CO395 Machine Learning CBC #4 t-test

Group 1

Yong Wen Chua, ywc110 Thomas Morrison, tm1810 Marcin Baginski, mgb10 Marcin Kadziela, mk4910

Contents

tesults of the t-test	3
Clean dataset	3
Noisy dataset	3
questions	4
Performance of the algorithms	4
Adjustment of the significance level	
Type of the t-test	
Classification error vs. F_1 measure	4
Trade-off between number of folds and examples	4
Additional emotions	4

Results of the t-test

Clean dataset

	DT vs. ANN	DT vs. CBR	ANN vs. CBR
Emotion 1	2.3857	4.3672	1.0573
Emotion 2	0.6936	2.8501	3.2322
Emotion 3	3.5037	2.7900	0.5018
Emotion 4	4.9059	6.2893	-0.1580
Emotion 5	0.2666	3.6875	3.1753
Emotion 6	3.5283	3.5196	0.2353

Table 1: t values for every emotion and algorithm

	DT vs. ANN	DT vs. CBR	ANN vs. CBR
Emotion 1	similar	different	similar
Emotion 2	similar	different	different
Emotion 3	different	similar	similar
Emotion 4	different	different	similar
Emotion 5	similar	different	different
Emotion 6	different	different	similar

Table 2: t values for every emotion and algorithm

Noisy dataset

 $-5.4336 \ -3.9836 \ 0.7861 \ 6.5276 \ 6.3766 \ 0.2338 \ 2.8913 \ 4.5010 \ 0.2034 \ 3.2990 \ 4.8491 \ -1.0284 \ 0.9481 \ 2.9775 \ 2.4377 \ 3.8547 \ 4.4856 \ 1.6618$

	DT vs. ANN	DT vs. CBR	ANN vs. CBR
Emotion 1	2.3857	4.3672	1.0573
Emotion 2	0.6936	2.8501	3.2322
Emotion 3	3.5037	2.7900	0.5018
Emotion 4	4.9059	6.2893	-0.1580
Emotion 5	0.2666	3.6875	3.1753
Emotion 6	3.5283	3.5196	0.2353

Table 3: t values for every emotion and algorithm

	DT vs. ANN	DT vs. CBR	ANN vs. CBR
Emotion 1	similar	different	similar
Emotion 2	similar	different	different
Emotion 3	different	similar	similar
Emotion 4	different	different	similar
Emotion 5	similar	different	different
Emotion 6	different	different	similar

Table 4: t values for every emotion and algorithm

Questions

Performance of the algorithms

Adjustment of the significance level

Type of the t-test

Classification error vs. F_1 measure

Trade-off between number of folds and examples

Additional emotions