Project 1

Jacob Cadena 9/19/2018

Heart Disease Analysis

Studying the heart disease dataset, there were several interesting insights that came from the models created.

These insights led to improvements on each model, such as the relevance of the old peak variable.

```
# Import libraries for data tools
library(tidyverse)
library(caret)
library(e1071)
library(GGally)
# Clearing the screen and work environment
rm(list = ls())
cat("\014")
# Set the working directory
setwd("/Users/jcadena/Documents/Semesters/Fall 2018/Project-1")
# Importing each processed dataset into list to aggregate
col.names <- c("age", "sex", "cp", "trestbps", "chol",</pre>
               "fbs", "restecg", "thalach", "exang",
               "oldpeak", "slope", "ca", "thal", "num")
data.directory <- "./heart-disease/"</pre>
list.of.files <- paste0(data.directory, "processed.", c("cleveland",
                                                         "hungarian",
                                                         "switzerland",
                                                         "va"), ".data")
list.of.datasets <- lapply(X = list.of.files,</pre>
                            FUN = read.csv,
                            header = FALSE,
                            col.names = col.names,
                            na.strings = c("?","", " "))
# Aggregate the data from the list of the datasets
dataset <- list.of.datasets[1] %>%
 bind_rows(list.of.datasets[2]) %>%
 bind rows(list.of.datasets[3]) %>%
 bind_rows(list.of.datasets[4])
```

Preprocessing

The preprocessing stage proved to be a very important step in predicting heart disease. After changing the categorical variables to factors, one hot encoding was implemented on the chest pain type predictor variable. That separated the variable into binary predictor variables, allowing the model to grasp the essence of each type of chest pain type. In other words, rather than having one variable with four categories, the chest pain variable was split into four variables for the model to gain more insight from each predictor. Another common issue when partioning predictors into multiple predictors is avoiding the dummy variable trap also known as perfect multicollinearity. In the response variable, the labels

```
# Cleaning the dataset for analysis
# Change categorical variables to factors
col.names.to.factor <- c("sex", "cp", "fbs", "restecg", "exang", "slope", "num")</pre>
dataset[,col.names.to.factor] <- lapply(dataset[,col.names.to.factor], factor)</pre>
dummies <- dummyVars(formula = ~ cp, data = dataset)</pre>
one.hot.encoders<- data.frame(predict(dummies, newdata = dataset))</pre>
one.hot.encoders <- one.hot.encoders[-4]
dataset <- dataset %>%
  select(-cp) %>%
  bind_cols(one.hot.encoders)
# Change cardinality in the num column
levels(dataset$num)[2:5] <- "1"</pre>
print(levels(dataset$num))
## [1] "0" "1"
# Remove features from the dataset if 30% of a column are NA's
dataset <- dataset[, colSums(is.na(dataset)) < floor(.3*nrow(dataset))]</pre>
print(colSums(is.na(dataset)))
##
                                     chol
                                               fbs
        age
                  sex trestbps
                                                     restecg
                                                              thalach
                                                                           exang
                                                90
                                                                    55
                                                                              55
##
          0
                    0
                             59
                                       30
                                                           2
##
                                     cp.2
    oldpeak
                  num
                           cp.1
                                              cp.3
                              0
##
missing.value.strategy <- function(dataset, str = "naive"){</pre>
  if(str == "naive")
    return(dataset %>% drop_na())
}
dataset <- missing.value.strategy(dataset)</pre>
print(colSums(is.na(dataset)))
##
                  sex trestbps
                                    chol
                                               fbs
                                                     restecg
                                                               thalach
                                                                           exang
        age
                                                                               0
##
          0
                    0
                              0
                                        0
                                                  0
                                                           0
##
                                    cp.2
    oldpeak
                  num
                           cp.1
                                              cp.3
                              0
                                        0
```

After creating the dataset obtained my transforming the data, it was split into a training set and test set, which later goes through k-fold cross validation. The data partioning using the the sample function in base R really didn't address the problem of covariate shift. A solution was to utilize the createDataPartition in the

caret package, which separated data with equal distributions.

```
# Split dataset in training/validation/test sets
set.seed(3123)
n = which(colnames(dataset) == "num")
indices <- createDataPartition(y = dataset$num, p=0.7, list = FALSE)
training.set <- dataset[indices,]
test.set <- dataset[-indices,]</pre>
```

That included normalizing variables that have different magnitudes of the mean and standard deviation for faster convergence in training. Utilizing the normalizing strategies such as mean normalization or min max normalization, did not really speed up training for any for the models attempted, which may be due to the fact that there are not many observations.

```
# If mean or standard deviation is too large in comparision to other
# variables apply feature scaling
minmax.normalization <- function(x){</pre>
  return((x - min(x))/(max(x) - min(x)))
}
mean.normalization <- function(x){
  return((x - mean(x,na.rm = TRUE))/sd(x,na.rm = TRUE))
}
continous.vars <- c("age", "trestbps", "chol", "thalach", "oldpeak")</pre>
training.set[,continous.vars] <- sapply(training.set[,continous.vars], mean.normalization)</pre>
test.set[,continous.vars] <- sapply(test.set[,continous.vars], mean.normalization)</pre>
# Attempted to find some correlation between the continous variables
cor(dataset[,continous.vars])
##
                           trestbps
                                            chol
                                                    thalach
                                                                oldpeak
                    age
## age
             1.00000000 0.25181660 -0.06841944 -0.3670200
                                                             0.25174112
## trestbps 0.25181660 1.00000000 0.06075887 -0.1215939 0.18202690
            -0.06841944 0.06075887 1.00000000 0.1962806 0.06065968
## chol
## thalach -0.36701996 -0.12159392 0.19628057 1.0000000 -0.18186697
            0.25174112  0.18202690  0.06065968  -0.1818670  1.00000000
## oldpeak
# Clean up space in memory by deleting variables not used
rm(list = c("list.of.datasets", "list.of.files", "data.directory",
            "col.names.to.factor", "indices", "one.hot.encoders",
            "missing.value.strategy", "mean.normalization", "minmax.normalization",
            "dummies", "col.names"))
```