry .....                                       | 37 |
| Figure 2-4 Topology definition structure for previously defined system block diagram ..... | 40 |

## Tables

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 2-1 User Mode Queue structure .....  | 18 |
| Table 2-2 User Mode Queue types .....  | 19 |
| Table 2-3 User Mode Queue features .....   | 19 |
| Table 2-4 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) Packet Header Format .....          | 25 |
| Table 2-5 Encoding of acquire_fence_scope .....                                  | 26 |
| Table 2-6 Encoding of release_fence_scope .....                                  | 26 |
| Table 2-7 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) kernel dispatch packet format ..... | 28 |
| Table 2-8 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) agent dispatch packet format .....  | 28 |
| Table 2-9 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) barrier-AND packet format .....     | 29 |
| Table 2-10 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) barrier-OR packet format .....     | 29 |
| Table 2-11 IEEE754-2008 exceptions .....   | 32 |

# About the HSA Platform System Architecture Specification

This document identifies, from a hardware point of view, system architecture requirements necessary to support the Heterogeneous System Architecture (HSA) programming model and HSA application and system software infrastructure.

It defines a set of functionality and features for HSA hardware product deliverables to meet the minimum specified requirements to qualify for a valid HSA product.

Where necessary, the document illustrates possible design implementations to clarify expected operation. Unless otherwise specified, these implementations are not intended to imply a specific hardware or software design.

## Audience

This document is written for system and component architects interested in supporting the HSA infrastructure (hardware and software) within platform designs.

## Terminology

See [Appendix A. Glossary \(page 64\)](#) for definitions of terminology.

This specification uses terminology and syntax from the C family of programming languages. For example, type names such as `uint64_t` are defined in the C99 and C++ specifications.

## HSA Information Sources

- *HSA Programmer's Reference Manual Version 1.0*
- *HSA Runtime Programmer's Reference Manual Version 1.0*
- *HSA Platform System Architecture Specification Version 1.0*

## Revision History

| Date            | Description   |
|-----------------|---|
| 9 March 2014    | Release of Provisional 1.0 HSA Platform System Architecture Specification |
| 23 January 2015 | Release of 1.0 HSA Platform System Architecture Specification             |

# CHAPTER 1.

## System Architecture Requirements: Overview

---

### 1.1 What is HSA?

The Heterogeneous System Architecture (HSA) is designed to efficiently support a wide assortment of data-parallel and task-parallel programming models. A single HSA system can support multiple instruction sets based on host CPUs and kernel agents.

HSA supports two machine models: large model (64-bit address space) and small model (32-bit address space).

An HSA-compliant system will meet the requirements for a queuing model, a memory model, quality of service, and an instruction set for parallel processing. It also meets the requirements for enabling heterogeneous programming models for computing platforms using standardized interfaces, processes, communication protocols, and memory models.

### 1.2 Keywords

This document specifies HSA system requirements at different levels using the keywords defined below:

- *“Must”*: This word, or the terms “required” or “shall,” mean that the definition is an absolute requirement of the specification.
- *“Must not”*: This phrase, or the phrase “shall not,” mean that the definition is an absolute prohibition of the specification.
- *“Should”*: This word, or the adjective “recommended,” mean that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances to ignore a particular item, but the full implications must be understood and carefully weighed before choosing a different course.
- *“Should not”*: This phrase, or the phrase “not recommended,” mean that there may exist valid reasons in particular circumstances when the particular behavior is acceptable or even useful, but the full implications should be understood and the case carefully weighed before implementing any behavior described with this label.
- *“May”*: This word, or the adjective “optional,” means that an item is truly optional. One vendor may choose to include the item because a particular marketplace requires it or because the vendor feels that it enhances the product while another vendor may omit the same item. An implementation which does not include a particular option MUST be prepared to interoperate with another implementation which does include the option, though perhaps with reduced functionality. In the same vein an implementation which does include a particular option MUST be prepared to interoperate with another implementation which does not include the option (except, of course, for the feature the option provides).

These definitions are exactly as described in the [Internet Engineering Task Force RFC 2119, BCP 14, Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels](#).

One vendor might choose to include an optional item because a particular marketplace requires it or because the vendor feels that it enhances the product, while another vendor might omit the same item.

## 1.3 Minimum vs. complete HSA software product

This document also provides guidance for the HSA product to be more complete, enhanced, and competitive:

- The minimum HSA software product is defined by the mandatory requirements highlighted with the key words “shall,” “must,” and “required.”
- The complete HSA software product feature set is defined by the key words “should” and “recommended.”

Unless otherwise stated, functionality referred to by this document that is outside of the HSA software, such as a software component or an API, indicates the version that was current at the time of HSA software delivery.

NOTE: A higher-level requirement specification shall supersede a lower-level (kernel agent) requirement if there is an implied contradiction.

## 1.4 HSA programming model

The HSA programming model is enabled through the presence of a select number of key hardware and system features for the heterogeneous system components. Examples are kernel agents and other agents, interface connection fabric, memory, and so forth. The presence of these features on an HSA-compatible system simplifies the number of permutations that the software stack needs to deal with. Thus, the HSA programming model is much simpler than heterogeneous system programming models based on more traditional system design.

## 1.5 List of requirements

The list below shows the minimum required system architecture features:

- Shared virtual memory, including adherence to the HSA memory model. See [2.1. Requirement: Shared virtual memory \(page 11\)](#).
- Cache coherency domains, including host CPUs, kernel agents and other agents and interconnecting I/O bus fabric. See [2.2. Requirement: Cache coherency domains \(page 13\)](#).
- Flat addressing of memory. See [2.3. Requirement: Flat addressing \(page 13\)](#).
- Consistent system endianess. See [2.4. Requirement: Endianess \(page 13\)](#).
- Memory-based signaling and synchronization primitives between all HSA-enabled system components, including support for platform atomics. See [2.5. Requirement: Signaling and synchronization \(page 14\)](#).
- Atomic memory operations, see [2.6. Requirement: Atomic memory operations \(page 16\)](#).
- HSA system timestamp providing a uniform view of time across the HSA system. See [2.7. Requirement: HSA system timestamp \(page 17\)](#).
- User mode queues with low-latency application-level dispatch to hardware. See [2.8. Requirement: User mode queuing \(page 17\)](#).

- Use of Architected Queuing Language (AQL), which reduces launch latency by allowing applications to enqueue tasks to kernel agents and other agents. See [2.9. Requirement: Architected Queuing Language \(AQL\) \(page 24\)](#).
- Agent Scheduling, see [2.10. Requirement: Agent scheduling \(page 30\)](#).
- Preemptive kernel agent context switch with a maximum guaranteed latency. See [2.11. Requirement: Kernel agent context switching \(page 31\)](#).
- Kernel agent error reporting mechanism that meets a similar level of detail as provided by host CPUs, including adherence to the policies specified in [2.12. Requirement: IEEE754-2008 floating point exceptions \(page 32\)](#).
- Kernel agent debug infrastructure that meets the specified level of functional support. See [2.13. Requirement: Kernel agent hardware debug infrastructure \(page 33\)](#).
- Architected kernel agent discovery by means of system firmware tables provided by ACPI or equivalent architected firmware infrastructure. This allows system software and, in turn, application software to discover and leverage platform topology independent of the system-specific bus fabric and host CPU infrastructure, as long as they support the other HSA-relevant features. See [2.14. Requirement: HSA platform topology discovery \(page 34\)](#).
- Optionally an HSA platform supports image operations. See [2.15. Requirement: Images \(page 40\)](#).

Note that there are a wide variety of methods for implementing these requirements.

## CHAPTER 2.

### System Architecture Requirements: Details

---

#### 2.1 Requirement: Shared virtual memory

A compliant HSA system shall allow agents to access shared system memory through the common HSA unified virtual address space. The minimum virtual address width that must be supported by all agents is 48 bits for a 64-bit HSA system implementation and 32 bits for a 32-bit HSA system implementation.<sup>1</sup> The full addressable virtual address space shall be available for both instructions and data.

Pointers are stored in 32-bit words in 32-bit HSA systems and in 64-bit words in 64-bit HSA systems.

System Software may reserve ranges of the virtual address space for agent or system internal use, e.g., private and group memory segments. Access to locations within these ranges from a particular agent follow implementation-specific system software policy and are not subject to the shared virtual memory requirements and access properties further defined in this specification. The reserved ranges must be discoverable or configurable by system software. System software is expected not to allocate pages for HSA application access in these non-shareable ranges.

The requirement on shared virtual memory is relaxed in the base profile to only apply to buffers allocated through the HSA runtime memory allocator. Base profile kernel agents must support fine-grained sharing in buffers for all global segment memory that can be allocated. An application using a base profile kernel agent may choose to not allocate all global segment buffers with fine-grained sharing.

Similarly global segment memory can also be allocated for use for kernel arguments without any restrictions on the total amount of such memory other than the total amount of global segment memory in the system.

Each agent shall handle shared memory virtual address translation through page tables managed by system software. System software is responsible for setting, maintaining, and invalidating these page tables according to its policy. Agents must observe the shared memory page properties as established by system software. The observed shared memory page properties shall be consistent across all agents.

The shared memory virtual address translation shall:

- Interpret shared memory attributes consistently for all agents and ensure that memory protection mechanisms cannot be circumvented. In particular:
  - The same page sizes shall be supported for all agents.
  - Read and write permissions apply to all agents equally.
  - Execute restrictions are not required to apply to kernel agents.

---

<sup>1</sup>There is no minimum physical memory size for an HSA system implementation.

- Agents must support shared virtual memory for the lowest privilege level. Agents are not required to support shared virtual memory for higher privilege levels that may be used by host CPU operating system or hypervisor.
- Execute accesses from agents to shared virtual memory must use only the lowest privilege level
- If implemented in the HSA system, page status bit updates (e.g., “access” and “dirty”) must be tracked across all agents to insure proper paging behavior.
- For the primary memory type, all agents (including the host CPUs) must interpret cacheability and data coherency properties (excluding those for read-only image data) in the same way.
- For the primary memory type, an agent shall interpret the memory type in a common way and in the same way as host CPUs, with the following caveats:
  - End-point ordering properties.
  - Observation ordering properties.
  - Multi-copy atomicity properties.
- For any memory type other than the primary memory type, an agent shall either:
  - A. Generate a memory fault on use of that memory type, or
  - B. Interpret the memory type in a common way and in the same way as the host CPU, with the following caveats:
    - End-point ordering properties.
    - Observation ordering properties.
    - Multi-copy atomicity properties.
    - Cacheability and data coherency properties.
- For all memory types, there is a requirement of the same interpretation of speculation permission properties by all agents and the host CPU.
- Provide a mechanism to notify system software in case of a translation fault. This notification shall include the virtual address and a device tag to identify the agent that issued the translation request.
- Provide a mechanism to handle a recoverable translation fault (e.g., page not present). System software shall be able to initiate a retry of the address translation request which triggered the translation fault.
- Provide the concept of a process address space ID (PASID) in the protocol to service separate, per-process virtual address spaces within the system.<sup>1</sup> For systems that support hardware virtualization, the PASID implementation shall allow for a separation of PASID bits for virtualization (e.g., as “PartitionID”) and PASID bits for HSA Memory Management Unit (HSA MMU) use. The number of bits used for PASID for HSA MMU functionality shall be at least 8. It is recommended to support a higher minimum number of PASID bits (16 bits) for all agents if the HSA system is targeted by more advanced system software, running many processes concurrently.

---

<sup>1</sup>The PASID ECNs of PCI Express® 3.0 provides an example of the implementation and use.

## 2.2 Requirement: Cache coherency domains

Data accesses to global memory from all agents shall be coherent without the need for explicit cache maintenance. This only applies to global memory locations with the primary memory type of the translation system and does not apply to image accesses (see [2.15. Requirement: Images \(page 40\)](#)) for details on images). An HSA application may limit the scope of coherency for data items as a performance optimization. See [Chapter 3. HSA Memory Consistency Model \(page 43\)](#) for details.

The specification does not require that data memory accesses from agents are coherent for any memory location with any memory type other than the primary memory type supported by the translation system.

The specification does not require that instruction memory accesses to any memory type by agents are coherent.

The specification does not require that agents have a coherent view of any memory location where the agents do not specify the same memory attributes.

Coherency and ordering between HSA shared virtual memory aliases to the same physical address are not guaranteed.

### 2.2.1 Read-only image data

Read-only image data is required to remain static during the execution of an HSA kernel. Implications of this include (but are not limited to) that it is not allowed to modify the data for a read-only image view using a read/write image view for the same data, or to directly access the data array from either the same kernel, the host CPU, or another kernel running in parallel.

## 2.3 Requirement: Flat addressing

A kernel agent must support a flat virtual address space for all memory it can access. An HSAIL operation on a flat address is equivalent to the same HSAIL operation specifying the corresponding segment.

Specifying a segment for an HSAIL memory operation may improve performance.

Synchronizing memory operations using a flat address apply to the segment designated by the flat address.

The effective memory scope for a synchronizing memory operation using a flat address is the minimum of the scope specified by the operation and the widest scope supported by the segment of the flat address.

The base address of a segment in the flat address space must be aligned to 4kB.

The address value of a location in the global segment is identical to its equivalent location in flat address space.

The address value of a location in the flat address space is identical to its equivalent location in host virtual address space.

The NULL value is identical for global segment and the flat address space and identical to the NULL value of the host platform. NULL may be translated to private values for other segments.

## 2.4 Requirement: Endianess

An HSA system can be implemented as either little or big endian, it is assumed only one endianess is used by the agents collaborating in the system. The following conventions regarding endianess are used in this document (and in particular for tables defining data structures):

- Allocation of bits into bytes: Bits 0..7 are stored in byte 0, bits 8..15 in byte 1, and so on.
- Bit value in multi-bit fields: The method of assigning a numeric value for each bit in a multi-bit field is not defined in this document, but all agents in a system must have the same definition.

## 2.5 Requirement: Signaling and synchronization

An HSA-compliant platform shall provide for the ability of HSA software to define and use signaling and synchronization primitives accessible from all agents in a non-discriminatory way. HSA signaling primitives are used to operate on a signal value which is referenced using an opaque 64-bit signal handle. In addition to the signal value, the signal handle may also have associated implementation-defined data. Collectively, the signal value and implementation data are referred to in this document as an HSA signal or simply a signal.

The signaling mechanism has the following properties:

- An HSA signal value must only be manipulated by kernel agents using the specific HSAIL mechanisms, and by the host CPU using the HSA runtime mechanisms. Other agents may use implementation-specific mechanisms that are compatible with the HSA runtime and HSAIL mechanisms for manipulating signals. The required mechanisms for HSAIL and the HSA runtime are:
  - Allocate an HSA signal:
    - The HSA system shall support allocation of signals through the HSA runtime by means of a dedicated runtime API. An application may expose this through a runtime agent dispatch.
    - Allocation of an HSA signal must include initialization of the signal value.
    - The application may optionally specify the set of agents required to be notified by a send or atomic read-modify-write operation on the signal. If the application doesn't specify any set of Agents to be notified then all agents are required to be notified by a send or atomic read-modify-write operation on the signal.
  - Destroy an HSA signal:
    - The HSA system shall support deallocation of signals through the HSA runtime by means of a dedicated runtime API. An application may expose this through a runtime agent dispatch.
  - Read the current HSA signal value:
    - The signal read mechanism must support relaxed and acquire memory ordering semantics.
    - Reading the value of an HSA signal returns the momentary value of the HSA signal, as visible to that unit of execution (see [2.15. Requirement: Images \(page 40\)](#) for information on memory consistency). There is no guarantee that an agent will see all sequential values of a signal.

- Wait on an HSA signal to meet a specified condition:
  - All signal wait operations specify a condition. The conditions supported are equal, not equal, less than, and greater than or equal.
  - The signal value is considered a signed integer for assessing the wait condition.
  - When a signal is modified by a send or atomic read-modify-write operation then a check of the condition is scheduled for all wait operations on that signal. The check of the condition and potential resumption of the wait operation unit of execution may also be blocked by other factors (such as OS process scheduling).
  - A wait operation does not necessarily see all sequential values of a signal. The application must ensure that signals are used such that wait wakeup conditions are not invalidated before dependent unit of executions have woken up, otherwise the wakeup may not occur.
  - Signal wait operations may return sporadically. There is no guarantee that the signal value satisfies the wait condition on return. The caller must confirm whether the signal value has satisfied the wait condition.
  - Wait operations have a maximum duration before returning, which can be discovered through the HSA runtime as a count based on the HSA system timestamp frequency. The resumption of the wait operation unit of execution may also be blocked by other factors, such as OS process scheduling.
  - The signal wait mechanism must support relaxed and acquire memory ordering semantics.
  - Multiple agents must be allowed to simultaneously wait on the same HSA signal.
- Wait on an HSA signal to meet a specified condition, with a maximum wait duration requested:
  - The requirements on the ordinary wait on signal-condition operation apply also to this operation.
  - The maximum wait duration requested is a hint. The operation may block for a shorter or longer time even if the condition is not met.
  - The maximum wait duration request is specified in the same units as the HSA system timestamp.
- Send an HSA signal value:
  - Sending a signal value should wakeup any agents waiting on the signal in which the wait condition is satisfied.
  - It is implementation defined whether the wakeup is only issued to agents for which the wait condition is met.
  - The signal send mechanism must support relaxed and release memory ordering semantics.

- Atomic read-modify-write an HSA signal value:
  - With the exception of wrapinc, wrapdec, min, and max, the same set of atomic operations defined for general data in HSAIL should be supported for signals in both HSAIL and the HSA runtime.
  - Performing an atomic read-modify-write update of a signal value should wakeup any agents waiting on the signal in which the wait condition is satisfied.
  - It is implementation defined whether the wakeup is only issued to agents for which the wait condition is met.
  - The signal atomic update mechanism must support relaxed, release, acquire, and acquire-release memory ordering semantics.
  - Atomic read-modify-write operations are not supported on queue doorbell signals that are created by the runtime for User Mode Queues associated with a kernel agent.
- HSA signals should only be manipulated by agents within the same user process address space in which it was allocated. The HSA signaling mechanism does not support inter-user process communication.
- There is no architectural limitation imposed on the number of HSA signals, unless implicitly limited by other requirements outlined in this document.
- The HSA signal handle is always a 64-bit wide quantity with an opaque format:
  - An HSA signal handle value of zero must be interpreted as the absence of a signal.
- The HSA signal value width depends on the supported machine model:
  - An HSA signal must have a 32-bit signal value when used in a small machine model.
  - An HSA signal must have a 64-bit signal value when used in a large machine model.
- Signals are generally intended for notification between agents. Therefore, signal operations interact with the memory model as if the signal value resides in global segment memory, is naturally aligned, and is accessed using atomic memory operations at system scope with the memory ordering specified by the signal operation. See [Chapter 3. HSA Memory Consistency Model \(page 43\)](#) for further information on memory consistency.

## 2.6 Requirement: Atomic memory operations

HSA requires the following standard atomic memory operations to be supported by kernel agents (other agents only need to support the subset of these operations required by their role in the system):

- Load from memory
- Store to memory
- Fetch from memory, apply logic operation with one additional operand, and store back. Required logic operations are bitwise AND, bitwise OR, bitwise XOR.
- Fetch from memory, apply integer arithmetic operation with one additional operand and store back. Required integer arithmetic operations are add, subtract, increment, decrement, minimum, and maximum.
- Exchange memory location with operand.

- Compare-and-swap, i.e., load memory location, compare with first operand, if equal then store second operand back to memory location.

In the small machine model, 32-bit standard atomic memory operations should be supported; the large machine model is required to support 32-bit and 64-bit standard atomic memory operations.

## 2.7 Requirement: HSA system timestamp

The HSA system provides for a low overhead mechanism of determining the passing of time. A system timestamp is required that can be read from HSAIL or through the HSA runtime. It is also possible to determine the system timestamp frequency through the HSA runtime.

The HSA system requires the following properties of the timestamp:

- The timestamp value is a 64-bit integer.
- The timestamp value should not roll over.
- The timestamp value should appear to increase at a constant rate to the HSA applications, regardless of any low power state the system may enter or any change to operating frequency.
- The constant observable timestamp value increase rate is in the range 1-400MHz, and can be queried through the HSA runtime.
- Provides a uniform view of time across the HSA system, i.e.:
  - The value of the system timestamp must never appear to decrease in time by a single reader.
  - The HSA system timestamp function must generate a monotonically increasing timestamp value, such that a timestamp A observed to be generated earlier than a timestamp B will have a timestamp value less than or equal to timestamp B as visible from each agent.

The requirement to not roll over can be resolved in various ways. For example, if the timestamp value is not synchronized with real-world time, and is reset on every system boot, then the roll-over period to be designed for can be the maximum expected system uptime rather than lifetime. Other implementations may want to reuse an existing real-world timestamp for the HSA system timestamp. In this case, the roll-over requirement will imply different hardware requirements.

The system timestamp function can be implemented in several ways. Examples of possible implementations are as follows, however many others are possible as long as they meet the requirements:

- A single timestamp counter peripheral in the system that generates a timestamp when it is read by an agent.
- Distributed local counters that increment at the same rate and have a synchronized startup.

An implementation may vary the amount that the timestamp is incremented by each time it is incremented, for example when entering or exiting a low power state, as long as the requirements above are met.

## 2.8 Requirement: User mode queuing

An HSA-compliant platform shall have support for the allocation of multiple “user-level command queues.”

For the purpose of HSA software, a user-level command queue shall be characterized as runtime-allocated, user-level, accessible virtual memory of a certain size, containing packets defined in the Architected Queueing Language (see [2.9. Requirement: Architected Queueing Language \(AQL\) \(page 24\)](#)). HSA software should receive memory-based structures to configure the hardware queues to allow for efficient software management of the hardware queues of the agents.

This queue memory shall be processed by the packet processor as a ring buffer, with separate memory locations defining write and read state information of that queue. The packet processor is logically a separate agent from the kernel agent, and may for example have a separate identification as a listener when allocating signals.

The User Mode Queue shall be defined as follows:

Table 2–1 User Mode Queue structure

| Bits    | Field Name      | Description   |
|---------|-----------------|---|
| 31:0    | type            | Queue type for future expansion. Intended to be used for dynamic queue protocol determination. Queue types are defined in <a href="#">Table 2–2 (facing page)</a> .   |
| 63:32   | features        | Bit field to indicate specific features supported by the queue. HSA applications should ignore any unknown set bits.<br>Features differ from types in that a queue of a certain type may have a certain feature (specific restrictions on behavior, additional packet types supported), but still be compatible with the basic queue type.<br>Queue features are defined in <a href="#">2.8.2. Queue features (facing page)</a> . |
| 127:64  | base_address    | A 64-bit pointer to the base of the virtual memory which holds the AQL packets for the queue.   |
| 191:128 | doorbell_signal | HSA signaling object handle, which must be signaled with the most recent write_index when a new AQL packet has been enqueued.<br>Doorbell signals are allocated as part of the queue creation by the HSA runtime, and have restrictions on the signal operations possible. Atomic read-modify-write operations are not supported.   |
| 223:192 | size            | A 32-bit unsigned integer which specifies the maximum number of packets the queue can hold. The size of the queue is measured by the number of packets, which must be a power of two.   |
| 255:224 |                 | Reserved, must be 0.  |
| 319:256 | id              | A 64-bit ID which is unique for every queue created by an application, but is not required to be unique between processes.  |

agents cannot modify the User Mode Queue structure itself. However agents can modify the content of the packet ring buffer pointed to by the base\_address field.

In addition to the data held in the queue structure defined in [Table 2–1 \(above\)](#), the queue defines two additional properties:

- write\_index. – A 64-bit unsigned integer, initialized to 0 at queue creation time, which specifies the packet ID of the next AQL packet slot to be allocated by the application or user-level runtime. The next AQL packet is allocated at virtual address base\_address + ((write\_index % size) \* AQL packet size).

- `read_index` – A 64-bit unsigned integer, initialized to 0 at queue creation time, which specifies the packet ID of the next AQL packet to be consumed by the compute unit hardware. The eldest AQL packet that is not yet released by the packet processor is at virtual address `base_address + ((read_index % size) * AQL packet size)`.

The `write_index` and `read_index` properties cannot be accessed directly using memory operations from HSA applications or HSAIL kernels. Instead they are accessed through the HSA runtime API (HSA applications) or specific HSAIL instructions as described in [2.8.5. Queue index access \(page 22\)](#).

### 2.8.1 Queue types

Table 2–2 User Mode Queue types

| ID | Name   | Description                            |
|----|--------|--|
| 0  | MULTI  | Queue supports multiple producers.     |
| 1  | SINGLE | Queue only supports a single producer. |

### 2.8.2 Queue features

Table 2–3 User Mode Queue features

| Bit  | Name            | Description                            |
|------|-----------------|--|
| 0    | KERNEL_DISPATCH | Queue supports KERNEL_DISPATCH packet. |
| 1    | AGENT_DISPATCH  | Queue supports AGENT_DISPATCH packet.  |
| 31:2 | RESERVED        | Must be 0.                             |

### 2.8.3 Queue mechanics

HSA specifies the following rules for how the queue must be implemented using the information defined above. The rules define a contract between the submitting agent and the packet processor which enables the submitting agent to enqueue work for the associated agents in a manner that is portable across HSA implementations.

The main points of the AQL queue mechanism are as follows

- An agent allocates an AQL packet slot by incrementing the `write_index`. The value of the `write_index` before the increment operation is the packet ID of the AQL packet allocated.
- An agent assigns a packet to the packet processor by changing the AQL packet format field from `INVALID`. The agent is required to ensure that the rest of the AQL packet is globally visible before or at the same time as the format field is written.
  - When an agent assigns an AQL packet to the packet processor, the ownership of the AQL packet is transferred. The packet processor may update the AQL packet at any time after packet submission, and the submitting agent should not rely on the content of the AQL packet after submission.
- An agent notifies the packet processor by signaling the queue doorbell with a packet ID equal to the packet ID of the packet or to a subsequent packet ID.
  - Multiple AQL packets may be notified to the packet processor by one queue doorbell signal operation. The packet ID for the last packet notified should be used for the doorbell value.

- When the queue doorbell is signaled, the submitting agent is required to ensure that any AQL packets referenced by the doorbell value are already globally visible.
- It is not allowed to signal the doorbell with the packet ID for a packet not set to valid by the producer.
- On a small machine model platform, the least significant 32 bits of the packet ID is used for the doorbell value.
- For queues with a type restricting them to single producer mechanics, the value signaled on the doorbell must be monotonically increasing, and all packets up to the notification packet ID must be already assigned.
- Only the packet processor or its functional equivalent is permitted to read/evaluate the doorbell signal.
- Agents producing AQL dispatches must only write to the doorbell signal.
- The value being read from a doorbell signal by agents other than the packet processor is undefined.
- An agent submits a task to a queue by performing the following steps:
  1. *Allocating* an AQL packet slot.
  2. Update the AQL packet with the task particulars (note, the format field must remain unchanged).
  3. *Assigning* the packet to the packet processor.
  4. *Notifying* the packet processor of the packet.

In addition the following detailed constraints apply:

- The HSA signaling mechanism is restricted to within the process address space for which it is initialized, see [2.3. Requirement: Flat addressing \(page 13\)](#).
- The use of this signaling mechanism in the user mode queue implementation implies the same restriction on the user mode queues; only agents within the queue process address space are allowed to submit AQL packets to a queue.
- The size of the queue is measured by the number of AQL packets, which must be a power of two.
- The `base_address` must always be aligned to the AQL packet size.
- The `write_index` increases as new AQL packets are added to the queue by the agent. The `write_index` never wraps around, but the actual address offset used is  $((\text{write\_index} \% \text{size}) * \text{AQL packet size})$ .
- The `read_index` increases as AQL packets are processed and released by the packet processor. The `read_index` never wraps around, but the actual address offset used is  $((\text{read\_index} \% \text{size}) * \text{AQL packet size})$ .
- AQL packets are 64 bytes in size.
- When the `write_index == read_index`, the queue is empty.
- The format field of AQL packet slots is initialized to INVALID by the HSA system when a queue is created and is set to INVALID when a packet has been processed.

- A submitting agent may only modify an allocated AQL packet within the queue, when the “*packet ID < read\_index + size*” condition is fulfilled and the AQL packet format field is set to INVALID.
- Packet processors must not process an AQL packet with the format field set to INVALID.
- The first 32 bits of an AQL packet (this includes the packet header, and thus the format field) must only be accessed using 32-bit atomic transactions.
- Packet processors are not required to process an AQL packet until the packet has been notified to the packet processor.
- Packet processors may process AQL packets after the packet format field is updated, but before the doorbell is signaled. Agents must not rely on the doorbell as a “gate” to hold off execution of an AQL packet.
- AQL packets in a queue are dispatched in-order, but may complete in any order. An INVALID AQL packet marked in the queue will block subsequent packets from being dispatched.
- A packet processor may choose to release a packet slot (by incrementing read\_index and setting the format field to INVALID) at any time after a packet has been submitted, regardless of the state of the task specified by the packet. Agents should not rely on the read\_index value to imply any state of a particular task.
- The packet processor must make sure the packet slot format field is set to INVALID and made globally visible before the read\_index is moved past the packet and it is made available for new task submission.

The User Mode queue base address and queue size of each of the User Mode queues may be confined by system software to system-dependent restrictions (for example, queue size and base address aligned to system page size, and so forth) as long as the imposed hardware restrictions do not impede HSA software’s use to allocate multiple such User Mode queues per application process and system software provides for APIs allowing to impose such limits on a particular operating system. Queues are allocated by HSA applications through the HSA runtime.

Packet processors shall have visibility of all their associated User Mode queues of all concurrently running processes and shall provide process-relative memory isolation and support privilege limitations for the instructions in the user-level command queue consistent with the application-level privileges as defined for the host CPU instruction set. The HSA system handles switching among the list of available queues for a packet processor, as defined by system software.

#### 2.8.4 Multiple vs. single submitting agents

An HSA application may incorporate multiple agents or multiple unit of executions on an agent that may submit jobs to the same AQL queue. In the common case this will be multiple host CPU unit of executions, or multiple unit of executions running on a kernel agent.

In order to support job submission from multiple agents, the update of the queue write\_index must use read-modify-write atomic memory operations. If only a single unit of execution in a single agent is submitting jobs to a queue then the queue write\_index update can be done using an atomic store instead of a read-modify-write atomic operation.

There are several options of implementing multiple unit of execution packet submission, each with different characteristics for avoiding deadlocks if/when a queue is full (i.e.,  $write\_index \geq (read\_index + size)$ ). Two examples are:

- Check for queue full before incrementing the write\_index, and use an atomic CAS operation for the write\_index increment. This allows aborting the packet slot allocation in all cases if the queue is full, but when multiple writers collide, this requires retrying the packet slot allocation.
- First, atomic-add the number of AQL packets to allocate to the write\_index, then check if the queue is full. This can be more efficient when many unit of executions submit AQL packets, but it may be more difficult to manage gracefully when the queue is full.

Alternatively, an HSA application may limit the job submission to one agent unit of execution only ("Single submitting agent"), allowing the agent and packet processor to leverage this property and streamline the job submission process for such a queue.

The submission process can be simplified for queues that are only submitted to by a single agent:

- The increment of the write\_index value by the job-submitting agent can be done using an atomic store, as it is the only agent permitted to update the value.
- The submitting agent may use a private variable to hold a copy of the write\_index value and can assume no one else will modify the write\_index to avoid reading the write\_index.

Optionally the queue can be initialized to only support single-producer queue mechanics. Some HSA implementations may implement optimized packet processor behavior for single-producer queue mechanics.

Some HSA implementations may implement the same packet processor behavior for both the multi-producer queue mechanics and the single-producer queue mechanics case. Packet processor behavior can be optimized as long as it complies with the specification. For example, it is allowed for the packet processor in either case to:

- Use the packet format field to determine whether a packet has been submitted rather than reading the write\_index queue field.
- Speculatively read multiple packets from the queue.
- Not update the read\_index for every packet processed.

Similarly, agents that submit jobs do not need to read the read\_index for every packet submitted.

The queue mechanics do not require packets to be submitted in order (except for queues restricted to single producer mechanics). However, using the doorbell, the packet processor latency can be optimized in the common case where packets are submitted in order. For this reason it is recommended that the doorbell is always signaled in order from within a single unit of execution (this is a strict requirement for queues using single producer mechanics), and that excessive contention between different unit of executions on a queue is avoided.

## 2.8.5 Queue index access

The queue write\_index and read\_index properties are accessed from HSA applications using HSA runtime API calls and from HSAIL kernels using specific instructions. The operations provided through the HSA runtime API and specific HSAIL instructions for accessing the queue write\_index and read\_index properties are equivalent. The operations are:

- Load the queue write\_index property. Returns the 64-bit write\_index value and takes the following parameters:
  - Pointer to the queue structure.

- Store new value for the write\_index property. No return value, takes the following parameters:
  - Pointer to the queue structure.
  - New 64-bit value to store in the write\_index property.
- Compare-and-swap operation on the write\_index property. The compare-and-swap operation updates the write\_index property if the original value matches the comparison parameter. Returns the original value of the write\_index property and takes the following parameters:
  - Pointer to the queue structure.
  - 64-bit comparison value
  - New 64-bit value to store in the write\_index property if comparison value matches original value.
- Add operation on the write\_index property. Returns the original value of the write\_index property and takes the following parameters:
  - Pointer to the queue structure.
  - 64-bit increment value
- Load the read\_index property. Returns the 64-bit read\_index value and takes the following parameters:
  - Pointer to the queue structure.
- Store new value for the read\_index property. No return value, takes the following parameters:
  - Pointer to the queue structure.
  - New 64-bit value to store in the read\_index property.

The following memory ordering options are required for the different operations:

- Load of read\_index or write\_index:
  - Relaxed atomic load with system scope
  - Atomic load acquire with system scope
- Store of read\_index or write\_index:
  - Relaxed atomic store with system scope
  - Atomic store release with system scope
- Add or compare-and-swap operation on write\_index:
  - Relaxed atomic with system scope
  - Atomic acquire with system scope
  - Atomic release with system scope
  - Atomic acquire-release with system scope

All access operations for the queue indices are ordered in the memory model as if the queue indices are stored in the Global segment.

Agents other than host CPUs or kernel agents may access the write\_index and read\_index properties through implementation-specific means.

## 2.8.6 Runtime services dispatch queue

An HSA system uses a queue-based mechanism to invoke defined system- and HSA runtime services and functions via AGENT\_DISPATCH packets issued to an appropriate queue.

This service queue is provided by the application to agents in an application specific way, for example as arguments to (agent) dispatch packets, or in a known memory location.

To invoke a runtime service, or function in accordance to [2.9.7. Agent dispatch packet \(page 28\)](#) of this specification, the caller passes the arguments and function code in the AGENT\_DISPATCH packet; the caller can monitor or wait on a completion signal to identify when the "call" has finished.

The caller must not assume the serviced function to be initiated or completed until completion is indicated through the AGENT\_DISPATCH completion\_signal (see [2.9.2. Packet process flow \(page 26\)](#)).

When the AGENT\_DISPATCH is complete, the return\_address referenced within the AGENT\_DISPATCH packet will contain the function's return value.

The dispatch and packet processing flow of the AGENT\_DISPATCH must follow all requirements set in [2.9. Requirement: Architected Queuing Language \(AQL\) \(below\)](#) of this specification.

The caller must follow all documented function requirements of the called function, especially related to acquire & release fence scope and referenced memory.

The exact services provided by the runtime services queue are defined by the application implementing the runtime services queue.

## 2.9 Requirement: Architected Queuing Language (AQL)

An HSA-compliant system shall provide a command interface for the dispatch of agent commands. This command interface is provided by the Architected Queuing Language (AQL).

AQL allows agents to build and enqueue their own command packets, enabling fast, low-power dispatch. AQL also provides support for kernel agent queue submissions: the kernel agent *kernel* can write commands in AQL format.

AQL defines several different packet types:

- Vendor-specific packet
  - Packet format for vendor-specific packets is vendor defined apart from the format field. The behavior of the packet processor for a vendor-specific packet is implementation specific, but must not cause a privilege escalation or break out of the process context.
- Invalid packet
  - Packet format is set to invalid when the queue is initialized or the read\_index is incremented, making the packet slot available to the agents.
- Kernel dispatch packet
  - Kernel dispatch packets contain jobs for the kernel agent and are created by agents. The queue features field indicates whether a queue supports kernel dispatch packets.
- Agent dispatch packet
  - Agent dispatch packets contain jobs for the agent and are created by agents. The queue features field indicates whether a queue supports agent dispatch packets.

- Barrier-AND packet
  - Barrier-AND packets can be inserted by agents to delay processing subsequent packets. All queues support barrier-AND packets.
- Barrier-OR packet
  - Barrier-OR packets can be inserted by agents to delay processing subsequent packets. All queues support barrier-OR packets.

The packet type is determined by the format field in the AQL packet header.

### 2.9.1 Packet header

Table 2-4 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) Packet Header Format

| Bits  | Field Name          | Description   |
|-------|---------------------|---|
| 7:0   | format              | AQL_FORMAT: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 0=VENDOR_SPECIFIC</li> <li>• 1=INVALID</li> <li>• 2=KERNEL_DISPATCH</li> <li>• 3=BARRIER_AND</li> <li>• 4=AGENT_DISPATCH</li> <li>• 5=BARRIER_OR</li> <li>• others reserved</li> </ul> |
| 8     | barrier:1           | If set, then processing of the packet will only begin when all preceding packets are complete.  |
| 10:9  | acquire_fence_scope | Determines the scope and type of the acquire memory fence operation for a packet.   |
| 12:11 | release_fence_scope | Determines the scope and type of the memory fence operation applied after kernel completion but before the packet is completed.   |
| 15:13 |                     | Reserved, must be 0.  |

#### 2.9.1.1 Acquire fences

The processing of the acquire fence differs between the Barrier packets and the Dispatch packets.

Barrier-OR and barrier-AND packet acquire fences are processed first in the completion phase of the packet, after the barrier condition has been met.

Kernel dispatch and agent dispatch packet acquire fence is applied at the end of the launch phase, just before the packet enters the active phase.

The packet acquire fence applies to the Global segment as well as any image data. The scope of the system that the fence applies to for the Global segment is configurable using the acquire\_fence\_scope header field.

See [2.9.2. Packet process flow \(next page\)](#) for more details on the processing of the different packets.

The detailed behavior of the packet fences is described in [3.3.7. Packet processor fences \(page 49\)](#).

Note that to ensure visibility of a particular memory transaction both a release and a matching acquire fence may need to apply, as defined in the HSA Memory Consistency Model (see (p.)). The required fences can be applied by instructions within HSA kernels or as part of an agent dispatch task as well as through the AQL packet processing.

Table 2-5 Encoding of acquire\_fence\_scope

| acquire_fence_scope | Description   |
|---------------------|---|
| 0                   | No fence is applied. The packet relies on an earlier acquire fence performed on the agent, or on acquire fences in the operation performed (e.g., by the HSAIL kernel). |
| 1                   | The acquire fence is applied with agent scope for the global segment. The acquire fence also applies to image data.   |
| 2                   | The acquire fence is applied across both agent and system scope for the global segment. The acquire fence also applies to image data.                                   |
| 3                   | Reserved.   |

### 2.9.1.2 Release fences

The processing of the release fence differs between the Barrier packets and the Dispatch packets.

Barrier-OR and barrier-AND packet release fences are processed after the acquire fence in the completion phase of the packet.

Kernel dispatch and agent dispatch packet acquire release fences are applied at the start of the completion phase of the packet.

The packet release fence applies to the Global segment as well as read-write images modified by the previous completed packet executions. The scope of the system that the fence applies to for the Global segment is configurable using the release\_fence\_scope header field.

See [2.9.2. Packet process flow \(below\)](#) for more details on the processing of the different packets.

The detailed behavior of the packet fences is described in [3.3.7. Packet processor fences \(page 49\)](#).

Table 2-6 Encoding of release\_fence\_scope

| release_fence_scope | Description  |
|---------------------|--|
| 0                   | No fence is applied. The packet relies on a later release fence performed on the agent, or on release fences in the operation performed (e.g., by the HSAIL kernel). |
| 1                   | The release fence is applied with agent scope for the global segment. The release fence also applies to image data.  |
| 2                   | The release fence is applied across both agent and system scope for the global segment. The release fence also applies to image data.                                |
| 3                   | Reserved.  |

### 2.9.2 Packet process flow

All packet types follow the same overall process. The packet processing is separated in launch, active, and completion phases:

Launch phase is initiated when launch conditions are met. Launch conditions are:

- All preceding packets in the queue must have completed their launch phase.
- If the barrier bit in the packet header is set then all preceding packets in the queue must have completed.

In the launch phase, an acquire memory fence is applied for kernel dispatch and agent dispatch packets before the packet enters the active phase. For barrier-OR and barrier-AND packets the acquire memory fence is applied later, in the completion phase.

After execution of the acquire fence (if applicable), the launch phase completes and the packet enters the active phase. In the active phase the behavior of packets differ depending on packet type:

- Kernel dispatch packets and agent dispatch packets execute on the kernel agent/agent, and the active phase ends when the task completes.
- Barrier-AND and barrier-OR packets remain in the active phase until their condition is met. Additionally no further packets are processed by the packet processor until the barrier-AND or barrier-OR packet has completed both its active and completion phase.

The active phase is followed by the completion phase.

If the packet is a barrier-AND or barrier-OR packet then an acquire memory fence is applied as the first step. For kernel dispatch and agent dispatch packets the acquire memory fence was already applied in the launch phase.

After execution of the acquire fence (if applicable), the memory release fence is applied.

After the memory release fence completes, the signal specified by the completion\_signal field in the AQL packet is signaled with a decrementing atomic operation. NULL is a valid value for the completion\_signal field, in which case no signal operation is performed.

### 2.9.3 Error handling

If an error is detected during packet processing or execution the following sequence is followed:

- If the error was detected during the packet active execution phase then a release fence is applied to the failing packet.
- The queue will be set into an error state and a callback will be issued through the HSA runtime. The HSA runtime API can be used to query the error.
- No further packets will be launched on the queue that has encountered an error, however any packet already executing will continue to execute until complete, or aborted through the HSA runtime.
- Packets in other queues within the same process (same PASID) will still be processed.

### 2.9.4 Vendor-specific packet

A vendor-specific packet has the format field in the packet header set to VENDOR\_SPECIFIC (e.g., zero). All other fields of the packet are implementation specific.

### 2.9.5 Invalid packet

An invalid packet has the format field in the packet header set to INVALID (e.g., one). All other fields of the packet are don't-care.

## 2.9.6 Kernel dispatch packet

Table 2-7 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) kernel dispatch packet format

| <b>Bits</b> | <b>Field Name</b>          | <b>Description</b>  |
|-------------|----------------------------|---|
| 15:0        | header                     | Packet header, see <a href="#">2.9.1. Packet header (page 25)</a> .   |
| 17:16       | dimensions                 | Number of dimensions specified in gridSize. Valid values are 1, 2, or 3.                                    |
| 31:18       |                            | Reserved, must be 0.  |
| 47:32       | workgroup_size_x           | x dimension of work-group (measured in work-items).   |
| 63:48       | workgroup_size_y           | y dimension of work-group (measured in work-items).   |
| 79:64       | workgroup_size_z           | z dimension of work-group (measured in work-items).   |
| 95:80       |                            | Reserved, must be 0.  |
| 127:96      | grid_size_x                | x dimension of grid (measured in work-items).   |
| 159:128     | grid_size_y                | y dimension of grid (measured in work-items).   |
| 191:160     | grid_size_z                | z dimension of grid (measured in work-items).   |
| 223:192     | private_segment_size_bytes | Total size in bytes of private memory allocation request (per work-item).                                   |
| 255:224     | group_segment_size_bytes   | Total size in bytes of group memory allocation request (per work-group).                                    |
| 319:256     | kernel_object              | Handle for an object in memory that includes an implementation-defined executable ISA image for the kernel. |
| 383:320     | kernarg_address            | Address of memory containing kernel arguments.  |
| 447:384     |                            | Reserved, must be 0.  |
| 511:448     | completion_signal          | HSA signaling object handle used to indicate completion of the job.   |

The kernarg\_address minimum alignment granule is 16 bytes. HSAIL directives may enforce larger alignment granules for individual kernels. The memory at kernarg\_address must remain allocated and not be modified until the kernel completes.

The memory referenced by the kernarg\_address must be specifically allocated for this purpose through the HSA runtime API provided for this purpose, and must be visible at system scope before the kernel dispatch packet is assigned.

Kernel agents may implement a limitation on the size of the kernarg segment visible to a kernel dispatch. The minimum required size of the kernarg segment visible to a kernel dispatch is 4 kB.

The kernarg\_address memory buffer should be allocated through the runtime allocation API provided for this purpose.

## 2.9.7 Agent dispatch packet

Table 2-8 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) agent dispatch packet format

| <b>Bits</b> | <b>Field Name</b> | <b>Description</b>   |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| 15:0        | header            | Packet header, see <a href="#">2.9.1. Packet header (page 25)</a> .                                |
| 31:16       | type              | The function to be performed by the destination agent. The function codes are application defined. |
| 63:32       |                   | Reserved, must be 0.   |
| 127:64      | return_address    | Pointer to location to store the function return value(s) in.                                      |

| Bits    | Field Name        | Description   |
|---------|-------------------|---|
| 191:128 | arg0              | 64-bit arguments, may be values or pointers.                        |
| 255:192 | arg1              |   |
| 319:256 | arg2              |   |
| 383:320 | arg3              |   |
| 447:384 |                   | Reserved, must be 0.  |
| 511:448 | completion_signal | HSA signaling object handle used to indicate completion of the job. |

## 2.9.8 Barrier-AND packet

Table 2–9 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) barrier-AND packet format

| Bits    | Field Name        | Description  |
|---------|-------------------|--|
| 15:0    | header            | Packet header, see <a href="#">2.9.1. Packet header (page 25)</a> .              |
| 63:16   |                   | Reserved, must be 0.   |
| 127:64  | dep_signal0       | Handles for dependent signaling objects to be evaluated by the packet processor. |
| 191:128 | dep_signal1       |  |
| 255:192 | dep_signal2       |  |
| 319:256 | dep_signal3       |  |
| 383:320 | dep_signal4       |  |
| 447:384 |                   | Reserved, must be 0.   |
| 511:448 | completion_signal | HSA signaling object handle used to indicate completion of the job.              |

The barrier-AND packet defines dependencies for the packet processor to monitor. The packet processor will not launch any further packets until the barrier-AND packet is complete. Up to five dependent signals can be specified in the barrier-AND packet, and the execution phase for the barrier-AND packet completes when the following condition is met:

- All of the dependent signals have been observed with the value 0 after the barrier-AND packet launched (note that it is not required that all dependent signals are observed to be 0 at the same time).
  - The completion\_signal will be signaled with an atomic decrementing operation in the completion phase.

The packet processor should monitor all the dependent signals continuously, but is not required to see all intermediate values on the signals.

If a dependent signaling pointer has the value NULL it is considered to be constant 0 in the dependency condition evaluation.

## 2.9.9 Barrier-OR packet

Table 2–10 Architected Queuing Language (AQL) barrier-OR packet format

| Bits  | Field Name | Description   |
|-------|------------|---|
| 15:0  | header     | Packet header, see <a href="#">2.9.1. Packet header (page 25)</a> . |
| 63:16 |            | Reserved, must be 0.  |

| <b>Bits</b> | <b>Field Name</b> | <b>Description</b>   |
|-------------|-------------------|--|
| 127:64      | dep_signal0       | Handles for dependent signaling objects to be evaluated by the packet processor. |
| 191:128     | dep_signal1       |  |
| 255:192     | dep_signal2       |  |
| 319:256     | dep_signal3       |  |
| 383:320     | dep_signal4       |  |
| 447:384     |                   | Reserved, must be 0.   |
| 511:448     | completion_signal | HSA signaling object handle used to indicate completion of the job.              |

The barrier-OR packet defines dependencies for the packet processor to monitor. The packet processor will not launch any further packets until the barrier-OR packet is complete. Up to five dependent signals can be specified in the barrier-OR packet, and the execution phase for the barrier-OR packet completes when the following condition is met:

- Any of the dependent signals have been observed with the value 0 after the barrier-OR packet launched.
  - The completion\_signal will be signaled with an atomic decrementing operation in the completion phase.

The packet processor should monitor all the dependent signals continuously, but is not required to see all intermediate values on the signals.

If a dependent signaling pointer has the value NULL it is considered to be constant non-zero in the dependency condition evaluation.

#### 2.9.10 Small machine model

The HSA small machine model has 32-bit rather than 64-bit native pointers. This affects any packet field that specifies an address:

- Kernel dispatch packet kernarg\_address field
- Agent dispatch packet return\_address field

For these fields only the least significant 32 bits are used in the small machine model.

### 2.10 Requirement: Agent scheduling

The HSA compliant system is required to schedule tasks for each agent from the HSA queues connected to the agent as well as from any additional pool of non-HSA tasks. The exact mechanism for scheduling is implementation-defined, but should meet the restrictions outlined in this section.

The HSA programming model encourages the use of multiple queues per application to enable complex sequences of tasks to be scheduled. It is expected that HSA-compliant applications use multiple queues simultaneously. To ensure consistent performance across HSA-compliant systems, it is recommended that when using multiple queues the scheduling algorithm will schedule efficiently across them and minimize the overhead for managing multiple queues.

To ensure this, the agent scheduling must have the following characteristics:

1. As a minimum, the agent scheduling should be triggered by the following events:
  - Agent task execution completes and the agent becomes available for executing another task.

- If the agent is not executing a task then the agent scheduling must also be triggered by:
  - Packet submission to any queue connected to the agent.
  - A signal used by an AQL barrier-AND or barrier-OR packet active on a queue connected to the agent is signaled such that the AQL barrier-AND or barrier-OR packet can complete. The agent scheduling must minimally be able to monitor the forefront AQL packet being active on each queue connected to it. Monitoring includes any combination of supported AQL packets and their dependencies. To ensure deadlock is avoided, the monitoring of dependencies must not occupy any execution resources required to make forward progress on tasks (e.g., a barrier-AND or barrier-OR packet active on one queue must not block task execution on other queues).
- 2. The agent scheduling should respond to the events listed in point 1 in a reasonable time.
- 3. When the agent scheduling mechanism is triggered and the agent has available execution resources, the scheduling mechanism must dispatch a task if there is a runnable kernel dispatch or agent dispatch packet on any queue connected to the agent. Note that barrier-AND and barrier-OR packets are not allowed to occupy agent/kernel agent execution resources.

## 2.11 Requirement: Kernel agent context switching

Kernel agent context switching is required to provide a mechanism to the system software to support:

- Scheduling of multiple HSA contexts to a device with appropriate prioritization, throughput, and latency constraints.
- Scheduling of both HSA and non-HSA tasks on devices that can support both task types.

The HSA specification defines the mechanisms that must be present to support scheduling through a so-called context switch. The precise constraints on scheduling are considered platform-specific and are not mandated by the HSA specification.

The design of context switching includes stopping any currently running wavefronts, saving the current context state to memory (memory is managed by system software), restoring context state of another context from memory, and starting execution at the state left when it was stopped previously.

System software may request a kernel agent to initiate the kernel agent compute context switch, indicating at minimum the affected PASID and user-level queue that should be switched.

The context state data format is implementation-specific. System software shall assure the residency of the context save memory during the preemption operation.

System software may request three priorities of context switch:

1. *Switch*. A context switch is required. The context switch is not required to occur immediately. The hardware may continue to complete issued work-items, and context switch at a point where the size of the HSA context can be reduced.
2. *Preempt*. A context switch is required as soon as possible. System software needs a way to determine if a platform implements preemptive context switching through hardware which can provide guaranteed context switch latency.
3. *Terminate and context reset*. The HSA context should be terminated as fast as possible. There is no requirement to preserve state. The kernel agent is available for scheduling another context afterwards.

System software must be able to determine if a switch has been completed, and may request a higher priority switch if the switch has not occurred.

For instance, a scheduler may first issue a switch and then, after a grace period, detect if the switch has occurred, and if not, issue a preempt to trigger a higher-priority context switch. Eventually system software may choose to terminate a context (with loss of context state) if the kernel agent does not respond within a predetermined time set by system software policy. The required capabilities are mandated by this specification, not the required policies.

If preempt is implemented through “hard preemption” it shall fulfil the following requirements:

- The mechanism shall provide preemption and forced exit of currently running wavefronts.
- On preemption, the kernel agent shall save all state to memory that would be required to restart the preempted context again.
- The preemption mechanism must not wait for events that have undetermined latency (including end-of-kernel or end-of-wavefront events).

Platforms implementing the Full Profile of the HSA PRM are required to implement hard preemption.

## 2.12 Requirement: IEEE754-2008 floating point exceptions

A kernel agent shall report certain defined exceptions related to the execution of the HSAIL code to the HSA Runtime. At minimum the following exceptions shall be reported for IEEE754-2008 floating point errors:

Table 2-11 IEEE754-2008 exceptions

| <b>Operation</b>  | <b>Exception Code</b> | <b>Description</b>  |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|
| INVALID_OPERATION | 0                     | Invalid operation that raises a flag under default exception handling as specified in IEEE754-2008. |
| DIVIDE_BY_ZERO    | 1                     | Divide-by-zero that raises a flag under default exception handling as specified in IEEE754-2008.    |
| OVERFLOW          | 2                     | Overflow that raises a flag under default exception handling as specified in IEEE754-2008.          |
| UNDERFLOW         | 3                     | Underflow that raises a flag under default exception handling as specified in IEEE754-2008.         |
| INEXACT           | 4                     | Inexact that raises a flag under default exception handling as specified in IEEE754-2008.           |

- DETECT:

Support for DETECT is not required in the base HSA profile. Support for DETECT is required in the full HSA profile.

The kernel agent must maintain a status bit for each of the DETECT-enabled arithmetic exceptions for each work-group. The status bits are reset to zero at the start of a dispatch. If an exception occurs and the DETECT policy is enabled for that exception, then the kernel agent will set the corresponding status bit. The kernel agent must:

- Support HSAIL instructions that can read or write the exception status field while the kernel is running.

- BREAK:

Support for BREAK is not required in the base HSA profile, and is optional in the full HSA profile.

When an exception occurs and the BREAK policy is enabled for that exception, the kernel agent must stop the excepting wavefront's execution of the HSAIL instruction stream precisely at the instruction which generated the exception. Memory and register state is just before the excepting instruction executed, except that non-excepting lanes in the wavefront which generated the exception may have already executed and updated register or memory state. In reasonable time, the kernel agent executing the wavefront must stop initiating new wavefronts for all dispatches executing on the same User Mode queue, and must ensure that all wavefronts currently being executed for those dispatches either complete, or are halted. Any dispatches that complete will have their completion signal updated as normal, however, any dispatch that do not complete the execution of all their associated wavefronts will not have their completion signal updated.

The kernel agent then signals the runtime, which invokes an exception handler defined in the HSA Runtime. The reported information must include:

- Exception code
- PASID
- Queue id
- packet ID
- Precise instruction counter that caused the exception.

Some possible uses for the runtime exception handler are:

- Invoking a debugger which allows the developer to determine which function caused the exception and inspect the surrounding memory and register state.
- Logging the exception to a file.
- Killing the process.

After signaling the BREAK, it is not required that the kernel agent can restart the instruction which generated the exception.

The enabling of the DETECT and BREAK exception policies is controlled through the HSAIL finalization. Both DETECT and BREAK can be enabled for the same arithmetic exception.

The exception handling policy must be specified at the time the HSAIL code is finalized, since implementations may generate different code when exception handling is requested. Enabling either DETECT or BREAK functionality may reduce the performance of the kernel running on the kernel agent. However, it is recommended that performance with DETECT policy be close to native code performance since this is a commonly-used exception policy.

## 2.13 Requirement: Kernel agent hardware debug infrastructure

The kernel agent shall provide mechanisms to allow system software and some select application software (for example, debuggers and profilers) to set breakpoints and collect throughput information for profiling. At a minimum, instruction breakpoints shall be supported. Memory breakpoints, memory faults, and conditional breakpoints may be supported as an implementation option.

When hitting a breakpoint condition, the hardware shall retire all pending writes to memory, stop the wavefront, and provide status information indicating the breakpoint condition, the affected PASID, user-level queue, instruction(s), and wavefront/group ID.

System software shall provide appropriate resident memory to allow the kernel agent hardware on reaching the breakpoint condition to write out state information that can be parsed by appropriate select application software (for example, debuggers and profilers). The format of the written data shall be system implementation-specific.

## 2.14 Requirement: HSA platform topology discovery

### 2.14.1 Introduction

The HSA System Architecture requirements cover a wide range of systems with a variety of elements. In order to leverage the available elements to their fullest, application and system software need a way to discover and characterize the available agents, their topological relation to each other and to other relevant system resources like memory, caches, I/O, and host agents (e.g., CPU).

An HSA-compliant system contains a variety of different agents, interfaces, and other resources such as memory and caches. Application, runtime, and system software need a way to characterize all the available resources in order to use them.

At minimum, an HSA compliant system must provide a set of parameter characterizations that are made available to HSA software. Applications can discover these through various runtime APIs (through the HSA Runtime, with the expectation that other APIs targeting the platform, e.g., OpenCL™ and DirectCompute, report equivalent information) and software may leverage these parameters for optimizing performance on a specific platform.

Unless otherwise provided to the HSA runtime level by system software, each of these properties shall be provided through appropriate parameter storage retrieval; an implementation may use firmware table entries, data retrieved through firmware methods (e.g., via ACPI), kernel image or through equivalent mechanisms. The multitude of kernel agent properties can be enumerated and their relationships to each other established by the system software parsing the data and communicated to the HSA runtime and HSA applications.

Depending on the platform, the data should be grouped hierarchically to correspond to components such as Agent, Memory, Compute Properties, Caches, and I/O.


The data are associated with a node ID, forming a per-node element list which references the elements contained at relative offsets within that list. A node associates with a kernel agent or agent. Node ID's should be 0-based, with the "0" ID representing the primary elements of the system (e.g., "boot cores", memory) if applicable. The enumeration order and—if applicable—values of the ID should match other information reported through mechanisms outside of the scope of the HSA requirements; for example, the data and enumeration order contained in the ACPI SRAT table on some systems should match the memory order and properties reported through HSA. Further detail is out of the scope of the System Architecture and outlined in the Runtime API specification.

Each of these nodes is interconnected with other nodes in more advanced systems to the level necessary to adequately describe the HSA system topology.

Where applicable, the node grouping of physical memory follows NUMA principles to leverage memory locality in software when multiple physical memory blocks are available in the system and agents have a different "access cost" (e.g., bandwidth/latency) to that memory.


[Figure 2–2 \(page 36\)](#) provides an illustrative example for a grouping characterizing an exemplary multi-socket CPU/APU and multi-board GPU system, whereas [Figure 2–1 \(facing page\)](#) is an example for a structurally simpler platform with only one "node", containing agent and memory resources.

Figure 2-1 Example of a Simple HSA Platform



[Figure 2-2 \(next page\)](#) shows a more advanced system with a variety of host CPUs and kernel agents and five discoverable HSA Memory Nodes, distributed across multiple system components.

Figure 2-2 Example of an HSA platform with more advanced topology



## 2.14.2 Topology requirements

The platform topology is an inherent property of the HSA system. From the application point of view, the topology can be fully enumerated and should not change during the application enumeration process itself, but application may receive notification changes after.

For advanced systems that support docking or dynamically attaching or removing components ("docking"), the system must provide properties to detect ephemeral vs persistent components and use notification mechanisms to the HSA runtime and application that allow them to re-enumerate the updated topology. Detection of topology changes during enumeration should be deferred until the enumeration of the previous topology completes to avoid undefined or transitory topology state in application data structures.

Typically, though not in all cases system software may enumerate all HSA system topology information at or close to system startup and makes it available at application startup. System software and runtime may provide mechanisms to the application to notify it about topology changes that may be caused by platform & system events (e.g., docking) that change the previously reported topology.


The detail notification mechanisms may be system and vendor specific and are outside of the scope of this specification.

Each of the data parameters characterizes particular kernel agent properties of the system. In addition agent entries may reference elements that characterize the resources the kernel agents are associated with. Each of the topology elements is characterized by a unique element ID and type-specific property data.

The following sections will describe the element requirements in more detail.

### 2.14.3 Agent & kernel agent entry

Figure 2-3 General structure of an agent entry



This entry characterizes the hardware component participating in the HSA memory model.

The entry should make a distinction between Agents (= can issue AQL packets into a queue) and kernel agents (= can issue and consume AQL packet from a queue), but otherwise provide similar detail of properties for each.

Each such topology entry for an agent/kernel agent is identified by a unique ID on the platform. The ID is used by application and system software to identify agents & kernel agents. Each topology entry also contains an agent/kernel agent type (e.g., throughput/vector, latency/scalar, DSP, ...) characterizing its compute execution properties, work-group and wavefront size, concurrent number of wavefronts, in addition to a nodeID value.

One or more agents/kernel agents may belong to the same nodeID or some agents/kernel agents may be represented in more than one node, depending on the system configuration. This information should be equivalent or complementary to existing information on the platform (e.g., derived from ACPI SRAT or equivalent where applicable).

An agent/kernel agent parameter set may reference other system resources associated with it to express their topological relationship as needed; examples are other agents/kernel agents, memory, cache, and IO resources, or sub-divisible components of the agent/kernel agent itself.

The goal is to allow HSA compliant system software and HSA applications to identify execution blocks in a hierarchy, within an agent/kernel agent, to be individually scheduled and addressed; for example, in a PC platform, an agent (like a CPU) can be expressed in units such as “sockets” and cores, each socket with its memory access path and cache hierarchy representing a “node”, whereas the cores represent individually scheduled execution elements represented as agents.

At minimum, the following agent/kernel agent parameters should be available to system software and to applications via application programming interfaces (APIs) (e.g., the HSA runtime, OpenCL™, or others):

- “Kernel agent” or “Agent” Indicator (see above)
- Type (throughput/vector, latency/scalar, DSP, ...)
- Node ID the element belongs to.
- Element ID. Used to individually identify the Agent on that platform. Existing values inherent in the platform definition may be used for that purpose. There is no requirement for sequentiality.
- Maximum number of Compute Units
- Maximum work-item dimensions
- Maximum work-item sizes
- Machine model
- Endianness
- Maximum and minimum queue sizes, always a power of 2 and a multiple of the AQL packet size

Optional properties of an agent would also surface through the mechanism, as an example:

- Maximum Clock Frequency in MHz (0 if not exposed on a platform)
- Friendly name (equivalent to “device name” in OpenCL™)
- Floating point capabilities

Other optional parameters may be available depending on the platform. Any optional parameter not defined by the platform should be returned as “0”.

#### 2.14.4 Memory entry

The HSA Memory Entry describes the physical properties of a block memory in the system with identifiable characteristics that can be accessed via HSA memory model semantics by the agents.

At minimum, the following HSA memory parameters of each physically distinguishable memory block would be made available to system software and potentially made available to applications via APIs (e.g., the HSA runtime, OpenCL™, or others):

- Node ID the element belongs to.
- Element ID. Used to individually identify the memory block on that platform. Existing values inherent in the platform definition may be used for that purpose. There is no requirement for sequentiality.
- Virtual base address (32-bit or 64-bit value)
- Physical memory size in bytes

Optional HSA memory parameters would also be made available through the mechanism, as an example:

- Memory interleave (1, 2, 4)
- Number of memory channels
- Memory width per memory channel
- Maximum memory throughput in MByte/s
- Minimum memory access latency in picoseconds

Other optional parameters may be available depending on platform. Any optional parameter not defined by the platform should be returned as "0".

#### 2.14.5 Cache entry

The HSA Cache Entry describes the available caches for agents within a system.

At minimum, the following HSA memory parameters would be made available to system software and potentially made available to applications via APIs (e.g., the HSA runtime, OpenCL™, or others):

- Node ID the element belongs to.
- Element ID. Used to individually identify the memory block on that platform. Existing values inherent in the platform definition may be used for that purpose. There is no requirement for sequentiality.
- Cache type, e.g., read-only or read/write cache
- Total size of cache in kilobytes
- Cache line size in bytes
- Cache level (1, 2, 3, ...)
- Associativity (0 = reserved, 1= direct mapped, 2=0xFE associativity, 0xFFh=fully associative)
- Exclusive/inclusive to lower level caches
- Agent association if cache is shared between agents (identified via nodemask)

Optional HSA memory parameters would also be made available through the mechanism, as an example:


- Maximum latency on a cache hit (in picoseconds)

Other optional parameters may be available depending on platform. Any optional parameter not defined by the platform should be returned as "0".

#### 2.14.6 Topology structure example

[Figure 2-4 \(next page\)](#) outlines an illustrative example of how the system information can be used to express specific system topology, using the [2.14.6 \(above\)](#) block diagram as the basis.

Figure 2-4 Topology definition structure for previously defined system block diagram



## 2.15 Requirement: Images

An HSA-compliant platform shall optionally provide for the ability of HSA software to define and use image objects, which are used to store one-, two-, or three-dimensional images. The elements of an image object are defined from a list of predefined image formats. Image primitives accessible from kernel agents, operate on an image value, which is referenced using an opaque 64-bit image handle. Image handles are created by the HSA runtime and are specific to a single agent. An image object can be read-only, i.e., a kernel agent can only read values from the image, write-only, i.e., a kernel agent can only write values to the image, or read and write, i.e., a kernel agent can write to an image as well as read from it. Images have the following additional requirements:

- Images are created by the HSA platform and may be initialized from data copied from the global segment. After initialization, the image structure
  - retains no reference to the global segment that provided the initializing data.
  - data is not stored in the global segment.
- An image object can only be used by a single agent, the agent specified when creating the image object.
- Image data can only be accessed via interfaces exposed by the HSA platform or kernel agent primitives.
- The layout of the image data storage is implementation defined, and need not be the same for different agents in the same HSA implementation.
- Images are not part of the shared virtual address space. A consequence of this is that in general, agent access to image data must be performed via interfaces exposed by the HSA platform.
- Image operations do not contribute to memory orderings defined by the HSA memory model. The following rules apply for image operation visibility:
  - Modifications to image data through HSA runtime API require the following sequence to occur

in order to be visible to subsequent AQL packet dispatches:

1. HSA runtime operation modifying the image data completes
2. A packet processor acquire fence applying to image data is executed on the agent for the image (this may be part of the AQL packet dispatch that reads the modified image data).
- Modifications to image data by a kernel agent packet dispatch are visible as follows:
  - Image data modifications made by an AQL packet dispatch require the following sequence to occur in order to be visible to subsequent AQL packet dispatches:
    1. The active phase of the AQL packet dispatch modifying the image completes.
    2. A packet processor release fence applying to image data is executed on the agent for the image (this may be part of the AQL packet dispatch that modified the image).
    3. A packet processor acquire fence applying to image data is executed on the agent for the image (this may be part of the AQL packet dispatch that reads the modified image data).
  - Image data modifications made by an AQL packet dispatch require the following sequence to occur in order to be visible to HSA runtime operations:
    1. The active phase of the AQL packet dispatch modifying the image completes.
    2. A packet processor release fence applying to image data is executed on the agent for the image (this may be part of the AQL packet dispatch that modified the image).
  - Stores to an image by a single work-item are visible to loads by the same work-item after execution of a work-item scope image fence operation.
  - Stores to an image by a work-item are visible to loads by the same work-item and other work-items in the same work-group after both the writing and reading work-item(s) execute work-group execution uniform image acquire/release fences at work-group scope.
  - Note that there is no image acquire/release fence at agent scope. Therefore, it is not possible to make image stores performed by a work-item visible to image loads performed by another work-item in a different work-group of the same dispatch.
  - Image accesses and image fences by a single work-item cannot be reordered.
  - Image fences and `barrier/wavebarrier` operations cannot be reordered. The ordering between `barrier/wavebarrier` operations and image fences is visible to all work-items that participate in the `barrier/wavebarrier` operations. See the *HSA Programmer's Reference Manual Version 1.0* for more information on the `barrier/wavebarrier` operations.
- Access to modified image data that is not yet visible is undefined.

- A read/write image may alias a read-only or write-only image that is allocated for the same kernel agent. Additionally, a write-only image may alias a read-only image that is allocated for the same kernel agent.
- If the data of a read-only image is modified while it is being read by a kernel agent kernel dispatch, then the behavior is undefined. If the data of a write-only image is read while it is being modified by a kernel agent kernel dispatch, then the behavior is undefined.

As described above, images are not part of shared virtual memory and thus are not included in the HSA Memory Model, as defined in [Chapter 3. HSA Memory Consistency Model \(page 43\)](#).

## CHAPTER 3.

### HSA Memory Consistency Model

---

#### 3.1 What is a memory consistency model?

A memory consistency model defines how writes by one unit of execution become visible to another unit of execution, whether on the same agent or different agents. The memory consistency model described in this chapter sets a minimum bar. Some HSAIL implementations might provide a stronger guarantee than required.

For many implementations, better performance will result if either the hardware or the finalizer is allowed to relax the perceived order of the execution of memory operations. For example, the finalizer might find it more efficient if a write is moved later in the program; so long as the program semantics do not change, the finalizer is free to do so. Once a store is deferred, other work-items and agents will not see it until the store actually happens. Hardware might provide a cache that also defers writes.

In the same way, both the hardware and the finalizer are sometimes allowed to move writes to memory earlier in the program. In that case, the other work-items and agents may see the write when it occurs, before it appears to be executed in the source.

A memory consistency model provides answers to two kinds of questions. For developers and tools, the question is: "How do I make it always get the right answer?" For implementations, the question is: "If I do this, is it allowed?"

Programs cannot see any global order directly. Implementations cannot know about future loads and stores. Each implementation creates an order such that any possible visibility order requirement created by future loads and stores will not be violated.

Implementations can constrain events more than the model allows. The model is a minimum required ordering of events. The model goes from a description of operations, to a minimal required ordering of operations due to a single compute unit, to an interleaving of the operations in time from multiple compute units with allowed reordering.

Legal results are determined by the interleavings, allowed reorderings, and visibility rules.

#### 3.2 What is an HSA memory consistency model?

The HSA memory consistency model describes how multiple work-items interact with themselves and with agents.

The HSA memory consistency model is a relaxed model based on a data race free framework.

The memory model defines the concept of an HSA race, and a program is undefined if it is not HSA-race-free.

The execution of any HSA-race-free program that does not include a relaxed atomic operation appears sequentially consistent.

The execution of any data-race-free program that includes one or more relaxed atomic operations may not appear sequentially consistent.

HSA includes concepts of memory segments and scopes.

Each load or store operation occurs to a single memory segment, whereas fences apply across multiple segments. For special memory operations we define a set of scopes it applies to (namely work-item, wavefront, work-group, agent, and system).

The precise rules and definitions for the memory consistency model are described in the rest of the HSA Memory Consistency Model section.

There might be devices in a system that do not subscribe to the HSA memory consistency model, but can move data in and out of HSA memory regions.

Software can only see the results of load and read-modify-write operations. Each load result creates a more constrained partial visibility order. The contract is violated when a load gets a result that does not meet all the required partial visibility orders in the system.

### 3.3 HSA memory consistency model definitions

The HSA memory consistency model is defined in terms of units of executions and the ordering of visibility of operations.

- A *unit of execution* is a program-ordered sequence of operations through a processing element. A unit of execution can be any thread of execution on an agent, a work-item, or any method of sending operations through a processing element in an HSA-compatible device.
- For primitives of type “store”, visibility to unit of execution A of a store operation X is when the data of store X is available to loads from unit of execution A.
- For primitives of type “load”, visibility is when a load gets the data that the unit of execution will put in the register.
- Primitives of type “fence” can enforce additional constraints on the visibility of load and store operations. A fence is visible at the point where the constraint implied by the fence is met. For example, a release fence is visible when all preceding transactions from units of execution affected by the fence are visible in the required scope instances, and the constraints versus other fences and atomic operations are also met.
- Definition of single-copy atomic property.
- If two single-copy atomic stores overlap, then all the writes of one of the stores will be inserted into the coherent order (see [3.7. Coherent order \(page 50\)](#)) of each overlapping byte before any of the writes of the other store are inserted.
- If a single-copy atomic load A overlaps with a single-copy atomic store B and if for any of the overlapping bytes the load returns the data written by the write B inserted into the coherent order of that byte by the single-copy atomic store then the load A must return data from a point in the coherent order of each of the overlapping bytes no earlier than the write to that byte inserted into the coherent order by single-copy atomic store B.

- “Undefined program or execution”. Some incorrect programs can exhibit implementation specific behavior. These programs or the execution of these programs are typically labeled “undefined”. However, possible behaviors of “undefined programs” do not include escaping process isolation or escalation of the privilege level of the process. The behavior potentially exhibited by an undefined program must be consistent with its privilege level. Some examples of permissible behavior for undefined programs are to complete with an incorrect result, fail with segment violation fault or other fault, and so on.

### 3.3.1 Operations

- A “memory operation” is any operation that implies executing one or more of the load, store, or fence primitives. The following basic operations are defined:
  - `ld`, load a value, also referred to as “ordinary load”.
  - `st`, store a value, also referred to as “ordinary store”.
  - `Atomic_op`, perform an atomic operation op returning a value. This may be a load (`ld`) or a read-modify-write operation (`rmw`).
  - `atomicNoRet_op`, perform an atomic operation without returning a value. This may be a store (`st`) or a read-modify-write operation (`rmw`).
  - `fence`, perform a memory fence operation.
- Memory operation order constraints are attached to memory operations. The following ordering constraints are defined:
  - `rlx`, no ordering constraint attached (i.e., relaxed).
  - `scacq`, acquire constraint attached<sup>1</sup>.
  - `screl`, release constraint attached<sup>2</sup>.
  - `scar`, acquire and release constraints attached<sup>3</sup>.
- The only ordering constraint allowed for ordinary loads or stores is `rlx`.
- The following combinations of atomic operation and ordering constraint are allowed:
  - `atomic_ld_rlx`, `atomic_ld_scacq`, `atomic_ld_scar`,
  - `atomic_rmw_rlx`, `atomic_rmw_scacq`, `atomic_rmw_screl`, `atomic_rmw_scar`
- The following combinations of `atomicnoret` operation and ordering constraint are allowed:
  - `atomicnoret_st_rlx`, `atomicnoret_st_screl`, `atomicnoret_st_scar`,
  - `atomicnoret_rmw_rlx`, `atomicnoret_rmw_scacq`, `atomicnoret_rmw_screl`, `atomicnoret_rmw_scar`
- The following combinations of fence operation and ordering constraint are allowed: `fence_scacq`, `fence_screl`, `fence_scar`.

---

<sup>1</sup>`scacq` ordering is similar to `seq_cst` ordering for a load in C++11, not acquire ordering.

<sup>2</sup>`screl` ordering is similar to `seq_cst` ordering for a store in C++11, not release ordering.

<sup>3</sup>`scar` ordering is similar to `seq_cst` ordering for a read-modify-write in C++11.

- Atomic stores are any atomic operations that imply modification of a memory location.
- Atomic loads are any atomic operations that imply reading a memory location.
- Atomic read-modify-writes are any operations that imply both reading and modifying a memory location.
- An ordinary memory operation is an ordinary load or an ordinary store (ld or st)
- Release operations are all memory operations (atomic or fence) with order screl or scar.
- Acquire operations are all memory operations (atomic or fence) with order scacq or scar.
- Synchronizing operations are the union of all release and all acquire operations, i.e., all operations (atomic or fence) with order scacq, screl, or scar.
- Special operations are all atomic operations and all fence operations.

The operations available in HSAIL have different names, and may also have restrictions on the allowable combinations of operation, ordering, segment, and scope. See the *HSA Programmer's Reference Manual Version 1.0* for a full description of HSAIL memory operations.

### 3.3.2 Atomic operations

The following properties apply to atomic operations:

- Atomic operations must use naturally aligned addresses. If an address that is not naturally aligned is used for an atomic operation then the program is undefined.
- Atomic loads and stores are single-copy atomic.
- Atomic read-modify-write operations are indivisible in the coherent order of the bytes accessed (see [3.7. Coherent order \(page 50\)](#)). The write operation follows the read operation immediately in the coherent order of the bytes accessed. The read and write components of the read-modify-write operation are single-copy-atomic.
- Synchronizing atomics (i.e., paired atomics with release and acquire semantics) must be to the same location and be of the same size.

### 3.3.3 Segments

The HSA memory consistency model defines the following different segments:

- Global segment, shared between all agents.
- Group segment, shared between work-items in the same work-group in an HSAIL kernel dispatch.
- Private, private to a single work-item in an HSAIL kernel dispatch.
- Kernarg, read-only memory visible to all work-items in an HSAIL kernel dispatch.
- Readonly, read-only memory visible to all agents.
- The flat segment is considered a virtual segment and all operations on the flat segment are considered to be performed on the actual segment a particular flat address maps to.

Read-only segments are not updated after the HSAIL kernel dispatch, therefore only ordinary load operations are relevant for these segments. Programs may assume that loads will read the initial value of a location prior to kernel dispatch. Read-only segments are not further discussed in the HSA memory consistency model section.

The different segments are described in more detail in the *HSA Programmer's Reference Manual Version 1.0*.

A particular memory location is always accessed using the same segment.

### 3.3.4 Ownership

An agent may be given ownership of an address range in the Global segment. Only a single agent may have ownership of an address range at a time. When an agent has ownership of an address range only that agent is allowed to access the address range.

If an agent accesses an address that is owned by another agent then the program is undefined.

There are two types of ownership:

- Read-only ownership, the agent may only read from the address range owned
- Read-write ownership, the agent may write as well as read the address range owned.

Address range ownership is controlled through HSA runtime calls.

### 3.3.5 Scopes

The HSA memory consistency model defines the following different scopes:

- Work-item (wi)
- Wavefront (wave)
- Work-group (wg)
- Agent (agent)
- System (system)

Memory operation scope is used to limit the required visibility of a memory operation to a subset of observers (units of execution) in the system.

A special operation specifies one scope, however more than one scope may be implied through inclusivity (see [3.3.6. Scope instances \(below\)](#)).

Ordinary memory operations have an implicit scope of work-item and are only required to be visible to other units of execution in relation to synchronizing operations, and are then required to be visible within the scope instance(s) of the synchronizing operation.

### 3.3.6 Scope instances

A named scope in a static program corresponds to a specific *scope instance* during execution. For example, a special operation that specifies work-group scope will correspond to a scope instance containing all the units of execution in the same work-group as the unit of execution that performs the special operation.

The actual scope of a special operation is limited by the maximum visibility of segments.

- A special operation on a byte location in the global segment with system scope performed on an address range owned by the agent behaves as if specified with agent scope.

- A special operation on a byte location in the group segment with system or agent scope behaves as if specified with work-group scope.
  - For example, an atomic store release with system scope using a flat segment address that maps to the group segment will be performed with work-group scope, for both the group and global segments.
- Synchronizing operations with system or agent scope are performed with work-group scope on the group segment.
  - For example, an atomic store release with agent scope using a flat segment address that maps to the global segment will be performed with work-group scope for the group segment, and agent scope for the global segment.
- Segments other than global and system are either not writeable or only visible to a single unit of execution, and thus scopes are not applicable.
  - For example, scopes are not valid for private segments, whereas all scopes are possible for the global segment.

When a scope other than system is used then there may be several scope instances. For example, when using work-group scope, unit of executions in different work-groups would specify different instances of the work-group scope.

Scope instances are *inclusive*. When a scope instance is specified it implies all smaller scope instances. For example, if agent scope is specified for an operation then work-group, wave-front and work-item scope instance are also implied.

We define an operator  $S\backslash$  that returns the set of scope instances specified by a memory operation.

The sets of scope instances  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  specified for two operations executed by two different units of execution match if they contain any common scope instance. This will be the case when:

- the largest scope of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are both system, or
- the largest scope of  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is system, and for the other is agent, and the units of execution belong to the same agent, or
- the largest scope of  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is system and for the other is work-group, and the units of execution belong to the same work-group, or
- the largest scope of  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is system and for the other is wavefront, and the units of execution belong to the same wavefront, or
- the largest scope of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are both agent, and the units of execution belong to the same agent, or
- the largest scope of  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is agent and for the other is work-group, and the units of execution belong to the same work-group, or
- the largest scope of  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is agent and the for other is wavefront, and the units of execution belong to the same wavefront, or
- the largest scope of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are both work-group, and the units of execution belong to the same work-group, or
- the largest scope of  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is work-group and for the other is wavefront, and the units of execution belong to the same wavefront, or

- the largest scope of  $S_1$  and are both wavefront, and the units of execution belong to the same wavefront, or
- the operations are executed by the same unit of execution.

We define an operator *Match* as true when scope instances match:

$$\text{Match}(S_1, S_2) = (\emptyset \neq (S_1 \cap S_2))$$

Note that for operations executed by the same unit of execution (i.e., work-item) there is always a common scope instance and hence *Match* is always true.

For example, if work-items from different work-groups access the global segment using work-group scope they have no matching scope instances and *Match* is false.

### 3.3.7 Packet processor fences

In addition, the memory model defines packet memory fences that are only used by the packet processor (see [2.9.1. Packet header \(page 25\)](#)):

- A packet memory release fence makes any global segment or image data that was stored by any unit of execution that belonged to a dispatch that has completed the active phase on any queue of the same agent visible in all the scopes specified by the packet release fence.
- A packet memory acquire fence ensures any subsequent global segment or image loads by any unit of execution that belongs to a dispatch that has not yet entered the active phase on any queue of the same agent, sees any data previously released at the scopes specified by the packet acquire fence.

### 3.3.8 Forward progress of special operations

Special operations must make forward progress, and must be visible for the scope instances they specify in finite time. Ordinary stores are not required to be visible in finite time, but if they are ordered before something that is required to be visible in finite time, then it is a consequence of the ordering behavior that those ordinary stores will be visible in finite time.

## 3.4 Plausible executions

We define a plausible execution as the result of an HSA program in which each load operation observes the value of any store operation that occurs in the same execution. Plausible executions are a superset of the legal executions that could occur when an HSA-race-free program is run in an HSA-compliant system.

## 3.5 Candidate executions

Candidate executions are a subset of plausible executions in which a requisite set of observable apparent orders of operations (both ordinary and special), defined below, exist and are consistent.

If an HSA program is HSA-race-free, defined below, then an actual execution of that program will be one of the candidate executions.

### 3.5.1 Orders

Orders define relations over a set of operations.

$X >_A Y$  means operation X is ordered before Y in order A.

Some orders in a candidate execution must be consistent with others. An order A is consistent with order B if and only if there are no two operations X and Y such that  $X >_A Y$  and  $Y >_B X$ .

### 3.6 Program order

Within any single unit of execution  $a$ , there is a total Program Order, ( $\overrightarrow{po}_a$ ), of transactions consistent with the control flow of the program as specified by the execution model.

We may also refer to a single Program Order, ( $\overrightarrow{po}$ ), defined as the simple union of all per-unit of execution Program Orders ( $\overrightarrow{po} = \bigcup_{a \in A} \overrightarrow{po}_a$ ) for all units of execution  $A$ .

### 3.7 Coherent order

For any given byte location  $L$  in any segment, there is an apparent, total Coherent Order ( $\overrightarrow{coh}_L$ ) of all loads and stores in an HSA-race-free program.

We may also refer to a single Coherent Order, ( $\overrightarrow{coh}$ ), defined as the simple union of all per-byte-location Coherent Orders ( $\overrightarrow{coh} = \bigcup_{L \in L} \overrightarrow{coh}_L$ ) for all byte locations  $L$ .

The coherent order must be consistent with program order ( $\overrightarrow{po}$ ).

*[comment]* This order includes both ordinary and atomic operations and is the same in all scope instances.

*[comment]* Each byte of a load will observe the value produced by the most recent store to the same byte location in the coherent order.

### 3.8 Global dependence order

The execution model for single unit of execution  $a$  must define a notion of Local Dependence Order ( $\overrightarrow{ldo}_a$ ) that defines dependent operations.

*[comment]* Informally, an operation Y is said to depend on an operation X from the same single unit of execution if X produces a result used by Y in an address or data computation, or if X affects control flow leading to Y.

We define a Global Dependence Order ( $\overrightarrow{gdo}$ ) that captures the dependencies that exist among agents in the system. An operation X is ordered before an operation Y in the global dependence order if and only if the following conditions hold (formally, equivalent to the transitive irreflexive closure of all  $\overrightarrow{ldo}_a$  and all  $\overrightarrow{coh}_L$ ):

- $X >_{ldo_a} Y$  for some unit of execution  $a$ .
- X and Y are memory transactions that access byte location  $L$  and  $X >_{coh_L} Y$ .
- There is an operation A such that  $X >_{gdo} A$  and  $A >_{gdo} Y$ .

By rule, there cannot be a cycle in  $\overrightarrow{gdo}$ .

*[comment]* The acyclic requirement prevents a situation in which an operation Y appears to complete before an operation X that affects the outcome of Y.

*[comment]* The acyclic requirement also prohibits out-of-thin-air values. Note that this does not prevent a cycle in the apparent order of all memory operations (that is, non-sequentially consistent executions are possible). See 3.13.3. Non-sequentially consistent execution (page 62).

## 3.9 Scoped synchronization order

In the execution of an HSA-race-free program, acquire operations are ordered after any prior release operation that specifies (directly or indirectly through inclusion) the same scope. Formally, we call this the Scoped Synchronization Order ( $\overrightarrow{ssos}_S$ ) for scope instance S.

An atomic operation X is ordered before an atomic operation Y in the scoped synchronization order of scope instance S if and only if all of the following conditions hold:

- X is an atomic store or read-modify-write with release or acquire-release semantics
- Y is an atomic load or read-modify-write with acquire or acquire-release semantics
- X and Y reference the same location L and are the same size (e.g., 64-bit)
- X and Y both specify (directly or indirectly through inclusion) scope instance S
- $X >_{coh_L} Y$  in the coherent order of operations for byte location L.

A fence X is ordered before a synchronizing (atomic or fence) operation Y in the scoped synchronization order of scope instance S if and only if all of the following conditions hold:

- X is a fence with release or acquire-release semantics
- Y is a synchronizing operation with acquire or acquire-release semantics
- X and Y both specify S (directly or indirectly through inclusion) scope instance S
- There is a store or read-modify-write operation A to location L such that  $X >_{po} A$
- There is a load or read-modify-write operation B from location L such that  $B >_{po} Y$  or B is Y itself
- A and B are the same size (e.g., 64-bit)
- $A >_{coh_L} B$  in the total coherent order  $\overrightarrow{coh_L}$  for all byte locations common to A and B.

A synchronizing operation X is ordered before a fence Y in the scoped synchronization order of scope instance S if and only if all of the following conditions hold:

- X is an atomic store or read-modify-write with release or acquire-release semantics
- Y is a fence with acquire or acquire-release semantics
- X and Y both specify (directly or indirectly through inclusion) scope instance S
- There is a store or read-modify-write operation A to byte location L such that  $X >_{po} A$  or A is X itself.
- There is a load or read-modify-write operation B from location L such that  $B >_{po} Y$ .
- A and B are the same size (e.g., 64-bit)
- $A >_{coh_L} B$  in the total coherent order  $\overrightarrow{coh_L}$  for all byte locations common to A and B.

*[comment]* Note that there may be multiple scope instances for a particular scope. For example, work-items from two different work-groups that access the global segment using work-group scope will see two different instances of the work-group scope instance, and potentially two different Scoped Synchronization Orders.

[comment] In an execution, the completion order of fences, which have no associated value, can only be determined by observing the order of loads and stores surrounding the fences. Given a release fence R and an acquire fence A, R and A are only guaranteed to be synchronized once an ordering has been observed by a load and store such that  $R >_{po} S >_{coh} L >_{po} A$ . As will be apparent in 3.12. Semantics of race-free programs (facing page), the store and load must be atomic to avoid introducing a race, and the store and load will likely be relaxed (otherwise the fences would be redundant).

[comment] If there also exists an ordinary store S' and ordinary load L' such that  $S' >_{po} R$  and  $A >_{po} L'$ , then S' must be visible to L' (formally S' "HSA-happens-before" L', see 3.11. HSA-happens-before order (below)). Practically, that means that most implementations will not reorder a relaxed store with an earlier release fence or a relaxed load with a later acquire fence, as such a reordering may cause L' to complete before S'.

## 3.10 Sequentially consistent synchronization order

In a candidate execution, there is a total apparent order of all synchronization operations with release, acquire, or acquire-release semantics in a single scope instance. This order is called Sequentially Consistent Synchronization Order ( $\overline{SCS}$ ).

Given synchronization operations X and Y, if  $X >_{po} Y$  and X and Y specify the same scope instance S (directly or indirectly through inclusivity), then  $X >_{scs} Y$ .

In an HSA-race-free program,  $\overline{SCS}$  must be consistent with:

- Program order,  $\overline{po}$ .
- Coherent order,  $\overline{coh}_L$  for every byte location L.
- All other sequentially consistent synchronizations orders  $\overline{SCS}'$  where  $S' \neq S$ .

## 3.11 HSA-happens-before order

We define the order HSA-happens-before ( $\overline{hhb}$ ) that captures the causal relationship among synchronized memory operations.

Given two memory operations X and Y,  $X >_{hhb} Y$  if and only if:

- There exists operations A and B such that  $A >_{ssos} B$  for some scope instance S, and
- $X >_{po} A$  or X is A itself, and
- $B >_{po} Y$  or Y is B itself, or
- There exists another operation Z such that  $X >_{hhb} Z$  and  $Z >_{hhb} Y$ .

Formally, HSA-happens-before is the irreflexive transitive closure of scope synchronization order and program order ( $\overline{hhb} = (\overline{po} \cup \overline{ssos})^+$ ).

Heterogeneous-happens-before is consistent with:

- Coherent Order,  $\overline{coh}_L$  of every byte location L, and
- All Sequentially Consistent Synchronization Orders,  $\overline{SCS}_S$  for all scope instances S.

There can be no cycle in happens-before.

[comment] If a release operation X is followed by an acquire operation Y in a scope synchronization order of scope instance S, then the two operations X and Y are said to *synchronize with* respect to scope instance S.

[comment] A synchronization between X and Y orders X and all transactions preceding X in program order before Y and all transactions following Y in a single, globally visible apparent order called HSA-happens-Before.

[comment] Synchronization is transitive, such that two units of execution, A and C, can synchronize indirectly by each synchronizing with a unit of execution B. Transitivity also follows when different scopes are involved as long as each release/acquire pair, respectively, use matching scopes. For example, if A synchronizes with B through work-group scope and B synchronizes with C through device scope, A and C are considered synchronized.

## 3.12 Semantics of race-free programs

Given a program P, the candidate executions are all plausible executions in which the following orders and rules exist and are consistent as specified:

### Definitions for a Valid Candidate Execution

- **Program Order ( $\overrightarrow{po_a}$ ):** There is a total sequential order of operations by a single unit of execution  $a$  that respects the semantics of the execution model. See [3.6. Program order \(page 50\)](#).
- **Sequentially Consistent Synchronization Order ( $\overrightarrow{sc_S}$ ):** There is a total apparent order of all synchronization operations within a scope instance S that is consistent with each program order in the execution. See [3.10. Sequentially consistent synchronization order \(previous page\)](#).
- **Coherent Order ( $\overrightarrow{coh_L}$ ):** There is a total apparent order of all memory transactions to a single byte location L that is consistent with each sequentially consistent synchronization order and each program order in the candidate execution. See [3.9. Scoped synchronization order \(page 51\)](#).
- **Scoped Synchronization Order ( $\overrightarrow{sso_S}$ ):** There is an apparent order of special operations for each scope instance S that defines how release operations synchronize with acquire operations. See [3.9. Scoped synchronization order \(page 51\)](#).
- **HSA-happens-before ( $\overrightarrow{hhb}$ ):** There is a happens-before relation that captures the causal relationship of memory operations among synchronized units of execution.

HSA-happens-before is the irreflexive transitive closure of the union of all program orders and all scoped synchronization orders:

$$\left( \left( \bigcup_{a \in A} \overrightarrow{po_a} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{S \in S} \overrightarrow{sso_S} \right) \right)^+$$

where  $A$  is the set of all units of execution and  $S$  is the set of all scope instances in the candidate execution.

$\overrightarrow{hhb}$  order must be consistent with each coherent order in the candidate execution.

$\overrightarrow{hhb}$  order must be consistent with all Sequentially Consistent Synchronization Orders  $\overrightarrow{SC_S}$  for all  $S$  in  $S$ .

There can be no cycle in  $\overrightarrow{hhb}$ .

- **Value of a load:** In an HSA-race-free execution, a load (ordinary or atomic) from byte location L will always observe the most recent store in the coherent order of byte location L.
- **Forward progress:** All stores will occur in the coherent order in a reasonable, finite amount of time.

Given the set of candidate executions, we can determine if the actual execution of program P is well-defined by checking for races:

### Conflict definitions

- **Ordinary Conflict:** Two operations X and Y conflict iff they access one or more common byte locations, at least one is a write, and at least one is an ordinary data operation.
- **Special Conflict:** Two special operations X and Y conflict iff X and Y access the same byte location and:
  - X and Y are different sizes (e.g., 32-bit vs. 64-bit), or
  - At least one is a write (or a read-modify-write), and  $\neg \text{Match}(\text{S}(X), \text{S}(Y))$ .

### Races

- **HSA Race:** An HSA race occurs iff a pair of memory operations X and Y that are either an ordinary or a special conflict are unordered in  $\overrightarrow{\text{happens-before}}$ .
- **HSA-race-free Execution:** An execution is HSA-race-free iff there are no HSA races.
- **HSA-Race-Free Program:** A program is HSA-race-free iff all valid candidate executions of the program are HSA-race-free.

### Program Semantics

- **Semantics of an HSA-Race-Free Program:** The actual execution of an HSA-race-free program will be one of the candidate executions.
- **Sequential Consistency:** The execution of an HSA-race-free program *that does not use relaxed atomics* will appear sequentially consistent. The value of a load from is the value produced by the most recent store to the same location that immediately precedes the load in  $\overrightarrow{\text{happens-before}}$ .
- **Undefined Execution:** The actual execution of any program containing an HSA race is undefined.

### Corollaries

- In an HSA-race-free program in which each location is touched exclusively by either ordinary or atomic operations:
  - The value observed by an ordinary load will be the unique most recent ordinary store to the same location in HSA-happens-before.
  - The value observed by an atomic load will come from a store, X, that is the most recent store that precedes the load in the  $\overrightarrow{\text{coherent}}$  order. The store X is either ordered with respect to the load in HSA-happens-before or not. If the store X is ordered with the load in HSA-happens-before, then the store X must precede the load in HSA-happens-before and there will be no other store, X', that appears between X and the load in HSA-happens-before (i.e., X will be one of potentially several most recent stores in the HSA-happens-before partial order).

- In an HSA-race-free program in which at least one location is touched by both ordinary and atomic operations:
  - The value observed by an ordinary load will come from one of the most recent stores to the same location in HSA-happens-before. There may be more than one such store.
  - The value observed by an atomic load is the same as above.

## 3.13 Examples

For clarity, all examples show pseudocode first and then are followed by valid HSAIL.

### 3.13.1 Sequentially consistent execution

#### 3.13.1.1 Synchronizing operations are sequentially consistent by definition

Consider four units of execution, A, B, C, and D, in the same work-group, and two memory locations, X and Y:

**A:**

```
atomic_store(X, 1, screl, wg);
```

**B:**

```
atomic_store(Y, 1, screl, wg);
```

**C:**

```
s1 = atomic_load(X, scacq, wg);
s2 = atomic_load(Y, scacq, wg);
```

**D:**

```
s3 = atomic_load(Y, scacq, wg);
s4 = atomic_load(X, scacq, wg);
```

---

```
group_u32 &X=0;
group_u32 &Y=0;
```

**A:**

```
atomicnoret_st_screl_wg_u32 [&X], 1;
```

**B:**

```
atomicnoret_st_screl_wg_u32 [&Y], 1;
```

**C:**

```
atomic_ld_scacq_wg_u32 $s1, [&X];
atomic_ld_scacq_wg_u32 $s2, [&Y];
```

**D:**

```
atomic_ld_scacq_wg_u32 $s3, [&Y];
atomic_ld_scacq_wg_u32 $s4, [&X];
```

Synchronizing operations are sequentially consistent by definition, and thus outcomes that violate sequential consistency are not allowed. In this example the following outcome is not allowed:

$\$s1=1, \$s2=0 \& \$s3=1, \$s4=0$

Sequential consistency implies that units of execution C and D must observe X and Y changing from 0 to 1 in the same order.

### 3.13.1.2 Successful synchronization between units of execution

Consider two units of execution, A and B, in the same work-group, and two memory locations, X and Y:

**A:**

```
X = 53;
atomic_store(Y, 1, screl, wg);
```

**B:**

```
while (atomic_load(Y, scacq, wg) != 1) {}
s2 = X;
```

---

```
group_u32 &X=0;
group_u32 &Y=0;
```

**A:**

```
st_u32 u32 53, [&X];
atomicnoret_st_screl_wg_u32 [&Y], 1;
```

**B:**

```
@b_start:
atomic_ld_scacq_wg_u32 $s1, [&Y];
cmp_ne_u32_b1, $s1, $c1, 1;
cbr_b1 $c1, @b_start;
ld_u32 $s2, [&X];
```

If B loads \$s1 with the value '1', then B must also load the value 53 in \$s2. This is an example of a successful handover of data from unit of execution A to B.

### 3.13.1.3 Correct synchronization, safe transitivity with a single scope

Consider three units of execution, A, B, and C, in the same work-group, and three memory locations X, Y, and Z:

**A:**

```
X = 53;
atomic_store(Y, 1, screl, system);
```

**B:**

```
while (atomic_load(Y, scacq, system) != 1) {}
atomic_store(Z, 1, screl, system);
```

**C:**

```
while (atomic_load(Z, scacq, system) != 1) {}
s3 = X; // s3 must get '53'
```

---

```
global_u32 &X=0;
global_u32 &Y=0;
global_u32 &Z=0;
```

**A:**

```
st_u32 53, [&X];
atomicnoret_st_screl_system_u32 [&Y], 1;
```

**B:**

```

@b_start:
    atomic_ld_scacq_system_u32 $s1, [&Y];
    cmp_ne_u32_b1, $s1, $c1, 1;
    cbr $c1, @b_start;
    atomicnoret_st_screl_system_u32 [&Z],1;

```

**C:**

```

@c_start:
    atomic_ld_scacq_system_u32 $s2, [&Z];
    cmp_ne_u32_b1, $s2, $c2, 1;
    cbr $c2, @c_start;
    ld_u32 $s3, [&X]; // $s3 must get '53'

```

At the conclusion of this example, if  $\$s1 = \$s2 = 1$ , then  $\$s3$  must observe 53. This is an example of a successful handover of data from unit of execution A to C with transitivity implied through multiple synchronizations.

#### 3.13.1.4 Race-free transitive synchronization through multiple scopes

Consider three units of execution, A, B, and C, three memory locations, X, Y, and Z. A and B are in the same work-group. C is in a different work-group:

**A:**

```

X = 53;
atomic_store(Y, 1, screl, wg);

```

**B:**

```

while (atomic_load(Y, scacq, wg) != 1) {}
s2 = X; // s2 must get '53'
atomic_store(Z, 1, screl, system);

```

**C:**

```

while (atomic_load(Z, scacq, system) != 1) {}
s3 = X; // s3 must get '53'

```

---

```

group_u32 &X=0;
group_u32 &Y=0;
group_u32 &Z=0;

```

**A:**

```

st_u32 53, [&X];
atomicnoret_st_screl_wg_u32 [&Y],1;

```

**B:**

```

atomic_ld_scacq_wg_u32 $s1, [&Y];
ld_u32 $s2, [&X];
atomicnoret_st_screl_system_u32 [&Z], 1;

```

**C:**

```

@c_start:
    atomic_ld_scacq_system_u32 $s3, [&Z];
    cmp_ne_u32_b1 $s3, $c1, 1;
    cbr_b1 $c1, @c_start
    ld_u32 $s4, [&X];

```

At the conclusion of this example, if B loads the value 1 into \$s1, then \$s2 must be 53. If C loads the value 2 into \$s3, then \$s4 must also be 53. This is an example of race-free transitive synchronization involving multiple scopes.

### 3.13.1.5 Successful synchronization through scope inclusion

Consider two units of execution, A and B, in the same work-group, and two memory locations, X and Y:

**A:**

```
X = 53;
atomic_store(Y, 1, screl, wg);
```

**B:**

```
while (atomic_load(Y, scacq, agent) != 1) {}
s2 = X; // s2 must get '53'
```

---

```
global int X=0;
global int Y=0;
```

**A:**

```
st_u32 53, [&X]
atomicnoret_st_screl_wg_u32 [&Y], 1
```

**B:**

```
@b_start:
atomic_ld_scacq_agent_u32 $s1, [&Y];
cmp_ne_u32_b1 $s1, $c1, 1;
cbr_b1 $c1, @b_start;
ld_u32 $s2, [&X]
```

At the conclusion of this example, \$s2 must get the value 53. This is an example of race-free synchronization through different but matching (through inclusion) scopes.

### 3.13.1.6 Successful synchronization through scope inclusion and scope transitivity

Consider three units of execution, A, B, and C, and three memory locations, X, Y, and Z. A and B are in the same work-group. C is in a different work-group.

**A:**

```
X = 53;
atomic_store(Y, 1, screl, wg);
```

**B:**

```
while (atomic_load(Y, scacq, agent) != 1) {}
s2 = X; // s2 must get 53
atomic_store(Z, 1, screl, system);
```

**C:**

```
while (atomic_load(Z, scacq, system) != 1) {}
s4 = X; // s4 must get 53
```

---

```
global_u32 &X=0;
global_u32 &Y=0;
global_u32 &Z=0;
```

**A:**

```
st_u32 53, [&X];
atomicnoret_st_screl_wg_u32 [&Y],1;
```

**B:**

```
@b_start:
atomic_ld_scacq_agent_u32 $s1, [&Y];
cmp_ne_u32_b1 $s1, $c1, 1;
cbr_b1, $c1, @b_start;
ld_u32 $s2, [&X];
atomicnoret_st_screl_system_u32 [&Z],1;
```

**C:**

```
@c_start:
atomic_ld_scacq_system_u32 $s3, [&Z];
cmp_ne_u32_b1 $s3, $c2, 1;
cbr_b1, $c2, @c_start;
ld_u32 $s4, [&X];
```

At the conclusion of this example, \$s2 and \$s4 must both get the value 53.

### 3.13.1.7 Coh and hhb must be consistent

Consider two units of execution, A and B, and two memory locations X and Y:

**A:**

```
X = 52;
X = 53;
atomic_store(Y, 1, screl, system);
```

**B:**

```
while (atomic_load(Y, scacq, system) != 1) {}
s2 = X; // s2 must get 53
```

---

```
global_u32 &X=0;
global_u32 &Y=0;
```

**A:**

```
st_u32 52, [&X];
st_u32 53, [&X];
atomicnoret_st_screl_system_u32 [&Y], 1;
```

**B:**

```
@b_start:
atomic_ld_scacq_system_u32 $s1, [&Y];
cmp_ne_u32_b1 $s1, $c1, 1;
cbr_b1, $c1, @b_start;
ld_u32 $s2, [&X];
```

At the conclusion of this example, \$s2 must get the value 53. This outcome is enforced by the requirement that the coherent orders,  $\overrightarrow{coh}$ , must be consistent with the happens-before order,  $\overrightarrow{hhb}$ , which prevents the coherent order for location X from being store '52' -> load -> store '53'.

### 3.13.1.8 Separate segment synchronization

Consider two units of execution, A and B, in the same work-group, and two memory locations, X and Y:

```

global int X = 0;
group int Y = 0;

A:
X = 53;
atomic_store(Y, 1, screl, wg);

B:
while (atomic_load(Y, scacq, wg) != 1) {}
s2 = X; // s2 must get 53
-----
```

```

global_u32 &X=0;
group_u32 &Y=0;

A:
st_global_u32 1, [&X];
atomicnoret_st_group_screl_wg [&Y],1;

B:
@b_start:
atomic_ld_group_scacq_wg_u32 $s1, [&Y];
cmp_ne_u32_b1 $s1, $c1, 1;
cbr_b1 $c1, @b_start;
ld_global_u32 $s2, [&X]; // s2 must get 53
-----
```

This example shows that synchronization can cross segments. Even though the atomic store and load specify a location in global memory, they still synchronize the group location Y.

### 3.13.2 Sequentially consistent with relaxed operations

The following examples show the limits of relaxed (ordinary and atomic) operation reorderings. All examples in this subsection are HSA-race-free and will appear sequentially consistent.

#### 3.13.2.1 Successful synchronization between units of execution using relaxed atomics

Consider two units of execution, A and B, in the same work-group, and two memory locations, X and Y:

```

A:
X = 53;
atomic_thread_fence(screl, wg);
atomic_store(Y, 1, rlx, wg);

B:
while (atomic_load(Y, rlx, wg) != 1);
atomic_thread_fence(scacq, wg);
s2 = X;
-----
```

```

group_u32 &X=0;
group_u32 &Y=0;

A:
st_u32 u32 53, [&X];
memfence_screl_global(wg);
atomicnoret_st_rlx_wg_u32 [&Y], 1;

B:
-----
```

```

@b_start:
    atomic_ld_rlx_wg_u32 $s1, [&Y];
    cmp_ne_u32_b1, $s1, $c1, 1;
    cbr_b1 $c1, @b_start;
    memfence_scacq_global(wg);
    ld_u32 $s2, [&X];

```

If B loads \$s1 with the value '1', then B must also load the value 53 in \$s2. This is an example of a successful handover of data from unit of execution A to B.

### 3.13.2.2 Store speculation is not observable

Consider two units of execution, A and B, and two memory locations, X and Y.

```
global X = 0;
global Y = 0;
```

**A:**

```
if (X == 1)
    Y = 1; // will never execute
```

**B:**

```
if (Y == 1)
    X = 1; // will never execute
```

---

```
global int X=0;
global int Y=0;
```

**A:**

```
ld_u32 $s1, [&X];
cmp_ne_u32_b1 $s1, $c1, 1;
cbr_b1 $c1, @a_done;
st_u32 1, [&Y]; // will never execute
@a_done:
```

**B:**

```
ld_u32 $s2, [&Y];
cmp_ne_u32_b1 $s2, $c2, 1;
cbr_b1 $c2, @b_done;
st_u32 1, [&X]; // will never execute
@b_done:
```

In all valid executions of this example, the final value of both \$s1 and \$s2 will be '0'.

This example shows that HSA implementations are prohibited from making speculative stores observable.

### 3.13.2.3 No out-of-thin-air values

Consider two units of execution, A and B, and two memory locations, X and Y.

```
global X = 0;
global Y = 0;
```

**A:**

```
s1 = atomic_load(X, rlx, system); // s1 gets 0
atomic_store(Y, s1, rlx, system);
```

**B:**

```
s2 = atomic_load(Y, rlx, system); // s2 gets 0
atomic_store(Y, s2, rlx, system);
```

```
-----  
global_u32 &X=0;  
global_u32 &Y=0;
```

**A:**

```
atomic_ld_rlx_system_u32 $s1, [&X]; // $s1 gets '0'  
atomicnoret_st_rlx_system_u32 [&Y], $s1;
```

**B:**

```
atomic_ld_rlx_system_u32 $s2, [&Y]; // $s2 gets '0'  
atomicnoret_st_rlx_system_u32 [&X], $s2;
```

The only valid outcome of this execution is \$s1=\$s2=0.

In an HSA-race-free execution, all byte values observed by a load must be produced by a non-speculative store in the execution. Loads will not observe so-called out-of-thin-air values. In the formal model, this is enforced by the requirement that the Global Dependence Order (*gdo*) is acyclic.

### 3.13.3 Non-sequentially consistent execution

The following examples use relaxed atomics, are HSA-race-free, and can result in non-sequentially consistent executions.

#### 3.13.3.1 Dekker's Algorithm

Consider two units of execution, A and B, in the same work-group, and two memory locations A and B:

**A:**

```
atomic_store(X, 1, rlx, system);
s1 = atomic_load(Y, rlx, system);
```

**B:**

```
atomic_store(Y, 1, rlx, system);
s2 = atomic_load(X, rlx, system);
```

```
-----  
global_u32 &X=0;  
global_u32 &Y=0;
```

**A:**

```
atomicnoret_st_rlx_system_u32 [&X], 1;
atomic_ld_rlx_system_u32 $s1, [&Y];
```

**B:**

```
atomicnoret_st_rlx_system_u32 [&Y], 1;
atomic_ld_rlx_system_u32 $s2, [&X];
```

In the above example, a valid outcome (though not the only valid outcome) at the end of execution is \$s1 = \$s2 = 0. Note that in that execution, there is no total, globally visible order (i.e., sequentially consistent order) of memory operations.

### 3.13.4 Races

The following examples contain an HSA race. All of the following examples have undefined behavior on an HSA-compliant system.

#### 3.13.4.1 Conflict without synchronization

Consider two units of execution, A and B, and a memory location X:

**A:**

```
x = 1;
```

**B:**

```
s1 = x;
```

---

**A:**

```
st_u32 1, [&x];
```

**B:**

```
ld_u32 $s1, [&x];
```

The write to X by A and the read from X by B form an ordinary conflict. There is no synchronization, which means that the operations from A and B are unordered in HSA-happens-before ( $\overrightarrow{hab}$ ). Thus, this example contains a race.

#### 3.13.4.2 Insufficient scope

Consider two units of execution, A and B, in different work-groups, and two memory locations, X and Y:

**A:**

```
x = 1;
atomic_store(Y, 1, screl, wg);
```

**B:**

```
while (atomic_load(Y, scacq, wg) != 1) {}
s2 = X; // races with X = 1
```

---

**A:**

```
st_u32, 1, [&x];
atomicnoret_st_screl_wg_u32 [&y], 1;
```

**B:**

```
@b_start:
    atomic_ld_scacq_wg_u32 $s1, [&y];
    cmp_ne_u32_b1 $s1, $c1, 1;
    cbr_b1 $c1, @b_done;
    ld_u32 $2, [&y];
```

There are two races in this example. First, the atomic load by B races with the atomic store by A because the workgroup scopes are not inclusive. Second, because the scopes used for synchronization similarly do not match, the write and read of ordinary variable X form a race.

## APPENDIX A. Glossary

---

acquire synchronizing operation

An atomic memory operation that specifies an acquire memory ordering (an `ld_acq`, `atomic_ar`, or `atomicnoret_ar` instruction).

agent

A hardware or software component that participates in the HSA memory model. An agent can submit AQL packets for execution. An agent may also, but is not required, to be a kernel agent. It is possible for a system to include agents that are neither kernel agents nor host CPUs.

application global memory

Memory that is to be shared between all agents and the host CPUs for processing using the HSA. This corresponds to the global segment.

Architected Queuing Language (AQL)

An AQL packet is an HSA-standard packet format. AQL kernel dispatch packets are used to dispatch kernels on the kernel agent and specify the launch dimensions, instruction code, kernel arguments, completion detection, and more. Other AQL packets control aspects of a kernel agent such as when to execute AQL packets and making the results of memory instructions visible. AQL packets are queued on User Mode Queues. See *HSA Platform System Architecture Specification Version 1.0* .

arg segment

A memory segment used to pass arguments into and out of functions.

compute unit

A piece of virtual hardware capable of executing the HSAIL instruction set. The work-items of a work-group are executed on the same compute unit. A kernel agent is composed of one or more compute units.

global segment

A memory segment in which memory is visible to all work-items in all kernel agents and to all host CPUs.

grid

A multidimensional, rectangular structure containing work-groups. A grid is formed when a program launches a kernel.

group segment

A memory segment in which memory is visible to a single work-group.

## host CPU

An agent that also supports the native CPU instruction set and runs the host operating system and the HSA runtime. As an agent, the host CPU can dispatch commands to a kernel agent using memory instructions to construct and enqueue AQL packets. In some systems, a host CPU can also act as a kernel agent (with appropriate HSAIL finalizer and AQL mechanisms).

## HSA application

A program written in the host CPU instruction set. In addition to the host CPU code, it may include zero or more HSAIL programs.

## HSA implementation

A combination of one or more host CPU agents able to execute the HSA runtime, one or more kernel agents able to execute HSAIL programs, and zero or more other agents that participate in the HSA memory model.

## HSA Memory Management Unit (HSA MMU)

A memory management unit used by kernel agents and other agents, designed to support page-granular virtual memory access with the same attributes provided by a host CPU MMU.

## HSA Memory Node (HMN)

A node that delineates a set of system components (host CPUs and kernel agents) with “local” access to a set of memory resources attached to the node’s memory controller and appropriate HSA-compliant access attributes.

## HSAIL

Heterogeneous System Architecture Intermediate Language. A virtual machine and a language. The instruction set of the HSA virtual machine that preserves virtual machine abstractions and allows for inexpensive translation to machine code.

## invalid address

An invalid address is a location in application global memory where an access from a kernel agent or other agent is violating system software policy established by the setup of the system page table attributes. If a kernel agent accesses an invalid address, system software shall be notified.

## kernarg segment

A memory segment used to pass arguments into a kernel.

## kernel

A section of code executed in a data-parallel way by a compute unit. Kernels are written in HSAIL and then separately translated by a finalizer to the target instruction set.

## kernel agent

An agent that supports the HSAIL instruction set and supports execution of AQL kernel dispatch packets. As an agent, a kernel agent can dispatch commands to any kernel agent (including itself) using memory instructions to construct and enqueue AQL packets. A kernel agent is composed of one or more compute units.

memory type

A set of attributes defined by the translation tables, covering at least the following properties:

- Cacheability
- Data Coherency requirements
- Requirements for endpoint ordering, being the order of arrival of memory accesses at the endpoint
- Requirements for observation ordering, being restrictions on the observable ordering of memory accesses to different locations
- Requirements for multi-copy atomicity
- Permissions for speculative access

natural alignment

Alignment in which a memory operation of size  $n$  bytes has an address that is an integer multiple of  $n$ .

For example, naturally aligned 8-byte stores can only be to addresses 0, 8, 16, 24, 32, 40, and so forth.

packet ID

Each AQL packet has a 64-bit packet ID unique to the User Mode Queue on which it is enqueued. The packet ID is assigned as a monotonically increasing sequential number of the logical packet slot allocated in the User Mode queue. The combination of the packet ID and the queue ID is unique for a process.

packet processor

Packet processors are tightly bound to one or more agents, and provide the functionality to process AQL packets enqueued on User Mode Queues of those agents. The packet processor function may be performed by the same or by a different agent to the one with which the User Mode Queue is associated that will execute the kernel dispatch packet or agent dispatch packet function.

primary memory type

The memory type used by default for user processes. Agents shall have a common interpretation of the data coherency (excluding accesses to read-only image data) and *cacheability* attributes for this type.

private segment

A memory segment in which memory is visible only to a single work-item. Used for read-write memory.

process address space ID (PASID)

An ID used to identify the application address space within a host CPU or guest virtual machine. It is used on a device to isolate concurrent contexts residing in shared local memory

queue ID

An identifier for a User Mode Queue in a process. Each queue ID is unique in the process. The combination of the queue ID and the packet ID is unique for a process.

readonly segment

A memory segment for read-only memory.

**release synchronizing operation**

A memory instruction marked with release (an `st_rel`, `atomic_ar`, or `atomicnoret_ar` instruction).

**segment**

A contiguous addressable block of memory. Segments have size, addressability, access speed, access rights, and level of sharing between work-items. Also called memory segment.

**signal handle**

An opaque handle to a signal which can be used for notification between threads and work-items belonging to a single process potentially executing on different agents in the HSA system.

**spill segment**

A memory segment used to load or store register spills.

**unit of execution**

A unit of execution is a program-ordered sequence of operations through a processing element. A unit of execution can be any thread of execution on an agent, a work-item, or any method of sending operations through a processing element in an HSA-compatible device.

**User Mode Queue**

A User Mode Queue is a memory data structure created by the HSA runtime on which AQL packets can be enqueued. The packets are processed by the packet processor associated with the User Mode Queue. For example, a User Mode Queue associated with the packet processor of a kernel agent can be used to execute kernels on that kernel agent.

**wavefront**

A group of work-items executing on a single instruction pointer.

**work-group**

A collection of work-items from the same kernel dispatch.

**work-item**

The simplest element of work. Another name for a unit of execution in a kernel dispatch.

# Index

---

## A

acquire memory order 64  
address 8, 12, 16, 20-21  
address space 8, 12, 16, 20  
agent 12, 15-17, 19-20, 44, 64-65  
application global memory 64  
Architected Queuing Language 64-65  
Architected Queuing Language (AQL) 10, 24-25, 28-29  
arg segment 64  
atomicity 12

## C

coherency domain 9  
compute unit 64-65

## D

dispatch 9, 24, 32, 64-65

## F

finalizer 43, 65  
firmware 10

## G

global memory 13  
global order 43  
global segment 64  
grid 64  
group segment 64

## H

HMN 65  
host CPU 64-65  
HSA application 65  
HSA implementation 19, 65  
HSA Memory Management Unit 65  
HSA Memory Node 65  
HSA MMU 65  
HSA programming model 9  
HSAIL 14, 17, 32-33, 43, 65

## I

implementation 8, 11-12, 17, 19-20, 28, 33-34, 43  
infrastructure 10  
invalid address 65

## K

kernarg segment 65

kernel 13, 24-25, 28, 32-33, 65  
kernel agent 64-65  
kernel dispatch 64, 66

## M

memory instructions 65  
    signal 67  
memory model 8-9, 43-44, 64-65  
    memory segment 64-67  
memory operation 45  
memory type 66  
MMU 65

## N

natural alignment 66

## P

packet format 21  
packet ID 66  
packet processor 29-30, 66  
PASID 66  
primary memory type 66  
private segment 66  
process address space ID 66

## Q

queue ID 66

## R

readonly segment 66  
release synchronizing instruction 67  
Requirement 11  
runtime 65

## S

segment 66-67  
sequence of operations 44  
shared virtual memory 65  
signaling 9, 14, 16, 18, 20, 28-30, 33  
spill segment 67  
synchronization 9, 14

## T

thread 21, 44

## U

unit of execution 67

User Mode Queue 67

V

virtual machine 65

virtual memory 9, 18

visibility order 43-44

W

wavefront 33, 67

work-group 64, 67

work-item 28, 43-44, 66-67