

Homework Assignment 1

Shuying Wang

SDS 384-11 Theoretical Statistics

1. Solution:

(a)

$$\text{Let } z_n = \frac{X_n - E(X_n)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(X_n)}} \xrightarrow{d} X \quad w_n = \frac{Y_n - E(Y_n)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(Y_n)}}$$

$$\text{corr}(X_n, Y_n) = \text{corr}(z_n, w_n) = \text{cov}(z_n, w_n) = E(z_n w_n) \rightarrow 1$$

$$E[(z_n - w_n)^2] = E(z_n^2 + w_n^2 - 2z_n w_n) = 2 - 2E(z_n w_n) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{So } (w_n - z_n) \xrightarrow{q.m.} 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad (w_n - z_n) \xrightarrow{d} 0$$

$$\text{We have } z_n \xrightarrow{d} X, \quad (w_n - z_n) \xrightarrow{d} 0$$

So according to Slutsky's theorem:

$$(z_n + w_n - z_n) \xrightarrow{d} (X + 0) \quad \Rightarrow \quad w_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$

$$\text{So } \frac{Y - E(Y_n)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(Y_n)}} \xrightarrow{d} X$$

(b) It's not true.

A counterexample:

$$\text{Let } u = \begin{cases} 1 & w.p. \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & w.p. \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$X, Y \text{ be independent r.v. } X \sim N(0, 1) \quad Y \sim U(-\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3})$$

$$\Rightarrow E(u) = E(X) = E(Y) = 0 \quad \text{var}(u) = \text{var}(X) = \text{var}(Y) = 1$$

$$\text{Let } (X_n, Y_n) = \begin{cases} (nu, nu) & w.p. \frac{1}{n} \\ (X, Y) & w.p. 1 - \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(X_n) = \text{var}(Y_n) = E(n^2 u^2)P(X_n = nu) + E(X^2)P(X_n = X) = n + 1 - \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\text{corr}(X_n, Y_n) = \frac{E(X_n Y_n)}{\text{var}(X_n)} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}E(n^2 u^2) + (1 - \frac{1}{n})E(XY)}{n + 1 - \frac{1}{n}} = \frac{n}{n + 1 - \frac{1}{n}} \rightarrow 1$$

$$P(X_n \leq x) = P(un \leq x)\frac{1}{n} + P(X \leq x)(1 - \frac{1}{n}) \rightarrow P(X \leq x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

So, $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, similarly we can show that $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Y$

2.

$$|P(S_n \in A) - P(Z \in A)| \leq \sum_i p_i^2.$$

Solution:

$$\text{Let } U_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} U(0, 1) \quad X_i = I(U_i > 1 - p_i) \quad S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

$$\text{Let } Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(p_i) \quad P(Y_i = y) = \frac{e^{-p_i} p_i^y}{y!} \text{ for } y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$P(Y_i = y | U_i) = I\left[\sum_{k=0}^{y-1} P(Y_i = k) \leq U_i < \sum_{k=0}^y P(Y_i = k)\right]$$

$$\text{Let } Z = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$$

(1)

when $P(S_n \in A) \leq P(Z \in A)$

$$\begin{aligned} |P(S_n \in A) - P(Z \in A)| &= P(Z \in A) - P(S_n \in A) \\ &\leq P(Z \in A) - P(S_n \in A, Z \in A, S_n = Z) \\ &= P(z \in A) - P(z \in A, S_n = Z) \\ &= P(Z \in A, S_n \neq Z) \\ &\leq P(S_n \neq Z) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that when $P(S_n \in A) \geq P(Z \in A)$

$$|P(S_n \in A) - P(Z \in A)| \leq P(S_n \neq Z)$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i \neq Y_i) &\geq P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i \neq Y_i\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n X_i = Y_i\right) \\ &\geq 1 - P(S_n = Z) = P(S_n \neq Z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } |P(S_n \in A) - P(Z \in A)| \leq P(S_n \neq Z) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i \neq Y_i)$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_i \neq Y_i) &= P(X_i = 0, Y_i > 0) + P(X_i = 1, Y_i = 0) + P(X_i = 1, Y_i > 1) \\ &= P(e^{-p_i} \leq U_i \leq 1 - p_i) + P(1 - p_i < U_i < e^{-p_i}) + P(U_i > e^{-p_i} + e^{-1}p_i) \\ &= 0 + e^{-p_i} - (1 - p_i) + 1 - (e^{-p_i} + e^{-1}p_i) \\ &= p_i(1 - e^{-p_i}) \leq p_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } |P(S_n \in A) - P(Z \in A)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n P(X_i \neq Y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$$

3. Solution:

$$T_n - \mu_n = \sum_{j=1}^n z_{nj}X_j - \sum_{j=1}^n z_{nj}E(X_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_{nj}(X_j - \mu)$$

$$\text{Let } Y_j = z_{nj}(X_j - \mu) \quad E(Y_j) = 0$$

$$\text{Let } \sigma_{nj}^2 = \text{var}(Y_j) = \text{var}[z_{nj}(X_j - \mu)] = z_{nj}^2 \sigma^2$$

$$\sigma_n^2 = \text{var}(T_n) = \text{var}(T_n - \mu_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_{nj}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n z_{nj}^2 \sigma^2$$

So $T_n - \mu_n = \sum_{j=1}^n Y_j$, where Y_j are independent *r.v.* with mean 0, variance σ_{nj}^2

Therefore $\frac{T_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$, as long as the Lindeberg condition holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n E[Y_j^2 I(|Y_j| \geq \epsilon \sigma_n)] \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n E[z_{nj}^2 (X_j - \mu)^2 I(z_{nj}^2 (X_j - \mu)^2 \geq \epsilon^2 \sigma_n^2)] \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n z_{nj}^2 E[(X_j - \mu)^2 I((X_j - \mu)^2 \geq \frac{1}{z_{nj}^2} \epsilon^2 \sigma_n^2)] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n z_{nj}^2 E[(X_j - \mu)^2 I((X_j - \mu)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\max z_{nj}^2} \epsilon^2 \sigma_n^2)] \\
&= \frac{\sum z_{nj}^2}{\sigma^2 \sum z_{nj}^2} E[(X_j - \mu)^2 I((X_j - \mu)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\max z_{nj}^2} \epsilon^2 \sigma_n^2)] \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} E[(X_j - \mu)^2 I((X_j - \mu)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\max z_{nj}^2} \epsilon^2 \sigma_n^2)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{As } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \frac{\max z_{nj}^2}{\sum z_{nj}^2} \rightarrow 0 & \Rightarrow \frac{\sum z_{nj}^2}{\max z_{nj}^2} \rightarrow \infty \\
& \Rightarrow I((X_j - \mu)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\max z_{nj}^2} \epsilon^2 \sigma_n^2) \rightarrow 0 \\
& \Rightarrow E[(X_j - \mu)^2 I((X_j - \mu)^2 \geq \frac{1}{\max z_{nj}^2} \epsilon^2 \sigma_n^2)] \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \frac{1}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{j=1}^n E[Y_j^2 I(|Y_j| \geq \epsilon \sigma_n)] \rightarrow 0$$

The Lindeberg condition holds, so $\frac{T_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$

4. Solution:

(a) $g(x) = I(0 < x < 10)$

It is bounded, but not continuous at $x=0$ and $x=10$, so it's not necessarily true that $E[g(X_n)] \rightarrow E[g(x)]$

Counterexample: let $X_n = X + \frac{1}{n}$

$$P(X_n \leq x) = P(X + \frac{1}{n} \leq x) \rightarrow P(X \leq x) \quad \text{so } X_n = X + \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow X$$

$$\text{But } E[g(X_n)] = P(0 < X_n < 10) = P(0 \leq X \leq 9)$$

$$E[g(x)] = P(0 < X < 10) = P(1 \leq X \leq 9)$$

So $E[g(X_n)]$ doesn't converge to $E[g(x)]$

$$(b) \ g(x) = e^{-x^2}$$

$0 < e^{-x^2} \leq 1$, so $g(x)$ is bounded and continuous, so according to the Portmanteau Theorem, $E[g(X_n)] \rightarrow E[g(x)]$

$$(c) \ g(x) = \sin(\cos(x))$$

It's bounded and continuous at all integers, so according to the Portmanteau Theorem, $E[g(X_n)] \rightarrow E[g(x)]$

$$(d) \ g(x) = x \text{ It is not bounded, so it's not necessarily true that } E[g(X_n)] \rightarrow E[g(x)]$$

Counterexample:

$$\text{Let } X_n = \begin{cases} n & w.p. \frac{1}{n} \\ X & w.p. 1 - \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}$$

$$P(X_n \leq x) = \frac{1}{n}P(n \leq x) + (1 - \frac{1}{n})P(X \leq x) \rightarrow P(X \leq x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\text{So } X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$

$$\text{But } E[g(X_n)] = E[X_n] = 1 + (1 - \frac{1}{n})E(X) \rightarrow 1 + E[g(x)]$$

So $E[g(X_n)]$ doesn't converge to $E[g(x)]$

5. Solution:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X \quad \Rightarrow \quad P(X_n \leq x) \rightarrow P(X \leq x)$$

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Y \quad \Rightarrow \quad P(Y_n \leq y) \rightarrow P(Y \leq y)$$

X_n and Y_n are independent, so

$$P(X_n \leq x, Y_n \leq y) = P(X_n \leq x)P(Y_n \leq y) \rightarrow P(X \leq x)P(Y \leq y) = P(X \leq x, Y \leq y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad P(X_n \leq x, Y_n \leq y) \rightarrow P(X \leq x, Y \leq y)$$

$$\text{So } (X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} (X, Y)$$