

# **Computer Programming**

Dr. Deepak B Phatak
Dr. Supratik Chakraborty
Department of Computer Science and Engineering
IIT Bombay

Session: Default and Copy Constructors

## Quick Recap of Relevant Topics



- Object-oriented programming with structures and classes
- Accessing members and controlling access to members
- Constructor and destructor functions
- Closer look at constructors
  - Explicit invocation
  - Default parameters
  - Initialization lists

#### **Overview of This Lecture**



- Continuing study of constructors
  - Default constructors
  - Copy constructors

# Acknowledgment



- Much of this lecture is motivated by the treatment in An Introduction to Programming Through C++ by Abhiram G. Ranade McGraw Hill Education 2014
- Examples taken from this book are indicated in slides by the citation AGRBook

## Recap: Constructor and Destructor Functions



- Constructor: Invoked automatically when an object of the class is allocated
  - Object is allocated first, then constructor is invoked on object
  - Convenient way to initialize data members
- Destructor: Invoked automatically when an object of the class is de-allocated
  - Destructor is invoked on object first, then object is de-allocated
  - Convenient way to do book-keeping/cleaning-up before de-allocating object

#### **Default Constructor**



 A constructor that doesn't take any arguments is called a "default constructor"

```
class V3 {
    private: double x, y, z;
    public:
        V3(double vx, double vy, double vz) {
            x = vx; y = vy; z = vz; return:
        }
        V3() {x = y = z = 0.0; return;}
        ... Destructor and other member functions ...
};
```

Non-default constructor of V3

Default constructor of V3

#### **Arrays and Default Constructors**



#### Suppose we want to define an array of V3 objects

#### V3 myArray[100];

- 100 objects of class V3 must be allocated
- Which V3 constructor should be invoked on each of them?
   Default constructor (one without any arguments)
- What if we had not defined a default constructor for V3?
   Could be by oversight or even by design

#### **Arrays and Default Constructors**



- If no constructor is defined for a class, C++ compiler will provide a bare-bones default constructor
  - No parameters and does nothing in its body
  - Allows array of objects to be defined
  - Similar default destructor also provided by C++ compiler
- If a non-default constructor is defined, but not a default constructor, C++ compiler will NOT provide a bare-bones default constructor
  - Arrays of such objects cannot be defined !!!

**Best practice: Define default constructors** 



 Suppose a new object is created by making a copy of another object of the same class

```
V3 myFunc(V3 a) {
    V3 v;
    v = a.scale(2.0);
    return v;
}

int main() {
    V3 a(0.0 2.0, 2.0);
    V3 a1 = a, a2;
    a2 = myFunc(a); retu
}

Case 1: Initalization in declaration

Case 2: Parameter passing by value

Case 3: Function returning object

(May be optimized away by compiler)
```

Dr. Deepak B. Phatak & Dr. Supratik Chakraborty, IIT Bombay



 Regular assignment statements do not need copy constructor since they do not create a new object

Regular assignment:
No need for copy
constructor



A copy constructor must be specified separately from an ordinary constructor



A copy constructor must be specified separately from an ordinary constructor

```
class V3 {
    private: double x, y, z;
    public:
        V3(double vx, double vy, double vz) {
            x = vx; y = vy; z = vz; return;
        }
        V3() {x = y = z = 0.0; return;}
        V3(const V3 &src) {x = src.x; y = src.y; z = src.z; }
        ... Destructor and other member functions ...
};
```

(Uninteresting)
Copy constructor

Note difference in parameter passing

## **Default Copy Constructor**



- If you need a copy constructor in your program, but have not defined it yourself, the C++ compiler will create a default copy constructor
  - Copies values of all data members of source object to corresponding members of receiver object
  - Same as usual assignment
- Sometimes default copy constructors are not good enough
  - More interesting user-defined copy constructors needed

## **Another Copy Constructor [Ref AGRBook]**



```
class myString {
    public:
        char *cArray; int length;
        myString(const char initString[]) { ... } // ordinary constructor
        ~myString() {delete [] cArray; return;}
        myString(const myString &source) : length(source.length) { // copy constructor
        cArray = new char[length+1];
        if (cArray == NULL) { ... Handle error appropriately ... }
        else { for (int i = 0; i <= length; i++) { cArray[i] = (source.cArray)[i]; } return; }
    }
    ... Other member functions ...
};</pre>
```

# **Summary**



- Default constructors
  - Importance in defining arrays
- Copy constructors
  - Importance in creating a new object by copying an existing object

# An Interesting Copy Constructor [Ref AGRBook]

