When Payouts Pay Off: Conditional Cash Transfers and Voting Behavior in Brazil 2002-2010 by Cesar Zucco Jr.

Discussion by Jenny Hamilton

PS 259

• Basic concept: money for compliance.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
 - Antecedents started in 1996.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
 - Antecedents started in 1996.
 - Eventually these became the *Bolsa Familia* Program in 2003.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
 - Antecedents started in 1996.
 - Eventually these became the *Bolsa Familia* Program in 2003.
 - BFP is well known among the public.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
 - Antecedents started in 1996.
 - Eventually these became the *Bolsa Familia* Program in 2003.
 - BFP is well known among the public.
- Subject of much research political science research.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
 - Antecedents started in 1996.
 - Eventually these became the *Bolsa Familia* Program in 2003.
 - BFP is well known among the public.
- Subject of much research political science research.
 - So what's new?

Contribution and Research Questions

• Critical advantage: party turnover in 2002

Contribution and Research Questions

- Critical advantage: party turnover in 2002
- Question 1: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?

Contribution and Research Questions

- Critical advantage: party turnover in 2002
- Question 1: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?
- **Question 2**: Do conditional cash transfers induce long-term partisan realignment?

- Main analysis
 - Unit of analysis: municipality

- Main analysis
 - Unit of analysis: municipality
 - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures

- Main analysis
 - Unit of analysis: municipality
 - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures
 - DV: incumbent vote share

- Main analysis
 - Unit of analysis: municipality
 - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures
 - DV: incumbent vote share
 - Identification strategy: selection on observables

- Main analysis
 - Unit of analysis: municipality
 - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures
 - DV: incumbent vote share
 - Identification strategy: selection on observables
- Supplementary analysis
 - SOO with zero-coverage cases

- Main analysis
 - Unit of analysis: municipality
 - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures
 - DV: incumbent vote share
 - Identification strategy: selection on observables
- Supplementary analysis
 - SOO with zero-coverage cases
 - Individual level analysis

• **Question 1**: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?

- **Question 1**: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?
 - CCTs are a boost for all presidential incumbents, not only those who initiate them.

- **Question 1**: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?
 - CCTs are a boost for all presidential incumbents, not only those who initiate them.

- Question 1: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?
 - CCTs are a boost for all presidential incumbents, not only those who initiate them.
- Question 2: Do conditional cash transfers induce long-term partisan realignment?
 - It seems unlikely no "party effects".

Discussion

Situated in the literature on retrospective voting...

Discussion

Situated in the literature on retrospective voting...

... but other research on economic voting has emphasized that retrospective voting is more sociotropic than pocket-book, at least in the American context.