

# SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

**Lecture 8: U Statistics** 

Purnamrita Sarkar Department of Statistics and Data Science The University of Texas at Austin

#### **U** Statistics

- We will see many interesting examples of U statistics.
- Interesting properties
  - Unbiased
  - Reduces variance
  - Concentration (via McDiarmid)
  - Asymptotic variance
  - Asymptotic distribution

# An estimable parameter

- Let P be a family of probability measures on some arbitrary measurable space.
- We will now define a notion of an an estimable parameter. (coined "regular parameters" by Hoeffding.)
- An estimable parameter  $\theta(P)$  satisfies the following.

### Theorem (Halmos)

 $\theta$  admits an unbiased estimator iff for some integer m there exists an unbiased estimator of  $\theta(P)$  based on  $X_1, \ldots, X_m \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P$  that is, if there exists a real-valued measurable function  $h(X_1, \ldots, X_m)$  such that

$$\theta = \mathit{Eh}(X_1, \ldots, X_m).$$

The smallest integer m for which the above is true is called the degree of  $\theta(P)$ .

### **U** statistics

- The function *h* may be taken to be a symmetric function of its arguments.
- This is because if  $f(X_1, ..., X_m)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta(P)$ , so is

$$h(X_1,\ldots,X_m):=\frac{\sum_{\pi\in\Pi_m}f(X_{\pi_1},\ldots,X_{\pi_m})}{m!}$$

• For simplicity, we will assume *h* is symmetric for our notes.

# U Statistics (Due to Wassily Hoeffding in 1948)

#### **Definition**

Let  $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f$ , let  $h(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  be a symmetric kernel function and  $\Theta(F) = E[h(x_1, \dots, x_r)]$ . A U-statistic  $U_n$  of order r is defined as

$$U_n = \frac{\sum_{\{i_1,...,i_r\} \in \mathcal{I}_r} h(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, ..., X_{i_r})}{\binom{n}{r}},$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_r$  is the set of subsets of size r from [n].

# Sample variance as an U-Statistic

#### **Example**

The sample variance is an U-statistic of order 2.

#### Proof.

Let  $\theta(F) = \sigma^2$ .

$$\sum_{i \neq j}^{n} (X_i - X_j)^2 = 2n \sum_{i} X_i^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} X_i X_j$$

$$= 2n \sum_{i} X_i^2 - 2n^2 \bar{X}^2$$

$$= 2n(n-1) \frac{\sum_{i} X_i^2 - n\bar{X}^2}{n-1}$$

$$U_n := \frac{\sum_{i < j}^{n} (X_i - X_j)^2 / 2}{n(n-1)/2} = 2s_n^2$$

## Sample variance as U-statistic

- Is its expectation the variance?
- $\frac{1}{2}E[(X_1-X_2)^2] = \frac{1}{2}E(X_1-\mu-(X_2-\mu))^2 = \sigma^2$

# U-statistics examples: Wilcoxon one sample rank statistic

### **Example**

 $U_n = \sum_i R_i 1(X_i > 0)$ , where  $R_i$  is the rank of  $X_i$  in the sorted order  $|X_1| \le |X_2| \dots$ 

- This is used to check if the distribution of X<sub>i</sub> is symmetric around zero.
- Assume X<sub>i</sub> to be distinct.

• 
$$R_i = \sum_{j=1}^n 1(|X_j| \le |X_i|)$$

# U-statistics examples: Wilcoxon one sample rank statistic

#### **Example**

 $T_n = \sum_i R_i 1(X_i > 0)$ , where  $R_i$  is the rank of  $X_i$  in the sorted order  $|X_1| \le |X_2| \dots$ 

$$T_{n} = \sum_{i} R_{i} 1(X_{i} > 0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1(|X_{j}| \le |X_{i}|) 1(X_{i} > 0)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 1(|X_{j}| \le X_{i}) 1(X_{i} \ne 0) = \sum_{i \ne j}^{n} 1(|X_{j}| \le X_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1(X_{i} > 0)$$

$$= \sum_{i < j} 1(|X_{j}| < X_{i}) + \sum_{i < j} 1(|X_{i}| < X_{j}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1(X_{i} > 0)$$

$$= \sum_{i < j} 1(X_{i} + X_{j} > 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1(X_{i} > 0) = \binom{n}{2} U_{2} + nU_{1}$$

- Asymptotically dominated by the first term, which is an U statistic.
- Why isn't it a U statistic?

#### Kendal's Tau

#### **Example**

Let  $P_1 = (X_1, Y_1)$  and  $P_2 = (X_2, Y_2)$  be two points.  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are called concordant if the line joining them (call this  $P_1P_2$ ) has a positive slope and discordant if it has a negative slope. Kendal's tau is defined as:

$$\tau := P(P_1P_2 \text{ has } + \text{ve slope}) - P(P_1P_2 \text{ has -ve slope})$$

- This is very much like a correlation coefficient, i.e. lies between -1, 1
- Its zero when X, Y are independent, and  $\pm 1$  when Y = f(X) is a monotonically increasing (or decreasing) function.

9

### Kendal's Tau

- Define  $h(P_1, P_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P_1, P_2 \text{ is concordant} \\ -1 & \text{if } P_1, P_2 \text{ is discordant} \end{cases}$
- Now define  $h(P_1, P_2) = sgn(X_1 X_2)(Y_1 Y_2)$
- So  $U = \frac{\sum_{i < j} h(P_i, P_j)}{\binom{n}{2}}$  is an U statistic which computes Kentals Tau, and it has order 2.

## More novel examples

## Example (Gini's mean difference/ mean absolute deviation)

Let 
$$\theta(F) := E[|X_1 - X_2|]$$
; the corresponding U statistic is  $U_n = \frac{\sum_{i < j} |x_i - x_j|}{\binom{n}{2}}$ .

### **Example (Quantile Statistic)**

Let 
$$\theta(F) := P(X_1 \le t) = E[1(X_1 \le t)]$$
; the corresponding U statistic is  $U_n = \frac{\sum_i 1(X_i \le t)}{n}$ .

## **Properties of the U-statistic**

- The U is for unbiased.
- Note that  $E[U] = Eh(X_1, ..., X_r)$
- $var(U(X_1,...,X_r)) \le var(h(X_1,...,X_r))$  (Rao Blackwell theorem)
  - Just  $h(X_1, ..., X_r)$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta(F)$ .
  - But averaging over many subsets reduces variance.

# **Properties of U-statistics**

- Let  $X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$  denote the order statistics of the data.
- The empirical distribution puts 1/n mass on each data point.
- So we can think about the U statistic as

$$U_n = E[h(X_1, ..., X_r)|X_{(1)}, ..., X_{(n)}]$$

We also have:

$$E[(U - \theta)^{2}] = E\left[\left(E[h(X_{1}, ..., X_{r}) - \theta | X_{(1)}, ..., X_{(n)}]\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$\leq E[E[(h(X_{1}, ..., X_{r}) - \theta)^{2} | X_{(1)}, ..., X_{(n)}]]$$

$$= var(h(X_{1}, ..., X_{r}))$$

- Rao-Blackwell theorem says that the conditional expectation of any estimator given the sufficient statistic has smaller variance than the estimator itself.
- For  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P$ , the order statistics are sufficient. (why?)

- Consider a U statistic of order 2  $U = \frac{\sum_{i < j} h(X_i, X_j)}{\binom{n}{2}}$ .
- How does *U* concentrate around its expectation?
- Recall McDiarmid's inequality?

#### **Theorem**

Let  $f: \mathcal{X}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following bounded difference condition  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n, x_i' \in \mathcal{X}$ :

$$|f(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x_i,x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n)-f(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x_i',x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n)| \leq B_i,$$

then, 
$$P(|f(X) - E[f(X)]| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_i B_i^2}\right)$$

Consider a U statistic of order 2. 
$$U = \frac{\sum_{i < j} h(X_i, X_j)}{\binom{n}{2}}$$
.

#### **Theorem**

If 
$$|h(X_1, X_2)| \leq B$$
 a.s., then,

$$P(|U-E[U]| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{8B^2}\right).$$

#### Proof.

• Consider two samples X, X' which differ in the  $i^{th}$  coordinate.

• We have: 
$$|U(X)-U(X')|\leq \frac{\sum_{j\neq i}|h(X_i,X_j)-h(X_i,X_j')|}{\binom{n}{2}} \leq \frac{4B}{n}$$

Now we have:

$$P(|U - E[U]| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{8B^2}\right).$$

16

Now consider a U statistic of order r.  $U = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_r} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_r})}{\binom{n}{r}}$ .

#### **Theorem**

If 
$$|h(X_{i_1},\ldots,X_{i_r})| \leq B$$
 a.s., then,

$$P(|U-E[U]| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{2r^2B^2}\right).$$

#### Proof.

- Consider two samples X, X' which differ in the first coordinate.
- Let  $\mathcal{I}_{r-1}$  is the set of r-1 subsets from  $2, \ldots, n$ .
- We have:

$$|U(X) - U(X')| \le \frac{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}_{r-1}} |h(X_1, X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_r}) - h(X_1, X'_{j_1}, \dots, X'_{j_r})|}{\binom{n}{r}}$$

$$\le \frac{2B\binom{n-1}{r-1}}{\binom{n}{r}} = \frac{2rB}{n}$$

Now we have:

$$P(|U-E[U]| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{nt^2}{2r^2B^2}\right).$$

## Hoeffding's bound from his 1963

Now consider a U statistic of order r.  $U = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_r} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_r})}{\binom{n}{r}}$ .

#### **Theorem**

If  $|h(X_{i_1},\ldots,X_{i_r})| \leq B$  a.s., then,

$$P(|U - E[U]| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{\lfloor n/r \rfloor t^2}{2B^2}\right).$$

What are we missing?

#### Lets start with Markov

- First note that if I can write  $U E[U] = \sum_{i} p_i T_i$  where  $\sum_{i} p_i = 1$ ,
- Then,

$$P(U - E[U] \ge t) \le E[\exp(\lambda \sum_{i} p_{i}(T_{i} - t))]$$
  
  $\le \sum_{i} p_{i}E[\exp(\lambda(T_{i} - t))]$ 

- So, if T<sub>i</sub> is a sum of independent random variables, we can plug in previous bounds into the above.
- But how can we write the U statistics as a sum of such T<sub>i</sub>'s?

### Lets do a bit of combinatorics

- For simplicity assume that n = kr.
- Write  $V(X_1,...,X_n) = \frac{h(X_1,...,X_r) + \cdots + h(X_{(k-1)r+1},...,X_{kr})}{k}$
- Note that  $U = \frac{\sum_{\pi \in \Pi} V(X_{\pi_1}, \dots, X_{\pi_n})}{n!}$
- So set  $T_{\pi} = V(X_{\pi_1}, \dots, X_{\pi_n}) E[.]$ .
- Since V is an average of k = n/r independent random variables, using Hoeffding's inequality we have

$$E[\exp(\lambda(T_i - t))] \le \exp(-\lambda t + \lambda^2 B^2 / 2k) \le \exp(-kt^2 / 2B^2)$$

• Since each  $V_{\pi}$  behave stochastically equivalently, we can take the  $\lambda$  the same everywhere.

# Variance of U statistic

Next time!