# **Nucleonics**

Matt Lilley

March 25, 2025

### 1 What is nucleonics?

Nucleonics is a scientific and engineering discipline that studies and applies the principles of physics to design, create, and operate devices that manipulate nucleons.

We take inspiration from the emergence of electronics that marked a fundamental shift in humanity's relationship to information. Underlying that shift was a revolution in the way we understood and interacted with electrons: rather than continuing to blow large aggregates of electrons through vacuum tubes, the transistor represented deliberate, precise control of electronic states.

What if, similar to our control of electronic states, we gained precise control of nuclear states? Before we can answer that question, it's essential to first ask the "Is it possible?" question.

Some of the essential physics of nucleonics (e.g. nuclear superradiance) was already proposed in  $\underline{1965}$  by Terhune and Baldwin, but it's only in recent years that it's been possible to verify those ideas - see Chumakov et.al 2017. We're now seeing increased interest and experimental progress in the controlling of nuclear states e.g. Bocklage et.al 2021, Heeg et.al 2021, and Chai et.al 2025.

The answer seems to be yes - nucleonics is possible. Now to the question of "What if?".

## 2 A vision for nucleonics

What if, similar to our control of electronic states, we gained precise control of nuclear states? We might imagine a world where nuclear radiation is a thing of the past or a world where we can increase nuclear fusion rates in solid states to technologically relevant levels.

There are other possible visions for nucleonics, e.g. one might take an information technology angle relating to nuclear spintronics. However, our vision takes an energy angle. We can recast the vision into a "mission statement"

#### We want to enable rational engineering of small-scale devices fueled by clean nuclear energy

Now that we have a "destination", how are we going to get there? Are there any potential roadblocks along the way? We need a roadmap.

## 3 Nucleonics Roadmap

To meet the vision, we need nucleonics to be more than possible, we need it to be practical and so the essential question that a nucleonics roadmap is answering is:

#### Is nucleonics practical?

It may be that manipulating nuclear states for the purposes of energy technology is sufficiently difficult to make it more of an academic curiosity than an enabler of new technology.

From the energy perspective that our mission statement focusses on, practicality means that we need to be able to:

- Accelerate nuclear transitions by many orders of magnitude
- Transfer nuclear energy from one type of nucleus to another
- Control nuclear transitions with low frequency stimulation
- Extract nuclear energy in benign forms

From a theoretical perspective, nucleonics does seem practical. In 2024, <u>Hagelstein et.al</u> showed for the first time that known quantum physics principles could be applied to satisfy the above criteria and moreover explain long standing experimental nuclear anomalies found in LENR experiments.

Hagelstein's theoretical framework relies on combining several pieces of well established quantum physics in a novel way. The central question is whether Mother Nature respects this novel combination. The framework needs to be stress tested in several carefully designed experiments in order to pass the ultimate litmus test.

# 3.1 Accelerating nuclear transitions by many orders of magnitude

One of the key bit of physics responsible for accelerating nuclear transitions is Dicke Superradiance. First proposed by <u>Dicke in the 1950s</u> for atoms and then extended by <u>Terhune and Baldwin in the 1960s</u> for nuclei, Dicke's model showed that N particles can radiate/decay collectively up to  $N^2$  faster that an individual particle. In a solid lattice, the number density is  $\sim 10^{28}~{\rm m}^{-3}$  and so Dicke enhancements can in principle be extremely large.

The challenge for nuclear superradaince is how close the nuclei need to be for collective emission to occur. Dicke's model is based on all N nuclei being

coupled together through an interaction with a common oscillating field. In order to get the full  $N^2$  enhancement, all the nuclei need to be located within a single wavelength of one other. The wavelength is determined by the frequency (and hence energy) of the field oscillations. Typically the frequency is chosen to be matched to the nuclear transitions which mostly have energies of E > 10 keV and wavelengths of  $\lambda < 0.1$  nm. In a typical solid lattice you can fit at most one particle in cube whose sides are given by such a small wavelength. Chumakov et.al 2017 achieved small levels of nuclear superradiance ( $\sim 10 \times$  rate enhancements) in  $^{57}$ Fe experiments because of this.

Much larger Dicke enhancement rates are required for practical applications. Bridging the rate enhancement gap can in principle be achieved by choosing a low frequency (and hence long wavelength) that's mismatched with respect to the nuclear transitions. In such a set-up, a nucleus radiates a number of smaller energy oscillator quanta instead of a single large one. The challenge with this approach is that the more quanta that are involved, the slower the process becomes - this can dominate over Dicke enhancements depending on the specific details.

There is a novelty in demonstrating Dicke enhancements with oscillator and nuclei that are mismatched. It would therefore be preferable to separate that novelty from the specific demonstration of extremely large nuclear Dicke enhancements. One way to do this is to repeat the Chumakov experiment but instead of using <sup>57</sup>Fe we use <sup>229m</sup>Th.

 $^{229}\mathrm{Th}$  has a nuclear isomer  $^{229\mathrm{m}}\mathrm{Th}$  whose existence was hypothesised for many years but was only nailed down in 2024.  $^{229\mathrm{m}}\mathrm{Th}$  is unique in that it has an extremely low energy nuclear transition energy of 8.36 eV which corresponds to a wavelength of 148 nm. A cube of this size would contain about  $3\times10^7$  nuclei at typical solid density - more than enough to observe some very large Dicke enhancements.

The specifics of <sup>229m</sup>Th require us to arrange the system to:

- Maximise radiative decay over internal conversion
  - Excite half of the  $^{229\text{m}}$ Th nuclei instead of all of them will give us  $N^2$  enhancement of radiation and only N/2 enhancement of internal conversion
- Minimise the reflection of the UV laser light from the surface
  - Embed the <sup>229m</sup>Th into an UV transparent material