

STABLE MANIFOLDS FOR MAPS<sup>\*)</sup>

DAVID RUELLE

IHES, 91 Bures-sur-Yvette,  
FRANCE

MICHAEL SHUB

QUEENS COLLEGE OF  
THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK  
FLUSHING, NEW YORK

Here we present a stable manifold theorem for non-invertible differentiable maps of finite dimensional manifolds. There is a long history of stable manifold theorems for hyperbolic fixed points and sets, see for instance [1]. More recently Pesin [3] has proven theorems of a general nature which rely on measure theoretic techniques. Pesin's results have been extended in [5]. The results described in the present paper were arrived at by the two authors along different paths. The first author starting from a treatment of differentiable maps in Hilbert space [6] specializes to the finite dimensional case while the second starting from seminar notes by Fahti, Herman and Yoccoz applies graph transform as in [1].

We say that a map is of class  $C^{r,\theta}$  if its  $r$ -th derivative is Hölder continuous of exponent  $\theta$  (Lipschitz if  $\theta = 1$ ). Similarly for manifolds. In what follows class  $C$  will mean class  $C^{r,\theta}$  with integer  $r \geq 1$  and  $\theta \in (0,1]$ , or class  $C^r$  with  $r \geq 2$ , or class  $C^\infty$ , or class  $C^\omega$  (real analytic), or (complex) holomorphic. [Class  $C^{-1}$  will be respectively  $C^{r-1,\theta}$ ,  $C^{r-1}$ ,  $C^\infty$ ,  $C^\omega$ , or holomorphic].

Throughout what follows,  $M$  will be a locally compact  $C$ -manifold and  $f: M \rightarrow M$  a  $C$ -map such that  $fM$  is relatively compact in  $M$ . (In particular, if  $fM = M$ , then  $M$  is a compact manifold). We introduce the inverse limit.

$\tilde{M} = \{(x_n)_{n \geq 0} : x_n \in M \text{ and } fx_{n+1} = x_n\}$  and define  $\tilde{\pi}(x_n) = x_0$ ,  $\tilde{f}(x_n) = (y_n)$  where  $y_n = x_{n+1}$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Notice that  $\tilde{M}$  is compact,  $\tilde{\pi}$  is continuous  $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$  with image  $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^n M$ , and  $\tilde{f}$  is a homeomorphism of  $\tilde{M}$ . Furthermore  $f \circ \tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{f}^{-1}$ .

We state in (1), (2), (3) below some (easy) consequences of the multiplicative ergodic theorems \*\*). Our main results are the stable and unstable manifold

\*) This work has been supported by NSF Research Grant

\*\*) See Oseledec [2], Raghunathan [4].

theorems in (4), (5). It is likely that these results extend to general local fields (the multiplicative ergodic theorem does, see [4]). We have however not checked the ultrametric case.

(1) There is a Borel set  $\Gamma \subset M$  such that  $f\Gamma \subset \Gamma$ , and  $\rho(\Gamma) = 1$  for every  $f$ -invariant probability measure  $\rho$ . If  $x \in \Gamma$ , there are an integer  $s \in [0, m]$ , reals  $\mu^{(1)} > \dots > \mu^{(s)}$ , and spaces  $T_x M = V_x^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset V_x^{(s)} \supset V_x^{(s+1)} \supset \{0\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|Tf^n(x)u\| = \mu^{(r)} \quad \text{if } u \in V_x^{(r)} \setminus V_x^{(r+1)}$$

for  $r = 1, \dots, s$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|Tf^n(x)u\| = -\infty \quad \text{if } u \in V_x^{(s+1)}.$$

The functions  $x \mapsto s$ ,  $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(s)}$ ,  $V_x^{(1)}, \dots, V_x^{(s)}$  are Borel and  $x \mapsto s$ ,  $\mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(s)}$ ,  $\dim V_x^{(1)}, \dots, \dim V_x^{(s)}$  are  $f$ -invariant.

(2) Similarly there is a Borel set  $\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \tilde{M}$  such that  $\tilde{f}\tilde{\Gamma} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\Gamma}) = 1$  for every  $\tilde{f}$ -invariant probability measure  $\tilde{\rho}$ . If  $\tilde{x} = (x_n) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ , there are  $s \in [0, m]$ ,  $\mu^{(1)} > \dots > \mu^{(s)}$  and  $\{0\} = \tilde{V}_{\tilde{x}}^{(0)} \subset \tilde{V}_{\tilde{x}}^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset \tilde{V}_{\tilde{x}}^{(s)} \subset T_{x_0} M$

such that if  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies  $u_n \in T_{x_n} M$  and  $Tf(x_{n+1})u_{n+1} = u_n$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|u_n\| < +\infty$$

then  $u_0 \in \tilde{V}_{\tilde{x}}^{(s)}$ . Conversely, for every  $u_0 \in \tilde{V}_{\tilde{x}}^{(s)}$  there is such a sequence

$(u_n)$ , it is unique and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|u_n\| = -\mu^{(r)} \quad \text{if } u_0 \in \tilde{V}_{\tilde{x}}^{(r)} \setminus \tilde{V}_{\tilde{x}}^{(r-1)}$$

for  $r = 1, \dots, s$ .

(3) The map  $\tilde{\pi}$  sends the  $\tilde{f}$ -invariant probability measures on  $\tilde{M}$  onto the  $f$ -invariant probability measures on  $M$ . Almost everywhere with respect to every  $\tilde{f}$ -invariant probability measure  $\tilde{\rho}$ , the quantities  $s \circ \tilde{\pi}$ ,  $\mu^{(r)} \circ \tilde{\pi}$ ,  $\dim V_{\tilde{x}}^{(r+1)}$  occurring in (1) are equal to  $s, \mu^{(r)}$ ,  $m \cdot \dim \tilde{V}_{\tilde{x}}^{(r)}$  in (2). This justifies the confusion in notation for  $s$  and  $\mu^{(r)}$ .

(4) Local stable manifolds

Let  $\theta, \lambda, r$  be  $f$ -invariant Borel functions on  $\Gamma$  with  $\theta > 0, \lambda < 0, r$  integer  $\in [0, s]$ , and

$$\mu^{(r+1)} < \lambda < \mu^{(r)}$$

(where  $\mu^{(0)} = +\infty, \mu^{(s+1)} = -\infty$ ). Replacing possibly  $\Gamma$  by a smaller set retaining the properties of (1) one may construct Borel functions  $\beta > \alpha > 0$  on  $\Gamma$  with the following properties.

(a) If  $x \in \Gamma$  the set  $W_x^\lambda = \{y \in M: d(x, y) \leq \alpha(x) \text{ and } d(f^n x, f^n y) \leq \beta(x) e^{n\lambda(x)} \text{ for all } n > 0\}$  is contained in  $\Gamma$  and is a  $C$ -submanifold of the ball  $\{y \in M: d(x, y) \leq \alpha(x)\}$ . For each  $y \in W_x^\lambda$ , we have  $T_y W_x^\lambda = V_y^{(r+1)}$ . More generally, for every  $t \in [0, s]$ , the function  $y \mapsto V_y^{(t+1)}$  is of class  $C^{-1}$  on  $W_x^\lambda$ .

(b) If  $y, z \in W_x^\lambda$ , then

$$d(f^n y, f^n z) \leq \gamma(x) d(y, z) e^{n\lambda(x)}.$$

(c) If  $x \in \Gamma$ , then  $\alpha(f^n x), \beta(f^n x)$  decrease less fast with  $n$  than the exponential  $e^{-n\theta}$ .

The manifolds  $W_x^\lambda$  do not in general depend continuously on  $x$ , but the construction implies measurability properties on which we shall not elaborate here.

(5) Local unstable manifolds

Let  $\theta, \mu, r$  be  $\tilde{f}$ -invariant Borel functions on  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  with  $\theta > 0, \mu > 0, r$  integer  $\in [0, s]$ , and

$$\mu^{(r+1)} < \mu < \mu^{(r)}$$

(where  $\mu^{(0)} = +\infty, \mu^{(s+1)} = -\infty$ ). Replacing possibly  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  by a smaller set retaining the properties of (2), one may construct Borel functions  $\tilde{\beta} > \tilde{\alpha} > 0$  and  $\tilde{\gamma} > 1$  on  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  with the following properties.

(a) If  $\tilde{x} = (x_n) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$  the set

$$\tilde{W}_x^\mu = \{\tilde{y} = (y_n) \in \tilde{M}: d(x_0, y_0) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{x}) \text{ and } d(x_n, y_n) \leq \tilde{\beta}(\tilde{x}) e^{-n\mu(\tilde{x})}$$

for all  $n > 0\}$  is contained in  $\tilde{\Gamma}$ ; the map  $\tilde{\pi}$  restricted to  $\tilde{W}_x^\mu$  is injective and  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{W}_x^\mu$  is a  $C$ -submanifold of the ball  $\{y \in M: d(x_0, y) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(\tilde{x})\}$ . For each

$\tilde{y} = (y_n) \in \tilde{W}_x^u$ , we have  $T_{y_0} \pi \tilde{W}_x^u = \tilde{V}_y^{(r)}$ . More generally, for every  $t \in [0, s]$ ,

the function  $y \mapsto \tilde{V}_{\pi^{-1}y}^{(t)}$  is of class  $C^{-1}$  on  $\tilde{W}_x^u$ .

(b) If  $(y_n), (z_n) \in \tilde{W}_x^u$ , then

$$d(y_n, z_n) \leq \tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{x}) d(x_0, y_0) e^{-n\mu(x)}.$$

(c) If  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ , then  $\tilde{\alpha}(f^n \tilde{x}), \tilde{\beta}(f^n \tilde{x})$  decrease less fast with  $n$  than the exponential  $e^{-n\theta}$ .

(6) Global stable and unstable manifolds exist under obvious transversality conditions (for instance, if  $T_x f$  is a linear isomorphism). Under these conditions they are immersed submanifolds.

(7) The results described above for maps apply immediately to flows, via a time  $T$  map.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Hirsch, C. Pugh and M. Shub, Invariant manifolds, Lecture Notes in Math. no. 583, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [2] V.I. Oseledec, Multiplicative ergodic theorem, Lyapunov characteristic numbers for dynamical systems. Trudy Moskov., Mat. Obshch. 19, 179-210 (1968). English transl. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 19, 197-221 (1968).
- [3] Ya. B. Pesin, Invariant manifold families which correspond to non-vanishing characteristic exponents. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 40 no. 6, 1332-1379. (1976), English transl. Math. USSR izv. 10, no. 6, 1261-1305 (1976).
- [4] M.S. Raghunathan, A proof of Oseledec multiplicative ergodic theorem, Israel J. Math. To appear.
- [5] D. Ruelle, Ergodic theory of differentiable dynamical systems. I.H.E.S. Publications Mathématiques. To appear.
- [6] D. Ruelle, Invariant manifolds for flows in Hilbert space, to appear.