

---

# Project B: Places Attribute Conflation

Presented by: Stanley Shen, Jeffrey Liu

---

---

# Project Overview

---

# What is the problem?

When one real-world place has conflicting attributes (name, address, etc.) across multiple sources, which one is correct?



# Significance to OMF and community



High-quality, unified data is foundational for OMF



Conflation ensures a single, trustworthy record for every place



Reducing user confusion and maximizing data utility for the wider community (e.g., navigation, business analysis)

## OKR1:

**Objective 1: Build a high-quality labeled dataset for attribute conflation**

**Key Results:**

- Collect and preprocess  $\geq 2000$  pre-matched place records from  $\geq 2$  distinct data sources.
- Label  $\geq 90\%$  ( $\geq 1800$ ) of collected records with verified ground-truth attributes using Yelp Academic Dataset.
- Achieve  $\geq 95\%$  data consistency across the final labeled dataset via automated field validation.
- Ensure  $\leq 2\%$  missing or invalid attribute values ( $\leq 40$  records) after final cleaning.

# OKRS

# OKRS

## OKR2:

**Objective 2: Develop and compare algorithms for optimal attribute selection**

**Key Results:**

- Implement 2 algorithms: one rule-based and one machine learning model.
- Achieve  $\geq 80\%$  validation accuracy on attribute prediction using a 70/15/15 train/validation/test split.
- Limit model inference time to  $\leq 200$  ms per record on 2000 records.

# OKRS

## OKR3:

**Objective 3: Evaluate performance and recommend a scalable solution**

**Key Results:**

- Evaluate model performance using precision, recall, and F1-score on a test set of 2000 records.
- Demonstrate  $\geq 5\%$  relative improvement in F1-score of the best-performing model compared to the baseline rule-based approach.
- Provide  $\geq 3$  recommendations for scaling the solution to datasets exceeding 1 million records.

# OKR Journey

- Suggested to start with 2k-3k data samples instead of 10k
- Consider a) model performance and (b) model trade-offs between a linear /rule based system and a non-linear ml-based model. Are there shortcomings that both model variants share?

We found that:

- Used non-linear ML based since there are a lot of attributes, linear ml based would be like rule based
- Hybrid approach: use RandomForest for entity matching, rules for conflation

# Approach

- **Phase 1 – Data Preparation**
  - Collect place data from multiple sources (Yelp, OMF, OpenStreetMap, etc.)
  - Clean and normalize data: standardize text, remove duplicates
- **Phase 2 – Entity Matching / Place ID Assignment**
  - Generate candidate record pairs using city, ZIP, or proximity
  - Match records via fuzzy name/address, category, and optional lat/lon
  - Assign unified place\_id and evaluate matches against known data



place_id	source	name	address	lat	lon
P0001	Yelp	Starbucks Coffee	123 Main St	...	...
P0001	OMF	Starbucks Coffee	123 Main Street	...	...
P0001	Overpass	Starbucks	125 Main St	...	...

# Ruled based

**The Methodology:** We implemented a three-step Python pipeline:

1. **Normalize:** Cleaning text and formatting (e.g., stripping non-digits from phone numbers) to ensure fair comparisons.
2. **Rule Engine:** Applying logical heuristics, such as selecting the longest valid address or using majority voting for phone numbers.
3. **Validate:** Scoring the output against a human-verified dataset.

place_id	source	name	address	lat	lon
P0001	Yelp	Starbucks Coffee	123 Main St	...	...
P0001	OMF	Starbucks Coffee	123 Main Street	...	...
P0001	Overpass	Starbucks	125 Main St	...	...

# Hybrid

- Use ML to entity match
  - Pure Rules fail at matching (misses fuzzy duplicates).
  - Name, address, distance similarity between pairs of the same POI from different data sets (ex Yelp, OMF), matching is non-linear so use RandomForest
- Use rule based to conflate
  - Pure ML fails at selection (hallucinates fake names).

# Machine Learning

**The Methodology:** We implemented a supervised learning pipeline:

1. **Feature Engineering:** Converting raw text into numerical features (e.g., token similarity and data presence) to enable algorithmic processing.
2. **Model Training:** Training Random Forest and Logistic Regression classifiers to predict the most reliable source based on patterns in our ground truth.
3. **Validate:** Scoring the model predictions against our human-verified dataset to measure improvement over the rule-based baseline.

# Metrics/Demo

	Rule Based	Hybrid	Machine Learning
Entity Matching Accuracy	X	*93.78*	X
Conflation Accuracy	80.10	*90.80*	84.6
Overall: 85.15			

<https://github.com/project-terraform/stanley-jeffrey-attributesConflation/blob/main/Results.md>

# Reflection/Takeaway

**Algorithmic Trade-offs:** Rule-based logic offers explainability and speed for general cases, while Machine Learning provides superior adaptability for complex conflicts at the cost of training data requirements.

**The Data Foundation:** Both approaches demonstrated that high-quality Entity Resolution is impossible without a "Human-in-the-Loop" to establish the Ground Truth for validation and training.



# Resources

Repository:

<https://github.com/project-terraferma/stanley-jeffrey-attributesConflation>

Additional Resources:

<https://docs.overturemaps.org/>

<https://overpass-turbo.eu/>

<https://business.yelp.com/data/resources/open-dataset/>

Thank you