

Volledige Tijdlijn en Correspondentie

DATUM: 2025-08-15, 1:23 PM **VAN:** YouTube (no-reply@youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **ONDERWERP:** Karel Test, we hebben je kanaal verwijderd van YouTube

Hello Karel Test,

We've reviewed your content and found serious or repeated violations of our policies on spam, deceptive practices, and scams . Therefore, we've removed your channel from YouTube.

We understand this may be a shock, but it's our job to ensure YouTube is a safe place for everyone. If we find a channel in serious violation of our policies, we'll remove it to protect other users on the platform. You can appeal this decision if you believe we've made a mistake. Below, you'll find more information about the relevant policies and how to appeal.

What is in our policy

Content that promotes spam, scams, and other deceptive practices is not allowed on YouTube.

How this affects your channel

We've permanently removed your channel from YouTube. You are no longer permitted to use, own, or create other YouTube channels.

What you can do now

How to object to this decision: Please refer to YouTube's Community Guidelines . File an appeal here . Complete the appeal form as completely as possible and include your channel URL: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCETh_r8bn3OY09WLPnnANQA

If you have any questions, please contact us here .

Yours sincerely, Team YouTube

DATUM: 2025-08-15 **VAN:** Karel Decherf (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **AAN:** Google Account Help (<https://support.google.com/accounts/contact/suspended?p=youtube>) **ONDERWERP:** Unable to access a Google product

"I am shocked. I really don't understand. Can you tell me what I did wrong?"
https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCETh_r8bn3OY09WLPnnANQA

DATUM: 2025-08-16, 3:21 AM **VAN:** YouTube (no-reply@youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **ONDERWERP:** Karel Test, we've reached a decision on your appeal

Hi Karel Test,

We have reviewed your appeal for the following:

Channel: Karel Test

We reviewed your channel carefully, and have confirmed that it violates our spam, deceptive practices and scams policy. We know this is probably disappointing news, but it's our job to make sure that YouTube is a safe place for all.

How this affects your channel

We won't be putting your channel back up on YouTube.

Learn about your resolution options.

Thanks, The YouTube team

DATUM: 2025-08-16, 10:11 AM **VAN:** Google Accounts (noreply@google.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **ONDERWERP:** [5-4366000038890]

Thank you for contacting us about restoring access to your Google Account.

Google will review your appeal as soon as possible. Most requests take 2 business days to review, but some might take longer.

Thanks for your patience.

DATUM: 2025-08-16, 10:11 AM **VAN:** YouTube (no-reply@youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **ONDERWERP:** Review the update on your appeal status

Hello Karel Test,

We received an appeal for your channel and it looks like a previous appeal has recently been denied. Please take a look at the email we sent previously for additional details on the rejection.

Thanks, The YouTube team

DATUM: 2025-08-16, 10:57 AM **PLATFORM:** X (Twitter) **VAN:** Karel Test (@kareltest_) **AAN:** @TeamYouTube **ONDERWERP:** Post on X | Youtube channel terminated

@TeamYouTube https://youtube.com/channel/UCETH_r8bn3OY09WLPnnANQA was terminated. Video demonstrating 'Google AI Studio - File Reader' (CWS approved). Use of "Google AI Studio" may have been perceived as brand violation. Not my intent. Video intended for CWS. Willing to change name of extension and video.

DATUM: 2025-08-16, 11:10 AM **PLATFORM:** X (Twitter) **VAN:** @TeamYouTube **AAN:** Karel Test (@kareltest_) **ONDERWERP:** Reply

It looks like you've already appealed & received an email outlining the final decision. We know it wasn't the outcome you were hoping for, but there's nothing more we can do on our end as these decisions are made very carefully, appreciate your understanding.

DATUM: 2025-08-20 **VAN:** Karel Decherf (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **AAN:** Google Ireland Limited (Gordon House, Barrow Street Dublin 4 Ireland) **ONDERWERP:** Subject: Multiple Unjustified Channel Terminations with Lack of Transparency and Inadequate Creator Support

August 20, 2025

Subject: Multiple Unjustified Channel Terminations with Lack of Transparency and Inadequate Creator Support

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to you not merely to file an individual complaint, but to bring to your urgent attention a systemic and concerning issue affecting a significant number of YouTube creators. My own recent experience serves as a clear example of this broader problem: the sudden termination of channels without prior warning, specific explanation, or any meaningful process for appeal.

On the 15th of August 2025, my YouTube channel, @KarelTest, was abruptly terminated. The only justification provided was a generic reference to a violation of the "spam, scams, or commercially deceptive content" policy. This explanation is so broad that it is effectively meaningless, as no information was given as to which aspect of which specific video, or even which part of a video, was deemed to be in violation. Without this crucial detail, it is impossible for a creator to understand, let alone rectify, the alleged mistake.

This lack of transparency extended throughout the subsequent "appeal" process, which I can only describe as inadequate and frustrating:

I was offered a single opportunity to appeal through a form limited to 1000 characters—a wholly insufficient space to address an accusation that has not been clearly defined.

My appeal was swiftly denied via a standard no-reply@youtube.com email, which provided no further clarification or justification for the decision. A further attempt to seek support from the @TeamYouTube account on the social media platform X was met with a dismissive response, merely stating that the appeal decision was final.

My research confirms that my experience is not an isolated incident. Online forums are filled with reports from other creators, from 2024 through recent weeks, who have had their channels terminated under identical, opaque circumstances. Some have reported their channels being reinstated months later, in the summer of 2025, which suggests that significant errors in your moderation process are indeed occurring.

This pattern leads me to consider two potential underlying causes for this systemic failure:

Malfunctioning Automated Systems: It is highly probable that the AI-driven bots used for moderation are generating false positives, leading to wrongful channel terminations. The subsequent appeal process appears to be equally inadequately automated, failing to provide the necessary human oversight to correct these errors.

Exploitation by Malicious Actors: A few days prior to my channel's termination, I reported several fraudulent advertisements on YouTube that were using deepfakes of public figures. While my initial reports were accepted, a final one was strangely rejected shortly before my channel was taken down. This raises the alarming possibility that malicious actors are not only running these scam ads but have also found a way to compromise YouTube's reporting system to retaliate against users who flag their content.

The primary purpose of this letter is to warn you of these critical flaws in your system. The current process is opaque and punitive, leaving creators completely in the dark. For the health of the YouTube community, it is essential that creators receive specific, actionable feedback on their alleged violations. Without knowing what they have done wrong, they cannot prevent future mistakes, which undermines the very purpose of community guidelines.

I urge you to investigate these systemic issues with the gravity they deserve. This is not just about reinstating a single channel; it is about restoring faith in YouTube as a fair and transparent platform for all its users.

I look forward to your substantive response on this matter.

Sincerely,

Karel Decherf
@KarelTest
karel.test.special@gmail.com
Hurstweg 9
B-9000 Gent
Belgium

DATUM: 2025-09-03, 9:47 AM **VAN:** YouTube Legal Support Team
(legal+0rh36hxuz30z02o@support.youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com)
ONDERWERP: YouTube Legal Team

Hello,

If you feel that your channel was blocked or removed in error, you can learn more about how to appeal your suspension.

Regards, The YouTube Legal Support Team

DATUM: 2025-09-03, 2:47 PM **VAN:** Karel Decherf (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **AAN:** Google Account Help (<https://support.google.com/accounts/contact/suspended?p=youtube>)
ONDERWERP: Unable to access a Google product

to: YouTube channel termination review team As directed per : YouTube Legal Support Team 2025.09.03 9:47 AM

Subject: Re: Your YouTube Channel Suspension - Request for Clarification and Re-evaluation - [@KarelTest]

Dear YouTube Team,

The core of my problem is that I have already completed this one-time procedure inadequately and without a clear understanding of the alleged violation. The fundamental issue I am facing is a lack of specific information... I was informed of the suspension of my channel without prior warning and the only justification provided for my channel's termination was a generic reference to the "spam, scams, or commercially deceptive content" policy. This explanation is so broad that it is effectively meaningless, as no details were given as to which aspect of a specific videos was deemed to be in violation. Without this crucial information, it is impossible for a creator to understand, let alone rectify, the alleged mistake.

I must honestly state that I navigated the one-time appeal process inadequately. The decision to suspend my channel came as a complete shock. The severity of the accusation—'spam, deceptive practices and scams'—was deeply unsettling, and I was not prepared to formulate a proper response. Instead of using the appeal to seek clarification and present a well-reasoned case, my submission primarily reflected my bewilderment. For your reference, here is the sequence of events: Friday, August 15, 1:23 PM: I unexpectedly received the suspension notification. In a state of confusion, I hastily submitted the appeal form without proper preparation or a clear understanding of the specific violation. Saturday, August 16, 3:21 AM: I received a swift rejection of my appeal. After the rejection, I contacted @TeamYouTube on X.com to seek the clarification I should have requested earlier. They informed me that since an appeal had already been denied, they could no longer assist. This led me to send a formal letter to Google Ireland Limited on August 20, 2025 ; after which I received an email from YouTube Legal Support Team <legal+0rh36hxuz30z02o@support.youtube.com> redirecting me to this form

(<https://support.google.com/accounts/contact/suspended?p=youtube&sjid=14742410085278711687-EU>) .

DATUM: 2025-09-03, 8:22 PM **VAN:** YouTube (no-reply@youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **ONDERWERP:** Karel Test, we've reached a decision on your appeal

Hi Karel Test,

We have reviewed your appeal for the following:

Channel: Karel Test

We reviewed your channel carefully, and have confirmed that it violates our spam, deceptive practices and scams policy. We know this is probably disappointing news, but it's our job to make sure that YouTube is a safe place for all.

How this affects your channel

We won't be putting your channel back up on YouTube.

Thanks, The YouTube team

DATUM: 2025-09-04, 4:18 PM **VAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **AAN:** YouTube Legal Support (legal+0rh36hxuz30z02o@support.youtube.com) **ONDERWERP:** Re: Your YouTube Channel Suspension - Follow-up with Detailed Analysis and Rectification Plan - Channel: @KarelTest

Dear The YouTube Legal Support Team,

Thank you for your email of September 3, 2025. I am writing to you directly today not merely to repeat my appeal, but to provide a thorough, well-researched analysis of the potential policy violations that led to my channel's termination. I was acting in good faith and hope to respectfully request a viable path to bring my channel into full and complete compliance with YouTube's guidelines.

Following the guidance in your email, I submitted another, more detailed appeal via the web form on September 3. Regrettably, the outcome was an identical, templated rejection, confirming the termination without offering any of the specific details I need to understand and rectify the mistake. This experience underscores that the standard appeals process may not be equipped for cases like mine, which require a nuanced review of context. It is for this reason that I am respectfully presenting my own detailed analysis directly to you now.

The fundamental issue I have faced is a lack of specific information. The termination notice cited "spam, deceptive practices and scams," a category so broad that it was impossible to understand the specific error without further details. My initial, hastily submitted appeal reflected my bewilderment rather than a well-reasoned case, for which I sincerely apologize.

After extensive analysis, aided by powerful diagnostic tools like Google's Gemini Pro AI, I have come to a clear understanding of the most probable cause. I believe my channel was terminated by an automated system flagging an unintentional but clear violation of Google's and YouTube's brand and impersonation policies. This violation was specifically triggered by the public-facing name of the application demonstrated in my final, and only public, video.

A critical detail in the timeline of events strongly supports this conclusion: The first two videos on my channel were set to 'Unlisted' or 'Private', as they were intended solely for the Google Workspace Marketplace verification process. These videos existed on the channel without any issue. However, the channel was terminated immediately and automatically on August 15, within hours of my uploading the third video as 'Public'. This sequence of events strongly suggests that the public visibility of this specific video's content triggered the enforcement action.

Below is my detailed analysis of the three videos that constituted my channel's entire library.

1. Video: aistudioreader 1.webm (Demonstrating "Google AI Studio - File Reader") - Published as 'Public'

Primary Issue (The Trigger): I now fully understand that the name of my Chrome extension, "Google AI Studio - File Reader," when featured in a public video, is the most likely cause for the termination. It directly incorporates an official Google product name, which I now recognize creates an unintentional impression of official affiliation and violates YouTube's "deceptive practices" policy.

Context on Functionality and Positive Community Impact: While I now see the critical error in the name, I must provide context on the functionality. This extension is not malicious or deceptive in its purpose. On the contrary, it has been enthusiastically received by the community. Since its publication on the Google Chrome Web Store, it has rapidly gained a significant and growing user base. This strong positive reception demonstrates that the tool fulfills a genuine need for users of Google AI Studio. My work was entirely in the spirit of a third-party developer constructively building upon the Google ecosystem, based on direct community demand.

Good-Faith Assumption of Compliance: My belief that this naming was acceptable was reinforced by the fact that the extension was fully reviewed, approved, and published

by the Google Chrome Web Store team. This approval from another part of the Google ecosystem mistakenly led me to believe the naming was compliant. I now understand that YouTube's enforcement policies are separate and more stringent.

2. & 3. Videos: YouTube Playlist Collector and OCR combi (Workspace Add-ons) - Published as 'Unlisted'

Analysis: The names and content of these other videos may also contain elements that could be flagged. However, because these videos were 'Unlisted' and intended only for Google's own internal OAuth verification team for the Workspace Marketplace, they likely evaded the public-facing automated scans.

Compliance Context: These videos were a mandatory part of a verification process to demonstrate that the add-ons use the official YouTube Data API v3 and Google Sheets API correctly and do not violate any Terms of Service. They are a testament to my attempts to follow official developer procedures.

I want to be unequivocally clear: it was never my intention to violate any policies, impersonate Google, or mislead any users. My sole intention has always been to contribute positively and constructively to the Google, YouTube, and wider internet ecosystem.

I sincerely apologize for this unintentional mistake. I believe my track record as a developer, with a published and approved extension on the Chrome Web Store that is valued by its users, demonstrates my commitment to being a constructive member of the community. I hope you will see this situation not as a malicious act, but as a learning experience for a developer who is eager to understand and comply with all platform rules.

Now that I have this understanding, I am 100% willing and prepared to take the following immediate corrective actions if given the opportunity:

Rename the Applications: I will immediately change the name of the "Google AI Studio - File Reader" to a compliant name, such as "File Reader for AI Studio," and similarly adjust the "YouTube Playlist Collector" to "Playlist Collector for YouTube."

Delete and Re-record All Videos: I will permanently delete all three videos from the channel. I will then re-record the demonstrations showing only the correctly named, policy-compliant applications.

Ensure Correct Visibility and Add Disclaimers: Future verification videos will remain 'Unlisted'. Any public videos will include explicit disclaimers stating that the tools are

from a third party and are not affiliated with, sponsored, or endorsed by Google or YouTube.

I am not asking you to overlook a policy violation. I am providing this detailed context to show that it was an unintentional, good-faith error made by a developer who is eager to contribute correctly. The permanent termination of my channel currently prevents me from making these necessary corrections.

I respectfully request the following:

Confirmation: Could you please confirm if my analysis is correct and that the channel was terminated due to the public video demonstrating an app with a name that violated brand policies?

A Chance to Rectify: I humbly ask for a temporary reinstatement of my channel, perhaps under a probationary status. This would grant me the necessary access to log in, delete all non-compliant content, and bring my channel into full and complete alignment with YouTube's guidelines.

Thank you for your time and for reconsidering this matter with the detailed context I have now provided. I am confident that, given the chance, I can be a responsible and valuable member of the YouTube creator community.

Respectfully,

Karel Decherf

Channel: @KarelTest (https://youtube.com/channel/UCETh_r8bn3OY09WLPnnANQA)

Email: karel.test.special@gmail.com

Address: Hurstweg 9, B--9000 Gent, Belgium

additional documents:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ONEe0_6ownhFlayhAhX7LoxOaZvA1Kd3xAqTSnp4tz8/edit?usp=sharing

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1w5RN5hDxMXFUQ50ewsnRunEpqa1L_qcbvtO2JyTBAho/edit?usp=sharing

2 Attachments

- Scanned by Gmail

DATUM: 2025-09-05, 7:41 PM **VAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **AAN:** YouTube Legal Support (legal+0rh36hxuz30z02o@support.youtube.com) **ONDERWERP:** Urgent Follow-up: Channel Suspension for Circumvention (@Karel Gerard Robert) - Linked to a Deeper Contextual Issue on Root Channel (@KarelTest)

Dear The YouTube Legal Support Team,

I am writing to you with critical new information following the termination of a second YouTube channel associated with my identity, @Karel Gerard Robert, for violating the Circumvention Policy.

First and foremost, I want to state that I fully understand and respect this policy. As soon as I was made aware of the initial termination of my developer channel, @KarelTest, I took immediate action. As a sign of my commitment to fully complying with YouTube's rules as I understand them, I have proactively performed a Google Takeout and permanently deleted all other YouTube channels associated with my accounts. My goal is not to circumvent, but to understand, rectify, and comply.

This new development makes it imperative to address the root cause of the initial termination of @KarelTest. My extensive analysis has pointed to two likely, intertwined reasons, and I desperately need clarification to avoid future errors.

Hypothesis 1: Unintentional Brand Impersonation

I now understand that using names like "Google AI Studio - File Reader" and "YouTube Playlist Collector" in my app titles was a clear violation. However, my lack of awareness was heavily influenced by its widespread and seemingly accepted practice across YouTube. A brief search reveals countless highly-viewed tutorials and guides from established creators using Google's brand names in their titles, such as "The RIGHT Way to Set Up Brand Search Campaigns in Google Ads" and "Google for your Brand".^{[1][3]} This created a genuine, albeit mistaken, belief that this was standard descriptive practice.

Hypothesis 2 (Increasingly Likely): Content Mistaken for Malicious Activity

This leads me to a more pressing concern: that the content of my videos was the primary trigger. Two of the videos were created as a mandatory requirement for Google's own internal Workspace Marketplace OAuth verification process. They visually demonstrated how to navigate the "Google hasn't verified this app" warning screen.

While this is a standard, required procedure for developers, I now realize with horror that a video visually guiding a user to click "Advanced" and "Go to... (unsafe)" is functionally indistinguishable from a phishing or malware tutorial to an automated moderation system. The fact that I was demonstrating this required step for Google's own internal process is the vital, missing context.

My Core Question and Sincere Request

This brings me to the central question I hope you can help me with: Was the termination triggered by the brand names—a practice so common it is easily misunderstood—or was it the required, but visually alarming, content of the verification videos?

I was never warned before the first termination. My intent was purely constructive: to create tools that help the Google user community, one of which was even approved by the Chrome Web Store team. I sincerely apologize for creating content that, without its vital context, was reasonably flagged as a violation.

Given that I have now removed my entire presence from your platform as a sign of good faith, I humbly ask for one final consideration: a temporary, probationary reinstatement of my original channel, @KarelTest, for the sole purpose of allowing me to rectify my mistakes. I will delete all offending content, rename my applications, and ensure any future content is in absolute compliance.

Thank you for your time and for reviewing this complex situation.

Respectfully,

Karel Decherf

Root Channel: @KarelTest

(https://youtube.com/channel/UCETh_r8bn3OY09WLPnnANQA)

Second Channel: @Karel Gerard Robert

(https://studio.youtube.com/channel-appeal?authuser=2&entry=account_switcher&sdcc=EhhVQ0JaTC1iX2V0Zy1paFlvOThfNnRTWWc%253D)

DATUM: 2025-09-08, 6:44 PM **VAN:** YouTube Legal Support
(legal+0rh36hxuz30z02o@support.youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com)
ONDERWERP: Fwd: To present new in-depth analysis to you...

Hello,

If you feel that your channel was removed in error, you can learn more about how to appeal your suspension by visiting the Following Help Center page:
https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/2802168?hl=en&ref_topic=2803138.

Sincerely, The YouTube Team

DATUM: 2025-09-08, 9:19 PM **VAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **AAN:** YouTube Legal Support (legal+0rh36hxuz30z02o@support.youtube.com) **ONDERWERP:** Re: To present new in-depth analysis to you...

Please, no one will help me. I already submitted the appeal form 3 times. I never get the chance of talking to anyone. It is all a mistake. my channel likely terminated for: ""Trying to get viewers to install harmful software..."" (perception of brand impersonation and bypassing security warnings) This is mistake: videos for store

listing process for legit Google Workspace add-ons and Chrome extensions. but no-one ever even really told me if this was the problem...

DATUM: 2025-09-10, 1:46 PM **VAN:** Chrome Web Store Developer Support (chromewebstore-dev-support@google.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com)
ONDERWERP: Re: [4-8109000040067] Your Chrome Web Store Support ticket has been created

Hello Developer,

Thank you for contacting us. Unfortunately, we are unable to assist with issues related to YouTube accounts, including bans or any other platform-specific matters. We will be handling queries only related to Chrome Web Store items.

Sincerely, Chrome Web Store Developer Support

DATUM: 2025-09-24, 12:14 PM **VAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **AAN:** YouTube Legal Support (legal@support.youtube.com) **ONDERWERP:** Formal Notice of Intent to Initiate Out-of-Court Dispute Settlement (DSA) regarding terminated channel: Karel Test (UCETh_r8bn3OY09WLPnnANQA)

Dear YouTube Legal Support Team,

This email serves as a formal notice regarding the termination of my YouTube channel, Karel Test (URL: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCETh_r8bn3OY09WLPnnANQA), on August 15, 2025.

To date, and contrary to your obligations under the EU's Digital Services Act (DSA), I have not received a transparent, specific, and meaningful justification for this decision.

The basis of my case is that YouTube has violated the Digital Services Act in several ways:

Violation of Article 17 (Statement of Reasons): You failed to provide a clear and specific reason for the termination. A generic reference to "spam, deceptive practices, and scams" is entirely insufficient under the DSA. You did not provide the specific facts and circumstances of the case, nor did you identify which content allegedly violated which policy.

Ineffective Internal Complaint-Handling System (Article 20): The internal appeal process was fully automated, offered no meaningful opportunity for a human review, and resulted in repeated, identical rejections without any further explanation. This does not constitute an effective complaint system as required by law.

Imposition of a Disproportionate Sanction: The immediate and permanent termination of my channel—without any prior warnings or 'strikes'—for an unspecified and unsubstantiated violation is a wholly disproportionate sanction.

Please be advised that, as a user within the European Union, I am now exercising my right under Article 21 of the DSA to seek resolution through a certified out-of-court dispute settlement (ODS) body.

I will be submitting a formal complaint to a certified ODS body based on the violations listed above.

I hereby request one final, substantive internal review of this decision by a human agent before I proceed with the formal ODS filing.

Sincerely,

Karel Decherf

DATUM: 2025-09-25, 11:11 AM **VAN:** YouTube Legal Support Team (legal+1vbaajbpg2g1u2o@support.youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com)
ONDERWERP: YouTube Legal Support

Hello,

If you feel that your video doesn't violate our Community Guidelines and was removed in error, you can appeal the strike on your account.

Sincerely, The YouTube Team

DATUM: 2025-09-25, 11:26 AM **VAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com) **AAN:** YouTube Legal Support Team (legal+1vbaajbpg2g1u2o@support.youtube.com) **ONDERWERP:** Re: YouTube Legal Support

Dear YouTube Legal Support Team,

Thank you for your response.

However, my channel was suppressed WITHOUT ANY PRIOR STRIKES in its entirety WITHOUT SPECIFICS (without mentioning which exact video violated which exact policy rule).

I remain to this day completely in the dark as to why my channel got suspended without warning... Therefore I cannot appeal any strike because there never was a strike... This is exactly what the problem is.

DATUM: 2025-09-25, 3:52 PM **VAN:** YouTube Legal Support Team (legal+1vbaajbpg2g1u2o@support.youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com)
ONDERWERP: Re: YouTube Legal Support

Hello,

The YouTube Community flagged your video or channel as inappropriate. Flagged content is not automatically taken down by the flagging system. After review, the YouTube team has found that your content violates our Community Guidelines. As a result, we have removed the content.

Accounts that have multiple or severe violations of our Community Guidelines may be suspended.

If you think a Community Guidelines strike was applied to your account in error, you can appeal it.

Sincerely, The YouTube Team

DATUM: 2025-09-26, 4:13 PM **VAN:** YouTube Legal Support Team
(legal+1vbaajbpg2g1u2o@support.youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com)
ONDERWERP: Re: YouTube Legal Support

Hello,

If you feel that your channel was blocked or removed in error, you can learn more about how to appeal your suspension.

Sincerely, The YouTube Team

DATUM: 2025-09-28, 1:08 PM **VAN:** YouTube Legal Support Team
(legal+1vbaajbpg2g1u2o@support.youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com)
ONDERWERP: Re: YouTube Legal Support

Hello,

If you feel that your channel was blocked or removed in error, you can learn more about how to appeal your suspension.

Sincerely, The YouTube Team

DATUM: 2025-09-30, 2:42 PM **VAN:** YouTube Legal Support Team
(legal+0rh36hxuz30z02o@support.youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com)
ONDERWERP: Formal Notice of Intent to Initiate Out-of-Court Dispute Settlement (DSA)...

Hello,

We received your YouTube complaint and sent it for review. We will get back to you as soon as possible.

Sincerely, The YouTube Team

DATUM: 2025-10-01, 12:20 PM **VAN:** YouTube Legal Support Team
(legal+0rh36hxuz30z02o@support.youtube.com) **AAN:** Karel Test (karel.test.special@gmail.com)
ONDERWERP: Formal Notice of Intent to Initiate Out-of-Court Dispute Settlement (DSA)...

Hello,

Our team has carefully reviewed the channel and found it violates our Spam, deceptive practices, and scams policies. As a result, the channel has been removed.

YouTube doesn't allow spam, scams, or other deceptive practices that take advantage of the YouTube community. We also don't allow content where the main purpose is to trick others into leaving YouTube for another site.

We understand that this is disappointing news. This decision was made to help make YouTube accessible to everyone. If you'd like more info, don't hesitate to visit our [Help Center](#).

Take care,
The YouTube Team

On September 24, 2025 karel.test.special@gmail.com wrote:

Dear YouTube Legal Support Team,

This email serves as a formal notice regarding the termination of my YouTube channel, Karel Test (URL: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCETH_r8bn3OY09WLPnnANQA), on August 15, 2025...