

## SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

Lecture 12: Uniform Law of Large Numbers- VC

dimension

Purnamrita Sarkar Department of Statistics and Data Science The University of Texas at Austin

## Rademacher Complexity for general function classes

Recall that for  $|f(x)| \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|\hat{P}_{n} - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} &\leq 2\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} + \epsilon = 2E[E[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} f(X_{i})/n]|X] + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2E\sqrt{\frac{2\log(|\mathcal{F}(X_{1}^{n}) \cup -\mathcal{F}(X)|)}{n}} + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{8\log 2\max_{X} |\mathcal{F}(X_{1}^{n})|}{n}} + \epsilon \end{split}$$

- How do I control  $|\mathcal{F}(X_1^n)|$ ?
- How big is  $\max_{X} |\mathcal{F}(X_1^n)|$ ?
- Let us focus on binary functions, i.e.  $f(X_i) \in \{0, 1\}$

### **Growth function**

#### **Definition**

For a binary valued function class  $\mathcal{F}$ , the growth function is:

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = \max\{|\mathcal{F}(x_1^n)|x_1,\ldots,x_n \in \mathcal{X}\}\$$

- $\mathcal{X}$  could be  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\log(2\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n))}{n}}$
- $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) \leq 2^n$  (which is not really useful)
- We are looking for  $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$  growing polynomially with n.
  - Because then  $\|\hat{P}_n P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$

## Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension

#### Definition

A dichotomy of a set S is a partition of S into two disjoint subsets.

### Definition (In words)

A set of instances S is shattered by a binary function class  $\mathcal{F}$  iff for every dichotomy of S, there is some function in  $\mathcal{F}$  consistent with this dichotomy.

### **Definition (In math)**

A binary function class  $\mathcal F$  shatters  $(x_1,\dots,x_d)\subseteq\mathcal X$ , implies that  $|\mathcal F(x_1^d)|=2^d$ .

3

## Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension

#### **Definition**

The VC dimension of a binary function class  $\mathcal F$  is given by

$$\begin{split} d_{VC}(F) &= \max\{d: \text{some } x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathcal{X} \text{ is shattered by } \mathcal{F}\} \\ &= \max\{d: \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(d) = 2^d\} \end{split}$$

• If the VC dimension of a function class is small, then  $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$  is small.

### Sauer's lemma

#### **Theorem**

If  $d_{VC}(F) \leq d$ , then

$$\Pi_F(n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{n}{i}.$$

If  $n \ge d$ , the latter sum is no more than  $(en/d)^d$ .

 So we have the growth function is either polynomially growing with d, or 2<sup>n</sup>.

$$\Pi_{F}(n) = \begin{cases} = 2^{n} & \text{if } n \leq d \\ \leq \left(\frac{en}{d}\right)^{d} & \text{if } n > d \end{cases}$$

5

### **VC** dimension-examples

### **Example**

Let 
$$\mathcal{F}=\{1_{(-\infty,t]}:t\in\mathbb{R}\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}.$  Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=1.$ 

- First show that there exists some configuration of one point, which can be shattered by F.
  - For any point x, if x has label 1, use t > x
  - If x has label 0, use t < x.
- Now show that there exists no two points which can be shattered by F. (this takes a bit of an argument in more complex cases.)
  - For any two points (x, y) the labeling (0, 1) cannot be achieved by any function in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### **VC** dimension-examples

#### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be linear classifiers in  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F}) = 3$ .

- First show that there exists some configuration of 3 points, which can be shattered by F.
  - Purna draws picture, and if you miss class, you can easily draw a
    picture to see this.
- Now show that there exists no 4 points which can be shattered by  $\mathcal{F}$ . (this takes a bit of an argument.)

### **VC** dimension-examples

#### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be linear classifiers in  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F}) = 3$ .

- Now show that there exists no 4 points which can be shattered by F. (this takes a bit of an argument.)
  - Take 4 non-collinear points. If they are collinear, it is easy to find label configurations which cannot be shattered by a linear classifier.
  - The convex hull of these points will either be a triangle, or a quadrilateral.
  - In case the convex hull is a triangle, and there is a third point inside the convex hull, give all the points on the hull label 1 and the one inside label 0.
  - If three points are collinear or the convex hull is a quadrilateral, then just label the consecutive points with alternative labels.

### VC dimension: decision stumps in 2D

#### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be decision stumps in two dimensions. Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=3$ .

- Show that there exists three points in 2D which can be shattered by this function class. Purna draws picture.
- Now show that no four points in 2D can be shattered.

### VC dimension: decision stumps in 2D

#### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be decision stumps in two dimensions. Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=3$ .

- Case 1: all 4 points are collinear. Easy to see that this cannot be shattered, since 1,0,1,0 is not achievable.
- Case 2: the convex hull of the 4 points is a triangle.
  - Case 2a: the 4th point is on a side of this triangle. So three points are collinear, and a 1,0,1 labeling cannot be achieved by a decision stump.
  - Case 2b: the 4th point is inside. Label all the points outside as 1 and the 4th as 0. This cannot be achieved.
- Case 3: the convex hull is a quadrilateral. Just label 1,0,1,0 along the hull and this cannot be achieved.

## VC dimension: rectangles

### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be classifiers which classify the interior (plus boundary) as one of axis aligned rectangles in  $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=4$ .

• This is on your homework.

## Sauer's lemma proof - using shifting

- For a fixed  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , consider the following table.
- Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_5\}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  have VC dimension d.

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$
$f_1$	0	1	0	1	1
$f_2$	1	0	0	1	1
$f_3$	1	1	1	0	1
$f_4$	0	1	1	0	0
$f_5$	0	0	0	1	0

ullet  $|\mathcal{F}|$  is the number of distinct rows of the above table.

- Consider the following shifting operation of the table.
- You start shifting columns from left to right.
- For each column, change a 1 to a zero unless it leads to a row which is already in the table.

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	
$f_1$	0	1	0	1	1	
$f_2$	1	0	0	1	1	
$f_3$	1	1	1	0	1	
$f_4$	0	1	1	0	0	
$f_5$	0	0	0	1	0	

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$
$f_1$	0	1	0	0	0
$f_2$	0	0	0	0	1
$f_3$	0	0	1	0	1
$f_4$	0	0	1	0	0
$f_5$	0	0	0	0	0

- This operation is done column after column until nothing can be shifted.
- The number of rows does not change.
- An all zero column implies that any subset containing that datapoint is not shattered.
- Consider a row with some 1's. Let S be the set of points with the 1's.
  - Every configuration with any of these 1's turned into zeros is a row in this table.
  - In other words S is shattered by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

- The column shifting never shatters a set that was not shattered already, i.e. a set of points can go from shattered to un-shattered but not the other way around.
  - If a column is all zeros after shifting, then any subset containing that datapoint is not shattered.
  - Say we shift a one to a zero, and there are other ones in than column left. Then there is another row which is identical but a zero in that column. So the new zero does not shatter a set.

- Each row has at most d ones.
- Say there was a row with d+1 ones.
  - This means there is another identical row except for zeros in place of some of these 1's.
  - ullet But that is the definition of shattering. This means this set of d+1 points (where there are ones in the row) is shattered by the function class. Which is a contradiction since VC dimension is d and the shifting operation cannot increase the VC dimension, since it does not shatters a set that was not shattered already.