

SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

Lecture 12: Uniform Law of Large Numbers- VC

dimension

Purnamrita Sarkar Department of Statistics and Data Science The University of Texas at Austin

Rademacher Complexity for general function classes

Recall that for $|f(x)| \leq 1$,

$$\begin{split} \|\hat{P}_{n} - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} &\leq 2\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} + \epsilon = 2E[E[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i} \epsilon_{i} f(X_{i})/n]|X] + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2E\sqrt{\frac{2\log(|\mathcal{F}(X_{1}^{n}) \cup -\mathcal{F}(X)|)}{n}} + \epsilon \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{8\log 2\max_{X} |\mathcal{F}(X_{1}^{n})|}{n}} + \epsilon \end{split}$$

- How do I control $|\mathcal{F}(X_1^n)|$?
- How big is $\max_{X} |\mathcal{F}(X_1^n)|$?
- Let us focus on binary functions, i.e. $f(X_i) \in \{0, 1\}$

Growth function

Definition

For a binary valued function class \mathcal{F} , the growth function is:

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) = \max\{|\mathcal{F}(x_1^n)|x_1,\ldots,x_n \in \mathcal{X}\}\$$

- \mathcal{X} could be \mathbb{R}^d .
- $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\log(2\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n))}{n}}$
- $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n) \leq 2^n$ (which is not really useful)
- We are looking for $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$ growing polynomially with n.
 - Because then $\|\hat{P}_n P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$

Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension

Definition

A dichotomy of a set S is a partition of S into two disjoint subsets.

Definition (In words)

A set of instances S is shattered by a binary function class \mathcal{F} iff for every dichotomy of S, there is some function in \mathcal{F} consistent with this dichotomy.

Definition (In math)

A binary function class $\mathcal F$ shatters $(x_1,\dots,x_d)\subseteq\mathcal X$, implies that $|\mathcal F(x_1^d)|=2^d$.

Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension

Definition

The VC dimension of a binary function class $\mathcal F$ is given by

$$\begin{split} d_{VC}(F) &= \max\{d: \text{some } x_1, \dots, x_d \in \mathcal{X} \text{ is shattered by } \mathcal{F}\} \\ &= \max\{d: \Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(d) = 2^d\} \end{split}$$

• If the VC dimension of a function class is small, then $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}(n)$ is small.

Sauer's lemma

Theorem

If $d_{VC}(F) \leq d$, then

$$\Pi_{F}(n) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{d} \binom{n}{i}.$$

If $n \ge d$, the latter sum is no more than $(en/d)^d$.

 So we have the growth function is either polynomially growing with d, or 2ⁿ.

$$\Pi_{F}(n) = \begin{cases} = 2^{n} & \text{if } n \leq d \\ \leq \left(\frac{en}{d}\right)^{d} & \text{if } n > d \end{cases}$$

VC dimension-examples

Example

Let
$$\mathcal{F}=\{1_{(-\infty,t]}:t\in\mathbb{R}\}$$
 and $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}.$ Then $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=1.$

- First show that there exists some configuration of one point, which can be shattered by F.
 - For any point x, if x has label 1, use t > x
 - If x has label 0, use t < x.
- Now show that there exists no two points which can be shattered by F. (this takes a bit of an argument in more complex cases.)
 - For any two points (x, y) the labeling (0, 1) cannot be achieved by any function in \mathcal{F} .

VC dimension-examples

Example

Let \mathcal{F} be linear classifiers in $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F}) = 3$.

- First show that there exists some configuration of 3 points, which can be shattered by F.
 - Purna draws picture, and if you miss class, you can easily draw a
 picture to see this.
- ullet Now show that there exists no 4 points which can be shattered by $\mathcal{F}.$ (this takes a bit of an argument.)

VC dimension-examples

Example

Let \mathcal{F} be linear classifiers in $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F}) = 3$.

- Now show that there exists no 4 points which can be shattered by \mathcal{F} . (this takes a bit of an argument.)
 - Take 4 non-collinear points. If they are collinear, it is easy to find label configurations which cannot be shattered by a linear classifier.
 - The convex hull of these points will either be a triangle, or a quadrilateral.
 - In case the convex hull is a triangle, and there is a third point inside the convex hull, give all the points on the hull label 1 and the one inside label 0.
 - If three points are collinear or the convex hull is a quadrilateral, then just label the consecutive points with alternative labels.

VC dimension: decision stumps in 2D

Example

Let \mathcal{F} be decision stumps in two dimensions. Then $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=3$.

- Show that there exists three points in 2D which can be shattered by this function class. Purna draws picture.
- Now show that no four points in 2D can be shattered.

VC dimension: decision stumps in 2D

Example

Let \mathcal{F} be decision stumps in two dimensions. Then $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=3$.

- Case 1: all 4 points are collinear. Easy to see that this cannot be shattered, since 1,0,1,0 is not achievable.
- Case 2: the convex hull of the 4 points is a triangle.
 - Case 2a: the 4th point is on a side of this triangle. So three points
 are collinear, and a 1,0,1 labeling cannot be achieved by a decision
 stump.
 - Case 2b: the 4th point is inside. Label all the points outside as 1 and the 4th as 0. This cannot be achieved.
- Case 3: the convex hull is a quadrilateral. Just label 1,0,1,0 along the hull and this cannot be achieved.

VC dimension: rectangles

Example

Let \mathcal{F} be classifiers which classify the interior (plus boundary) as one of axis aligned rectangles in $\mathcal{X}=\mathbb{R}^2$. Then $d_{VC}(\mathcal{F})=4$.

• This is on your homework.

Sauer's lemma proof - using shifting

- For a fixed x_1, \ldots, x_n , consider the following table.
- Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_5\}$ and let \mathcal{F} have VC dimension d.

	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5
f_1	0	1	0	1	1
f_2	1	0	0	1	1
f_3	1	1	1	0	1
f_4	0	1	1	0	0
f_5	0	0	0	1	0

 $\bullet \ |\mathcal{F}|$ is the number of distinct rows of the above table.

- Consider the following shifting operation of the table.
- You start shifting columns from left to right.
- For each column, change a 1 to a zero unless it leads to a row which is already in the table.

	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	
f_1	0	1	0	1	1	
f_2	1	0	0	1	1	
f_3	1	1	1	0	1	
f_4	0	1	1	0	0	
f_5	0	0	0	1	0	

		x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5
	f_1	0	1	0	0	0
	f_2	0	0	0	0	1
	f_3	0	0	1	0	1
	f_4	0	0	1	0	0
	f_5	0	0	0	0	0

- This operation is done column after column until nothing can be shifted.
- The number of unique rows does not change.
- An all zero column implies that any subset containing that datapoint is not shattered.
- Consider a row with some 1's. Let *S* be the set of points with the 1's.
 - Every configuration with any of these 1's turned into zeros is a row in this table.
 - In other words S is shattered by \mathcal{F} .

- The column shifting never shatters a set that was not shattered already, i.e. a set of points can go from shattered to un-shattered but not the other way around.
 - If a column is all zeros after shifting, then any subset containing that datapoint is not shattered.
 - Say you have gone through column i. The table (or function class)
 was F before you started shifting column i and is F' after.
 - Say subset A ($i \in A$) is shattered in F'. We will show that it was also shattered in F
 - Each row with 1 in column i of F' is also there in F
 - Consider a row with 0 in column i in F'.
 - \rightarrow Since A is shattered by F', the same pattern (constrained to A) with a 1 in column i must also be in F'.
 - \rightarrow And therefore, the same pattern (constrained to A) must be there in F with a 0 in column I (since you could not shift it down.)
 - So A must be shattered by F as well.

- So shifting cannot increase VC dimension.
- Each row has at most d ones.
- The final step is how many rows can the shifted table (and hence the original table) have?
- Well the upper bound is the same as number of length n binary strings you can make with at most d ones.