Proof by induction. Suppose we want to show some statement p is true the for all non-negative integers 0,1,2,... (et p(n) be a proposition involving  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \ge 0$ . (Note p(n) is not a function!) To prove a statement of the form  $\forall u (p(u))$ 1. First prove p(0) (base case). 2. Then prove  $p(n-1) \Rightarrow p(n)$  (inductive step). Form of final proof: Base case: [proof of p(o) here]
Inductive step: [proof of the p(n-1) >p(n)
here] We can prove this works using water contradiction. Thoran. Suppose i) p(0) is true. ii)  $p(n-1) \gg p(n) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0$ . Then p(n) is true for all nEZ, n>0.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that p(n) is not true for all n = Z, n > o. Then there is a smallest value 'jop, such that D(i) is false. p(j) is false. Now P(j-1) must be true, because j is the smallest false value. But  $p(j-1) \Rightarrow p(j)$  by ii) This is a contradiction. Theorem.  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . e.g. n=2  $\sum_{i=1}^{2} i = 1 + 2 = 3$   $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (n+1) = \frac{1}{2} \times 2 \times 3 = 3$ Proof: Base case: (et n=1 Then = 1 Inductive step: We want to show that if the statement is the for n=k-1, then it must be the for n=K. Assume  $\sum_{i=1}^{K-1} i = \frac{1}{2}(K-1)(K-1+1) = \frac{1}{2}K(K-1)$ .

Now congider n=KWe wish to show  $\sum_{i=1}^{K} i = \frac{1}{2} k(K+1)$ . We know  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} i = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i + k$ , by the definition of summation. We assumed  $Z_i = \frac{1}{2}k(k-1)$ , so  $= k\left(\frac{1}{2}(k-1)+1\right)$ = K(zk-z+1) = K ( 2 K + 2) = 12 K (K+1), as required. We showed n=k-1 => n=k  $\sum_{i=1}^{K-1} i = \frac{1}{2}k(K-1) \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{K} i = \frac{1}{2}k(K+1)$ and we showed n=1 is the.  $N=1 \Rightarrow N=2$ 50 n=1=) n=3  $N=3 \implies N=4 \dots$ Note ve assumed n=k-1 was true, but did not assume n=K is true.

Theorem. 6°-1 is divisble by 5 thEZ, N/O. Prost. Base case: let n=0. Then the statement is true since  $6^{\circ}-1=0=5\times0$ . Indictive step: ictive step: Assume 6 -1 is divisble by 5. Then  $6^{k}-1=6(6^{k-1})-1$ = 6 (5 m+1) -1 (inductive hypothesis) Since 6K-1-1 is disisble by 5, we can write 6 K-1-1= 5 m for some m∈ 1. 6(5m+1)-1=30m+6-1= 30 m + 5 = 5 (6 m + 1), Which is divisible by 5. 

Theorem. Every simple graph with not vertices has two vertices of the same degree. First we will prove le pigeonhole principle. Lemma. It we have nEIN elements and wish to distribute these among mEM sets with N>m, then one of the m sets must contain more than one element. n7m 1 1 D ... 1 m Proof. We will prove this by induction on the number of sets. Base case: Consider 2 elements in 1 set. This set contains more than one element. Inductive step: Assume that it we have ; elements to distribute in k-1 sets, with j>k-1, then one of the k-1 sets contains more than one element. Now consider k sets and (>k elements. There are 3 cases for what is in the first set: 1. More than one element. Then this is a set which contains more than one element. 2. On a element. Now there are 1-1 >K-1 elements to fit into the remaining K-1 sets.

By the inductive hypothesis, one of these contains, more how element. 3. No elements. Now there are loked elements to lit into K-1 sets, so by the inductive hypothesis, one must contain more than one elements. element. In each case, we had a set with more than one element, as veguired. Now we are ready to prove our theorem. Front. Let G be an arbitrary simple graph with ny 1 vertices. 1. G has no connected components. then every vettex has degree o, so we have two vetices with the same degree. 2. G has at loast one connected component, then any given vertex to can connect to at most n-1 other vertices. Put all vertices of degree 1 into a set, all vertices of degree 2 into a set, all vertices of degree n-1 into a set.

By the pigeonhole principle, there must be a set with at least 2 vertices, hance we have a pair of vertices with same degree.

