Vignette for bayesian isotonic()

Philip S. Boonstra

June 24, 2021

Introduction

This vignette presents a step-by-step approach for using the R function bayesian_isotonic(), located in the project script functions.R.

We create a simple dataset with a binary outcome Y and a continuous predictor X where Pr(Y=1|X) is definitely not logistic shaped. We categorize the predictor into its groups, since the methodology assumes that the predictor is categorical (this is, in my opinion, not a particularly constraining assumption, since even methods for which the theory is based upon a continuous predictor ultimately categorize the predictor when it comes times to fit the model).

We group the data into the format expected by the function and then show how you can apply the HSIPV and GAIPV priors to estimate the probability curve.

Draw data

First we draw the data:

We make 200 draws of a discrete uniform random variable to use as our predictor. To calculate the true probabilities, we use a function called true_prob() that is a mixture of two logistic CDFs and then sample a Bernoulli outcome according to these true probabilities. Note that this is the same data generating mechanism as in scenario 2 of the Varying-data evaluation of the manuscript.

The function bayesian_isotonic() requires that the data will be provided as a data.frame with two named columns: y and n, where the y value is essentially a binomial random variable for the number of successes out of the corresponding n trials. The ordering of the rows represents the ordered categories of x. That is, ξ_1 corresponds to the first row, ξ_2 to the second row, and so on. Here is how you would turn an x and a y into an appropriate data frame:

```
arrange(x)

n_breaks <- nrow(data_grouped) # This is K</pre>
```

If categorizing a continuous predictor

The theory we've developed assumes that x is categorical. If you have a continuous predictor x, we've provided a helper function called $make_grouped_data()$ that will take a continuous x and binary y (and, optionally, a value of the desired breakpoints) and return a properly formatted data.frame. If breaks is not provided, the function divides the data into quintiles, i.e. five equally sized categories.

I would point out that most methods that non-parametrically estimate the x-y curve will at some point categorize the predictor, so the fact that our theory starts with an assumption of a categorical x (rather than a continuous x but then categorizing it to actually fit the model) isn't really as different as it seems.

```
# Not run
n_breaks = round(n / 10)
# Not run
breaks <-
    quantile(x, probs = seq(0, 1, length = n_breaks + 1)) %>%
    as.numeric() %>%
    replace(which.min(.), -Inf) %>%
    replace(which.max(.), Inf)
# Not run
data_grouped <-
    make_grouped_data(x, y, breaks)</pre>
```

HSIPV

Now we can fit the HSIPV-based model to these data. The bayesian_isotonic() function requires that you point it to the stan file that implements the desired prior via the stan_path argument and that you provide a list of named arguments required by the prior via stan_args. Instead of stan_path, you can provide the name of compiled stan object via stan_fit. If you want to have access to the individual draws from the posterior distribution, set verbose = T. There are other arguments, but at a minimum you need to provide values for data_grouped, stan_path (or stan_fit), and stan_args.

There is a function called solve_for_hs_scale that identifies the value of c that solves equation (9) in the manuscript. For a selected value of $\tilde{m}_{\rm eff}$, set target_mean equal to $\tilde{m}_{\rm eff}/(K+1)$. Here we are targeting $\tilde{m}_{\rm eff}=0.5$, so target_mean = 0.045.

```
hs_stan_filenames = "iso_horseshoe.stan";

hs_stan_args =
    list(
    local_dof_stan = 1,
        global_dof_stan = 1,
        alpha_scale_stan = solve_for_hs_scale(
            target_mean = 0.5 / (n_breaks + 1), #target_mean * (K+1) = m_eff
            local_dof = 1,
            global_dof = 1,
            slab_precision = 1,
            n = (n - 2),
            sigma = 2
    )$scale,
        slab_precision_stan = 1);

hs_fit =
    bayesian_isotonic(data_grouped = data_grouped,)
```

```
stan_path = hs_stan_filenames,
                    stan args = hs stan args,
                    verbose = T);
##
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'iso_horseshoe' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 4.6e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.46 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration:
                          1 / 7500 [ 0%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 750 / 7500 [ 10%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1500 / 7500 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 2250 / 7500 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 2501 / 7500 [ 33%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 3250 / 7500 [ 43%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 4000 / 7500 [ 53%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 4750 / 7500 [ 63%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 5500 / 7500 [ 73%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 6250 / 7500 [ 83%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 7000 / 7500 [ 93%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 7500 / 7500 [100%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
            Elapsed Time: 1.64817 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:
                           3.6419 seconds (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
                           5.29007 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
```

GAIPV

Use the same function to fit the GAIPV-based model. The two arguments that iso_gamma_stan expects are the shape parameter and the lower truncation of the distribution to prevent underflow. We use 0.5/(K+1) = 0.045 as the shape parameter, corresponding to 0.5 effective prior observations, and 2.22×10^{-16} as the lower truncation value. These choices correspond to the GA_1 method that we report in the manuscript.

```
## SAMPLING FOR MODEL 'iso_gamma' NOW (CHAIN 1).
## Chain 1: Gradient evaluation took 4.6e-05 seconds
## Chain 1: 1000 transitions using 10 leapfrog steps per transition would take 0.46 seconds.
## Chain 1: Adjust your expectations accordingly!
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1 / 7500 [ 0%] (Warmup)
```

```
## Chain 1: Iteration: 750 / 7500 [ 10%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 1500 / 7500 [ 20%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 2250 / 7500 [ 30%]
                                            (Warmup)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 2501 / 7500 [ 33%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 3250 / 7500 [ 43%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 4000 / 7500 [ 53%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 4750 / 7500 [ 63%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 5500 / 7500 [ 73%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 6250 / 7500 [ 83%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 7000 / 7500 [ 93%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1: Iteration: 7500 / 7500 [100%]
                                            (Sampling)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1: Elapsed Time: 2.4049 seconds (Warm-up)
## Chain 1:
                           5.76377 seconds (Sampling)
                           8.16867 seconds (Total)
## Chain 1:
## Chain 1:
```

Plot results

We can plot the fitted models with the following code. In addition to plotting the interpolated fitted models, we also plot the true probability curve and the observed prevalence of the outcome in each category of the predictor. The size of the bubbles correspond to the number of observations in that category.

```
ggplot(data = data_grouped) +
  geom_line(aes(x = x,
                y = colMeans(hs_fit$all_draws$xi),
                color = "HS")) +
  geom_line(aes(x = x,
                y = colMeans(ga_fit$all_draws$xi),
                color = "GA1")) +
  geom_point(aes(x = x,
                 y = y / n,
                 size = n,
                 color = "Observed prevalences")) +
  geom_line(aes(x = x,
                y = true_prob(x),
                color = "True probability")) +
  scale_color_brewer(palette = "Dark2") +
  coord_cartesian(ylim = c(0, 1)) +
  labs(x = "x", y = "Pr(Y=1|x)", color = "Method") +
  theme(text = element text(size = 14))
```

