

## SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

Lecture 12: Uniform Law of Large Numbers- VC

dimension

Purnamrita Sarkar Department of Statistics and Data Science The University of Texas at Austin

## Rademacher Complexity for general function classes

Recall that for  $|f(x)| \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|\hat{P}_{n} - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} &\leq 2\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} + \epsilon = 2E[E[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} f(X_{i})/n]|X] + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2E\sqrt{\frac{2\log(|\mathcal{F}(X_{1}^{n}) \cup -\mathcal{F}(X)|)}{n}} + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{8\log 2\max_{X} |\mathcal{F}(X_{1}^{n})|}{n}} + \epsilon \end{split}$$

- How do I control  $|\mathcal{F}(X_1^n)|$ ?
- How big is  $\max_{X} |\mathcal{F}(X_1^n)|$ ?
- Let us focus on binary functions, i.e.  $f(X_i) \in \{0, 1\}$

### **Growth function**

#### **Definition**

For a binary valued function class  $\mathcal{F}$ , the growth function is:

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = \max\{|\mathcal{F}(x_1^n)|x_1,\ldots,x_n \in \mathcal{X}\}\$$

- $\mathcal{X}$  could be  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\log(2\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n))}{n}}$
- $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) \leq 2^n$  (which is not really useful)
- We are looking for  $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$  growing polynomially with n.
  - Because then  $\|\hat{P}_n P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$

## Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension

#### Definition

A dichotomy of a set S is a partition of S into two disjoint subsets.

### Definition (In words)

A set of instances S is shattered by a binary function class  $\mathcal{F}$  iff for every dichotomy of S, there is some function in  $\mathcal{F}$  consistent with this dichotomy.

### **Definition (In math)**

A binary function class  $\mathcal F$  shatters  $(x_1,\dots,x_d)\subseteq\mathcal X$ , implies that  $|\mathcal F(x_1^d)|=2^d$ .

3

## Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension

#### **Definition**

The VC dimension of a binary function class  $\mathcal F$  is given by

$$\begin{split} d_{VC}(F) &= \max\{d: \text{some } x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathcal{X} \text{ is shattered by } \mathcal{F}\} \\ &= \max\{d: \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(d) = 2^d\} \end{split}$$

• If the VC dimension of a function class is small, then  $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$  is small.

### Sauer's lemma

#### **Theorem**

If  $d_{VC}(F) \leq d$ , then

$$\Pi_F(n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{n}{i}.$$

If  $n \ge d$ , the latter sum is no more than  $(en/d)^d$ .

 So we have the growth function is either polynomially growing with d, or 2<sup>n</sup>.

$$\Pi_{F}(n) = \begin{cases} = 2^{n} & \text{if } n \leq d \\ \leq \left(\frac{en}{d}\right)^{d} & \text{if } n > d \end{cases}$$

5

### **VC** dimension-examples

### **Example**

Let 
$$\mathcal{F}=\{1_{(-\infty,t]}:t\in\mathbb{R}\}$$
 and  $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}.$  Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=1.$ 

- First show that there exists some configuration of one point, which can be shattered by F.
  - For any point x, if x has label 1, use t > x
  - If x has label 0, use t < x.
- Now show that there exists no two points which can be shattered by F. (this takes a bit of an argument in more complex cases.)
  - For any two points (x, y) the labeling (0, 1) cannot be achieved by any function in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### **VC** dimension-examples

#### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be linear classifiers in  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F}) = 3$ .

- First show that there exists some configuration of 3 points, which can be shattered by F.
  - Purna draws picture, and if you miss class, you can easily draw a
    picture to see this.
- Now show that there exists no 4 points which can be shattered by  $\mathcal{F}$ . (this takes a bit of an argument.)

### **VC** dimension-examples

#### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be linear classifiers in  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F}) = 3$ .

- Now show that there exists no 4 points which can be shattered by F. (this takes a bit of an argument.)
  - Take 4 non-collinear points. If they are collinear, it is easy to find label configurations which cannot be shattered by a linear classifier.
  - The convex hull of these points will either be a triangle, or a quadrilateral.
  - In case the convex hull is a triangle, and there is a third point inside the convex hull, give all the points on the hull label 1 and the one inside label 0.
  - If three points are collinear or the convex hull is a quadrilateral, then just label the consecutive points with alternative labels.

### VC dimension: decision stumps in 2D

#### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be decision stumps in two dimensions. Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=3$ .

- Show that there exists three points in 2D which can be shattered by this function class. Purna draws picture.
- Now show that no four points in 2D can be shattered.

### VC dimension: decision stumps in 2D

#### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be decision stumps in two dimensions. Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=3$ .

- Case 1: all 4 points are collinear. Easy to see that this cannot be shattered, since 1,0,1,0 is not achievable.
- Case 2: the convex hull of the 4 points is a triangle.
  - Case 2a: the 4th point is on a side of this triangle. So three points are collinear, and a 1,0,1 labeling cannot be achieved by a decision stump.
  - Case 2b: the 4th point is inside. Label all the points outside as 1 and the 4th as 0. This cannot be achieved.
- Case 3: the convex hull is a quadrilateral. Just label 1,0,1,0 along the hull and this cannot be achieved.

## VC dimension: rectangles

### **Example**

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be classifiers which classify the interior (plus boundary) as one of axis aligned rectangles in  $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=4$ .

• This is on your homework.

## Sauer's lemma proof - using shifting

- For a fixed  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , consider the following table.
- Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_5\}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}$  have VC dimension d.

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$
$f_1$	0	1	0	1	1
$f_2$	1	0	0	1	1
$f_3$	1	1	1	0	1
$f_4$	0	1	1	0	0
$f_5$	0	0	0	1	0

ullet  $|\mathcal{F}|$  is the number of distinct rows of the above table.

- Consider the following shifting operation of the table.
- You start shifting columns from left to right.
- For each column, change a 1 to a zero unless it leads to a row which is already in the table.

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	
$f_1$	0	1	0	1	1	
$f_2$	1	0	0	1	1	
$f_3$	1	1	1	0	1	
$f_4$	0	1	1	0	0	
$f_5$	0	0	0	1	0	

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$
$f_1$	0	1	0	0	0
$f_2$	0	0	0	0	1
$f_3$	0	0	1	0	1
$f_4$	0	0	1	0	0
$f_5$	0	0	0	0	0

- This operation is done column after column until nothing can be shifted.
- The number of unique rows does not change.
- An all zero column implies that any subset containing that datapoint is not shattered.
- Consider a row with some 1's. Let *S* be the set of points with the 1's.
  - Every configuration with any of these 1's turned into zeros is a row in this table.
  - In other words S is shattered by  $\mathcal{F}$ .

- The column shifting never shatters a set that was not shattered already, i.e. a set of points can go from shattered to un-shattered but not the other way around.
  - If a column is all zeros after shifting, then any subset containing that datapoint is not shattered.
  - Consider the last one you shifted on a column. Either there are other
    ones, or there are none. In the second case, you are not shattering
    anything. In the first case, there are other ones in than column left.
    Then there is another row which is identical (to any row with a 1
    which did not get shifted) but a zero in that column. So the new
    zero does not shatter a set.

- Each row has at most d ones.
- Say there was a row with d+1 ones.
  - This means there is another identical row except for zeros in place of some of these 1's.
  - ullet But that is the definition of shattering. This means this set of d+1 points (where there are ones in the row) is shattered by the function class. Which is a contradiction since VC dimension is d and the shifting operation cannot increase the VC dimension, since it does not shatters a set that was not shattered already.