

Top 5 papers in RAG posted in November 2024

Advanced System Integration: Analyzing OpenAPI Chunking for Retrieval-Augmented Generation

[Link to paper](#)

Provide a comprehensive summary of the paper from the info that you have

The paper titled "Advanced System Integration: Analyzing OpenAPI Chunking for Retrieval-Augmented Generation" explores the integration of multiple subsystems in dynamic environments using OpenAPI descriptions. The study focuses on the challenges of preprocessing API documentation to fit within the input token limitations of Large Language Models (LLMs) while maintaining relevant information. The authors propose the use of Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) for endpoint discovery, employing various chunking strategies to optimize the retrieval process.

The paper introduces two main approaches: the OpenAPI RAG and the Discovery Agent. The OpenAPI RAG applies RAG for endpoint discovery using seven chunking strategies, validated through the RestBench benchmark. The Discovery Agent further enhances the process by breaking down queries into smaller tasks, improving precision and F1 scores while reducing recall. The study demonstrates that LLM-based and format-specific preprocessing methods outperform naive chunking strategies, and the use of an agent improves overall performance.

The research highlights the effectiveness of endpoint split-based chunking strategies and the need for further research to enhance retrieval performance. The paper provides open-source prototypes and results, emphasizing the potential of automated service composition using LLMs for integrating Information Systems.

what specific problem does this paper solve?

The paper titled "Advanced System Integration: Analyzing OpenAPI Chunking for Retrieval-Augmented Generation" addresses the problem of improving preprocessing methods for retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) by using LLM-based and format-specific approaches. It specifically focuses on how to optimally preprocess, or chunk, OpenAPIs for RAG endpoint discovery to reduce input token length while preserving relevant information. The paper also proposes the use of a Discovery Agent to further enhance performance metrics such as token count, precision, and F1 score by splitting tasks into finer subtasks and retrieving specification details on demand.

how does the paper solve this problem?

The paper titled "Advanced System Integration: Analyzing OpenAPI Chunking for Retrieval-Augmented Generation" addresses the problem by implementing an OpenAPI RAG (Retrieval-Augmented Generation) system that uses various chunking strategies to manage the integration of multiple subsystems. The system applies token-based and LLM-based chunking strategies to split service descriptions into manageable parts, such as endpoints, to fit within the input token limitations of Large Language Models (LLMs). The paper evaluates these strategies based on retrieval quality using the RestBench benchmark. The LLM-based strategies include generating summaries and queries for each OpenAPI endpoint to create embeddings, while the chunk content remains the original endpoint information. The Discovery Agent further enhances the system by breaking down queries into smaller tasks, retrieving relevant chunks, and improving precision and F1 scores, although it may reduce recall.

what are the next steps or future work suggested in this paper?

The paper suggests several next steps or future work, including:

1. Further research to improve retrieval performance and address the decline in recall due to processing through the LLM (Large Language Model).
2. Evaluation in larger real-world scenarios remains open for future research.
3. Exploring the automatic generation of service documentation using an LLM.

4. Creating a custom chunking strategy for specific kinds of service documentation.
5. Further research is necessary to retrieve all requisite endpoints.

ChatGPT Inaccuracy Mitigation during Technical Report Understanding: Are We There Yet?

[Link to paper](#)

Provide a comprehensive summary of the paper from the info that you have

The paper titled "ChatGPT Inaccuracy Mitigation during Technical Report Understanding: Are We There Yet?" investigates the challenges and solutions related to the inaccuracies of ChatGPT when processing technical reports, specifically software bug reports. These reports often contain a mix of descriptive text, technical terminology, and code snippets, which can be complex for AI models to interpret accurately. The study identifies two main limitations of ChatGPT: its difficulty in understanding complex technical content like stack traces and its challenges in integrating context from technical terms and texts.

To address these issues, the authors developed CHIME (ChatGPT Inaccuracy Mitigation Engine), which preprocesses technical reports and guides the query validation process in ChatGPT. CHIME uses context-free grammar to parse stack traces and applies metamorphic testing and query transformation to verify and correct ChatGPT's responses. The study found that CHIME improved the accuracy of ChatGPT's responses by 30.3% over the baseline. The paper highlights the importance of preprocessing and guided prompting to mitigate hallucinations and improve the reliability of AI models in technical domains.

what specific problem does this paper solve?

The paper "ChatGPT Inaccuracy Mitigation during Technical Report Understanding: Are We There Yet?" addresses the problem of inaccuracies, specifically hallucinations, in ChatGPT's responses when processing technical reports. The paper identifies two main limitations of ChatGPT: 1) difficulty in understanding complex technical content, such as code snippets and stack traces, and 2) challenges in integrating contexts denoted by technical terms. To solve these issues, the paper introduces CHIME (ChatGPT Inaccuracy Mitigation Engine), which preprocesses technical reports and guides the query validation process to improve the accuracy of ChatGPT's responses. CHIME uses context-free grammar to parse stack traces and applies metamorphic testing and query

transformation to verify and correct ChatGPT's outputs, resulting in a 30.3% improvement in accuracy over standard ChatGPT responses.

how does the paper solve this problem?

The paper "ChatGPT Inaccuracy Mitigation during Technical Report Understanding: Are We There Yet?" addresses the problem of inaccuracies in ChatGPT's understanding of technical reports by introducing CHIME (ChatGPT Inaccuracy Mitigation Engine). CHIME uses context-free grammar (CFG) to parse stack traces in technical reports and applies metamorphic testing and query transformation to verify and correct ChatGPT responses. The approach involves preprocessing technical reports to store relevant information as metadata and guiding the query validation process with iterative prompting. This method shows a 30.3% improvement in correction over standard ChatGPT responses.

what are the next steps or future work suggested in this paper?

In the future, the paper suggests focusing on expanding CHIME's grasp of more technical terminologies and other documents. This includes improving the issue preprocessor module to separate code and textual contents, adapting the CFG to handle code snippets/traces/crash dumps, and using a static partial program analyzer to handle code examples in API documentation. The expectation is that other modules in CHIME can be used with minimal changes.

Qwen2.5-32B: Leveraging Self-Consistent Tool-Integrated Reasoning for Bengali Mathematical Olympiad Problem Solving

[Link to paper](#)

Provide a comprehensive summary of the paper from the info that you have

The paper titled "Qwen2.5-32B: Leveraging Self-Consistent Tool-Integrated Reasoning for Bengali Mathematical Olympiad Problem Solving" presents an innovative approach to solving mathematical problems in Bengali, specifically developed for the DL Sprint 3.0 - BUET CSE Fest 2024 Competition. The methodology utilizes advanced deep learning models from the Qwen 2.5 series, with enhancements through prompt engineering, model quantization, and Tool Integrated Reasoning (TIR) to manage complex calculations. The research involved exploring various model architectures, including fine-tuned Mistral and quantized Qwen models, and refining them through translation techniques, Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), and custom dataset curation. Manual hyperparameter tuning was employed to optimize parameters like temperature and top-p, improving model adaptability and response accuracy.

The Qwen 2.5-32B model, combined with techniques such as self-consistency and TIR, showed significant improvements over baseline models, demonstrating its potential in handling complex reasoning tasks with minimal Bengali-specific data. However, challenges such as translation dependencies, limited Bengali datasets, and memory constraints for large models were noted, impacting model performance and adaptability. The paper highlights the sensitivity of model outputs to prompt phrasing, emphasizing the need for refined prompt engineering.

The research also involved translating Bengali mathematical questions into English to enhance processing, with the Qwen-32B-Instruct model showing superior performance in translation and problem-solving compared to smaller models. Despite the achievements, the paper acknowledges the limitations due to Kaggle's limited GPU memory, which restricted fine-tuning of larger models like Qwen 2.5-32B.

what specific problem does this paper solve?

The paper "Qwen2.5-32B: Leveraging Self-Consistent Tool-Integrated Reasoning for Bengali Mathematical Olympiad Problem Solving" addresses the specific problem of solving mathematical problems in Bengali, particularly those akin to the Bengali Math Olympiad. It tackles the challenge of mathematical reasoning in low-resource languages like Bengali by leveraging the Qwen 2.5 series models, optimizing through prompt engineering, translation, and Tool Integrated Reasoning (TIR). The approach includes translating Bengali mathematical questions into English to improve processing and using advanced NLP techniques to enhance problem-solving capabilities in this context.

how does the paper solve this problem?

The paper "Qwen2.5-32B: Leveraging Self-Consistent Tool-Integrated Reasoning for Bengali Mathematical Olympiad Problem Solving" addresses the problem by utilizing the Qwen 2.5 series models, particularly the Qwen-32B-Instruct model, to solve mathematical problems in Bengali. The approach involves several key techniques:

1. **Translation**: Bengali mathematical questions are translated into English using the Qwen-32B-Instruct model to improve processing and understanding, leveraging the model's richer pre-trained capabilities.
2. **Prompt Engineering**: The paper employs prompt tuning to optimize model performance, experimenting with various reasoning techniques such as Chain of Thought (COT), Tool Integrated Reasoning (TIR), and self-consistent versions of these techniques. Prompts are designed to guide the model through step-by-step reasoning and to perform calculations using Python tools for complex problems.
3. **Tool Integrated Reasoning (TIR)**: This involves adapting prompts to instruct the model to use external tools for calculations, enhancing its ability to handle complex mathematical problems.

4. ****Self-Consistency****: The model generates multiple reasoning paths and selects the most consistent solution, improving accuracy and robustness.

5. ****Model Quantization and Hyperparameter Tuning****: These techniques are used to optimize the model's adaptability and response accuracy, with manual tuning of parameters like temperature and top-p.

6. ****Removal of RAG****: The paper found that removing Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) improved scores, indicating that the inherent capabilities of the larger Qwen models were sufficient without additional retrieved context.

These methods demonstrate the efficacy of advanced NLP techniques in mathematical problem-solving, particularly for low-resource languages like Bengali, despite challenges such as translation dependencies and limited datasets.

what are the next steps or future work suggested in this paper?

The next steps or future work suggested in the paper include increasing the availability and diversity of Bengali mathematical datasets, domain-specific fine-tuning of the Qwen 2.5-32B model on Bengali math problems, optimizing prompt engineering for mathematical reasoning tasks in low-resource languages, and exploring lightweight models tailored for Bengali to balance memory constraints and inference speed.

Enhancing Cluster Resilience: LLM-agent Based Autonomous Intelligent Cluster Diagnosis System and Evaluation Framework

[Link to paper](#)

Provide a comprehensive summary of the paper from the info that you have

The paper titled "Enhancing Cluster Resilience: LLM-agent Based Autonomous Intelligent Cluster Diagnosis System and Evaluation Framework" discusses the development of an autonomous intelligent system using Large Language Models (LLMs) for diagnosing and troubleshooting AI clusters. The system leverages recent advancements in LLMs, Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), and Diagram of Thought (DoT) technologies, integrating them with self-play methodologies to create an LLM-agent capable of autonomously diagnosing and resolving cluster issues. Key innovations include a knowledge base tailored for cluster diagnostics, enhanced LLM algorithms, and practical deployment strategies for agents. The paper also introduces a benchmark with 150 manually crafted questions to evaluate the LLM-agent's capabilities, demonstrating its efficiency in identifying faults faster than human experts. The research aims to enhance cluster availability by detecting and rectifying performance issues more efficiently than traditional methods, although it acknowledges the need for further advancements in communication abilities and quantitative analysis.

what specific problem does this paper solve?

The paper titled "Enhancing Cluster Resilience: LLM-agent Based Autonomous Intelligent Cluster Diagnosis System and Evaluation Framework" addresses the problem of cluster troubleshooting by proposing a system that utilizes LLM-agents to autonomously and intelligently diagnose and resolve issues within AI clusters. This system enhances cluster resilience by preemptively addressing potential faults, thereby freeing up engineering resources to focus on more complex tasks. It is capable of detecting task failures, identifying points of failure, clarifying reasons for failure, and repairing corresponding faults, ensuring the overall availability of the AI cluster. The system demonstrates efficiency and accuracy in diagnosing and rectifying performance issues more effectively than traditional methods.

how does the paper solve this problem?

The paper "Enhancing Cluster Resilience: LLM-agent Based Autonomous Intelligent Cluster Diagnosis System and Evaluation Framework" addresses the problem by developing an LLM-agent system that autonomously diagnoses and resolves issues within AI clusters. This system leverages recent advancements in Large Language Models (LLMs) and related technologies such as Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) and Diagram of Thought (DoT). The LLM-agent can autonomously execute remediation measures, freeing up engineering resources for more complex tasks. It accurately identifies faults, such as a GPU with low core frequency, before they are detected by engineers, and automatically completes repairs, enhancing the overall availability of the cluster. The system includes a specialized knowledge base for cluster diagnostics, enhanced LLM algorithms, practical deployment strategies, and a benchmark for evaluating LLM capabilities in this domain. Through extensive experimentation, the system has demonstrated superiority in detecting and rectifying performance issues more efficiently and accurately than traditional methods.

what are the next steps or future work suggested in this paper?

The paper suggests that future work will focus on continuously monitoring the development of smaller models and exploring the possibility of teaching the capabilities of the LLM-agent to these smaller models in the form of DoT when appropriate.

ML-Promise: A Multilingual Dataset for Corporate Promise Verification

[Link to paper](#)

Provide a comprehensive summary of the paper from the info that you have

The paper titled "ML-Promise: A Multilingual Dataset for Corporate Promise Verification" introduces the concept of Promise Verification, a novel task aimed at evaluating the credibility and fulfillment of promises made by corporations, particularly in the context of ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) reports. The authors propose the first multilingual dataset, ML-Promise, which includes languages such as English, French, Chinese, Japanese, and Korean, to facilitate in-depth verification of corporate promises. This dataset addresses challenges like greenwashing by evaluating corporate environmental and social promises.

The study highlights that around 35-40% of the evidence is unclear in supporting the associated promises in four out of five languages, emphasizing the necessity of the proposed task. Additionally, about 4% of instances contain potentially misleading evidence in the English and Japanese datasets. The authors explore textual and image-based baselines, with promising results from Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) approaches, although RAG does not consistently outperform baseline models across all languages and tasks.

The paper also discusses the limitations of the dataset, noting its focus on specific regions and the need for future studies to expand its scope to include more regions and languages. The authors plan to release their annotations under the CCBY-NC-SA 4.0 license, hoping their work will contribute to greater accountability in corporate and public disclosures.

what specific problem does this paper solve?

The paper "ML-Promise: A Multilingual Dataset for Corporate Promise Verification" addresses the problem of evaluating the credibility and fulfillment of promises made by corporations, particularly in the context of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reports. It introduces the concept of Promise Verification and proposes the first multilingual dataset, ML-Promise, to facilitate the verification of corporate promises and to address challenges such as greenwashing. The dataset

includes languages such as English, French, Chinese, Japanese, and Korean, and focuses on ESG reports from corporations in the U.K., France, Taiwan, Japan, and Korea.

how does the paper solve this problem?

The paper "ML-Promise: A Multilingual Dataset for Corporate Promise Verification" addresses the problem of verifying corporate promises, particularly in the context of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) reports, by introducing a novel task called Promise Verification. This involves evaluating the credibility and fulfillment of promises made by corporations. The paper proposes the first multilingual dataset, ML-Promise, which includes languages such as English, French, Chinese, Japanese, and Korean, to facilitate in-depth verification of these promises. The dataset is designed to address challenges like greenwashing by evaluating corporate promises in ESG reports from companies in the UK, France, Taiwan, Japan, and Korea. The task involves several steps: Promise Identification, Supporting Evidence, and Clarity of the Promise-Evidence Pair. The study also explores textual and image-based baselines, with promising results from retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) approaches, although RAG does not consistently outperform baseline models across all languages and tasks, indicating a need for further optimization.

what are the next steps or future work suggested in this paper?

Future studies can follow the design of the paper to expand the dataset to include more regions and languages, which could enhance the robustness and applicability of the proposed methods.