## Theoretical Statistics: Homework 2

April 26, 2023

1. Define

$$f(\lambda) := \exp\left(\lambda \mu + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda X\right)\right]$$

Then, we note that f is a differentiable function and  $f(\lambda) \ge 0 \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and f(0) = 0.

(a)

$$f'(\lambda) = (\mu + \lambda \sigma^2) \exp\left(\lambda \mu + \frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right) - \mathbb{E}\left[\exp(\lambda X)X\right]$$

Therefore,

$$f'(0) = \mu - \mathbb{E}[X]$$

Since f(0) = 0 and  $f(\lambda) \ge 0$ , therefore f'(0) = 0. We can prove it via contradiction. Without loss of generality, let us consider the case of f'(0) < 0. Then,  $\exists \ \alpha > 0$  such that  $f(\alpha) < f(0)$  since f is a decreasing function at  $\lambda = 0$ , which is not possible since  $f(\lambda) \ge 0$ . The case for f'(0) > 0 can be handled similarly.

(b)

$$f''(\lambda) = \exp\left(\lambda\mu + \frac{\lambda^2\sigma^2}{2}\right) \left[\left(\mu + \sigma^2\lambda\right)^2 + \sigma^2\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda X\right)X^2\right]$$

Therefore,

$$f^{\prime\prime}\left(0\right)=\sigma^{2}+\mu^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]=\sigma^{2}-Var\left[X\right]$$

Using the result from part (a), we have that

$$f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 0, f(\lambda) > 0 \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

Therefore,  $\lambda = 0$  is a local minima of f. Hence,  $f''(0) \ge 0$ .

(c) Consider a bernoulli random variable X, with mean p specified later. We know that it is a sub-gaussian random variable via Hoeffding's lemma. The MGF of X is given as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\lambda X\right)\right] = 1 - p + e^{\lambda}$$

and the variance is given as Var(X) = p(1-p). To disprove the statement given in the problem, we show that

$$\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ such that } \underbrace{1 - p + e^{\alpha}}_{LHS} > \underbrace{e^{p\alpha + \frac{p(1 - p)\alpha^2}{2}}}_{RHS}$$

For p = 0.1,  $\alpha = 10$ , we have

$$LHS = 0.9 + e^{10}, RHS = e^{1 + \frac{9}{2}} = e^{5.5}$$

Therefore, LHS > RHS which gives us a counterexample for the claim given in the problem.

2. Consider the random variable  $cY^2$  for a constant c and  $Y \sim N(0,1)$ . Then the MGF can be written as,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(c\lambda\left(Y^{2}-1\right)\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{c\lambda\left(y^{2}-1\right)} e^{\frac{-y^{2}}{2}} dy$$

$$= \frac{e^{-c\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^{2}\left(\frac{1-2c\lambda}{2}\right)} dy$$

$$= \frac{e^{-c\lambda}}{\sqrt{1-2c\lambda}}, \ |\lambda c| < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\leq e^{2c^{2}\lambda^{2}}, |\lambda c| < \frac{1}{4}$$

Therefore,  $cY^2$  is a sub-exponential random variable with parameters (2c, 4c).

Now, let  $v = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let the eigendecomposition of Q be denoted as  $Q = P\Lambda P^T$ . Then,

$$Z = v^{T} Q v$$

$$= v^{T} P \Lambda P^{T} v$$

$$= (P^{T} v)^{T} \Lambda P^{T} v$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda (Q)_{i} (P^{T} v)_{i}^{2}$$

Note that  $(P^T v)_i \sim N(0,1)$  since P is a rotation matrix and the gaussian distribution is rotation invariant. Therefore,

$$mean\left(Z\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda\left(Q\right)_{i} = trace\left(Q\right)$$

and  $\lambda\left(Q\right)_{i}\left(P^{T}v\right)_{i}^{2}$  is a sub-exponential random variable with parameters  $(2\lambda\left(Q\right)_{i},4\lambda\left(Q\right)_{i})$ . Further, since the  $X_{i}$ 's are independent,  $\left(P^{T}v\right)_{i}$ 's are also independent since their covariance matrix can be written as

$$P^T v v^T P = P^T P$$
 since  $v v^T = I$   
=  $I$  since  $P$  is a rotation matrix

and the being uncorrelated is necessary and sufficient for the independence of gaussian random variables.

Therefore, by the sum property of independent sub-exponential random variables, Z is a sub-exponential random variable with parameters p such that

$$\begin{split} p &:= \left(2\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\lambda\left(Q\right)_{i}^{2}}, 4\max_{i=1}^{n}\lambda\left(Q\right)_{i}\right) \\ &= \left(2\left\|Q\right\|_{F}, 4\left\|Q\right\|_{op}\right) \text{ since } Q \text{ is p.s.d.} \end{split}$$

Therefore, using the properties of the tail-bounds of sub-exponential random variables, we have,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left(Z \geq mean\left(Z\right) + t\right) &\leq \max\left(\exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{8\left\|Q\right\|_F^2}\right), \exp\left(-\frac{t}{8\left\|Q\right\|_{op}}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\min\left\{\frac{t^2}{8\left\|Q\right\|_F^2}, \frac{t}{8\left\|Q\right\|_{op}}\right\}\right) \end{split}$$

Hence proved.

## 3. (a) We have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X}\right] \leq e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

Therefore, using Markov's inequality, we have,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\left(X \geq t\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(e^{\lambda X} > e^{\lambda t}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2}}}{e^{\lambda t}} \end{split}$$

Optimizing for  $\lambda$  we have,  $\lambda = \frac{t}{\sigma^2}$ . Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X \ge t\right) \le e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Therefore, following a similar argument for  $\mathbb{P}(X \leq -t)$ , we have,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|X| \ge t\right) \le 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X\right|^{p}\right] = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X\right|^{p} > t\right) dt$$
$$= \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X\right| > t^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dt$$
$$\leq 2 \int_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{t^{\frac{2}{p}}}{2\sigma^{2}}} dt$$

Let

$$u := \frac{t^{\frac{2}{p}}}{2\sigma^2}, \text{ then}$$

$$du = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \frac{2}{p} t^{\frac{2}{p} - 1} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{p\sigma^2} t^{\frac{2}{p} - 1} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{p\sigma^2} \left(2\sigma^2 u\right)^{1 - \frac{p}{2}} dt$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X\right|^{p}\right] \leq 2 \int_{u=0}^{\infty} e^{-u} p \sigma^{2} \left(2\sigma^{2} u\right)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} du$$

$$= \frac{2p\sigma^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}} \left(2\sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{u=0}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{\frac{p}{2}-1} du$$

$$= p \left(2\sigma^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)$$

Hence proved.

(b) Using the result of part (a), we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X^{2k}\right|\right] \le 2k2^{k} \left(\sigma^{2}\right)^{k} \Gamma\left(k\right)$$

$$= 2k \left(2\sigma^{2}\right)^{k} \left(k-1\right)!$$

$$= 2 \left(2\sigma^{2}\right)^{k} k! \tag{1}$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X^2}\right] &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k \mathbb{E}\left[X^{2k}\right]}{k!} \\ &= 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] + \frac{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}\left[X^4\right]}{2} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k \mathbb{E}\left[X^{2k}\right]}{k!} \\ &\leq 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] + 8\lambda^2 \sigma^4 + 2\sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \lambda^k 2^k \left(\sigma^2\right)^k \quad \text{using 1} \\ &\leq 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] + 8\lambda^2 \sigma^4 \left(1 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \lambda^{k-2} 2^{k-2} \left(\sigma^2\right)^{k-2}\right) \\ &= 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] + 8\lambda^2 \sigma^4 \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2\sigma^2\lambda\right)^k\right) \\ &= 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] + 8\lambda^2 \sigma^4 \left(1 + \frac{2\sigma^2\lambda}{1 - 2\sigma^2\lambda}\right) \\ &= 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] + \frac{8\lambda^2 \sigma^4}{1 - 2\sigma^2\lambda} \\ &\leq 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] + 16\lambda^2 \sigma^4, \quad 2\sigma^2 \left|\lambda\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ &\leq \exp\left(\lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] + 16\lambda^2 \sigma^4\right) \text{ using } 1 + x \leq e^x \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda\left(X^{2}-\mathbb{E}\left[X^{2}\right]\right)}\right] \leq \exp\left(16\lambda^{2}\sigma^{4}\right), 2\sigma^{2}\left|\lambda\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

which completes our proof.

(c) We have  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_1}\right] \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_1^2}{2}}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_2}\right] \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma_2^2}{2}}$$

Using the result from part (a), we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_1^k\right|\right] \le k2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sigma_1^k \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_2^k\right|\right] \le k2^{\frac{k}{2}} \sigma_2^k \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{1}X_{2}\right)^{k}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{1}^{k}\right|\right] \mathbb{E}\left[\left|X_{2}^{k}\right|\right]$$

$$= k^{2}2^{k} \left(\sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\right)^{k} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\right)^{2}$$
(2)

We now prove that  $f(k) := \frac{\left(k\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\right)^2}{2\times k!} \le 1$  for  $k \ge 3$ . To show this claim, we consider the case of even and odd values of k separately.

Let  $k = 2l, l \ge 2$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} f\left(2l\right) &= \frac{4l^2 \left( \left(l-1\right)! \,\right)^2}{2 \left(2l\right)!} \\ &= \frac{4l^2 \left( \left(l-1\right)! \,\right)^2}{2.2l. \left(2l-1\right) \left(2l-2\right)!} \\ &= \frac{l}{\left(2l-1\right) \binom{2l-2}{l-1}} \\ &\leq 1 \text{ since } \frac{l}{2l-1} < 1 \text{ and } \binom{2l-2}{l-1} \geq 1 \end{split}$$

Next, let  $k = 2l + 1, l \ge 1$ . Then,

$$f(2l+1) = \frac{(2l+1)!^2}{2(2l+1)!} \left(\frac{(2l)!\sqrt{\pi}}{4^l l!}\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{(2l+1)!^2 \pi}{2(2l+1)! 4^{2l} (l!)^2}$$

$$= \frac{(2l+1)! \pi}{2 \times 16^l (l!)^2}$$

$$= \frac{(2l+1)(2l)! \pi}{2 \times 16^l (l!)^2}$$

$$= \frac{(2l+1)\pi\binom{2l}{l}}{2 \times 16^l}$$

$$\leq \frac{(2l+1)\pi}{2 \times 16^l} \frac{4^l}{\sqrt{\pi l}} \text{ since } \binom{2l}{l} \leq \frac{4^l}{\sqrt{\pi l}}$$

$$= \frac{2l+1}{4^l \sqrt{l}} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$$

$$< 1$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X_1 X_2}\right] &= 1 + \lambda \mathbb{E}\left[X_1 X_2\right] + \frac{\lambda^2 \mathbb{E}\left[X_1^2 X_2^2\right]}{2} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k \mathbb{E}\left[X_1^k X_2^k\right]}{k!} \\ &\leq 1 + 8\lambda^2 \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^2 \left[1 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{\left(k\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)\right)^2}{2 \times k!} \left(2\lambda \sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^{k-2}\right] \quad \text{using 2 along with } \mathbb{E}\left[X_1 X_2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X_1\right] \mathbb{E}\left[X_2\right] = 0 \\ &= 1 + 8\lambda^2 \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^2 \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(k) \left(2\lambda \sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^k\right] \\ &\leq 1 + 8\lambda^2 \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^2 \left[1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(2\lambda \sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^k\right] \\ &= 1 + 8\lambda^2 \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2\lambda \sigma_1 \sigma_2}{1 - 2\lambda \sigma_1 \sigma_2}\right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{8\lambda^2 \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^2}{1 - 2\lambda \sigma_1 \sigma_2} \\ &\leq 1 + 16\lambda^2 \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^2 \quad 2\lambda \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \\ &\leq \exp\left(16\lambda^2 \left(\sigma_1 \sigma_2\right)^2\right) \end{split} \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{split}$$

which completes our proof.

4. For  $(\rightarrow)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X^2}\right] &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k \mathbb{E}\left[X^{2k}\right]}{k|} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^k.2k.2^k.\sigma^{2k}\left(k-1\right)!}{k!} \quad \text{using the result from part (a)} \\ &= 2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(2\lambda\sigma^2\right)k \\ &= \frac{2}{1-2\lambda\sigma^2} \text{ for } \left|2\lambda\sigma^2\right| \leq 1 \\ &\leq 4 \text{ for } \left|2\lambda\sigma^2\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{split}$$

For  $(\leftarrow)$ , let D be such that, without loss of generality,  $\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{D^2}\right)\right] \leq 2$ . Then we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(tX\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{tX^k}{k!}\right]$$

$$= 1 + \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{tX^k}{k!}\right] \text{ since } \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] = 0$$

$$\leq 1 + \frac{t^2}{2} \mathbb{E}\left[X^2 \exp\left(|tX|\right)\right] \text{ since } \frac{(tX)^k}{k!} \leq \frac{t^2X^2}{2} \frac{|tX|^{k-2}}{(k-2)!} \text{ for } k \geq 2$$

Now, using the AM - GM inequality, we have,

$$2D^2t^2 + \frac{X^2}{2D^2} \ge |tX|$$

Therefore, we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(tX\right)\right] \le 1 + \frac{t^2}{2} \exp\left(2D^2t^2\right) \mathbb{E}\left[X^2 \exp\left(\frac{X^2}{2D^2}\right)\right]$$

$$\le 1 + \frac{2D^2t^2}{2} \exp\left(D^2t^2\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{2D^2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{X^2}{2D^2}\right)\right]$$

$$= 1 + D^2t^2 \exp\left(D^2t^2\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{D^2}\right)\right]$$

$$\le 1 + 2D^2t^2 \exp\left(D^2t^2\right) \text{ since } \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\frac{X^2}{D^2}\right)\right] \le 1$$

$$\le \left(1 + 2D^2t^2\right) \exp\left(D^2t^2\right)$$

$$\le \exp\left(2D^2t^2\right) \exp\left(D^2t^2\right)$$

$$\le \exp\left(2D^2t^2\right) \exp\left(D^2t^2\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(3D^2t^2\right)$$

Therefore, X is sub-gaussian.