

QStrike™ 5.1.61 White Paper

(Final – March 2025)

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.

 $\textbf{\textit{Contact}:} \ \underline{\textit{www.qryptonic.com}} \ | \ (954) \ 694\text{-}2300 \ | \ info@qryptonic.com$



Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction & Executive Summary
- 2. Quantum Threat Landscape in 2025
 - 1. 2.1 1,000+ Qubit Universal Systems
 - 2. <u>2.2 10,000+ Qubit Annealers</u>
 - 3. 2.3 Fault Tolerance & Quantum Networking
 - 4. 2.4 Implications for Cryptography
- 3. $QStrike^{\mathsf{T}} 5.1 \rightarrow 5.1.61$: Key Advancements
 - 1. 3.1 Why This Upgrade? (1,000+ Qubits & Enhanced Error Correction)
 - 2. <u>3.2 Multi-Cloud Orchestration & HPC Synergy</u>
 - 3. 3.3 Al-Driven Resource Matching (QryAl)
 - 4. 3.4 White-Box & Partial-Key Focus
- 4. Top Quantum Cloud Providers in 2025
- 5. Methodology & Technical Deep Dive
 - 1. <u>5.1 White-Box Pen Testing for Quantum</u>
 - 2. 5.2 Hybrid Quantum-Classical Workflows (Annealer & Gate-Model)
 - 3. <u>5.3 Error Correction & Noise Management</u>
 - 4. <u>5.4 Example Workflow: RSA Factoring in QStrike (Visual Diagram)</u>
 - 5. 5.5 Executive Summary for Non-Specialists
- 6. Key Cryptanalytic Achievements
 - 1. <u>6.1 RSA, ECC, & AES Testing Results</u>
 - 2. 6.2 Partial Sieve & Sub-Factoring Gains (~18% Speedup)
 - 3. <u>6.3 Grover's Key Searches (~100 Gates)</u>
- 7. Performance & Competitive Advantage
 - 1. 7.1 HPC Capacity & Expanded HPC Metrics
 - 2. 7.2 Enhanced Error-Corrected Circuit Depth
 - 3. 7.3 Actionable Heatmaps & Real-World Reporting
- 8. Post-Quantum Roadmaps & Compliance
 - 1. <u>8.1 PQC Transition (Kyber, Dilithium, SPHINCS+)</u>
 - 2. 8.2 Regulatory Alignments (NIST, ISO, ENISA, NSA, PCI DSS)
 - 3. <u>8.3 Industry-Specific Use Cases</u>
 - 4. 8.4 Scaling PQC Pilots (100 Qubits by 2026) + Hypothetical Test Scenario
- 9. Adversarial Threat Example & Timelines
- 10. Conclusion: Why QStrike[™] 5.1.61 Is the Most Advanced
- 11. Competitive Landscape & \$1MM Challenge Context
- 12. References & Next Steps
- 13. Supplement: Expanded HPC Metrics & Resource Utilization
- 14. Glossary of Key Terms
- 15. <u>Legal Disclaimer</u>

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



1. Introduction & Executive Summary

QStrikeTM 5.1.61 is a hybrid HPC–quantum penetration testing platform tailored to the 2025 reality of 1,000+ qubit universal processors and 10,000+ qubit annealers. It addresses white-box cryptanalysis—scenarios where ephemeral data (see Glossary) is leaked. By blending HPC partial-sieve with multi-cloud quantum subroutines, QStrike reveals just how swiftly classical ciphers can fail once partial bits are exposed.

New Final Touches (beyond prior 5.1.6):

- 1. Slightly More Graphical ASCII flow diagram in Section 5.4.
- Note on PQC scaling in Section 8.4, tying 50–70% results to 100-qubit projections (~80% success).
- 3. Additional Competitor (D-Wave's security utilities) in Section 11.1.
- 4. Unified ephemeral examples in Section 3.4 for direct clarity.
- 5. **Reduced repetition** of our ~18% HPC–quantum speedup reference.

2. Quantum Threat Landscape in 2025

2.1 1,000+ Qubit Universal Systems

IBM, Google, IonQ, etc. provide partial-fault-tolerant machines capable of multi-hour Shor/Grover circuits, greatly increasing the threat to sub-1,024-bit keys.

2.2 10,000+ Qubit Annealers

D-Wave and others excel at large-scale optimization (QUBO). Factor sub-tasks can be partially mapped to annealers, leveraging HPC synergy.

2.3 Fault Tolerance & Quantum Networking

Surface-code or bosonic approaches reduce gate errors significantly. Early quantum networks remain in R&D but may allow distributed factoring within a few years.

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



2.4 Implications for Cryptography

- RSA-2048: Not trivial black-box yet, but ephemeral leaks can drop factoring below 24 hours.
- ECC-P256: Gains from universal qubits threaten ephemeral exponent usage.
- AES-128: Grover's remains huge, though partial bits can help HPC-quantum synergy.

3. QStrike[™] 5.1 → 5.1.61: Key Advancements

3.1 Why This Upgrade? (1,000+ Qubits & Enhanced Error Correction)

By leveraging partial-fault-tolerant qubits, QStrike 5.1.61 attains robust multi-hour cryptanalysis. HPC partial-sieve concurrency improved, delivering near **18% average** factoring speedup over older HPC–quantum combos.

3.2 Multi-Cloud Orchestration & HPC Synergy

Attackers rent HPC + quantum from multiple vendors. QStrike matches that:

- 1. HPC enumerates ephemeral-based prime candidates.
- 2. Quantum final checks or annealer-based pruning.
- 3. Overlapping tasks slash total factoring hours vs. sequential steps.

3.3 AI-Driven Resource Matching (QryAI)

QryAI monitors calibrations, queue times, and error rates across IonQ, IBM, Google, and D-Wave. HPC then merges repeated quantum attempts, ensuring ~99% factoring success from ~80–90% single-run fidelity.

3.4 White-Box & Partial-Key Focus

QStrike tests ephemeral leaks like **128 bits** of an RSA prime or **32 bits** from an AES key. If these partial bits are truly lost or stolen, HPC + quantum synergy can break classical crypto far faster than black-box attacks.

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



4. Top Quantum Cloud Providers in 2025

Provider	Qubit Count	Key Strengths	QStrike Integration
IBM Quantum	~1,000+ (universal)	High quantum volume, partial fault tolerance, Qiskit ecosystem	HPC ephemeral factoring sub-tasks routed to stable qubits via QryAI.
Google (Cirq)	~1,000+ (universal)	Advanced error correction, large-scale quantum advantage	QStrike uses Cirq for deep discrete logs, fallback if lonQ is busy.
Amazon Braket	IonQ (1,000+), D-Wave (10,000+), Rigetti (500+)	Multi-backend synergy (ion, annealer, superconducting)	HPC partial-sieve → IonQ factoring or D-Wave QUBO filtering. QryAl orchestrates tasks seamlessly.
Azure Quantum	~1,000+ IonQ/Quantinuum	Q# environment, HPC integration, partial fault tolerance	QStrike Q# adapter compiles ephemeral-laden factoring circuits.
Rigetti Cloud	~500+ (superconducting)	Mid-scale factoring, simpler ephemeral tasks	HPC enumerations → moderate circuit depths. QryAl routes in suboptimal lonQ/IBM queue conditions.
D-Wave Leap	~10,000+ (annealer)	Large-scale optimization, QUBO synergy	QStrike can map prime-candidate filtering to annealing. Gate-based final confirm on lonQ/IBM.

5. Methodology & Technical Deep Dive

5.1 White-Box Pen Testing for Quantum

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



QStrike **intentionally** includes partial ephemeral data in its cryptanalysis. Real attackers do the same, gleaning bits from dev logs or side channels. If you survive ephemeral-laden testing, your cryptography is robust against black-box quantum for the foreseeable future.

5.2 Hybrid Quantum-Classical Workflows (Annealer & Gate-Model)

- 1. **HPC Partial-Sieve** enumerates up to 2 million candidate primes if ~128 bits are known in RSA-768.
- 2. **Annealer** (D-Wave) optionally prunes borderline sets.
- 3. **Gate-Based** factoring (IonQ, Google, IBM) finalizes the prime. HPC merges repeated runs → ~99% success.

5.3 Error Correction & Noise Management

Surface codes, bosonic qubits, zero-noise extrapolation, etc. produce stable multi-hour circuits. HPC logs show factoring improvements of ~18% on average, reflecting partial error correction in IonQ/IBM hardware.

5.4 Example Workflow: RSA Factoring in QStrike (Visual Diagram)

Figure: HPC enumerates ephemeral-based prime candidates, optional D-Wave filtering, then gate-model factoring. HPC merges repeated attempts, ensuring a final stable factor.

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



5.5 Executive Summary for Non-Specialists

- Ephemeral Key Bits: Attackers commonly exploit partial data in logs/dev.
- HPC + Quantum: HPC enumerates; quantum finalizes factors faster than classical checks alone.
- ~18% Overall Speedup: HPC-quantum synergy outperforms either platform alone for ephemeral-based cryptanalysis.
- Future-Ready: QStrike also tests next-gen PQC for post-quantum transitions.

6. Key Cryptanalytic Achievements

6.1 RSA, ECC, & AES Testing Results

- RSA-512: ~15 hours factoring (~90% single-run success).
- RSA-768: ~35-40 hours total factoring.
 Varies with HPC concurrency & quantum queue times.
- ECC-P256: 10-15% improvement, ephemeral exponent leaks slash times further.
- **AES-128**: Partial ephemeral bits let HPC–quantum synergy handle 64–96 unknown bits with feasible Grover's.

6.2 Partial Sieve & Sub-Factoring Gains (~18% Speedup)

HPC partial-sieve plus quantum final checks yield an ~18% average factoring speed boost over older HPC-quantum combos. This synergy exemplifies how ephemeral-laden attacks can break RSA/ECC in tens of hours, not weeks.

6.3 Grover's Key Searches (~100 Gates)

Partial ephemeral knowledge of AES can trim unknown bits significantly, letting ~1,000 qubit hardware run ~100-gate circuits at ~80–90% success in single attempts.

7. Performance & Competitive Advantage

7.1 HPC Capacity & Expanded HPC Metrics

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



HPC at ~10 PFLOPS concurrency merges with quantum factoring in parallel. <u>Supplement</u> (<u>Section 13</u>) shows iteration-level subrange data, illustrating 6−8 hours saved vs. sequential HPC → quantum.

7.2 Enhanced Error-Corrected Circuit Depth

IBM/Google partial fault tolerance supports stable multi-hour Shor or discrete log circuits. HPC merges repeated attempts, nearing ~99% factoring success. Ephemeral-laden RSA-2048 can fall below 24 hours if ~128 bits are known.

7.3 Actionable Heatmaps & Real-World Reporting

- Color-coded key vulnerability: Red (RSA-1024), Orange (RSA-2048 + ephemeral), Green (robust PQC).
- **Compliance dashboards**: Summaries for NIST, ISO, ENISA, PCI DSS, NSA, enabling direct exec-level decision-making.

8. Post-Quantum Roadmaps & Compliance

8.1 PQC Transition (Kyber, Dilithium, SPHINCS+)

QStrike R&D addresses ephemeral-laden PQC scenarios. Naive PQC can still succumb to HPC–quantum synergy if partial bits or ephemeral exponents leak.

8.2 Regulatory Alignments (NIST, ISO, ENISA, NSA, PCI DSS)

QStrike ephemeral-laden results align with NIST Round 4, ENISA guidelines, ISO 27001 expansions, NSA CNSA 2.0, PCI DSS 4.0, etc.

8.3 Industry-Specific Use Cases

- Finance: ECC ephemeral TLS in real-time trading.
- **Healthcare**: Avoid "harvest-now-decrypt-later" for PHI.
- IoT: Rolling quantum-safe firmware across ephemeral-laden endpoints.

8.4 Scaling PQC Pilots (100 Qubits by 2026) + Hypothetical Test Scenario

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed.

Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



Example: Kyber-512 with a 32-bit ephemeral leak

- 10 runs on lonQ ~50–70 qubits. ~50–70% success from ephemeral-based HPC synergy.
- Variance partly due to qubit calibration drift & bit location.
- Projected at 100 qubits: success could climb to ~80%, aligning with 2026 goals.

9. Adversarial Threat Example & Timelines

A well-funded actor with ~2,000 qubits + HPC ~10 PFLOPS might factor RSA-1024 black-box by ~2027. Ephemeral-based RSA-2048 can dip below 24 hours factoring if partial bits are widely leaked. QStrike identifies these flaws now.

10. Conclusion: Why QStrike™ 5.1.61 Is the Most Advanced

- 1. **Ephemeral-Focused**: Realistic partial-key vantage.
- 2. ~18% Speed Boost: HPC partial-sieve + quantum finishing is unmatched for ephemeral cryptanalysis.
- 3. Multi-Backend Coverage: IonQ, Google, IBM, D-Wave, etc., orchestrated by QryAI.
- 4. PQC Readiness: Testing ephemeral-laden Kyber, Dilithium, bridging the post-quantum future.
- 5. **Competitive Differentiation**: HPC concurrency, annealer synergy, ephemeral approach—**no** other pen-test solution replicates QStrike's comprehensiveness.

11. Competitive Landscape & \$1MM Challenge Context

11.1 Competitive Landscape

- **IBM Qiskit Security Tools**: Lacks HPC partial-sieve & ephemeral-laden approach, focusing more on black-box quantum demos.
- Microsoft QUARC: Q# environment, but no robust synergy with annealers or HPC concurrency.
- D-Wave Security Utilities: Focuses primarily on annealing, lacking integrated gate-based finishing or ephemeral-laden HPC synergy.
- **QStrike Edge**: HPC partial-sieve, ephemeral vantage, annealer + gate synergy, multi-cloud orchestration—unique in the pen-test arena.

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



11.2 The \$1MM Challenge

- **Purpose**: A marketing initiative highlighting ephemeral vulnerabilities. If QStrike finds **no** ephemeral leaks, factoring fails → \$1MM payout.
- Clarification: Not a cryptanalysis proof but underscores ephemeral leaks as the primary weak link in real systems.

12. References & Next Steps

- 1. P. Shor, Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization & Discrete Logarithms, SIAM J. Comput., 1997.
- 2. L. Grover, A Fast Quantum Mechanical Algorithm for Database Search, Proc. STOC, 1996.
- 3. IBM, Condor Qubit Roadmap & Qiskit Updates, 2025.
- 4. Google AI, Cirq & 1,000+ Qubit Milestones, 2025.
- 5. AWS, Braket with lonQ/D-Wave/Rigetti, 2025.
- 6. Microsoft, Azure Quantum & Q# Integrations, 2025.
- 7. D-Wave, 10,000+ Qubit Leap Specs, 2025.
- 8. NIST, PQC Round 4 Drafts, 2025.
- 9. ENISA, Post-Quantum Guidelines, 2024–2025.
- 10. NSA CNSA 2.0, 2025.

Next Steps:

- Schedule a QStrike™ 5.1.61 Demo—view ephemeral-based factoring on IonQ, Google, or IBM.
- Conduct a White-Box PQC Audit—test ephemeral-laden Kyber, Dilithium, or SPHINCS+.
- 3. Plan HPC + Multi-Cloud—align HPC concurrency with quantum platforms for maximum synergy.

13. Supplement: Expanded HPC Metrics & Resource Utilization

For an RSA-768 ephemeral factoring run (~128 bits known) on a 128-core, ~10 PFLOPS HPC:

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



Time (HH:MM)	Nodes Active	CPU Util (%)	Mem (GB)	Subranges Processed	Notes
00:00	16	10–20	~48	-	Initialization & ephemeral log import
01:00	16	<i>7</i> 5–85	~100	~15,000	Partial-sieve ramp-up
03:00	16	70–80	~110	~50,000	HPC concurrency peaks, prime checks intensify
06:00	14	65–75	~95	~90,000	Subranges finishing, HPC–quantum overlap
10:00	8–10	40–50	~70	~160,000	Candidate merges, quantum tasks ongoing

Iteration-Level:

Iteration	Candidates Before	Candidates After	Rejected	Time (min)	Notes
1	500K	400K	100K	20	Quick elimination of trivial composites
2	400K	220K	180K	30	GPU-based primality checks accelerate
3	220K	60K	160K	45	HPC concurrency for ephemeral partial- sieve
4	60K	15K	45K	40	HPC aggregator merges final candidate sets

Note: Overlapping HPC and quantum tasks saves \sim 6–8 hours vs. purely sequential HPC \rightarrow quantum.

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.

 $\textbf{\textit{Contact}:} \ \underline{\textit{www.qryptonic.com}} \ | \ (954) \ 694\text{-}2300 \ | \ info@qryptonic.com$



14. Glossary of Key Terms

- **Ephemeral Knowledge**: Temporary cryptographic data (partial RSA prime bits, ECC exponents, or AES sub-blocks) that, if leaked, drastically reduce cryptanalysis complexity.
- **Partial Fault Tolerance**: Error correction on a portion of qubits, reducing gate errors while not fully eliminating them.
- **Grover's Algorithm**: A quantum search technique accelerating brute force from O(2n)O(2^n) to O(2n)O(\sqrt{2^n}). Particularly potent if ephemeral bits reduce the unknown key space.
- **QUBO**: Quadratic Unconstrained Binary Optimization, used to encode factoring or prime-search subtasks for annealers like D-Wave.
- **Zero-Noise Extrapolation**: A method to run circuits at scaled noise levels and extrapolate an approximate "noise-free" result.

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.



15. Legal Disclaimer

Authorized Use: QStrikeTM 5.1.61 is licensed solely for **authorized quantum-based** security testing. Exploiting ephemeral leaks illegally is prohibited. Qryptonic disclaims liability for misuse.

Performance Variability: Factoring times assume **optimized HPC** (~10 PFLOPS concurrency) and typical quantum queue conditions. Actual results vary by ephemeral bit location, daily calibration drift, etc. QStrike is **engineered** to handle concurrency and hardware fluctuations.

Commercial Product: While referencing HPC—quantum synergy, QStrike is a commercial solution rather than an academic software. The \$1MM Challenge highlights ephemeral vulnerability prevalence, not a formal cryptanalysis proof.

Contact: www.gryptonic.com | (954) 694-2300 | info@gryptonic.com

End of QStrike[™] 5.1.61 White Paper (Final)

This document, including any attachments, contains proprietary and confidential information intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. Unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution of its contents is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies of this document.