

Lecture 10 — September 29

Lecturer: Purnamrita Sarkar

Scribe: Mingzhang Yin

Disclaimer: These scribe notes have been slightly proofread and may have typos etc.

Note: The latex template was borrowed from EECS, U.C. Berkeley.

10.1 Naive Bayes

10.1.1 Naive Bayes for discrete random variable

Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k and Y are Boolean variables, i.e. $X_i \in \{0, 1\}, Y \in \{0, 1\}$. For example, consider the spam email classification problem, in which $Y = 1$ means the email is spam and each X_i represents a certain feature. For instance, X_i can be the key word "Buy now" or "Weight loss", and $X_i = 1$ means such key word appears in the email X . Suppose there are k features and according to the Bayes Rule:

$$P(Y = y | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_k = x_k) = \frac{P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_k = x_k | Y = y)P(Y = y)}{P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_k = x_k)} \quad (10.1)$$

If we denote a set of parameters as

$$\theta_{(x_1, \dots, x_n), j} \equiv P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_k = x_k | Y = y_j) \quad (10.2)$$

Then in order to estimate the posterior distribution, we have $(2^k - 1) * 2 + 1$ parameters needed to estimate. This can be unrealistic in practical learning domains.

Definition 1. (Conditional Independence)

Given random variables X, Y , and Z , we say X is **conditionally independent** of Y given Z , if and only if the probability distribution of X is independent of Y given Z :

$$P(X = x | Y = y, Z = z) = P(X = x | Z = z) (\forall x, y, z) \quad (10.3)$$

Assume X_i are conditionally independent given Y , then we get

$$P(y = 1 | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_k = x_k) \propto \prod_i^k P(X_i = x_i | Y = y) * P(Y = y) \quad (10.4)$$

Here there are k parameters $P(X_j = 1 | Y = y)$, so the total number of parameters is $2k + 1$. Denote the parameters by

$$\theta_{jy} = P(X_j = 1 | Y = y) \quad (10.5)$$

Then $\theta_{j1} = P(X_j = 1|Y = 1)$. Our estimator for θ_{j1} is

$$\hat{\theta}_{j1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_{ij} = 1, Y_i = 1\}}{\sum_i \mathbb{1}\{Y_i = 1\}} \quad (10.6)$$

For one data point (X_i, Y_i) :

$$P(X_i|Y_i)P(Y_i) = \prod_{j=1}^k P(X_{ij} = x_{ij}|Y_i = y_i)P(Y_i = y_i) \quad (10.7)$$

Note we can write $P(X_{ij} = x_{ij}|Y_i = y_i)$ as

$$\theta_{jy_i}^{x_{ij}\mathbb{1}(Y_i=y_i)}(1 - \theta_{jy_i})^{(1-x_{ij})\mathbb{1}(Y_i=y_i)} \quad (10.8)$$

so

$$P(X|Y)P(Y) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^k \theta_{jy_i}^{x_{ij}\mathbb{1}(Y_i=y_i)}(1 - \theta_{jy_i})^{(1-x_{ij})\mathbb{1}(Y_i=y_i)}P(Y_i = y_i) \quad (10.9)$$

Compare the expression above with the likelihood function of binomial distribution, for a fixed j , we proved that $\hat{\theta}_{jy_i}$ is the MLE of θ_{jy_i} , where

$$\hat{\theta}_{jy_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_{ij} = 1, Y_i = y_i\}}{\sum_i \mathbb{1}\{Y_i = y_i\}} \quad (10.10)$$

One shortcoming of this maximum likelihood estimate is that it can result in θ estimates of zero. To avoid this, it is common to smooth the estimate by adding some hallucinated example which are spread evenly on the possible values of X_i .

$$\hat{\theta}_{jy_i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_{ij} = 1, Y_i = y_i\} + 1}{\sum_i \mathbb{1}\{Y_i = y_i\} + 2} \quad (10.11)$$

And this approach is called Laplace smoothing.

10.1.2 Naive Bayes for continuous random variable

When X_1, \dots, X_n are continuous variables, we assume that $(X_1, \dots, X_n)^T|y = 0 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2 I_{k \times k})$ and $(X_1, \dots, X_n)^T|y = 1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2 I_{k \times k})$. Similar to the discrete case, the parameters can be estimated by their maximum likelihood estimate:

$$\hat{\mu}_0 = \frac{\sum_1^n X_i \mathbb{1}(Y_i = 0)}{\sum_1^n \mathbb{1}(Y_i = 0)} \quad (10.12)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_0^2 = \frac{\sum_1^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 \mathbb{1}(Y_i = 0)}{\sum_1^n \mathbb{1}(Y_i = 0)} \quad (10.13)$$

For an unbiased estimate we would use $\hat{\sigma}_0^2 = \frac{\sum_1^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 1(Y_i=0)}{\sum_1^n 1(Y_i=0) - 1}$. If we get the posterior distribution of Y, the question is how to make predictions with a given X? Actually, if $P(Y = 1|X) > P(Y = 0|X)$, we would label Y as 1. This classification criterion can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{P(Y = 1|x)}{P(Y = 0|x)} &= \log \frac{f(X|Y = 1)p(Y = 1)}{f(X|Y = 0)p(Y = 0)} \\ &= -\frac{(x - \mu_1)^T(x - \mu_1)}{2\sigma_1^2} + \frac{(x - \mu_0)^T(x - \mu_0)}{2\sigma_0^2} + \log \frac{\pi}{1 - \pi} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned} \quad (10.14)$$

Here $\pi = P(Y = 1)$. If we further assume $\sigma_1 = \sigma_0 = \sigma$, then we would get a linear decision boundary

$$(\mu_1 - \mu_0)^T \left(X - \frac{\mu_0 + \mu_1}{2} \right) + \sigma^2 \log \frac{\pi}{1 - \pi} > 0 \quad (10.15)$$

Whenever we get data X, we can plug in the left side of the inequality and label Y as 1 if it is bigger than 0.