## 1 Exporting from Agda to Haskell

#### 1.1 Goal

As of Agda 2.3.2.2 there is a way to call Haskell code from Agda but no way to call Agda code from Haskell: that is to compile Agda code into a library to be used from Haskell.

The feature is desirable because one can create a formally verified library in Agda, create a simple API around it and then use it in Haskell for real world applications.

#### 1.2 Current state of things in Agda

#### 1.2.1 As of Agda 2.3.2.2

There is a compiler from Agda to Haskell called MAlonzo(TODO: Add a link to a paper of Alonzo compiler and mention that MAlonzo is just a rewrite of Alonzo, possibly add a link to a post on a mailing list about it) - it translates Agda code into a Haskell code so that observable behaviour of running an Agda interpreter and generated Haskell code is the same.

Because of its focus on generating executables it generates unusable names for functions and datatypes, it does not generate type signatures (which results in useless types for functions) and datatype generation completely ignores type parameters.

All of that makes it unusable as a library generator.

#### 1.2.2 Since Agda 2.3.4

New {-# COMPILED\_EXPORT AgdaName HaskellName #-} pragma that will force the MAlonzo compiler to use HaskellName instead of a generated name for AgdaName function and will also generate an explicit type signature for HaskellName according to the rules: TODO: add MAlonzo rules.

Also MAlonzo gained the ability to generate a Haskell library - not only an executable.

It helps usability but is not quite enough: it should also produce better datatypes.

#### 1.3 The scope of this work

This work was developed on Agda 2.3.2.2 and features of 2.3.4 were not available.

The  $\{-\# \text{ EXPORT } AgdaName \text{ } HaskellName \text{ } \#-\}$  pragma is introduced which will create a wrapper named HaskellName for MAlonzo generated code for AgdaName function or datatype.

TODO: enumerate features: type signature generation, newtype wrappers around datatypes, primitives, compiled types

### 2 Old Intro

I need to prove that Haskell types and terms that I expose wouldn't break the system. It means two things:

- 1. Types preserve the same set of invariants
- 2. Terms have the same interface: any combination of APPLY that can be used(ignoring types) to original term must be usable with generated one; and primitives(numbers, strings, ... and their ops) are the same.

# 3 My transformations

#### 3.1 Kinds

$$KT[Kind] = HaskellKind$$

$$\begin{split} KT[\![Set_0]\!] = * \\ KT[\![Kind_1 \to Kind_2]\!] = KT[\![Kind_1]\!] \to KT[\![Kind_2]\!] \end{split}$$

1

#### 3.2 Type declarations

DTMA gives MAlonzo generated type name. DT, DTD are defined when  $\{-\#$  EXPORT  $AgdaTypeName\ HaskellTypeName\ \#-\}$  is specified.

$$\begin{split} DTMA \llbracket AgdaTypeName \rrbracket &= HaskellTypeName \\ DT\llbracket AgdaTypeName \rrbracket &= HaskellTypeName \\ DTD \llbracket AgdaTypeName \rrbracket &\doteq HaskellTypeDeclaration \end{split}$$

Considering declaration:

```
data AgdaDataType\ (A_1:Kind_1)\cdots(A_m:Kind_m):Kind_{m+1}\rightarrow\cdots\rightarrow Kind_n\rightarrow Set\ \mathbf{where}\ \ldots
```

```
DTD[\![AgdaDataType]\!] \doteq 
\mathbf{newtype} \ DT[\![AgdaDataType]\!] \ (a_0 :: KT[\![Kind_1]\!]) \cdots (a_n :: KT[\![Kind_n]\!]) 
= DT[\![AgdaDataType]\!] \ (\forall b_1 \cdots b_k. \ DTMA[\![AgdaDataType]\!] \ b_1 \cdots b_k)
```

k is an arity of type constructor generated by MAlonzo. It also works for **records**.

### 3.3 Types

First about primitives. Only those that are used for postulates are allowed. MAlonzo gives the following PTMA transformation:

$$\begin{split} PTMA \llbracket \text{INTEGER} \rrbracket &= Int \\ PTMA \llbracket \text{FLOAT} \rrbracket &= Float \\ PTMA \llbracket \text{CHAR} \rrbracket &= Char \\ PTMA \llbracket \text{STRING} \rrbracket &= String \\ PTMA \llbracket \text{IO} \rrbracket &= IO \end{split}$$

$$TT[AgdaType](Context) = HaskellType$$

$$Context = \{AgdaTypeVarName \mapsto HaskellTypeVarName\}$$

```
TT[\![A\ args\ldots]\!](\Gamma) = a\ TT[\![args\ldots]\!](\Gamma), \quad (A\mapsto a) \in \Gamma TT[\![CT\ args\ldots]\!](\Gamma) = CT\ TT[\![args\ldots]\!](\Gamma), \quad CT\ \text{is a COMPILED_TYPE} TT[\![PT\ args\ldots]\!](\Gamma) = PTMA[\![PT]\!]\ TT[\![args\ldots]\!](\Gamma) TT[\![ET\ args\ldots]\!](\Gamma) = DT[\![ET]\!]\ TT[\![args\ldots]\!](\Gamma) TT[\![(A:Kind)\to T]\!](\Gamma) = \forall (a:KT[\![Kind]\!]).\ TT[\![T]\!](\Gamma\cup\{A\mapsto a\}) TT[\![(x:T_1)\to T_2]\!](\Gamma) = TT[\![T_1]\!](\Gamma)\to TT[\![T_2]\!](\Gamma), \quad x\not\in freevars(T_2) TT[\![(x:T_1,T_2)]\!](\Gamma) = (TT[\![T_1]\!](\Gamma),\ TT[\![T_2]\!](\Gamma)), \quad x\not\in freevars(T_2)
```

#### 3.4 Terms

 $Wrap^0 \llbracket AgdaType \rrbracket (term)$  is defined only when  $TT \llbracket AgdaType \rrbracket (\varnothing)$  is defined.

$$Wrap^{2k} \llbracket AgdaType \rrbracket (MAlonzoTerm) = MyTerm$$
  
 $Wrap^{2k+1} \llbracket AgdaType \rrbracket (MyTerm) = MAlonzoTerm$ 

```
\begin{aligned} Wrap^{k} \llbracket A \ args \ldots \rrbracket (term) &= \mathtt{unsafeCoerce} \ term \\ Wrap^{2k} \llbracket (A:Kind) \rightarrow T \rrbracket (term) &= Wrap^{2k} \llbracket T \rrbracket (term \ ()) \\ Wrap^{2k+1} \llbracket (A:Kind) \rightarrow T \rrbracket (term) &= Wrap^{2k+1} \llbracket T \rrbracket (\lambda_{-}.\ term) \\ Wrap^{k} \llbracket (x:T_{1}) \rightarrow T_{2} \rrbracket (term) &= \lambda x.\ Wrap^{k} \llbracket T_{2} \rrbracket (term\ Wrap^{k+1} \llbracket T_{1} \rrbracket (x)) \\ Wrap^{k} \llbracket (x:T_{1},T_{2}) \rrbracket ((term_{1},\ term_{2})) &= (Wrap^{k} \llbracket T_{1} \rrbracket (term_{1}),\ Wrap^{k} \llbracket T_{2} \rrbracket (term_{2})) \end{aligned}
```

#### 3.5 Value declarations

VTMA gives MAlonzo generated value name  $VT,\ VTD$  are defined when  $\{-\#\ EXPORT\ AgdaName\ HaskellName\ \#-\}$  is specified.

```
\begin{split} VTMA \llbracket AgdaName \rrbracket &= HaskellName \\ VT \llbracket AgdaName \rrbracket &= HaskellName \\ VTD \llbracket AgdaName \rrbracket &\doteq HaskellDeclaration \end{split}
```

Considering declaration:

```
AgdaName : AgdaType

AgdaName = \dots
```

```
\begin{split} VTD \llbracket AgdaName \rrbracket &\doteq \\ VT \llbracket AgdaName \rrbracket :: TT \llbracket AgdaType \rrbracket (\varnothing) \\ VT \llbracket AgdaName \rrbracket &= Wrap^0 \llbracket AgdaType \rrbracket (VTMA \llbracket AgdaName \rrbracket) \end{split}
```

It works in the same way for constructors(it exports them as Haskell functions - not Haskell constructors). It also works seamlessly with parametrized modules and, consequently, with record functions.

# 4 Preserving type invariants

Three cases:

#### newtype wrappers.

A datatype can be viewed as a logical statement and its constructors — as proofs of this statement. **newtype** wrapping gives us a statement but hides proofs. Acquiring an instance of this datatype in Haskell can only be done when some Agda function returns it. Therefore it was constructed with all the invariants checked by Agda. We can only use this datatype with functions exported from Agda that used the original version of it. Therefore all the internal invariants are safely passed from Agda to Agda invisibly through Haskell.

2. Transformation from Church polymorphism to Curry polymorphism.

$$\begin{array}{l} (A:Kind) \rightarrow Type \\ (\forall a::KT \llbracket Kind \rrbracket). \ Haskell Type \end{array}$$

They both mean the same thing but the first one always requires a proof that Kind is inhabited:

- If  $A \notin freevars(Type)$  and, by construction(TT),  $a \notin freevars(HaskellType)$ .
- A (and consequently a) is a phantom type(i.e. only used as a type parameter).

In both cases Haskell will completely ignore the inhabitance of KT[Kind]. Agda however will require you to provide an evidence that Kind can be constructed. Now, Kind is defined as a combination of  $Set_0$  and arrows. Therefore some Kind A can be viewed as follows:  $Arg_1 \to ... \to Arg_n \to Set_0$  for  $n \ge 0$ . Let's define a simple Unit type:

data Unit : Set where unit : Unit

We can now construct an A:  $A = \lambda arg_1 \dots arg_n$ . Unit. Therefore, each Kind is inhabited and we can safely omit this proof in our transformation.

3. In every other case type is exactly the same.

So invariants are clearly the same.

## 5 Preserving term interface

Wrap clearly deals with the issue of passing and skipping type parameters with MAlonzo-generated code. A thing to watch for is unsafeCoerce. There are three cases for a coerced type:

1. a **newtype** wrapper around an MAlonzo-generated datatype.

Safe because **newtype** is required to have the same internal structure as its wrapped type.

2. a primitive as defined by PTMA.

Safe because type of MAlonzo-generated code is the same as ours by construction.

3.  $a \ args...$ , where a is a type variable.

Safe because all terms with type a~args... will have the same internal structure. That's because from Haskell side compiler will guarantee that and from Agda side terms will have a corresponding type A~args... (via  $\Gamma$  in TT) so the compiler will guarantee it too.