

Propositional logic summary

Peter Rowlett

Sheffield Hallam University

`p.rowlett@shu.ac.uk`

Reminder

NOT $\neg p$ true only if p is false

AND $p \wedge q$ true only if p is true and q is true.

OR $p \vee q$ true if p is true, q is true, or both p and q are true.

XOR $p \oplus q$ true if p is true or q is true, but not both.

Implication

- ▶ We say $p \implies q$ (“ p implies q ”) to mean that if p is true, then q will also be true.
- ▶ We are not saying p causes q .
- ▶ $p \implies q$ is true unless p is true when q is false.
- ▶ If both $p \implies q$ and $q \implies p$ we write $p \iff q$ and say “ p is true if and only if q is true”.

Implication

- ▶ Consider the statement “If $x > 3$, then $x^2 - 2y > 5$.”
- ▶ There are two propositions:
 - ▶ p : “ $x > 3$ ”;
 - ▶ q : “ $x^2 - 2y > 5$ ”.
- ▶ The statement says $p \implies q$.

Implication

- ▶ Consider the statement “If $x > 3$, then $x^2 - 2y > 5$.”
- ▶ There are two propositions:
 - ▶ p : “ $x > 3$ ”;
 - ▶ q : “ $x^2 - 2y > 5$ ”.
- ▶ The statement says $p \implies q$.
- ▶ If we are to disprove this statement, we must find a situation where p is true and q is false.
- ▶ Consider $x = 4$ and $y = 6$. Then

$$x = 4 \wedge y = 6 \implies x^2 - 2y = 4 < 5.$$

- ▶ Since p is true and this does not correspond to a true q , we do not have $p \implies q$.

Arguments

- ▶ You had a go at some arguments.
- ▶ Arguments are a series of premises and a conclusion.
- ▶ e.g. if we know that p is true and $p \implies q$ is true, then we know q is true.

Example

► Find a conclusion from these premises:

1. “All puddings are nice”.
2. “This dish is a pudding”.
3. “No nice things are wholesome”.

Example

- Find a conclusion from these premises:

1. “All puddings are nice”.
2. “This dish is a pudding”.
3. “No nice things are wholesome”.

- Let

- p : “This dish is a pudding”;
- n : “This dish is nice”;
- w : “This dish is wholesome”.

Example

- ▶ Find a conclusion from these premises:

1. “All puddings are nice”.
2. “This dish is a pudding”.
3. “No nice things are wholesome”.

- ▶ Let

- ▶ p : “This dish is a pudding”;
- ▶ n : “This dish is nice”;
- ▶ w : “This dish is wholesome”.

- ▶ Statement 2 tells us p is true.

Example

- ▶ Find a conclusion from these premises:

1. “All puddings are nice”.
2. “This dish is a pudding”.
3. “No nice things are wholesome”.

- ▶ Let

- ▶ p : “This dish is a pudding”;
- ▶ n : “This dish is nice”;
- ▶ w : “This dish is wholesome”.

- ▶ Statement 2 tells us p is true.
- ▶ Statement 1 says $p \implies n$.

Example

- Find a conclusion from these premises:

1. “All puddings are nice”.
2. “This dish is a pudding”.
3. “No nice things are wholesome”.

- Let

- p : “This dish is a pudding”;
- n : “This dish is nice”;
- w : “This dish is wholesome”.

- Statement 2 tells us p is true.
- Statement 1 says $p \implies n$.
- Statement 3 says $n \implies \neg w$.

Example

- Find a conclusion from these premises:

1. “All puddings are nice”.
2. “This dish is a pudding”.
3. “No nice things are wholesome”.

- Let

- p : “This dish is a pudding”;
- n : “This dish is nice”;
- w : “This dish is wholesome”.

- Statement 2 tells us p is true.

- Statement 1 says $p \implies n$.

- Statement 3 says $n \implies \neg w$.

- Conclusion: $p \implies n \implies \neg w$, this dish is nice but not wholesome.