

# SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

Lecture 16: Uniform Law of Large Numbers- Dudley's chaining Introduction

Purnamrita Sarkar

Department of Statistics and Data Science
The University of Texas at Austin

# A sub-gaussian process

#### **Definition**

A stochastic process  $\theta \to X_\theta$  with indexing set  $\mathcal T$  is sub-Gaussian w.r.t a metric  $d_X$  if  $\forall \theta, \theta' \in \mathcal T$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb R$ ,

$$E \exp(\lambda(X_{\theta} - X_{\theta}')) \le \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 d_X(\theta, \theta')^2}{2}\right)$$

This immediately implies the following tail bound.

$$P(|X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}| \ge t) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2d_X(\theta, \theta')^2}\right)$$

1

# Upper bound by 1 step discretization

#### **Theorem**

(1-step discretization bound). Let  $\{X_{\theta}, \theta \in \mathcal{T}\}$  be a zero-mean sub-Gaussian process with respect to the metric  $d_X$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$ , we have

$$E\begin{bmatrix} \sup_{\theta,\theta'\in\mathcal{T}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) \end{bmatrix} \leq 2E \begin{bmatrix} \sup_{\theta,\theta'\in\mathcal{T}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) \end{bmatrix} + 2D\sqrt{\log N(\delta;\mathcal{T},d_X)},$$
where  $D := \max_{\theta,\theta'\in\Theta} d_X(\theta,\theta').$ 

• The mean zero condition gives us:

$$E[\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{T}} X_{\theta}] = E[\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{T}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta_0})] \leq E[\sup_{\theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{T}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'})]$$

## **Tradeoff**

$$E\left[\sup_{\theta,\theta'\in\mathcal{T}}(X_{\theta}-X_{\theta'})\right] \leq 2E\left[\sup_{\substack{\theta,\theta'\in\mathcal{T}\\d_X(\theta,\theta')\leq\delta}}(X_{\theta}-X_{\theta'})\right] + 4\underbrace{\sqrt{D^2\log N(\delta;\mathcal{T},d_X)}}_{\text{Estimation error}}$$

- As  $\delta \to 0$ , the cover becomes more refined, and so the approximation error decays to zero.
- But the estimation error grows.
- In practice the  $\delta$  can be chosen to achieve the optimal trade-off between two terms.

- Choose a  $\delta$  cover T.
- For  $\theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{T}$ , let  $\theta^1, \theta^2 \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $d_X(\theta, \theta^1) \leq \delta$  and  $d_X(\theta', \theta^2) \leq \delta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'} &= (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta^1}) + (X_{\theta^1} - X_{\theta^2}) + (X_{\theta^2} - X_{\theta'}) \\ &\leq 2 \sup_{\substack{\theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{T} \\ d_X(\theta, \theta') \leq \delta}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) + \sup_{\substack{\theta^i, \theta^j \in \mathcal{T}}} (X_{\theta^1} - X_{\theta^2}) \end{aligned}$$

• But note that  $X_{\theta^1} - X_{\theta^2} \sim Subgaussian(d_X(\theta^1, \theta^2))...$ 

4

# Finite class lemma for subgaussian processes

#### **Theorem**

Consider  $X_{\theta}$  sub-gaussian w.r.t d on  $\mathcal{T}$  and A is a set of pairs from  $\mathcal{T}$ .

$$E \max_{(\theta, \theta') \in A} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) \le D\sqrt{2 \log |A|},$$

where 
$$D := \max_{(\theta, \theta') \in A} d_X(\theta, \theta')$$
.

## Finite class lemma

$$\begin{split} \exp\left(\lambda E \max_{(\theta,\theta')\in A} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'})\right) &\leq E \exp\left(\lambda \max_{(\theta,\theta')\in A} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'})\right) \\ &= \max_{(\theta,\theta')\in A} E \exp(\lambda (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'})) \\ &\leq \sum_{(\theta,\theta')\in A} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 d\chi(\theta,\theta')^2}{2}\right) \\ &\leq |A| \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 D^2}{2}\right) \end{split}$$

Now optimize over λ.

# Finishing the proof

$$\begin{split} X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'} &\leq 2 \sup_{\substack{\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{T} \\ d_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta, \theta') \leq \delta}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) + \sup_{\theta^{i}, \theta^{j} \in \mathcal{T}} (X_{\theta^{1}} - X_{\theta^{2}}) \\ E \left[ \sup_{\theta, \theta' \in \mathcal{T}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) \right] &\leq 2E \left[ \sup_{\substack{\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{T} \\ d_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta, \theta') \leq \delta}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) \right] + E \left[ \sup_{\theta^{i}, \theta^{j} \in \mathcal{T}} (X_{\theta^{1}} - X_{\theta^{2}}) \right] \\ &\leq 2E \left[ \sup_{\substack{\gamma, \gamma' \in \mathcal{T} \\ d_{\mathcal{X}}(\theta, \theta') \leq \delta}} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) \right] + D\sqrt{2 \log N(\delta; \mathcal{T}, d_{\mathcal{X}})^{2}} \end{split}$$

# **Examples:** smoothly parametrized class

### **Example**

Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a class parametric functions  $\mathcal{F} := \{f(\theta, .) : \theta \in B_2\}$ , where  $B_2$  is the unit  $L_2$  ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under negation. f is L Lipschitz w.r.t. the Euclidean distance on  $\Theta$ , i.e.

$$|f(\theta,.)-f(\theta',.)| \leq L\|\theta-\theta_2\|_2.$$

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = O\left(L\sqrt{\frac{d\log(Ln)}{n}}\right)$$

- Denote  $f(\theta, X_1^n)$  as the vector  $(f(\theta, X_1), \dots, f(\theta, X_n))$ .
- Recall that  $n\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = E\left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \langle \epsilon, f(\theta, X_1^n) \rangle\right] = E\left[\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \langle \epsilon, f(\theta, X_1^n) \rangle\right]$
- The process  $f(\theta, X_1^n) \to \langle \epsilon, f(\theta, X_1^n) \rangle =: Y_{\theta}$  is mean zero subgaussian.
- Note that  $Y_{\theta} Y'_{\theta} \sim \textit{Subgaussian}(\textit{d}_{X}(\theta, \theta'))$
- We have:

$$d_X(\theta, \theta') = \|f(\theta, X_1^n) - f(\theta', X_1^n)\|^2 \le nL^2 \|\theta - \theta'\|_2^2$$

• So it is  $L\sqrt{n}$  Lipschitz.

Also,

$$n\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) = E[\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} (Y_{\theta} - Y_{\theta'})] \le E[\sup_{\theta, \theta' \in \Theta} (Y_{\theta} - Y_{\theta'})]$$

•

$$n\mathcal{R}_{n}(\mathcal{F}) \leq E \sup_{\substack{\|\theta - \theta'\|_{2} \leq \delta \\ \theta, \theta' \in \Theta}} (Y_{\theta} - Y'_{\theta}) + 2D\sqrt{\log N(\delta; \mathcal{F}, d_{X})}$$

• 
$$A \le \delta L \sqrt{n} E \begin{bmatrix} \sup_{\|v\|_2 = 1} \langle \epsilon, v \rangle \end{bmatrix} \le \delta L n$$

• 
$$D = \sup_{\theta, \theta'} d_X(\theta, \theta) = 2L\sqrt{n}$$

• 
$$N(\delta; \mathcal{F}, d_X) \le N(\delta/L\sqrt{n}, \Theta, \|.\|_2) \le \left(1 + \frac{L\sqrt{n}}{\delta}\right)^d$$

• Finally,

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2L\delta + 4L\sqrt{\frac{d\log(1+L\sqrt{n}/\delta)}{n}}$$

• Setting  $\delta = 1/\sqrt{n}$  gives:

$$\mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq \frac{2L}{\sqrt{n}} + 4L\sqrt{\frac{d\log(1+Ln)}{n}}$$