

SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

Lecture 5: Martingale inequalities

Purnamrita Sarkar Department of Statistics and Data Science The University of Texas at Austin

• So far we have looked at sums of random variables. What if want to study properties of functions of independent random variables?

- So far we have looked at sums of random variables. What if want to study properties of functions of independent random variables?
- Consider *n* independent random variables $X = (X_1, ... X_n)$.

- So far we have looked at sums of random variables. What if want to study properties of functions of independent random variables?
- Consider *n* independent random variables $X = (X_1, ... X_n)$.
- We want to bound $f(X_1, ..., X_n) E[f(X_1, ..., X_n)]$

- So far we have looked at sums of random variables. What if want to study properties of functions of independent random variables?
- Consider *n* independent random variables $X = (X_1, ... X_n)$.
- We want to bound $f(X_1, ..., X_n) E[f(X_1, ..., X_n)]$
- Define $Y_k = E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, X_k]$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$

- So far we have looked at sums of random variables. What if want to study properties of functions of independent random variables?
- Consider *n* independent random variables $X = (X_1, ... X_n)$.
- We want to bound $f(X_1, ..., X_n) E[f(X_1, ..., X_n)]$
- Define $Y_k = E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, X_k]$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$
- $Y_0 = E[f(X)]$ and $Y_n = f(X)$

- So far we have looked at sums of random variables. What if want to study properties of functions of independent random variables?
- Consider *n* independent random variables $X = (X_1, \dots X_n)$.
- We want to bound $f(X_1, ..., X_n) E[f(X_1, ..., X_n)]$
- Define $Y_k = E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, X_k]$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$
- $Y_0 = E[f(X)]$ and $Y_n = f(X)$
- Now $f(X) E[f(X)] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \underbrace{(Y_{i+1} Y_i)}_{D_i}$

- So far we have looked at sums of random variables. What if want to study properties of functions of independent random variables?
- Consider *n* independent random variables $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$.
- We want to bound $f(X_1, ..., X_n) E[f(X_1, ..., X_n)]$
- Define $Y_k = E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, X_k]$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$
- $Y_0 = E[f(X)]$ and $Y_n = f(X)$
- Now $f(X) E[f(X)] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \underbrace{(Y_{i+1} Y_i)}_{D_i}$
- This forms a Martingale difference sequence.

Martingales

Definition

A sequence of random variables $\{Y_i\}$ adapted to a filtration \mathcal{F}_i is a martingale if, for all i,

$$E|Y_i| < \infty$$
 $E[Y_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = Y_i$

- A filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a sequence of nested $\sigma-$ fields, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_i\subseteq\mathcal{F}_{i+1}.$
- Y_i is adapted to \mathcal{F}_i means that each Y_i is measurable w.r.t \mathcal{F}_i .

Martingales

Definition

A sequence of random variables $\{Y_i\}$ adapted to a filtration \mathcal{F}_i is a martingale if, for all i,

$$E|Y_i| < \infty$$
 $E[Y_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = Y_i$

- A filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a sequence of nested σ fields, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_i \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$.
- Y_i is adapted to \mathcal{F}_i means that each Y_i is measurable w.r.t \mathcal{F}_i .
- If $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$, then we say that $\{Y_i\}$ forms a martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}$.

Martingales

Definition

A sequence of random variables $\{Y_i\}$ adapted to a filtration \mathcal{F}_i is a martingale if, for all i,

$$E|Y_i| < \infty$$
 $E[Y_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = Y_i$

- A filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a sequence of nested σ fields, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_i \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$.
- Y_i is adapted to \mathcal{F}_i means that each Y_i is measurable w.r.t \mathcal{F}_i .
- If $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$, then we say that $\{Y_i\}$ forms a martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}$.
- If $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(Y_1, \dots, Y_i)$, then we say that $\{Y_i\}$ forms a martingale sequence.

Example-partial sums of i.i.d sequences

Example

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables with $E[X_1] = \mu$. Let $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$. Then $\{Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^i X_k - i\mu\}$ is a martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}$.

Example-partial sums of i.i.d sequences

Example

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables with $E[X_1] = \mu$. Let $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$. Then $\{Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^i X_k - i\mu\}$ is a martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}$.

• Y_i is measurable w.r.t \mathcal{F}_i .

Example-partial sums of i.i.d sequences

Example

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables with $E[X_1] = \mu$. Let $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$. Then $\{Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^i X_k - i\mu\}$ is a martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}$.

- Y_i is measurable w.r.t \mathcal{F}_i .
- Finally,

$$E[Y_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = E[X_{i+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{i} X_k - (i+1)\mu|\mathcal{F}_i]$$
$$= \mu + \sum_{k=1}^{i} X_k - (i+1)\mu = Y_i$$

Doob construction

Example

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables. Let $Y_i = E[f(X)|X_1,\ldots,X_i]$ and assume that $E[|f(X)|] < \infty$. Then $\{Y_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

Doob construction

Example

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables. Let $Y_i = E[f(X)|X_1,\ldots,X_i]$ and assume that $E[|f(X)|] < \infty$. Then $\{Y_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

• $E[|Y_i|] = E[|E[f(X)|X_1, ..., X_i]|] \le E[|f(X)|] < \infty$. (Use Jensen on |(.)|)

Doob construction

Example

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d random variables. Let $Y_i = E[f(X)|X_1,\ldots,X_i]$ and assume that $E[|f(X)|] < \infty$. Then $\{Y_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

- $E[|Y_i|] = E[|E[f(X)|X_1,...,X_i]|] \le E[|f(X)|] < \infty$. (Use Jensen on |(.)|)
- Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} E[Y_{i+1}|X_1,\ldots,X_i] &= E[E[f(X)|X_1,\ldots,X_{i+1}]|X_1,\ldots,X_i] \\ &= E[f(X)|X_1,\ldots,X_i] = Y_i \end{split}$$
 The tower property

Likelihood ratio

Example

Let f,g be two densities such that g is absolutely continuous w.r.t f. Suppose $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f$ and Y_n is the likelihood ratio $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)}$ for the first n datapoints. Then $\{Y_n\}$ forms a Martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_n\}$.

Likelihood ratio

Example

Let f,g be two densities such that g is absolutely continuous w.r.t f. Suppose $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f$ and Y_n is the likelihood ratio $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)}$ for the first n datapoints. Then $\{Y_n\}$ forms a Martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_n\}$.

• First recall that $E[|Y_n|] = E[Y_n] = 1$

Likelihood ratio

Example

Let f, g be two densities such that g is absolutely continuous w.r.t f. Suppose $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f$ and Y_n is the likelihood ratio $\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)}$ for the first n datapoints. Then $\{Y_n\}$ forms a Martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_n\}$.

- First recall that $E[|Y_n|] = E[Y_n] = 1$
- •

$$E[Y_{n+1}|X_1,\ldots,X_n] = E\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)} \middle| X_1,\ldots,X_n\right]$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{g(X_i)}{f(X_i)} E\left[\frac{g(X_{n+1})}{f(X_{n+1})}\right] = Y_n$$

Definition

A sequence $\{D_i\}$ of random variables adapted to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a Martingale Difference Sequence if,

$$E[|D_i|]<\infty \qquad E[D_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i]=0$$

Definition

A sequence $\{D_i\}$ of random variables adapted to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a Martingale Difference Sequence if,

$$E[|D_i|] < \infty$$
 $E[D_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = 0$

• Let $\{Y_i\}$ be a martingale sequence.

Definition

A sequence $\{D_i\}$ of random variables adapted to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a Martingale Difference Sequence if,

$$E[|D_i|] < \infty$$
 $E[D_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = 0$

- Let $\{Y_i\}$ be a martingale sequence.
- Then $D_{i+1} = Y_{i+1} Y_i$ define a Martingale Difference Sequence.
- $E[D_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = E[Y_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] E[Y_i|\mathcal{F}_i] = Y_i Y_i = 0.$

Definition

A sequence $\{D_i\}$ of random variables adapted to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ is a Martingale Difference Sequence if,

$$E[|D_i|] < \infty$$
 $E[D_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = 0$

- Let $\{Y_i\}$ be a martingale sequence.
- Then $D_{i+1} = Y_{i+1} Y_i$ define a Martingale Difference Sequence.
- $E[D_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = E[Y_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] E[Y_i|\mathcal{F}_i] = Y_i Y_i = 0.$
 - $E[Y_{i+1}|\mathcal{F}_i] = Y_i$ because of the martingale property,
 - $E[Y_i|\mathcal{F}_i] = Y_i$ since Y_i is measurable w.r.t the filtration \mathcal{F}_i .

Concentration inequalities

Theorem

Consider a Martingale sequence $\{D_i\}$ (adapted to a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$) that satisfies $E[e^{\lambda D_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \leq e^{\lambda^2 \nu_i^2/2}$ a.s. for any $|\lambda| < 1/b_i$.

- The sum $\sum_{i} D_{i}$ is sub-exponential with parameters $(\sqrt{\sum_{k} \nu_{k}^{2}, b_{*}})$ where $b_{*} := \max_{i} b_{i}$.
- Hence for all $t \ge 0$,

$$P\left[|\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}| \ge t\right] \le \begin{cases} 2e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2\sum_{k}\nu_{k}^{2}}} & \text{If } 0 \le t \le \frac{\sum_{k}\nu_{k}^{2}}{b_{*}}\\ 2e^{-\frac{t}{2b_{*}}} & \text{If } t > \frac{\sum_{k}\nu_{k}^{2}}{b_{*}} \end{cases}$$

Let
$$X := \sum_{i=1}^n D_i$$
.

$$\begin{split} E[e^{\lambda \sum_{i} D_{i}}] &= E[E[e^{\lambda \sum_{i} D_{i}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]] = E[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_{i}} E[e^{\lambda D_{n}} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]] \\ &\leq E[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_{i}}] e^{\lambda^{2} \nu_{n}^{2}/2} \qquad \text{If } |\lambda| < 1/b_{n} \\ &\leq E[e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} D_{i}}] e^{\lambda^{2} (\nu_{n-1}^{2} + \nu_{n}^{2})/2} \qquad \text{If } |\lambda| < 1/b_{n}, 1/b_{n-1} \\ &\leq e^{\sum_{i} \lambda^{2} \nu_{i}^{2}/2} \qquad \text{If } |\lambda| < \min_{i} 1/b_{i} \end{split}$$

Using our previous theorem on sub-exponential random variables, the result is proven in one direction. The other direction is identical leading to the factor of 2.

Azuma-Hoeffding

Corollary (Azuma-Hoeffding)

Let $\{D_k\}$ be a Martingale Difference Sequence adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_k\}$ and suppose $|D_k| \leq b_k$ a.s. for all $k \geq 1$. Then $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$P\left[\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} D_{k}\right| \ge t\right] \le 2e^{-\frac{t^{2}}{2\sum_{k} b_{k}^{2}}}$$

Proof.

- We can rework the last proof. We need $|E[e^{\lambda D_n}|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}]|$.
- This is bounded by $e^{\lambda^2 b_n^2/2}$, since D_n is mean zero sub-gaussian with $\sigma = b_n$.

McDiarmid's inequality

Theorem

Let $f: \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following bounded difference condition $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, x_i' \in \mathcal{X}$:

$$|f(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x_i,x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n)-f(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x_i',x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n)| \leq B_i,$$

then,
$$P(|f(X) - E[f(X)]| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_i B_i^2}\right)$$

 Note that this boils down to Hoeffding's when f is the sum of bounded random variables.

Proof.

• Define $Y_i = E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_i]$ and $D_i = Y_i - Y_{i-1}$.

Proof.

- Define $Y_i = E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_i]$ and $D_i = Y_i Y_{i-1}$.
- Since $\{Y_i\}$ is a Martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}$, $\{D_i\}$ is a Martingale difference sequence.

Proof.

- Define $Y_i = E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_i]$ and $D_i = Y_i Y_{i-1}$.
- Since $\{Y_i\}$ is a Martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}$, $\{D_i\}$ is a Martingale difference sequence.
- We have:

$$D_{i} = E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_{i}] - E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$$

$$= E[f(X)|X_{1},...,X_{i}] - E[f(X)|X_{1},...,X_{i-1}]$$

$$\leq \sup_{X} (E[f(X)|X_{1},...,X] - E[f(X)|X_{1},...,X_{i-1}]) =: U_{i}$$

$$D_{i} \geq \inf_{X} (E[f(X)|X_{1},...,X] - E[f(X)|X_{1},...,X_{i-1}]) =: L_{i}$$

Proof.

- Define $Y_i = E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_i]$ and $D_i = Y_i Y_{i-1}$.
- Since $\{Y_i\}$ is a Martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}$, $\{D_i\}$ is a Martingale difference sequence.
- We have:

$$\begin{split} D_i &= E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_i] - E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \\ &= E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, X_i] - E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}] \\ &\leq \sup_X (E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, x] - E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}]) =: U_i \\ D_i &\geq \inf_X (E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, x] - E[f(X)|X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}]) =: L_i \end{split}$$

• We also have:

$$U_i - L_i \leq B_i$$

Proof.

- Define $Y_i = E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_i]$ and $D_i = Y_i Y_{i-1}$.
- Since $\{Y_i\}$ is a Martingale sequence w.r.t $\{X_i\}$, $\{D_i\}$ is a Martingale difference sequence.
- We have:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{i} &= E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_{i}] - E[f(X)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}] \\ &= E[f(X)|X_{1}, \dots, X_{i}] - E[f(X)|X_{1}, \dots, X_{i-1}] \\ &\leq \sup_{X} (E[f(X)|X_{1}, \dots, X] - E[f(X)|X_{1}, \dots, X_{i-1}]) =: U_{i} \\ D_{i} &\geq \inf_{X} (E[f(X)|X_{1}, \dots, X] - E[f(X)|X_{1}, \dots, X_{i-1}]) =: L_{i} \end{aligned}$$

• We also have:

$$U_i - L_i \leq B_i$$

• Now apply Azuma-Hoeffding.

Example

Consider an i.i.d random variable sequence $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $|X_k| \leq b$. Define the mean absolute deviation:

$$U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{j \neq k} |X_j - X_k|$$

As we will see later, the above is a type of a pairwise U-Statistics. We want to bound |U - E[U]|.

Note that the summands are not independent.

Example

Consider an i.i.d random variable sequence $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $|X_k| \leq b$. Define the mean absolute deviation:

$$U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{j \neq k} |X_j - X_k|$$

As we will see later, the above is a type of a pairwise U-Statistics. We want to bound |U - E[U]|.

- Note that the summands are not independent.
- \bullet Also note that $||X_i-X_j'|-|X_i-X_j'||\leq |X_j-X_j'|\leq 2b$

Example

Consider an i.i.d random variable sequence $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $|X_k| \leq b$. Define the mean absolute deviation:

$$U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{j \neq k} |X_j - X_k|$$

As we will see later, the above is a type of a pairwise U-Statistics. We want to bound |U - E[U]|.

- Note that the summands are not independent.
- ullet Also note that $||X_i-X_j|-|X_i-X_j'||\leq |X_j-X_j'|\leq 2b$
- So $|U(x_1,...,x_i,...,x_n) U(x_1,...,x_i',...,X_n)| \le \frac{(n-1)2b}{\binom{n}{2}} = \frac{4b}{n}$

Example

Consider an i.i.d random variable sequence $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with $|X_k| \leq b$. Define the mean absolute deviation:

$$U = \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}} \sum_{j \neq k} |X_j - X_k|$$

As we will see later, the above is a type of a pairwise U-Statistics. We want to bound |U - E[U]|.

- Note that the summands are not independent.
- Also note that $||X_i X_j| |X_i X_j'|| \le |X_j X_j'| \le 2b$
- So $|U(x_1,...,x_i,...,x_n) U(x_1,...,x_i',...,X_n)| \le \frac{(n-1)2b}{\binom{n}{2}} = \frac{4b}{n}$
- Use McDiarmid's inequality, $P(|U E[U]| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(\frac{-nt^2}{8b^2}\right)$

Example: Number of triangles in an Erdos Renyi graph

Example

Consider an Erdős Rényi (ER(p)) random graph. What can we say about the number of triangles Δ ?

- Let n be the number of nodes. $m = \binom{n}{2}$ be the number of ordered pairs. Call this set E.
- An ER(p) graph chooses the edges randomly as iid Bernoulli r.v.s $\{X_e: e \in E\}$ with $P(X_e = 1) = p$.
- Let $\mathcal{T} \subset E^3$ be the set of 3-tuples of node pairs which can form a triangle. e.g. $\{(i,j),(j,k),(k,i)\}\in\mathcal{T}.\ |\mathcal{T}|=\binom{n}{3}.$
- $\bullet \ \ \text{We have} \ f(X) = \sum_{\{e_1, e_2, e_3\} \in \mathcal{T}} X_{e_1} X_{e_2} X_{e_3}.$

Example: Number of triangles in an Erdos Renyi graph-Cont.

Example

Consider an Erdős Rényi (ER(p)) random graph. What can we say about the number of triangles Δ ?

- If I switch $X_e = 1$ to 0 how much can f(X) change?
- It changes by all triangles incident on that edge. The maximum number of such triangles is n-2. So L=n-2.
- Hence $P(|f(X) E[f(X)]| \ge t) \le 2e^{-\frac{2t^2}{m(n-2)^2}}$
- $E[f(X)] = \binom{n}{3} p^3$. If we set $t = \Theta(n^2 \log n)$, then the error probability goes to zero.
- But in order for this to give concentration we need, $t/n^3 \rho^3 \to 0$, i.e. $n\rho >> n^{2/3}$

Example: Number of triangles in an Erdos Renyi graph-Cont.

Example

Consider an Erdős Rényi (ER(p)) random graph. What can we say about the number of triangles Δ ?

- But in order for this to give concentration we need, $t/n^3 \rho^3 \to 0$, i.e. $n\rho >> n^{5/6} (\log n)^{1/6}$
- One can however use Chen-Stein method to show that f(X) is approximately $Poisson\left(\binom{n}{3}p^3\right)$.
- So the above should hold as long as $np \to \infty$. But McDiarmid requires a much stronger condition!
- What if we could plug in the expected value of the Lipschitz constant, i.e. np²?
- Then the exponent would be e^{-2t^2/n^4p^4} . Taking $t=n^2p^2$, we see that concentration would amount to having $np >> \log n$ which matches with the Poisson limit argument.

Lipschitz functions of Gaussian random variables

Definition

A function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is L-Lipschitz w.r.t the Euclidean norm if

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le L||x - y||_2 \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Theorem

Let (X_1, \ldots, X_n) be a vector of iid N(0,1) random variables. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be L-Lipschitz w.r.t the Euclidean norm. Then f(X) - E[f(X)] is sub-gaussian with parameter at most L, i.e. $\forall t \geq 0$,

$$P(|f(X) - E[f(X)]| \ge t) \le e^{-\frac{t^2}{2L^2}}$$

• A L Lipschitz function of a vector of i.i.d N(0,1) random variables concentrate like a $N(0,L^2)$ random variable, irrespective of how long the vector is.