

## HW2

### 1.a)

Wireshark:

- Uses more CPU and consumes more memory compared to dumpcap and tcpdump.
- Powerful sniffer which can decode lots of protocols and has lots of filters.
- It has Graphical User Interface and packet output is interactive and screen oriented.
- Has built in protocol dissectors and many, many protocols which are fully dissected and displayed.
- Gets the packet input directly from n/w interface in libpcap format file and many other formats.
- The amount of packet drops are high compared to dumpcap and tcpdump

TCPdump:

- Less amount of CPU usage compared to wireshark.
- Protocol decoding is limited compared to wireshark.
- Does not have a graphical user interface.
- Gets the packet input directly from n/w interface in libpcap format file.
- The amount of packet drops are very less compared to dumpcap and wireshark.

Dumpcap:

- This is called by Wireshark for capture.
- This uses less CPU than Wireshark and more CPU than TCPdump.
- Does not have a graphical user interface.
- Gets the packet input directly from n/w interface in libpcap format file.
- Captures packets from a live network and writes the packets to a file. Output is in pcapng (default) or libpcap format
- The amount of packet drops are less compared to wireshark and more compared to TCPdump.

### 1.b.)

**How the above tools work?**

- Basically the capturing module is placed between the NIC driver and higher network layer protocols in the kernel.
- The capturing framework filters the packets before they come up the network stack.
- The framework compiles the high level filter specification into low level code that filters the packets at driver level.

- This kernel module is called Berkeley packet Filter(BPF)
  - The commands from the CML interface are given to the BPF which converts the high level filter specification into low level code that filters packet at driver.
  - Packets to and from the capturing machine are passed through the BPF.
  - These packets are then given to the tool, which are then decoded, displayed and dumped to disk.
- A compiler to convert higher level model to machine level model.

--Is it possible to capture both incoming and outgoing packets with this module?

-In an operating system the NIC driver is only responsible for direct hardware access (receiving and transmitting of network frames, generating interrupts etc.). If the OS wants to send a frame, it (actually a higher level driver) will create the ethernet frame and pass that to the hardware NIC driver in order to send it. Due to this architecture it is possible to intercept outgoing frames before they are sent, as the capture driver is placed 'somewhere' between the NIC driver (hardware) and the upper layer drivers (ethernet, ip, tcp, etc.).

-Systems with BPF (\*BSD, OS X): The capture mechanism (BPF) is called by the driver. So it is possible to both incoming and outgoing packets with this module.

#### BPF language:

-The BPF filter language starts from a basic predicate, which is true if the specified packet field equals the indicated value.

Predicate: field val

Field: protocol dir selector

Protocol – Either ip/tcp etc..

Dir – either src/dst

Selector – host/network/port

-A BPF language and parser to translate it and gen\_cmp() generates code to compare a packet field to a value.

-The above tools use packet capture library called libpcap and operate on interchangeable file format for packet capture called pcap.

#### Libpcap:

-The compiler system and the filtering engine is pulled out of tcpdump to create API and reusable library to build packet capture apps like Wireshark.

-This is released as libpcap. Libpcap is an architecture and optimization methodology for packet capture.

#### Pcap:

The Packet Capture library provides a high level interface to packet capture systems. All packets on the network, even those destined for other hosts, are accessible through this mechanism. It also supports saving captured packets to a ``savefile'', and reading packets from a ``savefile".

---

### **2.)**

The storage required for Wireshark capture is the highest compared to storage required by the TCPdump and Dumpcap. This is because, by default the Wireshark captures the entire content of the packet as it is received across the wire. The average Packet Size in my capture was 539 bytes.

The storage required by TCPdump capture is highest compared to Dumpcap. The Avg packet size of TCPdump capture is 369 bytes while the Avg packet size of the Dumpcap capture is 270 bytes. This is because dumpcap just captures the packet and does not do any protocol decoding while the TCPdump does protocol decoding.

TCPdump takes less time for the capture. This is because, compared to wireshark, there is no overhead of extra processing per packet in TCPdump. The amount of packet drops by kernel are also less compared to wireshark.

---

### **3.)**

Wireless: All the traffic is captured at the second floor of the library between 7PM and 8PM.

#### **-HTTP traffic**

The percentage of HTTP traffic shown by Wireshark, TCPdump, and Dumpcap is 0.034%, 0.0742% and 0.0264% respectively. I think it is this low because of the HTTP data is being cached locally on the users machines.

#### **-Tcp traffic**

The percentage of TCP traffic shown by Wireshark, TCPdump, and Dumpcap is 9.5%, 14.7% and 5.62% respectively. This is the second highest amount of traffic out of all the traffic types.

#### **-Wifi(802.11) traffic**

The percentage of Wifi traffic shown by Wireshark, TCPdump, and Dumpcap is 86.5%, 81.3% and 91.2% respectively. This is the highest traffic type out of all the types. As the capture is on wireless interface and as I'm listening in monitor mode, the tool was capturing all the 802.11 packets to all the machines in the network from the accesspoint.

-The amount of retransmissions

The percentage of retransmitted packets shown by Wireshark, TCPdump, and Dumpcap out of all the traffic is 2.9%, 4.09%, and 2.22% respectively.

-UDP traffic

The percentage of UDP traffic shown by Wireshark, TCPdump, and Dumpcap out of all the traffic is 1.738%, 0.1522%, and 0.2472% respectively.

Wired:

The percentage of retransmitted packets in this case is very less compared to wireless interface. This is because there is low packet loss in case wired interface.

-The percentage of retransmitted packets

The percentage of retransmitted packets with wired interface as shown by Wireshark, TCPdump, and Dumpcap out of all the traffic is 0.13%, 0.038%, and 0.166% respectively.

-HTTP traffic

The percentage of HTTP traffic shown by Wireshark, TCPdump, and Dumpcap is 0.019%, 0.1% and 0.34% respectively. I think it is this low because of the HTTP data is being cached locally on the users machines.

-Tcp traffic

The percentage of TCP traffic shown by Wireshark, TCPdump, and Dumpcap is 11.34%, 38.786% and 17.946% respectively.

-UDP traffic

The percentage of UDP traffic shown by Wireshark, TCPdump, and Dumpcap out of all the traffic is 8.013%, 2.97%, and 4.806% respectively.

---

4.)

i.)ICMP ping reachability:

Google.com: 2 packets sent and 2 packets received. 100% reachability and Latency is 8ms.

Fb.com: 2 packets sent and 2 packets received. 100% eachability and Latency is 4.2ms

Host in my same network(172.25.47.200): 2 packets received. 100% reachability and Latency is 80ms.

#### ii.)Nmap port scan:

-Performed this with target as my friend's PC in the same network.

**nmap -Pn 172.25.47.200**

The result is as follows:

**Nmap scan report for 172.25.47.200**

**Host is up (0.034s latency).**

**Not shown: 995 filtered ports**

PORT	STATE	SERVICE
135/tcp	open	msrpc
139/tcp	open	netbios-ssn
445/tcp	open	microsoft-ds
1720/tcp	open	H.323/Q.931
49155/tcp	open	unknown

**Nmap done:1 IP address(1 host up)scanned in 29.83s**

-Performed this with target as my friend's PC in India.

**nmap -Pn 192.168.1.106**

The result is as follows:

**Nmap scan report for 192.168.1.106**

**Host is up (0.065s latency).**

**Not shown: 998 filtered ports**

PORT	STATE	SERVICE
113/tcp	closed	ident
1720/tcp	open	H.323/Q.931

**Nmap done: 1 IP address (1 host up) scanned in 29.64 seconds**

#### iii.)Nmap TCP/IP fingerprinting

with target as my friends computer: 172.25.47.200

**sudo nmap -O -v 172.25.47.200**

The results are as follows:

**MAC Address: 00:90:0B:2C:FC:FB (Lanner Electronics)**

**Warning: OSScan results may be unreliable because we could not find at least 1 open and 1 closed port**

**Device type: general purpose**

**Running: Linux 2.6.X**

**OS CPE: cpe:/o:linux:linux\_kernel:2.6.34**

**OS details: Linux 2.6.34**

**Uptime guess: 41.436 days (since Thu Mar 5 05:09:40 2015)**

**Network Distance: 1 hop**

**TCP Sequence Prediction: Difficulty=198 (Good luck!)**

**IP ID Sequence Generation: All zeros**

The above results did not show the correct OS on the target machine.  
However, it gave a warning about this.

-With target as my friends computer in India

`sudo nmap -Pn -O -v 192.168.1.106`

The results are as follows:

**Device type: switch|firewall|WAP|general**

**purpose|broadband router|specialized**

**Running: Avaya embedded, D-Link embedded, Fortinet embedded, Linksys embedded, Microsoft Windows 3.X, Motorola VxWorks 5.X, Siemens embedded**

**OS CPE: cpe:/h:avaya:p580 cpe:/h:dlink:des-3010f**

**cpe:/h:dlink:dgs-3010g cpe:/h:linksys:wga54g**

**cpe:/o:microsoft:windows cpe:/o:motorola:vxworks:5**

**cpe:/h:siemens:simatic\_tdc**

**Too many fingerprints match this host to give specific OS details**

Unlike before, when I was fingerprinting my friend's PC in the same network as mine, In this case I was trying a remote PC in different country. So I think the fingerprinting was done for a local switch through which the pings have passed

iv.)Reverse DNS:

The reverse DNS was performed on the IP address of UH.

**dig -x 129.7.97.54**

The query returned the web addresses of a bunch of websites which are part of the UH web site "www.uh.edu"

The result is as follows:

**;; QUESTION SECTION:**

```

;54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. IN PTR
;; ANSWER SECTION:
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    uscholars.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    www.uhsystem.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR uhsystem.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    minimester.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR career.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR housing.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR txccrn.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR gapps-
dev.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    keephoustonred.com.
.
.
.
.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    digitalmedia.tech.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    campusnet.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR hrn.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    pharmacy.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    cincoranch.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    sugarland.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    www.uhsa.uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR uh.edu.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR
    coogsgetconsent.org.
54.97.7.129.in-addr.arpa. 262 IN PTR sa.uh.edu.

```

V.) Traceroute:

Cmd: traceroute [www.google.com](http://www.google.com)

Using the tracert command as shown above, we're asking traceroute to show us the path from the local computer all the way to the network device with the hostname *www.google.com*.

```

tracert to www.google.com (64.233.169.147), 64
hops max, 52 byte packets
 1  g3-cs2.sw-e.uh.edu (172.16.255.32)  1.010 ms
0.808 ms  0.767 ms
 2  172.25.255.253 (172.25.255.253)  43.231 ms
10.272 ms  5.421 ms
 3  flavia-t3-9.p2p.e.uh.edu (172.16.255.241)  1.312
ms  1.218 ms  1.068 ms
 4  172.16.96.91 (172.16.96.91)  1.221 ms  1.731 ms
9.495 ms
 5  caesar-vlan1915.uh.edu (129.7.0.253)  1.374 ms
1.268 ms  1.325 ms
 6  hardy-int-rtr1.setg.net (198.32.231.153)  1.586
ms  4.449 ms  2.149 ms
.
.
.
.

    66.249.95.198 (66.249.95.198)  15.705 ms
13  72.14.237.123 (72.14.237.123)  19.410 ms
    209.85.249.69 (209.85.249.69)  18.601 ms
    66.249.95.218 (66.249.95.218)  43.966 ms
14  209.85.244.190 (209.85.244.190)  17.719 ms
    72.14.239.156 (72.14.239.156)  19.705 ms
    209.85.244.190 (209.85.244.190)  15.376 ms
15  * * *
16  64.233.169.147 (64.233.169.147)  17.712 ms
15.138 ms  13.972 ms

```

In this example we can see that traceroute identified fifteen network devices including our router at **172.16.255.32** and all the way through to the *target* of *www.google.com* which we now know uses the [public IP address](#) of **64.233.169.147**