

## PROFILING AND ANALYZING THE YELP DATASET: PART 1 - YELP DATASET PROFILING AND UNDERSTANDING

**1. Profile the data by finding the total number of records for each of the tables below:**

- i. Attribute table = 10000
- ii. Business table = 10000
- iii. Category table = 10000
- iv. Checkin table = 10000
- v. elite\_years table = 10000
- vi. friend table = 10000
- vii. hours table = 10000
- viii. photo table = 10000
- ix. review table = 10000
- x. tip table = 10000
- xi. user table = 10000

**2. Find the total distinct records by either the foreign key or primary key for each table. If two foreign keys are listed in the table, please specify which foreign key.**

- i. Business = Primary Key distinct record: 10000
- ii. Hours = Foreign Key (business\_id): 1562
- iii. Category = Foreign Key (business\_id): 2643
- iv. Attribute = Foreign Key (business\_id): 1115
- v. Review = Primary Key distinct record: 10000
- vi. Checkin = Foreign Key (business\_id): 493
- vii. Photo = Primary Key distinct record: 10000
- viii. Tip = Foreign Key (business\_id): 3979; Foreign Key (user\_id): 537
- ix. User = Primary Key distinct record: 10000
- x. Friend = Foreign Key (user\_id): 11
- xi. Elite\_years = Foreign Key (user\_id): 2780

Note: Primary Keys are denoted in the ER-Diagram with a yellow key icon.

**3. Are there any columns with null values in the Users table? Indicate "yes," or "no."**

Answer: NO

```
SELECT id, name, review_count, yelping_since, useful, funny, cool, fans, average_stars,
       compliment_hot, compliment_more, compliment_profile, compliment_cute, compliment_list,
       compliment_note, compliment_plain, compliment_cool, compliment_funny, compliment_writer,
       compliment_photos
```

```
FROM user
```

```
WHERE id=NULL OR name=NULL OR review_count=NULL OR yelping_since=NULL OR useful=NULL OR
       funny=NULL OR cool=NULL OR fans=NULL OR average_stars=NULL OR compliment_hot=NULL OR
       compliment_more=NULL OR compliment_profile=NULL OR compliment_cute=NULL OR
       compliment_list=NULL OR compliment_note=NULL OR compliment_plain=NULL OR
       compliment_cool=NULL OR compliment_funny=NULL OR compliment_writer=NULL OR
       compliment_photos=NULL
```

**4. For each table and column listed below, display the smallest (minimum), largest (maximum), and average (mean) value for the following fields:**

**i. Table: Review, Column: Stars**

min:	max:	avg:
1	5	3.7082

**ii. Table: Business, Column: Stars**

min:	max:	avg:
1	5	3.6549

**iii. Table: Tip, Column: Likes**

min:	max:	avg:
0	2	0.0144

**iv. Table: Checkin, Column: Count**

min:	max:	avg:
1	53	1.9414

**v. Table: User, Column: Review\_count**

min:	max:	avg:
0	2000	24.2995

**5. List the cities with the most reviews in descending order:**

```
SELECT city, SUM(review_count) AS Total_Review
FROM business
GROUP BY city
ORDER BY Total_Review DESC
```

```
+-----+-----+
| city           | Total_Review |
+-----+-----+
| Las Vegas      | 82854        |
| Phoenix        | 34503        |
| Toronto        | 24113        |
| Scottsdale     | 20614        |
| Charlotte      | 12523        |
| Henderson      | 10871        |
| Tempe          | 10504        |
| Pittsburgh     | 9798         |
| Montréal       | 9448         |
| Chandler        | 8112         |
| Mesa           | 6875         |
| Gilbert        | 6380         |
| Cleveland      | 5593         |
| Madison        | 5265         |
```

	Glendale		4406	
	Mississauga		3814	
	Edinburgh		2792	
	Peoria		2624	
	North Las Vegas		2438	
	Markham		2352	
	Champaign		2029	
	Stuttgart		1849	
	Surprise		1520	
	Lakewood		1465	
	Goodyear		1155	

```

+-----+-----+

```

**6. Find the distribution of star ratings to the business in the following cities:**

**i. Avon**

```

SELECT stars AS Star Rating, COUNT(DISTINCT(id)) AS Count
FROM business
WHERE city = 'Avon'
GROUP BY stars

```

	Star Rating		Count	
	1.5		1	
	2.5		2	
	3.5		3	
	4.0		2	
	4.5		1	
	5.0		1	

```

+-----+-----+

```

**ii. Beachwood**

```

SELECT stars AS Star Rating, COUNT(DISTINCT(id)) AS Count
FROM business
WHERE city = 'Beachwood'
GROUP BY stars

```

	Star Rating		Count	
	2.0		1	
	2.5		1	
	3.0		2	
	3.5		2	
	4.0		1	
	4.5		2	
	5.0		5	

```

+-----+-----+

```

## 7. Find the top 3 users based on their total number of reviews: Gerald, Sara, Yuri

```
SELECT name, review_count
FROM user
ORDER BY review_count DESC LIMIT 3
```

```
+-----+-----+
| name   | review_count |
+-----+-----+
| Gerald |          2000 |
| Sara   |          1629 |
| Yuri   |          1339 |
+-----+-----+
```

## 8. Does posing more reviews correlate with more fans? Please explain your findings and interpretation of the results:

```
SELECT name, SUM(fans), SUM(review_count)
FROM user
GROUP BY id
ORDER BY fans DESC
```

```
+-----+-----+-----+
| name   | SUM(fans) | SUM(review_count) |
+-----+-----+-----+
| Amy    |          503 |          609 |
| Mimi   |          497 |          968 |
| Harald |          311 |         1153 |
| Gerald |          253 |         2000 |
| Christine |          173 |          930 |
| Lisa   |          159 |          813 |
| Cat    |          133 |          377 |
| William |          126 |         1215 |
| Fran   |          124 |          862 |
| Lissa  |          120 |          834 |
| Mark   |          115 |          861 |
| Tiffany |          111 |          408 |
| bernice |          105 |          255 |
| Roanna |          104 |         1039 |
| Angela |          101 |          694 |
| .Hon   |          101 |         1246 |
| Ben    |           96 |          307 |
| Linda  |           89 |          584 |
| Christina |           85 |          842 |
| Jessica |           84 |          220 |
| Greg   |           81 |          408 |
| Nieves |           80 |          178 |
| Sui    |           78 |          754 |
| Yuri   |           76 |         1339 |
| Nicole |           73 |          161 |
+-----+-----+-----+
```

(Output limit exceeded, 25 of 10000 total rows shown)

The result shows that there is NO correlation between the number of fans and the number of posted reviews. For example, Amy has 503 fans with 609 reviews. However, in this selection the Gerald has

posted the maximum number of reviews (2000) but he has only 253 fans. I believe, there are other factors such as how long that person have been active in yelp, what kind of reviews does that person post etc.

### 9. Are there more reviews with the word "love" or with the word "hate" in them?

Answer: love was used 1780 times and hate was used 232 times

Two separate codes were used.

Code for love

```
SELECT COUNT(*)
FROM review
WHERE text like '%love%'
```

```
+-----+
| COUNT (*) |
+-----+
|      1780 |
+-----+
```

Code for hate

```
SELECT COUNT(*)
FROM review
WHERE text like '%hate%'
```

```
+-----+
| COUNT (*) |
+-----+
|       232 |
+-----+
```

### 10. Find the top 10 users with the most fans:

```
SELECT name, SUM(fans) AS 'Total_Fans'
FROM user
GROUP BY id
ORDER BY Total_Fans DESC LIMIT 10
```

```
+-----+-----+
| name      | Total_Fans |
+-----+-----+
| Amy       | 503        |
| Mimi      | 497        |
| Harald    | 311        |
| Gerald    | 253        |
| Christine | 173        |
| Lisa      | 159        |
| Cat       | 133        |
| William   | 126        |
| Fran      | 124        |
| Lissa     | 120        |
+-----+-----+
```

**11. Is there a strong relationship (or correlation) between having a high number of fans and being listed as "useful" or "funny?" Out of the top 10 users with the highest number of fans, what percent are also listed as "useful" or "funny"?**

*Code 1: To determine people with most fans and their respective total funny and useful marking*

```
SELECT name, SUM(fans) AS 'Total_Fans', SUM(useful), SUM(funny)
FROM user
GROUP BY id
ORDER BY Total_Fans DESC LIMIT 10
```

name	Total_Fans	SUM(useful)	SUM(funny)
Amy	503	3226	2554
Mimi	497	257	138
Harald	311	122921	122419
Gerald	253	17524	2324
Christine	173	4834	6646
Lisa	159	48	13
Cat	133	1062	672
William	126	9363	9361
Fran	124	9851	7606
Lissa	120	455	150

*Code 2: To determine the people with most funny marking*

```
SELECT name, SUM(funny) AS 'Total_Funny'
FROM user
GROUP BY id
ORDER BY Total_Funny DESC LIMIT 10
```

name	Total_Funny
Harald	122419
William	9361
Fran	7606
Christine	6646
W	6033
.Hon	5851
Alan	4567
Susie	3823
Jen	3164
Jim	2913

*Code 3: To determine the people with most useful marking*

```
SELECT name, SUM(useful) AS 'Total_Useful'
FROM user
GROUP BY id
ORDER BY Total_Useful DESC LIMIT 10
```

name	Total_Useful
Harald	122921
Gerald	17524
Susie	14703
Fran	9851
William	9363
.Hon	7850
W	6974

Alan		5640	
Christine		4834	
Mike		4656	

The result shows that all of the top 10 users with the highest number of fans are listed as useful and funny. However, Amy, who has maximum fans (503) is not marked as the most funny or most useful. Harald, however, has only 311 fans but has been listed as funny and useful four times more than Amy. In the lists of top 10 users with highest number of listing as useful and funny, only Harald, Gerald, Fran, William, and Christine appear who also appear in the list of top 10 users with highest number of fans. Therefore, it seems there is a medium level correlation between having a high number of fans and being listed as "useful" or "funny".

**12. Pick one city and category of your choice and group the businesses in that city or category by their overall star rating. Compare the businesses with 2-3 stars to the businesses with 4-5 stars and answer the following questions. Include your code.**

I picked Food and Las Vegas as the business category and city respectively.

**i. Do the two groups you chose to analyze have a different distribution of hours?**

Restaurants with 2-3 stars have longer hours compared to the highest rated ones

**ii. Do the two groups you chose to analyze have a different number of reviews?**

The highest rated ones have more reviews

**iii. Are you able to infer anything from the location data provided between these two groups? Explain.**

All the high rated food businesses are located in the southeast neighborhood of the city and others are located in the Eastside neighborhood

```
SELECT b.stars, b.review_count, h.hours, b.neighborhood
FROM (business b INNER JOIN category c ON b.id = c.business_id) INNER JOIN hours h ON b.id =
h.business_id
WHERE c.category = 'Food' AND b.city = 'Las Vegas'
```

stars	review_count	hours	neighborhood
4.0	30	Monday 10:00-19:00	Southeast
4.0	30	Tuesday 10:00-19:00	Southeast
4.0	30	Friday 10:00-19:00	Southeast
4.0	30	Wednesday 10:00-19:00	Southeast
4.0	30	Thursday 10:00-19:00	Southeast
4.0	30	Saturday 10:00-19:00	Southeast
2.5	6	Monday 8:00-22:00	Eastside
2.5	6	Tuesday 8:00-22:00	Eastside
2.5	6	Friday 8:00-22:00	Eastside
2.5	6	Wednesday 8:00-22:00	Eastside
2.5	6	Thursday 8:00-22:00	Eastside
2.5	6	Sunday 8:00-22:00	Eastside
2.5	6	Saturday 8:00-22:00	Eastside

**13. Group business based on the ones that are open and the ones that are closed. What differences can you find between the ones that are still open and the ones that are closed? List at least two differences and the SQL code you used to arrive at your answer.**

**i. Difference 1:**

Closed businesses have received less reviews and lower stars compared to the open businesses

**ii. Difference 2:**

Closed businesses have received less number of check-ins compared to the open ones.

```
SELECT b.is_open,  
MAX(b.stars) AS 'Max-Star',  
AVG(b.stars) AS 'Avg-Stars',  
MAX(b.review_count) AS 'Max-review',  
COUNT(ch.count) AS 'CheckIn#'  
FROM business b INNER JOIN checkin ch ON b.id = ch.business_id  
GROUP BY b.is_open
```

is_open	Max-Star	Avg-Stars	Max-review	CheckIn#
0	2.0	2.0	16	12
1	4.5	3.32128514056	27	498