

# SDS 384 11: Theoretical Statistics

## **Lecture 6: Lipschitz continuous functions**

Purnamrita Sarkar Department of Statistics and Data Science The University of Texas at Austin

## Recall-Lipschitz functions of Gaussian random variables

#### **Definition**

A function  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is L-Lipschitz w.r.t the Euclidean norm if

$$|F(x) - F(y)| \le L||x - y||_2 \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

### Theorem (LG:Lipschtiz functions of Gaussians)

Let  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  be a vector of iid N(0,1) random variables. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be L-Lipschitz w.r.t the Euclidean norm. Then F(X) - E[F(X)] is sub-gaussian with parameter at most L, i.e.  $\forall t \geq 0$ ,

$$P(|F(X) - E[F(X)]| \ge t) \le e^{-\frac{t^2}{2L^2}}$$

• So a L-Lipschitz function of n gaussian random variables behave like a subgaussian with variance proxy  $L^2$ .

# **Proof** – (Courtesy Tao, Maurey and Pisier)

#### Proof.

- WLOG assume E[F(X)] = 0 and L = 1. Assume for simplicity that F is smooth
- We will just prove the upper tail  $P(F(X) \ge \lambda) \le C \exp(-c\lambda^2)$ .
- All we need is

$$E[e^{tF(X)}] \le e^{C't^2} \qquad \text{for } t > 0 \tag{1}$$

• Lipschitz property implies the gradient  $|\nabla F(x)| \leq 1 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

## Proof contd.

#### Proof contd.

- Consider an iid copy Y.
- Jensen's inequality implies  $E[e^{-tF(Y)}] \ge e^{-tE[F(Y)]} = 1$

• 
$$E[e^{tF(X)}] \le E\left[e^{t(F(X)-F(Y))}\right]$$
  
 $F(X) - F(Y) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d}{d\theta} F(\underbrace{X \sin \theta + Y \cos \theta}_{X_{\theta}}) d\theta$ 

$$= \frac{\pi}{2} E_{\theta} \left[ F'(X_{\theta}) \cdot X'_{\theta} \right]$$

$$e^{t(F(X) - F(Y))} \le E_{\theta} \left[ e^{\frac{\pi}{2} t F'(X_{\theta}) \cdot X'_{\theta}} \right]$$

•  $X'_{\theta} = X \cos \theta - Y \sin \theta$ . Also note that  $X_{\theta}, X'_{\theta} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, I_n)$ 

### Proof contd.

#### Proof contd.

• 
$$e^{t(F(X)-F(Y))} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} e^{\frac{\pi}{2}tF'(X_{\theta})\cdot X'_{\theta}} d\theta$$

•  $X'_{\theta} = X \cos \theta - Y \sin \theta$ . Also note that  $X_{\theta}, X'_{\theta} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, I_n)$   $E[e^{t(F(X) - F(Y))}] \le \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} E[e^{\frac{\pi}{2}tF'(X_{\theta}) \cdot X'_{\theta}}] d\theta$ 

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} E_{X_{\theta}} E_{X_{\theta}'} \left[ e^{\frac{\pi}{2} t F'(X_{\theta}) \cdot X_{\theta}'} | X_{\theta} \right] d\theta$$

$$\leq e^{\frac{\pi^2 t^2}{8}}$$

- The last step is true because conditioned on  $X_{\theta}$ ,  $F'(X_{\theta}) \cdot X'_{\theta} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma \leq 1$ .
- This proves Eq 1.

## Example 1

- Remember our friend chi square r.v.s? Consider  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(0,1)$ .
- We proved that  $Y=\sum_i X_i^2$  is subexponential and we got the bound  $P(|Y/n-1| \geq \epsilon) \leq 2 \mathrm{e}^{-n\epsilon^2/8}.$
- Lets try to prove a similar bound with the LG theorem.
- Let  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $f(\underline{x}) = ||\underline{x}||_2$ .
- Note that Euclidian norm is 1-Lipschitz.
- So we have  $P(f(X) E[f(X)] \ge t) \le e^{-t^2/2}$  for  $t \ge 0$ .
- Since  $E[\sqrt{V}] \le \sqrt{E[V]}$ , we have  $E[\sqrt{Y}] \le \sqrt{E[Y]} = \sqrt{n}$ .
- $P(f(X) \ge E[f(X)] + t) \ge P(\sqrt{Y} \ge \sqrt{n} + t) = P(Y/n \ge (1 + \epsilon)^2)$
- Since  $(1 + \epsilon/3)^2 \le 1 + \epsilon$ , for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $e^{-n\epsilon_0^2/18} \ge P(Y/n \ge (1 + \epsilon_0/3)^2) \ge P(Y/n \ge 1 + \epsilon_0)$

## **Example 2: order statistics**

### **Example**

Consider a sequence of independent standard normal r.v.s

$$X = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}.$$
 Let  $X_{(1)} \ge X_{(2)} \ge \dots \ge X_{(n)}.$ 

$$P(|X_{(k)} - E[X_{(k)}]| \ge \epsilon) \le 2e^{-\epsilon^2/2}$$

#### Proof.

- First note that  $|X_{(k)} Y_{(k)}| \le ||X Y||_2$ . (How?)
- So the order statistics are 1-Lipschitz.

# Complexity

### **Example**

Consider a iid sequence  $X = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . We will bound  $f(X) := \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} a^T X$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathcal{W} = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \|a\|_2 < \infty$ .

- Why cant we just use Chernoff?
- First let us check if f(X) is Lipschitz. Let  $a_*$  and  $a'_*$  be the maximizers of f(X) and f(X').  $f(X) f(X') a_*^T X a_*^{T} X' < a_*^T (X X')$

$$f(X) - f(X') = a_*^T X - a_*'^T X' \le a_*^T (X - X')$$
  
\$\leq \sup\_{a \in \mathcal{A}} a^T (X - X') \leq \mathcal{W} || X - X' ||\_2\$

# Complexity

### **Example**

Consider a iid sequence  $X = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . We will bound  $f(X) := \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} a^T X$  where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathcal{W} = \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \|a\|_2 < \infty$ .

• If  $X_i \sim N(0,1)$  using Gaussian+Lipschtz  $P(|f(X) - E[f(X)]| \ge t) \le 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\mathcal{W}^2}}$ 

How about McDiarmid?

Q