

SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

Lecture 2: Stochastic Convergence

Purnamrita Sarkar Department of Statistics and Data Science The University of Texas at Austin

https://psarkar.github.io/teaching

Convergence of expectations: exchanging limit and integral

Theorem (Monotone convergence theorem) If $0 \le X_1 \le X_2 \le \cdots \le X_n \uparrow X$, then

$$E[X_n] \rightarrow E[X]$$

Lemma (Fatou's lemma)

If $X_n \geq Y$ $\forall n$ for some random variable Y with $E[Y] < \infty$ then

$$\lim\inf_{n\to\infty} E[X_n] \geq E[\lim\inf_n X_n]$$

S

Theorem (Dominated convergence theorem)

If $X_n \stackrel{a.5.}{\to} X$ and $|X_n| \le Y$ with $E[|Y|] < \infty$, then

$$E[X_n] \rightarrow E[X]$$

Things you should know

Consider *n* i.i.d. random variables $X_i \sim F$.

Definition (Empirical distribution function) The empirical distribution function is defined as:

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i 1(X_i \le x).$$

Theorem (Glivenko-Cantelli) The random variable $\sup |F_n(x) - F(x)|$ almost surely converges to zero.

$$P\left(\limsup_{n} \sup_{x} |F_n(x) - F(x)| \to 0\right) = 1$$

Things you should know

Let $X_1, \dots X_n$ be i.i.d random variables with $E[|X_1|] \leq \infty$, mean μ .

Theorem (Weak law of large numbers)

$$\bar{X}_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} \mu$$

Theorem (Strong law of large numbers)

$$\bar{X}_n \stackrel{\textit{a.s.}}{\rightarrow} \mu$$

Theorem (Central limit theorem)

If
$$E[X_i^2] = \sigma^2$$
, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Things you should know

Let $X_1, \ldots X_n$ be i.i.d random variables with $E[|X_1|] \leq \infty$, mean μ .

Theorem (Berry Esseen)

If $E[X_i^2] = \sigma^2$, and $E[|X_i|^3] = \rho < \infty$,

$$\left| P\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \le x \right) - \Phi(x) \right| \le \frac{C\rho}{\sigma^3 \sqrt{n}} \quad \forall x, \text{ and } n,$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the CDF of the standard normal and c is an universal constant known to be greater than 0.4097 and less that 0.7975.

4

Lindeberg-feller CLT for triangular arrays

$$X_{11}$$
 X_{21}, X_{22}
 X_{21}, X_{22}, X_{23}
...

Theorem

For each n let $(X_{ni})_{i=1}^n$ be independent random variables with mean zero and variance σ_{ni}^2 . Let $Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ni}$ and $B_n^2 = var(Z_n)$. Then $Z_n/B_n \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$, as long as the **Lindeberg condition** holds.

5

The Lindeberg condition

Definition (Lindeberg condition) For every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{B_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n E[X_{nj}^2 1(|X_{nj}| \ge \epsilon B_n)] \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
 (1)

Converse: If $\frac{\sigma_{nj}^2}{B_n^2} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, i.e. no one variance plays a significant role in the limit, and if $Z_n/B_n \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$, then the Lindeberg condition holds.

Necessary and Sufficient: If $\frac{\sigma_{nj}^2}{B_n^2} \to 0$, the the Lindeberg condition is necessary and sufficient to show the CLT.

Let X_1,\ldots,X_n be independent random variables with mean zero and variance one. Do you think $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n\stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$?

Let X_1,\ldots,X_n be independent random variables with mean zero and variance one. Do you think $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n\stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$?

•

$$X_{nj} = \begin{cases} 2j & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{8j^2} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \frac{1}{4j^2} \\ -2j & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{8j^2} \end{cases}$$

Let X_1,\ldots,X_n be independent random variables with mean zero and variance one. Do you think $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n\stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$?

•

$$X_{nj} = \begin{cases} 2j & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{8j^2} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \frac{1}{4j^2} \\ -2j & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{8j^2} \end{cases}$$

• $E[X_{nj}] = 0$ and $var(X_{nj}) = 1$. $B_n^2 = n$.

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables with mean zero and variance one. Do you think $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$?

$$X_{nj} = \begin{cases} 2j & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{8j^2} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \frac{1}{4j^2} \\ -2j & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{8j^2} \end{cases}$$

- $E[X_{nj}] = 0$ and $var(X_{nj}) = 1$. $B_n^2 = n$.
- Lets check the Lindeberg condition with $\epsilon = 1$.

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j}E[X_{nj}^{2}\mathbb{1}(|X_{nj}|\geq\sqrt{n})] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j}2\times4j^{2}\mathbb{1}(2j\geq\sqrt{n})\frac{1}{8j^{2}} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j\geq\sqrt{n}/2}\mathbb{1}\to\mathbb{1}$$

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be independent random variables with mean zero and variance one. Do you think $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$?

$$X_{nj} = \begin{cases} 2j & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{8j^2} \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - \frac{1}{4j^2} \\ -2j & \text{w.p. } \frac{1}{8j^2} \end{cases}$$

- $E[X_{ni}] = 0$ and $var(X_{ni}) = 1$. $B_n^2 = n$.
- Lets check the Lindeberg condition with $\epsilon = 1$.

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}E[X_{nj}^{2}1(|X_{nj}| \geq \sqrt{n})] = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}2\times 4j^{2}1(2j \geq \sqrt{n})\frac{1}{8j^{2}} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i\geq \sqrt{n}/2}1\to 1$$

• Since $\sigma_{nj}^2/B_n^2=1/n\to 0$, this implies that the CLT does not hold for the sum.

Permutation Tests

Consider 2n paired experimental units with measurement $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=1}^n$ in which X_j is the result of the treatment and Y_j is the result of control.

• H_0 is that the treatment has had no effect, i.e. $Z_j = X_j - Y_j$ conditioned on the magnitude $|Z_j|$ is symmetric, i.e. $P(Z_j = |z_j|) = P(Z_j = -|z_j|) = 1/2$.

Permutation Tests

Consider 2n paired experimental units with measurement $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=1}^n$ in which X_j is the result of the treatment and Y_j is the result of control.

- H_0 is that the treatment has had no effect, i.e. $Z_j = X_j Y_j$ conditioned on the magnitude $|Z_j|$ is symmetric, i.e. $P(Z_j = |z_j|) = P(Z_j = -|z_j|) = 1/2$.
- Thus, under H_0 , $(Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ has 2^n possible values $(\pm |z_1|, ..., \pm |z_n|)$.

Permutation Tests

Consider 2n paired experimental units with measurement $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=1}^n$ in which X_i is the result of the treatment and Y_i is the result of control.

- H_0 is that the treatment has had no effect, i.e. $Z_j = X_j Y_j$ conditioned on the magnitude $|Z_j|$ is symmetric, i.e. $P(Z_j = |z_j|) = P(Z_j = -|z_j|) = 1/2$.
- Thus, under H_0 , $(Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ has 2^n possible values $(\pm |z_1|, ..., \pm |z_n|)$.
- Conditioned on the magnitudes of the differences, $B_n^2 = \sum_i z_i^2$.

 Assume that $\max_i z_i^2/B_n^2 \to 0$. Then $\sum_i Z_i/B_n \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$ using the Lindeberg-feller theorem.

Permutation tests: proof

Proof.

• Lets check the Lindeberg condition:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} E[Z_{j}^{2}1(|Z_{j}| \geq \epsilon B_{n})||Z_{1}|, \dots, |Z_{n}|]}{B_{n}^{2}} &= \frac{\sum_{j} Z_{j}^{2}1(|Z_{j}| \geq \epsilon B_{n})}{B_{n}^{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{(\sum_{j} Z_{j}^{2})1(\max_{j} |Z_{j}| \geq \epsilon B_{n})}{B_{n}^{2}} \\ &= 1(\max_{j} |Z_{j}| \geq \epsilon B_{n}) \end{split}$$

• Since $\max_{i} z_{i}^{2}/B_{n}^{2} \to 0$, the above is zero for all sufficiently large n.

Т

Tail probabilities

- Most often, we are interested in the question, how far is an empirical quantity from its "population variant"?
- This empirical quantity can be an eigenvalue of a matrix, or the weight vector learned using linear regression and so on.
- For rest of today, and a few more lectures we will brush up on tail inequalities.
- Lets start with the mean?

- How will you bound $P(|\bar{X}_n \mu| \ge t)$? Central limit theorem works under regularity conditions, but its only asymptotic.
- We will look at three methods:
 - Moment based:

- How will you bound $P(|\bar{X}_n \mu| \ge t)$? Central limit theorem works under regularity conditions, but its only asymptotic.
- We will look at three methods:
 - Moment based: Markov (first), Chebyshev (second)

- How will you bound $P(|\bar{X}_n \mu| \ge t)$? Central limit theorem works under regularity conditions, but its only asymptotic.
- We will look at three methods:
 - Moment based: Markov (first), Chebyshev (second)
 - Moment generating function based bounds:

- How will you bound $P(|\bar{X}_n \mu| \ge t)$? Central limit theorem works under regularity conditions, but its only asymptotic.
- We will look at three methods:
 - Moment based: Markov (first), Chebyshev (second)
 - Moment generating function based bounds: Hoeffding, Chernoff, Bernstein, subgaussian and subexponential random variables

- How will you bound $P(|\bar{X}_n \mu| \ge t)$? Central limit theorem works under regularity conditions, but its only asymptotic.
- We will look at three methods:
 - Moment based: Markov (first), Chebyshev (second)
 - Moment generating function based bounds: Hoeffding, Chernoff, Bernstein, subgaussian and subexponential random variables
 - Martingale based methods:

- How will you bound $P(|\bar{X}_n \mu| \ge t)$? Central limit theorem works under regularity conditions, but its only asymptotic.
- We will look at three methods:
 - Moment based: Markov (first), Chebyshev (second)
 - Moment generating function based bounds: Hoeffding, Chernoff, Bernstein, subgaussian and subexponential random variables
 - Martingale based methods: Azuma-Hoeffding, McDiarmid