

# SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

## Lecture 7a: Efron Stein inequality

---

Purnamrita Sarkar  
Department of Statistics and Data Science  
The University of Texas at Austin

# Efron Stein inequality

- Consider  $n$  independent random variables in some metric space  $\mathcal{X}$ .
- Consider a function  $g : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Let  $Z := g(X_1, \dots, X_n)$
- We are interested in computing  $\text{var}(g(X_1, \dots, X_n))$
- Define  $E_i(Z) = E[Z | X_{1:i-1}, X_{i+1:n}]$

# An upper bound

## Theorem

$$\text{var}(Z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n E [Z - E_i[Z]]^2$$

- Note that the RHS can be thought of sum of expectation of conditional variances
- Since  $\text{var}(X) \leq E[(X - a)^2]$ , we also have:

$$\text{var}(Z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n E [Z - Z_i]^2,$$

where  $Z_i = g(X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n)$

# An upper bound

## Theorem

$$\text{var}(Z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n E [Z - E_i[Z]]^2$$

## Proof.

- For two arbitrary bounded random variables  $X, Y$ , we have:  
 $E[XY] = E[E[XY|Y]] = E[YE[X|Y]]$
- Let  $V := Z - E[Z]$
- Let  $V_i := E[Z|X_{1:i}] - E[Z|X_{1:i-1}]$
- Clearly  $V = \sum_i V_i$



$$\text{var}(Z) = E \left[ \sum_i V_i \right]^2 \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_i E[V_i^2] + 2 \sum_{i < j} E[V_i V_j] = \sum_i E[V_i^2] \quad (2)$$

- Why is the last step true? For  $i > j$

$$\begin{aligned} E[V_i V_j] &= E[E[V_i V_j | X_1, \dots, X_j]] \\ &= E[V_j E[V_i | X_1, \dots, X_j]] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- Note that for three independent random variables  $X, Y, Z$

$$E[g(X, Y, Z)|X] = E[[g(X, Y, Z)|X, Z]|X, Y]$$

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \int_{y,z} g(x, y, z) f(y, z|x) dy dz = \int_z \left( \int_y g(x, y, z) f(y|x, z) dy \right) f(z|x) dz \\ &= \int_z E[g(X, Y, Z)|X, Z] f(z|x) dz \\ &\stackrel{\text{independence}}{=} \int_z E[g(X, Y, Z)|X, Z] f(z|x, y) dz \\ &= E[E[g(X, Y, Z)|X, Z]|X, Y] \end{aligned}$$

•

$$\begin{aligned}V_i^2 &= (E[Z|X_{1:i}] - E[Z|X_{1:i-1}])^2 \\&= (E[Z|X_{1:i}] - E[Z|X_{1:i-1}])^2 \\&= (E[E[Z|X_{1:n}]|X_{1:i}] - E[E[Z|X_{1:i-1}, X_{i+1:n}]|X_{1:i}])^2 \\&= (E[E[Z|X_{1:n}] - E[Z|X_{1:i-1}, X_{i+1:n}]|X_{1:i}])^2 \\&= (E[Z - E_i Z|X_{1:i}])^2 \\&\leq E[(Z - E_i Z)^2|X_{1:i}] \\E[V_i^2] &\leq E[(Z - E_i Z)^2]\end{aligned}$$

# The Efron Stein inequality

## Theorem

Let  $X'_1, \dots, X'_n$  denote an independent copy of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Let  $Z'_i = g(X_{1:i-1}, X'_i, X_{i+1:n})$ . We have:

$$\text{var}(Z) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_i E[(Z - Z'_i)^2].$$

## Proof.

- If  $X, Y$  are iid,  $\text{var}(X) = \frac{E[X - Y]^2}{2}$
- Conditioned on  $X_{1:i-1}, X_{i:n}$ ,  $Z$  and  $Z'_i$  are independent and so

$$E_i[Z - E_i[Z]]^2 = \frac{E_i[Z - Z'_i]^2}{2}$$

$$\text{var}(Z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n E[Z - E_i[Z]]^2 = \sum_i \frac{E[E_i[Z - Z'_i]^2]}{2}$$



- For  $g(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_i X_i$  we have an equality.
- So in some sense, sums of independent random variables are the least concentrated functions
- Consider a function with the Bounded Difference property, i.e.

$$\sup_{x_{1:n}, x'_i \in \mathcal{X}} |g(x_1, \dots, x_n) - g(x_{1:i-1} x'_i x_{i+1:n})| \leq c_i$$

- We have:

$$\text{var}(g(X)) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_i c_i^2$$

## Example: longest common subsequence

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be two sequences of coin flips.  $Z$  is the length of the longest common subsequence.

$$Z = \max\{k : X_{i_1} = Y_{j_1}, \dots, X_{i_k} = Y_{j_k}\}$$

where  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \dots$  and  $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \dots$ .

- It is well known that  $E[Z]/n \rightarrow \mu$  where  $\mu \in [0.757, 0.837]$ .
- If you change one bit of  $X$ , it can change  $Z$  by at most one, so,

$$\text{var}(Z) \leq n/2$$

- So  $Z$  concentrates around its mean.

# Uniform deviation

For  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid random variables, let  $\hat{P}_n(A) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}(X_i \in A)$  and  $P_n(A) = P(X_i \in A)$ . We are interested in the quantity

$$Z := \sup_A |\hat{P}_n(A) - P_n(A)|$$

- If we change one  $X_i$ ,  $Z$  changes by  $1/n$  at most.
- So  $\text{var}(Z) \leq \frac{1}{2n}$  by the Efron Stein inequality.
- Can we do better?

# Uniform deviation

For  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid random variables, let

$$Z = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_j f(X_j).$$

For simplicity, assume  $Ef[X_i] = 0$ . We will show that the E/S inequality gives a much tighter upper bound than the one we just derived.

- $\text{var}(Z) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_i E[(Z - Z'_i)^2]$
- Say  $f^*$  achieves the supremum for  $Z$  and  $f_*$  achieves the supremum for  $Z_i$

$$\begin{aligned} f_*(X_i) - f_*(X'_i) &\leq Z - Z_i \leq f^*(X_i) - f^*(X'_i) \\ (Z - Z_i)^2 &\leq \max((f_*(X_i) - f_*(X'_i))^2, (f^*(X_i) - f^*(X'_i))^2) \\ &\leq \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (f(X_i) - f(X'_i))^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(Z) &\leq \sum_i E \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (f(X_i) - f(X'_i))^2 \right] \\ &\stackrel{(i)}{\leq} 2 \sum_i E \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (f(X_i)^2 + f(X'_i)^2) \right] \\ &\leq 4 \sum_i E \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} f(X_i)^2\end{aligned}$$

- (i) uses  $|2ab| \leq a^2 + b^2$
- If  $f(X_i) \in [-1, 1]$  we get  $\text{var}(Z) \leq 2n$
- But if the maximum variance of  $f(X_i)$  is small we have a significant improvement.

# Minimum of empirical loss

Consider a function class  $\mathcal{F}$  of binary valued functions on some space  $\mathcal{X}$ . Given an iid sample  $(X_i, Y_i) \in \mathcal{X} \times \{0, 1\}$ , for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  we define the empirical loss:

$$L_n(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell(f(X_i), Y_i) \quad \text{where } \ell(y, y') = 1(y \neq y')$$

Define the empirical loss as  $\hat{L} = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} L_n(f)$ .

- Naive application of Efron Stein shows  $\text{var}(\hat{L}) \leq 2/n$
- Is this enough?

# Minimum of empirical loss

- Let  $Z = n\hat{L}$
- Let  $Z_i = \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left( \sum_{j \neq i} \ell(f(X_j), Y_j) + \ell(f(X'_i), Y'_i) \right)$
- $\text{var}(Z) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_i E[Z - Z'_i]^2 = \sum_i E[(Z - Z'_i)^2 1(Z'_i > Z)]$
- Note that
$$0 \geq (Z - Z'_i) 1(Z'_i > Z) \geq (\ell(f^*(X_i), Y_i) - \ell(f^*(X'_i), Y'_i)) 1(Z'_i > Z)$$
- So  $(Z - Z'_i)^2 1(Z'_i > Z) \leq (\ell(f^*(X_i), Y_i) - \ell(f^*(X'_i), Y'_i))^2 1(Z'_i > Z) \leq \ell(f^*(X'_i), Y'_i) 1(\ell(f^*(X_i), Y_i) = 0)$
- So,  $E \sum_i (Z - Z'_i)^2 1(Z'_i > Z) \leq E \sum_{\ell(f^*(X_i), Y_i) = 0} E_{X'_i, Y'_i} \ell(f^*(X'_i), Y'_i) \leq nEL(f^*)$
- Often you can show that  $EL(f^*) = E\hat{L} + O(n^{-1/2})$
- So  $\text{var}(\hat{L}) \leq \frac{E\hat{L}}{n} + o(1)$

# Self bounding functions

## Definition

A non-negative function  $g : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  has the self bounding property if there exist functions  $g_i : \mathcal{X}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  such that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $i \in [n]$ ,

- $0 \leq g(x_1, \dots, x_n) - g_i(x_{1:i-1}, x_{i+1:n}) \leq 1$
- $\sum_i (g(x_1, \dots, x_n) - g_i(x_{1:i-1}, x_{i+1:n})) \leq g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

- Clearly,  $\sum_i (g(x_{1:n}) - g_i(x_{1:i-1}, x_{i+1:n}))^2 \leq g(x_1, \dots, x_n) =: Z$
- Now Theorem 1 gives:

$$\text{var}(Z) \leq \sum_i E[(Z - E_i[Z])^2] \leq \sum_i E[(Z - g_i(x_{1:i-1}, x_{i+1:n}))^2] \leq E[g(x_{1:n})]$$

- So  $\text{var}(Z) \leq E[Z]$



# Concentration of self bounding functions

## Theorem

Consider  $Z := g(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  where  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent random variables. For all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$P(Z \geq E[Z] + t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2(EZ + t/3)}\right)$$

$$P(Z \leq E[Z] - t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2EZ}\right)$$

# Relative Stability

- A sequence of non-negative random variables  $\{Z_n\}$  are said to be relatively stable if  $Z_n/E[Z_n] \xrightarrow{P} 0$
- If  $Z_n$  also satisfies the self bounding property,

$$P\left(\left|\frac{Z_n}{E[Z_n]} - 1\right| \geq \epsilon\right) \leq \frac{\text{var}(Z_n)}{\epsilon^2 E[Z_n]^2} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 E[Z_n]}$$

- So as long as  $E[Z_n] \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $Z_n$  satisfies the relative stability condition

## Example: empirical processes

Consider a function class  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions in  $[0, 1]$ .  $Z := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_i f(X_i)$ . We show that  $Z$  is self bounding.

- Let  $Z_i := \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \neq i} f(X_j)$
- Let  $f^*$  maximize  $Z$  and  $f_i$  maximize  $Z_i$
- We have  $0 \leq f_i(X_i) \leq Z - Z_i \leq f^*(X_i) \leq 1$
- So  $\sum_i (Z - Z_i) \leq \sum_i f^*(X_i) = Z$
- Hence  $\text{var}(Z) \leq E[Z]$ , while a naive application of E-S will give us  $\text{var}(Z) \leq n/2$

# Rademacher averages

Consider a function class  $\mathcal{F}$  of functions in  $[-1, 1]$ . Let  $\{\epsilon_i\}_1^n$  denote  $n$  independent Rademacher variables independent of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . The conditional Rademacher average is defined as

$$Z := E \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_i \epsilon_i f(X_i) \mid X_{1:n} \right]$$

$Z$  has the self bounding property and so  $\text{var}(Z) \leq E[Z]$ .

- Define  $Z_i := E \left[ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{j \neq i} \epsilon_j f(X_j) \mid X_{1:n} \right]$

## Rademacher avg cont.

- Let  $f^*$  maximize  $Z$  and  $f_i$  maximize  $Z_i$ . Note that:

$$Z - Z_i \leq E[\epsilon_i f^*(X_i) | X_{1:n}] \leq 1$$

- On the other hand,

$$Z - Z_i \geq E[\epsilon_i f_i(X_i) | X_{1:n}] = 0$$

- The last step is true because ?
- So  $\sum_i (Z - Z_i) \leq Z$
- Hence  $Z$  has the self-bounding property and has  $\text{var}(Z) \leq E[Z]$

