

# Boolean algebra

Peter Rowlett

Boolean algebra uses the logic connectives such as  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  and  $\neg$  to describe logical operations involving the value true and false. We represent truth by 1 and falsehood by 0. For example, if  $p$  is the proposition “some pigs can fly” we would say  $p = 0$ .

Boolean algebra follows some basic laws you may be familiar with from other algebras. There are some other laws that are less familiar.

<b>Commutative law</b>	$p \vee q = q \vee p$	$p \wedge q = q \wedge p$
<b>Associative law</b>	$(p \vee q) \vee r = p \vee (q \vee r)$	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r = p \wedge (q \wedge r)$
<b>Identity</b>	$p \vee 0 = p$	$p \wedge 1 = p$
<b>Distributive law</b>	$p \wedge (q \vee r) = (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	$p \vee (q \wedge r) = (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
<b>Idempotent law</b>	$p \wedge p = p$	$p \vee p = p$
<b>Negation</b>	$\neg 1 = 0$	$\neg 0 = 1$
<b>Double negation</b>	$\neg \neg p = p$	
<b>Tautology</b>	$p \vee \neg p = 1$	
<b>Contradiction</b>	$p \wedge \neg p = 0$	
<b>Annihilation</b>	$p \wedge 0 = 0$	$p \vee 1 = 1$
<b>Absorption</b>	$p \wedge (p \vee q) = p$	$p \vee (p \wedge q) = p$
<b>De Morgan's Laws</b>	$\neg(p \wedge q) = \neg p \vee \neg q$	$\neg(p \vee q) = \neg p \wedge \neg q$

## Example

Find a simpler formula equivalent to  $\neg(p \vee \neg q)$ .

Solution:

(Recall that  $\iff$  is “if and only if” and we are using it here instead of writing “is equivalent to”.)

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(p \vee \neg q) \\ \iff & \neg p \wedge \neg \neg q && \text{(De Morgan's Laws),} \\ \iff & \neg p \wedge q && \text{(double negation).} \end{aligned}$$

We can check this by making a truth table.

$p$	$q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$p \vee \neg q$	$\neg(p \vee \neg q)$	$\neg p \wedge q$
1	1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	0	0

Since the last two columns are identical, we have confirmed that  $\neg(p \vee \neg q) = \neg p \wedge q$ .

Typically, we just apply the rules of Boolean algebra instead of using truth tables, though checking your answer via a second method isn't a bad idea.

## Example

Find a simpler formula equivalent to  $\neg(q \wedge \neg p) \vee p$ .

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg(q \wedge \neg p) \vee p \\ \iff & (\neg q \vee \neg \neg p) \vee p && \text{(De Morgan's Laws),} \\ \iff & (\neg q \vee p) \vee p && \text{(double negation),} \\ \iff & \neg q \vee (p \vee p) && \text{(associative law),} \\ \iff & \neg q \vee p && \text{(idempotent law).} \end{aligned}$$

So  $\neg(q \wedge \neg p) \vee p = \neg q \vee p$ . Again, we could check this via a truth table.