1 Intro

I need to prove that haskell types and terms that I expose wouldn't break the system. It means two things:

1. Terms have the same interface: any combination of `APPLY` that can be used to original(ignoring types) term must be usable with generated; and primitives(numbers, strings, ... and their ops) are the same.
2. Types preserve the same set of invariants

2 Preserving term interface

Conversion for types:
$TT[\![AgdaType]\!](Context) = HaskellType$

$$
\begin{aligned}
TT[\![A\ args\ldots]\!](\Gamma) &= a\ TT[\![args\ldots]\!](\Gamma), \quad (A \mapsto a) \in \Gamma \\
TT[\![CT\ args\ldots]\!](\Gamma) &= CT\ TT[\![args\ldots]\!](\Gamma), \quad CT \text{ is a } \texttt{COMPILED\_TYPE}, \texttt{EXPORT} \text{ or a primitive postulate} \\
TT[\![(A : Kind) \to T]\!](\Gamma) &= \forall a.\ TT[\![T]\!](\Gamma \cup (A \mapsto a)), \quad Kind \text{ is a combination of } Set \text{ and arrows} \\
TT[\![(x : T_1) \to T_2]\!](\Gamma) &= TT[\![T_1]\!](\Gamma) \to TT[\![T_2]\!](\Gamma), \quad x \notin freevars(T_2) \\
TT[\![(x : T_1,\ T_2)]\!](\Gamma) &= (TT[\![T_1]\!](\Gamma),\ TT[\![T_2]\!](\Gamma)), \quad x \notin freevars(T_2) \\
TT[\![\_]\!](\Gamma) &= \bot
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversion for terms:
$Wrap[\![AgdaType]\!](MAlonzoTerm) = MyTerm$
$Unwrap[\![AgdaType]\!](MyTerm) = MAlonzoTerm$
Both are only valid when $TT[\![AgdaType]\!](\varnothing) \neq \bot$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Wrap[\![A\ args\ldots]\!](term) &= \texttt{unsafeCoerce}\ term \\
Wrap[\![(A : Kind) \to T]\!](term) &= Wrap[\![T]\!](term\ ()) \\
Wrap[\![(x : T_1) \to T_2]\!](term) &= \lambda x.\ Wrap[\![T_2]\!](term\ Unwrap[\![T_1]\!](x)) \\
Wrap[\![(x : T_1,\ T_2)]\!]((term_1,\ term_2)) &= (Wrap[\![T_1]\!](term_1),\ Wrap[\![T_2]\!](term_2)) \\
Wrap[\![\_]\!](term) &= \bot
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Unwrap[\![A\ args\ldots]\!](term) &= \texttt{unsafeCoerce}\ term \\
Unwrap[\![(A : Kind) \to T]\!](term) &= Unwrap[\![T]\!](\lambda\_.\ term) \\
Unwrap[\![(x : T_1) \to T_2]\!](term) &= \lambda x.\ Unwrap[\![T_2]\!](term\ Wrap[\![T_1]\!](x)) \\
Unwrap[\![(x : T_1,\ T_2)]\!]((term_1,\ term_2)) &= (Unwrap[\![T_1]\!](term_1),\ Unwrap[\![T_2]\!](term_2)) \\
Unwrap[\![\_]\!](term) &= \bot
\end{aligned}
$$

`unsafeCoerce` is legal because it's either:

- The same term(when its type is a type variable)
- A newtype around MAlonzo generated type
- A primitive

3 Preserving type invariants

For example: head on empty vector. From terms perspective it's legal, from types - it's illegal.

This is dealing with logic behind Haskell type system and Agda type system. For every exported Agda thingy I need to construct a logical statement from Agda perspective and from Haskell perspective and prove their equivalence.