

Chinese Remainder Theorem.

for $a = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ & $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$

find x such that

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1} \\x &\equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2} \\&\vdots \\x &\equiv a_3 \pmod{m_3}\end{aligned}$$

m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n are pairwise coprime : $\forall i \neq j (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \gcd(m_i, m_j) = 1)$

We can say as a result that $x \equiv a \pmod{m}$
 $m = m_1 \times m_2 \times m_3 \cdots m_n$

Finding a : Consider only: $\begin{cases} x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1} \\ x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2} \end{cases}$

From Bernoulli: $n_1 m_1 + n_2 m_2 = l$

Extended Euclid.

Euclid ended when $b=0, a=9$

$$\text{So easily } g.1 + 0.0 = 1$$

$$\text{lef}(x,y) = (1,0) \text{ for } (9,1,0)$$

finding (x_1, y_1) for $(b, a \bmod b)$

$$b \cdot x + (a \bmod b) \cdot y = g \quad (1)$$

$$a \cdot x + b \cdot y = g \quad (2)$$

$$bx_1 + (a - \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor b)x_1 = g \quad \text{from } \textcircled{1} \text{ & } \textcircled{2}$$

$$g = ay_1 + b(x_1 - y_1 \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor)$$

$$= ax + b \quad \text{②}$$

$$\text{So } x = y_1, \quad, y = x_1 - y_1 \left[\frac{a}{b} \right]$$

So one can say that:

To find $\text{gcd}(a, b, bx, by)$

call
 $\text{gcd}(b, a \bmod b, x_1, y_1)$

then assign $x = y_1$
 $y = x_1 - y_1 \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$

get back $\rightarrow n_1 m_1 + n_2 m_2 = 1$

$\text{gcd}(m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2)$

$\text{gcd}(m_2, m_1 \bmod m_2, n'_1, n'_2)$

$$n_1 = n'_2 \\ n_2 = n'_1 - n'_2 \lfloor \frac{m_1}{m_2} \rfloor$$

Define a solution:

$$\alpha = a_1 n_2 m_2 + a_2 n_1 m_1 \pmod{m_1 m_2}$$

General solution:

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i M_i N_i \pmod{\prod_{i=1}^k m_i}$$

where $M_i = \prod_{j \neq i} m_j$ & $N_i := M_i^{-1} \pmod{m_i}$

so basically it is the summation of

$$a_i \times \underbrace{\left(\frac{M_i^{-1} m_j}{m_i} \right)}_{N_i} \times \left(M_i^{-1} \pmod{m_i} \right)$$

What if $m_i \forall i$
are not coprime.

$$\begin{cases} a \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ a \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \end{cases} \rightarrow \text{This has no solution}$$

$$a \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$$

$$\Downarrow \\ a \equiv a_i \pmod{p_j^{n_j}}$$

$$\begin{cases} a \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ a \equiv 2 \pmod{6} \end{cases} \rightarrow \begin{cases} a \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ a \equiv 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ a \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

but $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \rightarrow a \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ $\cancel{\text{SF of 6}}$

contradiction
with $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$

