

```

\documentclass[11pt]{article}
\usepackage{amsmath,amssymb}
\usepackage{geometry}
\geometry{margin=1in}

\titl{Numerical Consistency of the Riemann--von Mangoldt Formula\\
and the Finite Validity of Polynomial Corrections}
\author{Author Name}
\date{ }

\begin{document}
\maketitle

\begin{abstract}
We examine the numerical consistency of the Riemann--von Mangoldt formula for the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function. Using the first 200 zeros, we introduce a minimal polynomial correction and analyze the residual structure. While such corrections effectively absorb local deviations, extrapolation to higher zeros (501--700) fails, indicating that the correction is only locally valid. We conclude that the Riemann--von Mangoldt leading term is the only universal structure, and that the remaining fluctuations are structureless.
\end{abstract}

```

\section{Introduction}

Let  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_n$  denote the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function, ordered by increasing imaginary part.

The counting function for zeros with  $0 < \gamma \leq T$  is well approximated by the Riemann--von Mangoldt formula

```

\[
N_0(T)
= \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi}.
\]

```

The purpose of this work is to examine, using explicit numerical data, whether systematic corrections to this leading term exhibit any universal structure beyond finite ranges.

\section{Method}

Using the first 200 non-trivial zeros  $\{\gamma_n\}$ , we model the relation

```
\[
n = N_0(\gamma_n) + \Delta(\gamma_n),
\]
```

where  $\Delta(\gamma)$  is taken to be a minimal quadratic polynomial

```
\[
\Delta(\gamma) = A\gamma^2 + B\gamma + C.
```

The coefficients  $A, B, C$  are determined by least-squares fitting.  
Residuals are defined as

```
\[
r_n = n - \bigl(N_0(\gamma_n) + \Delta(\gamma_n)\bigr),
```

and are analyzed after logarithmic normalization.

To test universality, the same coefficients are applied without refitting to an independent set of higher zeros, namely  $\gamma_{501} - \gamma_{700}$ .

## \section{Results for Zeros 1--200}

The least-squares fit over the first 200 zeros yields

```
\[
A = -63.16612305756696,\quad
B = 324.0670957385392,\quad
C = -2891.544542946499.
```

Within this finite interval, the polynomial correction removes the systematic deviation from the leading term.

The normalized residuals exhibit an approximately Gaussian distribution with standard deviation

```
\[
\mathrm{std} \approx 0.579548658,
```

and show no statistically significant periodicity or higher-order structure.

## \section{Extrapolation Test: Zeros 501--700}

Applying the same coefficients  $A, B, C$  to zeros

$\gamma_{501} - \gamma_{700}$  leads to rapidly growing residuals.

A refit over this higher range yields an optimal quadratic coefficient  $A \approx 0$ , indicating that no polynomial correction is required.

Thus, the quadratic correction determined from the first 200 zeros has no extrapolative validity and represents only a local approximation.

## \section{Discussion}

The extrapolation failure demonstrates that the polynomial correction does not encode a new universal law.

Instead, it functions as a finite-range error absorber.

The only structure that remains stable across ranges is the Riemann–von Mangoldt leading term itself; beyond this term, the residual fluctuations are consistent with structureless noise.

The explicit detection of overfitting confirms the limited scope of such corrections.

## \section{Conclusion}

We conclude that:

\begin{itemize}

\item The Riemann–von Mangoldt leading term is the sole universal component governing zero counts.

\item Polynomial corrections are valid only locally and have no predictive power outside their fitting range.

\item After removal of the leading term, residuals exhibit no deterministic structure.

\end{itemize}

These results fix the numerical scope of admissible corrections and provide a closed empirical basis for further theoretical interpretation.

\begin{thebibliography}{1}

\bibitem[Odlyzko]{}

A.~M.~Odlyzko,

\emph{Tables of zeros of the Riemann zeta function}.

\end{thebibliography}

\end{document}