

## SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

#### **Lecture 1: Introduction**

Purnamrita Sarkar Department of Statistics and Data Science The University of Texas at Austin

https://psarkar.github.io/teaching

# Manegerial Stuff

- Instructor- Purnamrita Sarkar
- Course material and homeworks will be posted under https://psarkar.github.io/teaching/sds384.html
- Office hours: TBD
- Homeworks are due Biweekly
- Grading 4-5 homeworks (65%), class participation (10%) Final Exam (25%)
- Books
  - Asymptotic Statistics, Aad van der Vaart. Cambridge. 1998.
  - Martin Wainwright's High dimensional statistics: A non-asymptotic view point

## Why do theory?

- Say you have estimated  $\hat{\theta}_n$  from data  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . How do we know we have a "good" estimation method?
  - Does  $\hat{\theta}_n \to \theta$ ? This brings us to **Stochastic Convergence**.
- How do I know if one estimation method is better than another?
  - Does the estimate from one converge faster than the other?
  - Does one algorithm work under broader parameter regimes, or weaker assumptions?
  - What is the optimal rate for a given estimation problem?

#### This class

Your instructor "hopes to cover":

- Consistency of parameter estimates
  - Stochastic Convergence
  - Concentration inequalities
  - Asymptotic normality of estimators
- Empirical processes, VC classes, covering numbers
- Asymptotic testing
- Examples of network clustering with a bit of random matrix theory
- Bootstrap, Nonparametric regression and density estimation

Assume that  $X_n, n \ge 1$  and X are elements of a separable metric space (S, d).

#### **Definition (Weak Convergence)**

A sequence of random variable s converge in "law" or in "distribution" to a random variable X, i.e.  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  if  $P(X_n \le x) \to P(X \le x) \ \forall x$  at which  $P(X \le x)$  is continuous.

Assume that  $X_n$ ,  $n \ge 1$  and X are elements of a separable metric space (S,d).

### **Definition (Weak Convergence)**

A sequence of random variable s converge in "law" or in "distribution" to a random variable X, i.e.  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  if  $P(X_n < x) \to P(X < x) \ \forall x$  at which  $P(X \le x)$  is continuous.

**Definition ( Convergence in Probability)**A sequence of random variables converge in "probability" to a random variable X, i.e.  $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $P(d(X_n, X) > \epsilon) \to 0$ .

Assume that  $X_n, n \ge 1$  and X are elements of a separable metric space (S, d).

### **Definition (Almost Sure Convergence)**

A sequence of random variables converges almost surely to a random variable X, i.e.  $X_n \overset{a.s.}{\to} X$  if  $P\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} d(X_n, X) = 0\right) = 1$ .

• If you think about a (scalar) random variable as a function that maps events to a real number, almost sure convergence means  $P(\omega \in \Omega : \lim_{n \to \infty} X_n(\omega) = X(\omega)) = 1$ 

### Definition (Convergence in quadratic mean)

A sequence of random variables converges in quadratic mean to a random variable X, i.e.  $X_n \overset{q.m}{\to} X$  if  $E\left[d(X_n,X)^2\right] \to 0$ .

#### **Theorem**

$$X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$$
,  $X_n \stackrel{q.m.}{\to} X \Rightarrow X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X \Rightarrow X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$   
 $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c \Rightarrow X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} c$ 

# Converses: $X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X \not\Rightarrow X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} X$

- Convergence in law needs no knowledge of the joint distribution of X<sub>n</sub> and the limiting random variable X.
- Convergence in probability does.

### Example

Consider  $X \sim N(0,1)$ ,  $X_n = -X$ .  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ . But how about  $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ ?

Converses:  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X \not\Rightarrow X_n \stackrel{P}{\rightarrow} X$ 

- Convergence in law needs no knowledge of the joint distribution of X<sub>n</sub> and the limiting random variable X.
- Convergence in probability does.

#### **Example**

Consider  $X \sim N(0,1)$ ,  $X_n = -X$ .  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ . But how about  $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ ?

•  $P(|X_n - X| \ge \epsilon) = P(2|X| \ge \epsilon) \not\to 0 \ \forall \epsilon > 0$ . So  $X_n$  does not converge in probability to X.

#### Example

Let 
$$Z \sim U(0,1)$$
 and for  $n = 2^k + m$  for  $k \ge 0, 0 \le m < 2^k$   
 $X_n = 1(Z \in [m2^{-k}, (m+1)2^{-k}])$ , i.e.  $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1(Z \in [0, 1/2))$ ,  $X_3 = 1(Z \in [1/2, 1)), X_4 = 1(Z \in [0, 1/4)), X_5 = 1(Z \in [1/4, 1/2))$ .

#### **Example**

Let 
$$Z \sim U(0,1)$$
 and for  $n = 2^k + m$  for  $k \ge 0, 0 \le m < 2^k$   
 $X_n = 1(Z \in [m2^{-k}, (m+1)2^{-k}])$ , i.e.  $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1(Z \in [0, 1/2))$ ,  $X_3 = 1(Z \in [1/2, 1)), X_4 = 1(Z \in [0, 1/4)), X_5 = 1(Z \in [1/4, 1/2))$ .

• For any  $Z \in (0,1)$ , the sequence  $\{X_n(Z)\}$  does not converge. So  $X_n \overset{a,s}{\to} 0$ .

#### **Example**

Let 
$$Z \sim U(0,1)$$
 and for  $n = 2^k + m$  for  $k \ge 0, 0 \le m < 2^k$   
 $X_n = 1(Z \in [m2^{-k}, (m+1)2^{-k}])$ , i.e.  $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1(Z \in [0, 1/2))$ ,  $X_3 = 1(Z \in [1/2, 1)), X_4 = 1(Z \in [0, 1/4)), X_5 = 1(Z \in [1/4, 1/2))$ .

- For any  $Z \in (0,1)$ , the sequence  $\{X_n(Z)\}$  does not converge. So  $X_n \overset{a,s}{\to} 0$ .
- $X_n$  are a sequence of bernoulli's with probabilities  $p_n = 1/2^k$  where  $k = \lfloor \log n \rfloor$ .

#### **Example**

Let 
$$Z \sim U(0,1)$$
 and for  $n = 2^k + m$  for  $k \ge 0, 0 \le m < 2^k$   
 $X_n = 1(Z \in [m2^{-k}, (m+1)2^{-k}])$ , i.e.  $X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1(Z \in [0, 1/2))$ ,  $X_3 = 1(Z \in [1/2, 1)), X_4 = 1(Z \in [0, 1/4)), X_5 = 1(Z \in [1/4, 1/2))$ .

- For any  $Z \in (0,1)$ , the sequence  $\{X_n(Z)\}$  does not converge. So  $X_n \overset{a,s}{\to} 0$ .
- $X_n$  are a sequence of bernoulli's with probabilities  $p_n = 1/2^k$  where  $k = \lfloor \log n \rfloor$ .
- So  $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$  and  $X_n \stackrel{qm}{\to} 0$

#### Example

#### **Example**

• 
$$P(\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = X) = P(Z > 0) = 1$$
. So  $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ .

#### **Example**

- $P(\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = X) = P(Z > 0) = 1$ . So  $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ .
- $E|X_n|^2 = 2^{2n}/n \to \infty$ . So  $X_n \not\to 0$

### **Example**

- $P(\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n = X) = P(Z > 0) = 1$ . So  $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ .
- $E|X_n|^2 = 2^{2n}/n \to \infty$ . So  $X_n \not\stackrel{qm}{\to} 0$
- $P(|X_n| \ge \epsilon) = P(X_n = 2^n) = P(Z \in [0, 1/n)) = 1/n \to 0$

• 
$$X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$$
 implies  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $P(\{|X_n - X| \ge \epsilon \text{ i.o.}\}) = 1$ 

- $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$  implies  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $P(\{|X_n X| \ge \epsilon \text{ i.o.}\}) = 1$
- Consider a sequence of events  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ .
- Infinitely often means  $\forall n, \exists m \geq n, \text{ s.t. } A_m \text{ occurs.}$

- $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$  implies  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $P(\{|X_n X| \ge \epsilon \text{ i.o.}\}) = 1$
- Consider a sequence of events  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ .
- Infinitely often means  $\forall n, \exists m \geq n, \text{ s.t. } A_m \text{ occurs.}$
- More concretely

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty}A_m$$

• If you treat  $X_n = 1$  if  $A_n$  occurs, then this is equivalent to a limsup.

- $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$  implies  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $P(\{|X_n X| \ge \epsilon \text{ i.o.}\}) = 1$
- Consider a sequence of events  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ .
- Infinitely often means  $\forall n, \exists m \geq n, \text{ s.t. } A_m \text{ occurs.}$
- More concretely

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty}A_m$$

• If you treat  $X_n = 1$  if  $A_n$  occurs, then this is equivalent to a limsup.

$$\limsup_{n} X_{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{m \ge n} X_{m}$$

# **Borel Cantelli Lemma (I)**

#### **Theorem**

If 
$$\sum_{i} P(A_i) < \infty$$
, then  $P(\{A_n \ i.o.\}) = 0$ .

### **Example**

Let  $Z \sim U(0,1)$  and for  $n = 2^k + m$  for  $k \ge 0, 0 \le m < 2^k$  $X_n = 1(Z \in [m2^{-k}, (m+1)2^{-k}]).$ 

Check if  $X_n = 1$  infinitely often.

# **Borel Cantelli Lemma (I)**

#### **Theorem**

If 
$$\sum_{i} P(A_i) < \infty$$
, then  $P(\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}) = 0$ .

- Recall that  $\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}\$ is equivalent to  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m$
- Note that  $B_{n+1} \subseteq B_n$ , and so we have  $B_n \downarrow B := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m$ , hence using monotone convergence we have:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P(B_n)=P(B)$$

# **Borel Cantelli Lemma (I)**

#### **Theorem**

If 
$$\sum_{i} P(A_i) < \infty$$
, then  $P(\{A_n \text{ i.o.}\}) = 0$ .

$$P(A_i \text{ i.o.}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(B_n) \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i \ge n} P(A_n) = 0$$

# Borel Cantelli Lemma (II)

#### **Example**

Consider 
$$Z \sim U[0,1]$$
,  $A_n := \{Z \le 1/n\}$ , and  $X_n = 1(A_n)$ .  $\sum_i P(A_n) \to \infty$ .

But we know that  $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} 0$ .

- Does BC II apply?
- If not, how do you prove it?

# **Borel Cantelli Lemma (II)**

• Start with the complement – we will show  $P((A_i \text{ i.o.})^c) = 0$ .

# Borel Cantelli Lemma (II)

• Start with the complement – we will show  $P((A_i \text{ i.o.})^c) = 0$ .

$$P((A_i \text{ i.o.})^c) = P\left(\bigcup_{n} \bigcap_{m \ge n} A_m^c\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to infty} P\left(\bigcap_{m \ge n} A_m^c\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to infty} \prod_{m \ge n} P\left(A_m^c\right)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to infty} \prod_{m \ge n} (1 - P(A_m))$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to infty} \exp\left(-\sum_{m \ge n} P(A_m)\right) = 0$$

## **Continuous Mapping Theorem**

#### **Theorem**

Let g be continuous on a set C where  $P(X \in C) = 1$ . Then,

$$X_{n} \xrightarrow{d} X \Rightarrow g(X_{n}) \xrightarrow{d} g(X)$$
$$X_{n} \xrightarrow{P} X \Rightarrow g(X_{n}) \xrightarrow{P} g(X)$$
$$X_{n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \Rightarrow g(X_{n}) \xrightarrow{a.s.} g(X)$$

Let  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  where  $X \sim N(0,1)$ . Then  $X_n^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} ?$ 

Let  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  where  $X \sim N(0,1)$ . Then  $X_n^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} ?$ 

• Use  $g(x) = x^2$ .

Let  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  where  $X \sim N(0,1)$ . Then  $X_n^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} ?$ 

- Use  $g(x) = x^2$ .
- Use  $X^2 \sim \chi_1^2$ .

Let  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  where  $X \sim N(0,1)$ . Then  $X_n^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} ?$ 

- Use  $g(x) = x^2$ .
- Use  $\chi^2 \sim \chi_1^2$ .
- So  $X_n^2 \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2$

# **Example-continuity points**

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be i.i.d. with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . We have  $\bar{X}_n - \mu \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ . Consider  $g(x) = 1_{x>0}$ . Then  $g((\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2) \stackrel{d}{\to} ?$ 

## **Example-continuity points**

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be i.i.d. with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . We have  $\bar{X}_n - \mu \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ . Consider  $g(x) = 1_{x>0}$ . Then  $g((\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2) \stackrel{d}{\to} ?$ 

• Using Continuous Mapping Theorem,  $(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ 

### **Example-continuity points**

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be i.i.d. with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . We have  $\bar{X}_n - \mu \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ . Consider  $g(x) = 1_{x>0}$ . Then  $g((\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2) \stackrel{d}{\to} ?$ 

- Using Continuous Mapping Theorem,  $(\bar{X}_n \mu)^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$
- Can we use Continuous Mapping Theorem to claim that  $g(\bar{X}_n \mu)^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ ?

## **Example-continuity points**

Let  $X_1, ..., X_n$  be i.i.d. with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . We have  $\bar{X}_n - \mu \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ . Consider  $g(x) = 1_{x>0}$ . Then  $g((\bar{X}_n - \mu)^2) \stackrel{d}{\to} ?$ 

- Using Continuous Mapping Theorem,  $(\bar{X}_n \mu)^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$
- Can we use Continuous Mapping Theorem to claim that  $g(\bar{X}_n \mu)^2 \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ ?
- NO. Because, 0 is a random variable whose mass is at 0, where g is discontinuous.

• If  $X_n \stackrel{qm}{\to} X$ , then is it true that for continuous f (discontinuous only at a measure zero set),  $f(X_n) \stackrel{qm}{\to} f(X)$ ?

- If  $X_n \stackrel{qm}{\to} X$ , then is it true that for continuous f (discontinuous only at a measure zero set),  $f(X_n) \stackrel{qm}{\to} f(X)$ ?
- Consider an *L* Lipschitz function f(X).  $|f(x) f(y)| \le L|x y|$ .

- If  $X_n \stackrel{qm}{\to} X$ , then is it true that for continuous f (discontinuous only at a measure zero set),  $f(X_n) \stackrel{qm}{\to} f(X)$ ?
- Consider an *L* Lipschitz function f(X).  $|f(x) f(y)| \le L|x y|$ .
- $E[|f(X_n) f(X)|^2] \le L^2 E[|X_n X|^2] \to 0$ . So for Lipschitz functions quadratic mean convergence goes through.

- If  $X_n \stackrel{qm}{\to} X$ , then is it true that for continuous f (discontinuous only at a measure zero set),  $f(X_n) \stackrel{qm}{\to} f(X)$ ?
- Consider an *L* Lipschitz function f(X).  $|f(x) f(y)| \le L|x y|$ .
- $E[|f(X_n) f(X)|^2] \le L^2 E[|X_n X|^2] \to 0$ . So for Lipschitz functions quadratic mean convergence goes through.
- Can you come up with a non-Lipschitz function and a sequence  $\{X_n\}$  where  $f(X_n) \not\stackrel{qm}{\rightarrow} 0$ ?

### Portmanteau Theorem

### Theorem

The following are equivalent.

- $X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X$
- E[f(X<sub>n</sub>)] → E[f(X)] for all continuous f that vanish outside a compact set.
- $E[f(X_n)] \rightarrow E[f(X)]$  for all bounded and continuous f.
- E[f(Xn)] → E[f(X)] for all bounded measurable functions f s.t.
   P(X ∈ C(f)) = 1, where C(f) = {x : f is continuous at x} is called the continuity set of f.

Consider 
$$f(x) = x$$
 and

$$X_n = \begin{cases} n & \text{w.p. } 1/n \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - 1/n \end{cases}$$

Consider f(x) = x and

$$X_n = \begin{cases} n & \text{w.p. } 1/n \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - 1/n \end{cases}$$

•  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ , but  $E[X_n] \to ?$ 

Consider f(x) = x and

$$X_n = \begin{cases} n & \text{w.p. } 1/n \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - 1/n \end{cases}$$

- $X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} 0$ , but  $E[X_n] \rightarrow ?$
- $E[X_n] = 1$ . What went wrong?

Consider f(x) = x and

$$X_n = \begin{cases} n & \text{w.p. } 1/n \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1 - 1/n \end{cases}$$

- $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ , but  $E[X_n] \to ?$
- $E[X_n] = 1$ . What went wrong?
- f(x) = x is not bounded.

#### **Theorem**

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X \text{ and } d(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} 0 \Rightarrow Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$$
 (1)

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X \text{ and } Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c \Rightarrow (X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} (X, c)$$
 (2)

$$X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X \text{ and } Y_n \stackrel{P}{\to} Y \Rightarrow (X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} (X, Y)$$
 (3)

#### Theorem

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X \text{ and } d(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} 0 \Rightarrow Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$$
 (1)

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X \text{ and } Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c \Rightarrow (X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} (X, c)$$
 (2)

$$X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X \text{ and } Y_n \stackrel{P}{\to} Y \Rightarrow (X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} (X, Y)$$
 (3)

 Eq 3 does not hold if we replace convergence in probability by convergence in distribution.

#### Theorem

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X \text{ and } d(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} 0 \Rightarrow Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$$
 (1)

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X \text{ and } Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c \Rightarrow (X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} (X, c)$$
 (2)

$$X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X \text{ and } Y_n \stackrel{P}{\to} Y \Rightarrow (X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} (X, Y)$$
 (3)

- Eq 3 does not hold if we replace convergence in probability by convergence in distribution.
- Example:  $X_n \sim N(0,1), Y_n = -X_n$ .  $X \perp Y$  and X, Y are independent standard normal random variables.

### **Theorem**

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X \text{ and } d(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} 0 \Rightarrow Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$$
 (1)

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X \text{ and } Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} c \Rightarrow (X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} (X, c)$$
 (2)

$$X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X \text{ and } Y_n \stackrel{P}{\to} Y \Rightarrow (X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} (X, Y)$$
 (3)

- Eq 3 does not hold if we replace convergence in probability by convergence in distribution.
- Example:  $X_n \sim N(0,1), Y_n = -X_n$ .  $X \perp Y$  and X, Y are independent standard normal random variables.
- Then  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  and  $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} Y$ . But  $(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} (X, -X)$ , not  $(X_n, Y_n) \stackrel{d}{\to} (X, Y)$ .

### Theorem (Slutsky's theorem)

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X$$
 and  $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} c$  imply that

$$X_n + Y_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X + c$$

$$X_n Y_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} cX$$

$$X_n / Y_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X / c$$

### Theorem (Slutsky's theorem)

 $X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X$  and  $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} c$  imply that

$$X_n + Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X + c$$

$$X_n Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} cX$$

$$X_n / Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X / c$$

• Does  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  and  $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} Y$  imply  $X_n + Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X + Y$ ?

### Theorem (Slutsky's theorem)

 $X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X$  and  $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} c$  imply that

$$X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$$

$$X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} cX$$

$$X_n / Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X / c$$

- Does  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  and  $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} Y$  imply  $X_n + Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X + Y$ ?
- Take  $Y_n = -X_n$ , and X, Y as independent standard normal random variables.  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  and  $Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} Y$  but  $X_n + Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} 0$ .

If  $X_1, \ldots X_n$  are i.i.d. random variables with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , prove that  $\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{S_n} \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$ .

• First note that  $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i^2 - \bar{X}_n^2$ 

- First note that  $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i^2 \bar{X}_n^2$
- Law of large numbers give  $\frac{\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}}{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} E[X^{2}]$  and  $X_{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$ .

- First note that  $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i^2 \bar{X}_n^2$
- Law of large numbers give  $\frac{\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}}{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} E[X^{2}]$  and  $X_{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$ .
- So  $(\frac{\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}}{n}, X_{n}) \stackrel{P}{\to} (E[X^{2}], \mu)$  and now using the continuous mapping theorem,  $S_{n}^{2} \stackrel{P}{\to} \sigma^{2}$ .

- First note that  $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i^2 \bar{X}_n^2$
- Law of large numbers give  $\frac{\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}}{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} E[X^{2}]$  and  $X_{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$ .
- So  $(\frac{\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}}{n}, X_{n}) \stackrel{P}{\to} (E[X^{2}], \mu)$  and now using the continuous mapping theorem,  $S_{n}^{2} \stackrel{P}{\to} \sigma^{2}$ .
- Finally,  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n \mu) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, \sigma^2)$  using CLT.

- First note that  $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i^2 \bar{X}_n^2$
- Law of large numbers give  $\frac{\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}}{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} E[X^{2}]$  and  $X_{n} \stackrel{P}{\to} \mu$ .
- So  $(\frac{\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}}{n}, X_{n}) \stackrel{P}{\to} (E[X^{2}], \mu)$  and now using the continuous mapping theorem,  $S_{n}^{2} \stackrel{P}{\to} \sigma^{2}$ .
- Finally,  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n \mu) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, \sigma^2)$  using CLT.
- Now using Slutsky's lemma,  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n \mu)/S_n \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0,1)$  using CLT.

# Uniformly tight

### Definition

*X* is defined to be "tight" if  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists M$  for which,

$$P(||X|| > M) < \epsilon$$

 $\{X_n\}$  is defined to uniformly tight if  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists M$  for which,

$$\sup_{n} P(\|X_n\| > M) < \epsilon$$

### Prohorov's theorem

### **Theorem**

- $X_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X \Rightarrow \{X_n\}$  is UT.
- $\{X_n\}$  is UT implies that, there exists a subsequence  $\{n_j\}$  such that  $X_{n_j} \stackrel{d}{\to} X$ .

## Notation for rates, small oh-pee and big oh-pee

#### Definition

The small o<sub>P</sub>:

$$X_n = o_P(1) \Leftrightarrow X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$$
  
 $X_n = o_P(R_n) \Leftrightarrow X_n = Y_n R_n \text{ and } Y_n = o_P(1)$ 

 $X_n$  is vanishing in probability

• The big Op:

$$X_n = O_P(1) \Leftrightarrow \{X_n\} \text{ is UT}$$
  
 $X_n = O_P(R_n) \Leftrightarrow X_n = Y_n R_n \text{ and } Y_n = O_P(1)$ 

 $X_n$  lies within a ball of finite radius with high probability

## How do they interact

$$o_{P}(a_{n}) + o_{P}(b_{n}) = o_{P}(\max(a_{n}, b_{n})).$$

$$o_{P}(a_{n}) + O_{P}(b_{n}) = O_{P}(\max(a_{n}, b_{n})).$$

$$O_{P}(a_{n})o_{P}(b_{n}) = o_{P}(a_{n}b_{n}).$$

$$1 + O_{P}(1) = O_{P}(1).$$

$$(1 + o_{P}(1))^{-1} = 1 + o_{P}(1).$$

$$o_{P}(O_{P}(1)) = o_{P}(1).$$

$$X_n = o_P(a_n), |X_n|^r = o_P(a_n^r)$$

Be careful:

$$e^{o_P(1)} \neq o_P(1)$$
  $O_P(1) + O_P(1)$  Can actually be  $o_P(1)$  because of cancellation.