# Criteria and proof for when a new view must be appended in a Tinygrad shapeTracker

Peter Schillinger

September 2024

## Contents

2	Proof of Mergeability Altering Within Contiguous Subblocks	2
	2.1 Splitting Any Dimension	2
	2.2 Combining Dimension Within Contiguous Subblock	4
	2.3 Proof of Mergeability of Arbitrary Altering Inside of Contiguous Subblocks	5
3	Disproof of Mergeability Altering Outside of Contiguous Subblocks	5

## 1 Definitions

**Definition:** A **view** is defined as a pair  $(\vec{k}, \vec{s})$ , where:

$$\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n), and \quad \vec{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$$

**Definition:** The **view order** is an ordering of the tensor's elements associated with that view. For the first view in a shapeTracker, the view order is the order of elements stored statically in memory. For our purposes we will identify elements by their index (starting from 0) in the view order.

**Definition:** Given a shape  $\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$ , define the **mixed radix conversion function** to be the function:  $R_{\vec{k}}: N \to N^n$ , with domain  $\{0, 1, \dots, (\prod_{l=1}^n k_l) - 1\}$ .

$$R_{\vec{k}}(i) = \begin{pmatrix} \left\lfloor \frac{i}{\prod_{l=2}^{n} k_l} \right\rfloor \bmod k_1 \\ \vdots \\ \left\lfloor \frac{i}{k_n} \right\rfloor \bmod k_{n-1} \\ i \bmod k_n \end{pmatrix} = \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n} k_l} \right\rfloor \bmod k_j \right)_{j=1}^n$$

**Definition:** Given a view  $(\vec{k}, \vec{s})$ , the **reshape order** is the permutation of size n, defined as the composition of  $R_{\vec{k}}$  and the matrix multiplication of  $A = [s_1 s_2 \ldots s_n]$ . That is, the ith element in the reshape order is given by

$$A(R_{\vec{k}}(i))$$

for i in  $\{0, 1, \dots, (\prod_{l=1}^{n} k_l) - 1\}$ . If the tensor has not been permuted, the reshape order is the identity permutation.

**Definition:** Given a view  $\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$ ,  $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ , define the **max** merge partition to be the partition

$$\vec{p} = (p_1 = 1, p_2, \dots, p_q)$$

to be such that for all integers  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$  where  $p_i < j < p_{i+1}$  for some  $i \in \{1, ..., q-1\}$ ,

$$s_j \cdot k_j = s_{j-1}$$

and for all  $i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ 

$$s_{p_i} \cdot k_{p_i} \neq s_{p_i - 1}$$

**Definition:** Given such a view and max merge partition, define the **max merge view** to have shape

$$\vec{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_q)$$

and strides

$$\vec{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_q),$$

where, for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ ,

$$m_i = \prod_{\ell=p_i}^{p_{i+1}-1} k_\ell$$
 (where  $p_{q+1} = n+1$ )

and

$$t_i = s_{p_{i+1}-1}$$
 (where again  $p_{q+1} = n+1$ ).

## 2 Proof of Mergeability Altering Within Contiguous Subblocks

### 2.1 Splitting Any Dimension

Given a view  $\vec{k}_1 = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$  and  $\vec{s}_1 = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , we show that for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and any factors  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  of  $k_j$ , i.e.,  $p_1 \cdot p_2 = k_j$ , reshaping to a new shape

$$\vec{k}_2 = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{j-1}, p_1, p_2, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n) := (c_1, \dots, c_{n+1})$$

need not append a new view.

**Proof:** To show that a new view need not be appended, we must show that there exists a linear function from the indices of the new shape to the reshape order. Let  $A_1: N^n \to N$  be the linear function mapping the old indices to the reshape order, i.e.,  $A_1 = [s_1 \dots s_n]$ . Then we are to show that there exists some  $A_1$  such that, for all i in  $\{0, 1, \dots, (\prod_{l=1}^n k_l) - 1\}$ ,

$$A_1(R_{\vec{k_1}}(i)) = A_2(R_{\vec{k_2}}(i))$$

. Computing the left hand side,

$$A_1(R_{\vec{k_1}}(i)) = A_1(\begin{pmatrix} \left\lfloor \frac{i}{\prod_{l=2}^n k_l} \right\rfloor \bmod k_1 \\ \vdots \\ \left\lfloor \frac{i}{k_n} \right\rfloor \bmod k_{n-1} \\ i \bmod k_n \end{pmatrix}) = \sum_{r=1}^n s_r \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{\prod_{l=r+1}^n k_l} \right\rfloor \bmod k_r \right)$$

We claim that  $A_2 = \vec{s}_2^{\top} = [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{j-1}, p_2 \cdot s_j, s_j, s_{j+1}, \dots, s_n] := [t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}]$  works.

Computing the right hand side gives

$$A_2(R_{\vec{k_2}}(i)) = A_2(\begin{pmatrix} \left\lfloor \frac{i}{\prod_{l=2}^{n+1} c_l} \right\rfloor \bmod c_1 \\ \vdots \\ \left\lfloor \frac{i}{c_{n+1}} \right\rfloor \bmod c_n \\ i \bmod c_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}) = \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} t_r \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{\prod_{l=r+1}^{n+1} k_l} \right\rfloor \bmod c_r \right)$$

Cancelling like terms on each side, we find we are only left with

$$s_j\left(\left|\frac{i}{\prod_{l=j+1}^n k_l} \bmod k_j\right.\right) = t_j\left(\left|\frac{i}{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n+1} c_l} \bmod p_1\right.\right) + t_{j+1}\left(\left|\frac{i}{\prod_{l=j+2}^{n+1} c_l} \bmod p_2\right.\right)$$

$$=p_2\cdot s_j\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i}{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n+1}c_l}\right\rfloor\bmod p_1\right)+s_j\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i}{\prod_{l=j+1}^{n}k_l}\right\rfloor\bmod p_2\right)$$

Dividing out by  $s_j$  and setting  $w = \frac{i}{\prod_{l=j+1}^n k_l}$  we get

$$\lfloor w \rfloor \mod k_j = p_2 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{w}{p_2} \right\rfloor \mod p_1 \right) + (\lfloor w \rfloor \mod p_2)$$
 (1)

Let  $r_2 = \lfloor w \rfloor \mod p_2$ . Then

$$\lfloor w \rfloor = p_2 \left\lfloor \frac{w}{p_2} \right\rfloor + r_2$$

Let 
$$\left|\frac{w}{p_2}\right| = r_1 \mod p_1$$
. Then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{w}{p_2} \right\rfloor = p_1 m + r_1$$
 for some integer  $m$ .

Therefore,

$$\lfloor w \rfloor = p_2 \lfloor \frac{w}{p_2} \rfloor + r_2$$

$$= p_2 (p_1 m + r_1) + r_2$$

$$= p_1 p_2 m + p_2 r_1 + r_2$$

$$= k_j m + p_2 r_1 + r_2$$

$$= p_2 r_1 + r_2 \mod k_j$$

But

$$p_2\left(\left\lfloor \frac{w}{p_2} \right\rfloor \bmod p_1\right) + \left(\lfloor w \rfloor \bmod p_2\right) = p_2r_1 + r_2$$
 by definition.  

$$\Rightarrow \text{ LHS} = \text{RHS}$$

## 2.2 Combining Dimension Within Contiguous Subblock

Given view

$$\vec{k}_1 = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n), \quad \vec{s}_1 = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n),$$

we show that for any  $j \in \{2, ..., n\}$ , if  $s_j k_j = s_{j-1}$ , then reshaping to

$$\vec{k}_2 = (k_1, \dots, k_{j-2}, k_{j-1} \cdot k_j, k_{j+1}, \dots, k_n) := (c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}),$$

need not append a new view, i.e., there exists a linear function from indices in  $\vec{k}_2$  to reshape order.

#### Proof

Let  $A_1$  be a linear function for shape  $\vec{k}_1$ . We show there exists a linear function  $A_2$  such that

$$A_1\left(R_{\vec{k}_1}(i)\right) = A_2\left(R_{\vec{k}_2}(i)\right) \quad \text{for all } i \in \left\{0, \dots, \prod_{i=1}^n k_i - 1\right\}.$$

Let 
$$A_2=\vec{s}_2^T=\left[s_1,\ldots,s_{j-2},s_j,s_{j+1},\ldots,s_n\right]:=\left[t_1,\ldots,t_{n-1}\right].$$
 We want to show

$$A_1\left(R_{\vec{k}_1}(i)\right) = A_2\left(R_{\vec{k}_2}(i)\right) \quad \text{for all } i \in \left\{0, \dots, \prod_{i=1}^n k_i - 1\right\}$$

Simplifying both sides gives

$$\sum_{r=1}^n s_r \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{\prod_{l=r+1}^n k_l} \right\rfloor \mod k_r \right) = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} t_r \left( \left\lfloor \frac{i}{\prod_{l=r+1}^{n-1} c_l} \right\rfloor \mod c_r \right)$$

And cancelling like terms gives

$$s_{j-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i}{\prod_{l=j}^n k_l}\right\rfloor \mod k_{j-1}\right) + s_j\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i}{\prod_{l=j+1}^n k_l}\right\rfloor \mod k_j\right) = t_{j-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{i}{\prod_{l=j}^{n-1} c_l}\right\rfloor \mod k_{j-1}k_j\right)$$

But because  $t_{j-1}=s_j,\ s_{j-1}=s_jk_j,$  and letting  $w=\frac{i}{\prod_{l=j+1}^nk_l}$ , we recover an equivalent equation to (1):

$$k_j \left( \left\lfloor \frac{w}{k_j} \right\rfloor \mod k_{j-1} \right) + \left( \left\lfloor w \right\rfloor \mod k_j \right) = \left\lfloor w \right\rfloor \mod k_{j-1} k_j$$

which was shown to hold.

Done #

## 2.3 Proof of Mergeability of Arbitrary Altering Inside of Contiguous Subblocks

Given a view  $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ ,  $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  and a new shape  $\vec{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  for which there exists a partition  $\vec{p} = (p_1 = 1, p_2, \dots, p_q)$  such that for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ ,

$$\prod_{l=p_i}^{p_{i+1}-1} \mu_l = m_i,$$

where  $m_i$  is the *i*th size in the max merge view's shape  $\vec{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_q)$  associated with  $(\vec{k}, \vec{s})$ .

#### Proof

By iteratively combining all dimensions to the view's max merge shape (shown in 2.2), and then splitting  $m_i$  into arbitrary factors  $\mu_{p_i}, \mu_{p_i+1}, \dots, \mu_{p_{i+1}-1}$  (shown in 2.1), we can reshape to  $\vec{u}$  without ever appending a view.

Done #

## 3 Disproof of Mergeability Altering Outside of Contiguous Subblocks

Given view  $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$  and associated max merge view  $(\vec{m}, \vec{s})$ , let  $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$  be such that  $\prod k_\ell = \prod u_\ell$ , but there exists no partition  $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_\ell)$  such that

$$m_i = \prod_{l=p_i}^{p_{i+1}-1} k_l \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, q\}.$$

We show that reshaping to  $\vec{k}$  necessarily appends view, that is, that the function from indices in  $\vec{k}$  to reshape order is nonlinear.

#### Proof

Imagine accumulating the k's in  $\vec{k}$ , starting at  $k_n$  and going backwards and keeping track of the cumulative product.

If there is no partition, then there must exist some first index j such that

$$\prod_{\ell=j+1}^{n} k_{\ell} < \prod_{\ell=i}^{q} m_{\ell} < \prod_{\ell=j}^{n} k_{\ell} \quad \text{where $i$ is chosen to be maximal.}$$

But because j is the first index where this happens and i is maximal,

$$\prod_{\ell=i+1}^q m_\ell = \prod_{\ell=p}^n k_\ell \quad \text{for some } p \in \{j+1,\dots,n\}.$$

And of course, because i is maximal

$$\prod_{\ell=i+1}^q m_\ell \le \prod_{\ell=j+1}^n k_\ell.$$

Let  $A_0 = \vec{v}^{\top}$  be the given linear function for the shape  $\vec{u}$ .

Towards a contradiction, assume there exists some linear function  $A_2$  such that

$$A_0\left(R_{\vec{u}}(i)\right) = A_2\left(R_{\vec{k}}(i)\right) \quad \forall i \in \left\{0, \dots, \prod_{\ell=1}^n k_\ell - 1\right\}.$$

Because we have shown mergeability to max merged shape, we know, for  $A_1 = \vec{s}^T = [s_1, \dots, s_\ell],$ 

$$A_0(R_{\vec{u}}(i)) = A_1(R_{\vec{m}}(i))$$
 where  $\vec{u}$ 

$$\Rightarrow A_1\left(R_{\vec{m}}(i)\right) = A_2\left(R_{\vec{k}}(i)\right)$$

Let  $A_2 = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ .

For  $a \in \{j+1, j+2, \ldots, p\}$ , let the number

$$n_a = \prod_{l=a}^n k_l = (\prod_{l=i+1}^q m_l) (\prod_{l=a}^{p-1} k_l) < \prod_{l=i}^q m_l \quad \text{(where } \prod_{l=p}^{p-1} k_l = 1 \text{ of course)}.$$

Then

$$R_{\vec{m}}(n_a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \left( \left\lfloor \frac{(\prod_{l=i+1}^q m_l)(\prod_{l=a}^{p-1} k_l)}{\prod_{l=i+1}^q m_l} \right\rfloor \bmod m_i \right) \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \prod_{l=a}^{p-1} k_l \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$R_{\vec{k}}(n_a) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where the 1 appears in the (a-1)th entry}$$

Of course

$$A_1\left(R_{\vec{m}}(n_a)\right) = A_2\left(R_{\vec{k}}(n_a)\right)$$

still holds.

But

$$A_1(R_{\vec{m}}(n_a)) = s_i \prod_{l=a}^{p-1} k_l \quad \text{and} \quad A_2(R_{\vec{k}}(n_a)) = t_{a-1}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad t_{a-1} = s_i \prod_{l=a}^{p-1} k_l.$$

Now consider the number

$$n_0 = \prod_{l=i}^q m_l = m_i \prod_{l=p}^n k_l.$$

$$R_{\vec{m}}(n_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 & (i\text{-th spot}) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$R_{\vec{k}}(n_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{m_i}{\prod_{l=j+1}^{p-1} k_l} \right\rfloor \bmod k_j \\ \vdots \\ \left\lfloor \frac{m_i}{k_{p-1}} \right\rfloor \bmod k_{p-2} \\ m_i \bmod k_{p-1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We again have  $A_1\left(R_{\vec{m}}(n_0)\right) = A_2\left(R_{\vec{k}}(n_0)\right)$ . But

$$A_1(R_{\vec{m}}(n_0)) = s_{i-1}$$

and

$$A_2\left(R_{\vec{k}}(n_0)\right) = \sum_{r=p-1}^j t_r \left( \left\lfloor \frac{m_i}{\prod_{l=r+1}^{p-1} k_l} \right\rfloor \mod k_r \right)$$

$$= s_i \sum_{r=p-1}^j \prod_{l=r+1}^{p-1} k_l \left( \left\lfloor \frac{m_i}{\prod_{l=r+1}^{p-1} k_l} \right\rfloor \mod k_r \right)$$

$$= s_i \cdot m_i.$$

- $\Rightarrow$   $s_{i-1} = s_i m_i$ , which violates the definition of a max merged shape
- $\Rightarrow$   $A_2$  is not linear, and therefore reshaping to  $\vec{k}$  without appending a view is impossible.

Done#