Равенство в Теории Типов

Шабалин Александр

2015 г.

Propositions as Types

```
\begin{array}{ccc} \mathsf{Proposition} & \leftrightarrow & \mathsf{Type} \\ \mathsf{Proof} & \leftrightarrow & \mathsf{Program} \\ \mathsf{Proof simplification} & \leftrightarrow & \mathsf{Computation} \end{array}
```

- ► Syntactic =. $\frac{A}{A \wedge B}$
- = on propositions.
- = as proposition.

Definitional equality
$$=_{def}$$

Definition,
$$\alpha\beta$$
-equivalence, $\frac{a =_{\text{def}} b}{x[a] =_{\text{def}} x[b]}$, $\frac{a : A \qquad A =_{\text{def}} B}{a : B}$.

Propositional equality (a.k.a. Identity) I(A, a, b)

$$\frac{x:A \qquad y:A \qquad z:I(A,x,y)}{C[x,y,z] \qquad c[x]:C[x,x,r(x)]}$$

$$\frac{f(x,x,r(x)) =_{\text{def}} c[x]}{f(x,x,r(x))}$$

Definitional equality

Definition, Equivalence, Substituiting equals for equals, α .

Judgemental equality
$$A = B$$
, $a = b : A$

Defined for every type, $\beta \eta$, [x : A]

$$\xi \frac{f(x) = g(x) : B(x)}{\lambda x. \ f(x) = \lambda x. \ g(x) : \Pi(x : A)B(x)},$$

$$\frac{a \in A \quad A = B}{a \in B}, \frac{a = b \in A \quad A = B}{a = b \in B}.$$

Propositional equality

$$\mathsf{ER} \frac{c : I(A, a, b)}{a = b : A} \; , \; \mathsf{UIP} \frac{c : I(A, a, b)}{c = r : I(A, a, b)} \; .$$

Observational Type Theory

Definitional equality: $\alpha\beta\eta$.

Propositional equality is heterogeneous equality:

$$(x:A)=(y:B), \quad refl:(x:A) \rightarrow (x:A)=(x:A).$$
 Coercion: $\frac{p:S_0=S_1 \quad s_0:S_0}{s_0[p\rangle:S_1}$ Coherence: $\frac{p:S_0=S_1 \quad s_0:S_0}{s_0[[p]\rangle:(s_0:S_0)=(s_0[p\rangle:S_1)}$

Coercion, coherence rules are defined for each and every type.

Result: propositional equality is truly extensional.

Unified Type Theory

ITT + impredicative Prop. Equality is Leibniz: $\forall P: A \rightarrow Prop. \ P(a) \implies P(b)$.

Computational Type Theory

Based on ETT. Define the meaning of x=y:A for the types you want. x:A is then a shortcut for x=x:A. And propositional equality is truly identified with judgemental equality. Set types: $\{x:A|p(x)\}$ Quotient types: (x,y):A//E. Change an equivalence relation to E.

Homotopy Type Theory

Definitionally like ITT but with η . Proof relevance for equality. Think β reduction chain. a=b:A when there is a path from a to b. As such no UIP (and K). OTOH have function extensionality.

ZOMBIE

Forget automatic β . Get equality relations from the context and use them.