# When Payouts Pay Off: Conditional Cash Transfers and Voting Behavior in Brazil 2002-2010 by Cesar Zucco Jr.

Discussion by Jenny Hamilton

PS 259

• Basic concept: money for compliance.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
  - Antecedents started in 1996.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
  - Antecedents started in 1996.
  - Eventually these became the *Bolsa Familia* Program in 2003.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
  - Antecedents started in 1996.
  - Eventually these became the *Bolsa Familia* Program in 2003.
  - BFP is well known among the public.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
  - Antecedents started in 1996.
  - Eventually these became the *Bolsa Familia* Program in 2003.
  - BFP is well known among the public.
- Subject of much political science research.

- Basic concept: money for compliance.
- History in Brazil
  - Antecedents started in 1996.
  - Eventually these became the *Bolsa Familia* Program in 2003.
  - BFP is well known among the public.
- Subject of much political science research.
  - So what's new?

### Contribution and Research Questions

• Critical advantage: party turnover in 2002

## Contribution and Research Questions

- Critical advantage: party turnover in 2002
- Question 1: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?

## Contribution and Research Questions

- Critical advantage: party turnover in 2002
- Question 1: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?
- **Question 2**: Do conditional cash transfers induce long-term partisan realignment?

- Main analysis
  - Unit of analysis: municipality

- Main analysis
  - Unit of analysis: municipality
  - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures

- Main analysis
  - Unit of analysis: municipality
  - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures
  - DV: incumbent vote share

- Main analysis
  - Unit of analysis: municipality
  - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures
  - DV: incumbent vote share
  - Identification strategy: selection on observables

- Main analysis
  - Unit of analysis: municipality
  - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures
  - DV: incumbent vote share
  - Identification strategy: selection on observables
- Supplementary analysis
  - SOO with zero-coverage cases

- Main analysis
  - Unit of analysis: municipality
  - IV: CCT scope and CCT expenditures
  - DV: incumbent vote share
  - Identification strategy: selection on observables
- Supplementary analysis
  - SOO with zero-coverage cases
  - Individual level analysis

• **Question 1**: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?

- **Question 1**: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?
  - CCTs are a boost for all presidential incumbents, not only those who initiate them.

- **Question 1**: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?
  - CCTs are a boost for all presidential incumbents, not only those who initiate them.

- Question 1: Does it help all incumbents, or just those who initiate the CCT program?
  - CCTs are a boost for all presidential incumbents, not only those who initiate them.
- Question 2: Do conditional cash transfers induce long-term partisan realignment?
  - It seems unlikely no "party effects".

#### Discussion

Situated in the literature on retrospective voting...

#### Discussion

Situated in the literature on retrospective voting...

... but other research on economic voting has emphasized that retrospective voting is more sociotropic than pocket-book, at least in the American context.