

# Homework Assignment 3

## Due in class, Wednesday March 7th

SDS 384-11 Theoretical Statistics

1. Suppose that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are zero-mean and sub-Gaussian with parameters  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  respectively. **Assume that the variance parameters are equal to the sub-gaussian parameters, i.e.  $E[X_1^2] = \sigma_1^2$  and  $E[X_2^2] = \sigma_2^2$ . This is needed for part (a) and (c) uses part (a).**

- (a) Show that the MGF of  $V := X_1^2 - E[X_1^2]$  can be bounded as  $E[e^{tV}] \leq e^{2\sigma_1^4 t^2}$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1/4\sigma_1^2$ . *Hint: write the mgf in terms of  $X_1$  and an independent standard normal.*

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{t(X_1^2)}] &= E_{X_1, Z}[e^{\sqrt{2t}X_1 Z}] \leq E_Z[e^{t\sigma_1^2 Z^2}] \\ E[e^{t(X_1^2 - \sigma_1^2)}] &\leq E_Z[e^{t\sigma_1^2(Z^2 - 1)}] \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Z^2 - 1$  is subexponential (2, 4) the result follows.

- (b) If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are not independent, show that  $X_1 + X_2$  is sub-Gaussian with parameter at most  $\sqrt{2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{t(X_1 + X_2)}] &\stackrel{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}}{\leq} \sqrt{E[e^{2tX_1}]E[e^{2tX_2}]} \\ &\leq e^{t^2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)} = e^{t^2\sigma^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma = \sqrt{2(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)}$ .

- (c) If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent, show that  $X_1 X_2$  is sub-exponential with parameters  $(\sqrt{2}\sigma_1\sigma_2, \sqrt{2}\sigma_1\sigma_2)$ . **It seems that there is a typo in Martin's book, which is fixed. Thanks to Mohamed. Let  $V$  be defined as in part (a).**

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{tX_1 X_2}] &\leq E[e^{t^2\sigma_2^2 X_1^2/2}] = E[e^{t^2\sigma_2^2 V/2}] e^{t^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2/2} \\ &\leq e^{\sigma_1^4\sigma_2^4 t^4/2 + t^2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2/2} \quad \text{For } t^2 < \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2} \\ &\leq e^{t^2(2\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2)/2} \end{aligned}$$

2. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. samples of random variable with density  $f$  on the real line. A standard estimate of  $f$  is the kernel density estimate

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right)$$

where  $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a kernel function satisfying  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(t)dt = 1$ , and  $h$  is a bandwidth parameter. We will measure the quality of  $\hat{f}$  using

$$\|\hat{f} - f\|_1 := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(t) - f(t)|dt.$$

Prove that:

$$P(\|\hat{f} - f\|_1 \geq E\|\hat{f} - f\|_1 + \delta) \leq e^{-cn\delta^2},$$

where  $c$  is some constant.

This seems like something suited for McDiarmid or the bounded differences inequality. So we will first calculate how big the differences are.

$$\begin{aligned} & ||\hat{f}_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(t) - f(t)||_1 - ||\hat{f}_{X'_1, \dots, X_n}(t) - f(t)||_1 \\ & \leq \int |\hat{f}_{X_1, \dots, X_n}(t) - \hat{f}_{X'_1, \dots, X_n}(t)|dt \\ & \leq 1/nh \int \left| K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{x - X'_i}{h}\right) \right| dt \leq 2/n \end{aligned}$$

So, now we can use McDiarmid's inequality to get the above result.

- Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an i.i.d. sequence of Bernoulli variables with parameter  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$ , and consider the binomial random variable  $Z_n = \sum_i X_i$ . We want to prove for any  $\delta \in (0, \alpha)$ ,

$$P(Z_n \leq \delta n) \leq \exp(-nKL(\delta|\alpha)) \quad KL(\delta|\alpha) := \delta \log \frac{\delta}{\alpha} + (1 - \delta) \log \frac{1 - \delta}{1 - \alpha}$$

where  $KL(p, q)$  is the Kullback-Leibler divergence between two bernoullis with parameters  $p, q$  respectively. Show that the above is strictly better than Hoeffding's inequality.

$$P(Z_n \leq \delta n) \leq \inf_{\lambda \leq 0} E[e^{\lambda Z_n - \lambda \delta n}] = \inf_{\lambda \leq 0} e^{-\lambda \delta n} (e^{\lambda \alpha} + (1 - \alpha))^n$$

Typically we do some approximations at this point. However, we can directly minimize the above function w.r.t  $\lambda$ . This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left( -\lambda \delta n + n \log(e^{\lambda \alpha} + (1 - \alpha)) \right) = 0 \\ & \lambda = \log \frac{\delta(1 - \alpha)}{(1 - \delta)\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Note that this is also smaller than zero since  $\alpha > \delta$  and  $1 - \alpha < 1 - \delta$ . Plugging this in, we have:

$$P(Z_n \leq \delta n) \leq e^{-\lambda \delta n} (e^{\lambda \alpha} + (1 - \alpha))^n$$

Plugging in the optimal  $\lambda$  gives the result.

4. Now we will prove a lower bound on the binomial tail to show that indeed what you derived in the last question is sharp upto polynomial factors. Define  $m = \lfloor n\delta \rfloor$  and  $\delta' = \frac{m}{n}$ .

- (a) Prove  $\frac{1}{n} \log P(Z_n \leq \delta n) \geq \frac{1}{n} \log \binom{n}{m} + \delta' \log \alpha + (1 - \delta') \log(1 - \alpha)$ .  
 $P(Z_n \leq \delta n) \geq P(Z_n \leq m) \geq \binom{n}{m} \alpha^m (1 - \alpha)^{n-m}$ . Taking a log and dividing both sides by  $n$  gives the answer.
- (b) Show that

$$\frac{1}{n} \log \binom{n}{m} \geq -\delta' \log \delta' - (1 - \delta') \log(1 - \delta') - \frac{\log(n+1)}{n}$$

*Hint: Use the fact that for  $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n, m/n)$   $P(Y = k)$  is maximized at  $k = m$ . We will use the fact that the largest value of a binomial( $n, m/n$ ) PMF has to be larger than a Uniform distribution on  $\{0, n\}$ . Because if it was smaller, then the binomial PMF will sum to something smaller than one, i.e.,*

$$\binom{n}{m} (\delta')^m (1 - \delta')^{n-m} \geq \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Taking a logarithm and dividing by  $n$  gives the result.

- (c) Now show that

$$P(Z_n \leq \delta n) \geq \frac{1}{n+1} \exp(-nKL(\delta' || \alpha))$$

**Note that the original question had  $\delta$  here. Asymptotically this is not incorrect, since  $\delta'$  and  $\delta$  are asymptotically the same. But just to avoid confusion, I am replacing this with  $\delta'$ . Thanks to Jinjie for pointing it out.**

Plugging in part (b)'s lower bound into part (a) and exponentiating both sides immediately gives the final result.