
Performance Dashboard for Continuous Benchmarking of HPC Libraries

Chingun Ariunbat, Jamil Bagga, Walter Alexander Böttcher, Darius Schefer, Maximilian Schik

2021-05-13



Contents

| 1 | Introduction | 3 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2 | Goals 2.1 Required 2.2 Optional 2.3 Limitation | . 3 |
| 3 | Usage | 4 |
| 4 | Product Environment | 5 |
| 5 | Functional Requirements | 6 |
| 6 | Nonfunctional Requirements | 6 |
| 7 | Product Data | 7 |
| 8 | User Interface | 8 |
| 9 | Tests | 9 |
| 10 | Scenarios | 10 |
| 11 | Use Cases | 14 |
| Glo | ossarv | 20 |

1 Introduction

Performance Dashboard for Continuous Benchmarking of HPC Libraries
PSE SS21

2 Goals

2.1 Required

2.2

template

| heading NICHT IMP | PLEMENTIERT | M1 |
|--|-------------|------------|
| yet more placeholder | | |
| Schnelle Weiterleitung Implementiert durch: | • | M2 |
| Authentifizieren mit E- Implementiert durch: | | М3 |
| Rechtlichte Vorgaben v Implementiert durch: | | M4 |
| template | | |
| Optional | | |
| Authentifizieren mit Gi Implementiert durch: | | K 1 |

K2

Seite mit Betreiberinfo keine entsprechende Anforderung

2.3 Limitation

Keine Wahl Kurz-URL A1

template

3 Usage

template

4 Product Environment

template

5 Functional Requirements

FR1 **Schnelle Weiterleitung NICHT GETESTET** Implementiert: M2 template template NICHT GETESTET FR2 Implementiert: M3 K1 template Auf jeder Seite ist ein Link "Impressum" NICHT GETESTET FR3 Implementiert: M4 template Auf jeder Seite ist ein Link "Datenschutz" NICHT GETESTET FR4 Implementiert: M4 template Daten werden persistent gespeichert NICHT GETESTET FR5 Implementiert: template

6 Nonfunctional Requirements

| Modernes Design | NF1 |
|-----------------|-----|
| template | |
| Persistenz | NF2 |
| template | |
| Erweiterbarkeit | NF3 |
| template | |

7 Product Data

| Benchmark Results (Name in progress) | PD1 |
|---|-----|
| Format: JSON/CSV Description: | |
| saved on server | |
| algorithm result data (time, storage, accuracy, convergence(?)) | |
| Git Histories | PD2 |
| Format: ??? (WIP) | |
| Templates | PD3 |
| | FD3 |
| Format: JSON (?) | |

8 User Interface




Figure 1: Main page




Figure 2: Configuration Popup

9 Tests

10 Scenarios

Scenario name: pushAndInspect **Participating actor:** Ted: Developer

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository and fires off a benchmark test
- Ted opens the web app and selects his last pushed change
- Ted chooses a type of visualization
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: visualizeFromTemplate

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app
- Greta chooses a template for a visualization
- · Greta chooses which commit she wants to visualize
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the commit

Scenario name: saveTemplate **Participating actor:** Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app
- Greta configures a visualization
- Greta saves her visualization as a template for future use

Scenario name: inspect

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta wants to see the latest performance benchmarks for the project
- Greta opens the web app and selects the latest change
- Greta chooses a benchmark to compare

- Greta chooses a type of visualization by selecting which value to plot on the x axis and which value on the y axis
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: compareImplementations

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta wants to know which implementation is the fastest
- Greta opens the web app and selects a benchmark
- Greta selects commits from different branches containing different implementations
- Greta chooses a type of visualization by selecting which value to plot on the x axis and which value on the y axis.
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: pushAndCompare **Participating actor:** Ted: developer

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- Benchmark results are fed into the database.
- Ted opens the web app and selects his last pushed change>
- Ted selects a previous change that he wants to compare to.
- Ted chooses a type of visualization.
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the benchmark results from the selected changes.

Scenario name: badPerformance **Participating actor:** Ted: developer

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- · Benchmark results are fed into the database.

- Our dashboard-backend realizes that the benchmark data for this change is far worse than usual
- Ted gets notified that his last pushed change significantly worsened the performance and the related details about that.

Scenario name: impossiblePerformance **Participating actor:** Ted: developer

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- · Benchmark results are fed into the database.
- Our dashboard-backend realizes that the benchmark data for this change is theoretically impossible.
- Ted gets notified that his last pushed change has improved the performance above the theoretical maximum and the related details about that.

Scenario name: shareVisualization **Participating actor:** Greta: User

- · Greta found an interesting visualization for something.
- Greta clicks a *share* button next to the visualization.
- Greta gets a link she can share with others that redirects them to the exact same visualization.

Scenario name: visualizeCommitWithoutBenchmark

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app and wants to visualize benchmark data for a specific commit. This commit has no benchmark data attached to it, only the commit before and the commit after.
- Greta can't click on the commit because it is greyed out.

Scenario name: takeVisualizationFromHistory

Participating actor: Greta: User

• Greta opens the web app and visualizes something. She then visualizes something else. Her previous visualizations are stored in a list somewhere.

- Greta decides to take another look at a previous visualization.
- Greta picks her previous visualization and gets the previous visualization.

Scenario name: postBenchmarkResults **Participating actor:** bencharkCI: CI

- The benchmarkCl processes a benchmark and gets some results.
- The benchmarkCI posts the results to the backend of the system using the API supplied by the system.
- The benchmark results are stored in the backend database system.

11 Use Cases

Use case name: Visualize

Participating actors: initiated by User **Entry conditions:** configuration is available

Flow of events:

- 1. The web app sends a request to the backend containing the configuration.
- 2. The backend fetches the specified data from a databank.
- 3. The backend does the calculations specified in the configuration (mean, median, standard deviation).
- 4. The backend sends the data back to the webapp.
- 5. The webapp takes the data and generates the plot specified in the configuration.
- 6. The user gets redirected to a new site where he can inspect the generated plot.

Exit conditions: The plot specified by the configuration gets shown to the User.

Quality requirements: Shouldn't take more than 10 seconds

Use case name: Configure Visualization **Participating actors:** initated by User

Entry conditions: User selected the "Create New Plot" option

Flow of events:

- 1. A popup appears.
- 2. The user chooses a plot type.
- 3. The user chooses between certain options that are specific to the plot type.

Exit conditions: A configuration gets created.

Quality requirements: The available options should be understandable without any previous knowledge or otherwise described by a short text.

Use case name: Inspect Change **Participating actors:** initated by User

Entry conditions: benchmark data for commit is available **Flow of events:**

- 1. The user selects a single commit.
- 2. The user initiates the Configure Visualization use case by selecting the "Create New Plot" option.
- 3. Once the user is satisfied with his configuration, he initiates the Visualize use case by selecting the "Create New Plot" option in the popup.

Exit conditions: Visualization is displayed to the user

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Compare commits **Participating actors:** intiated by User

Entry conditions: benchmark results for all selected commits are available

Flow of events:

- 1. The user selects multiple commits.
- 2. The user initiates the Configure Visualization use case by selecting the "Create New Plot" option.
- 3. Once the user is satisfied with his configuration, he initiates the Visualize use case by selecting the "Generate New Plot" option in the popup.

Exit conditions: Visualization is displayed to the user

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Share Visualization **Participating actors:** initiated by User

Entry conditions: A visualization has been generated

Flow of events:

- 1. The user selects the "Share Visualization" option.
- 2. A link gets displayed.
- 3. The link redirects any visitors to the same visualization.

Exit conditions: A link is shown which redirects to the visualization

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Export Visualization **Participating actors:** initiated by User

Entry conditions: A visualization has been generated

Flow of events:

- 1. The user selects the "Export Visualization" option.
- 2. A popup appears.
- 3. The user chooses a filetype for the export.
- 4. The user confirmes and downloads the visualization in the choosen file format.

Exit conditions: The User is offered a download of an export of the visualization **Quality requirements:** Support for the filetypes png, pdf and pgf (what is the preferred latex format?)

Use case name: Save Template

Participating actors: initiated by User, (maybe web browser as well? the cookies get

stored on the web browser)

Entry conditions: The user is in the Configure Visualization use case

Flow of events: The Save Template use case extends the Configure Visualization

use case.

- 1. The User selects the "save template" option.
- 2. The User enters a name for the template.
- 3. The webapp stores the template locally (cookies).

Exit conditions: Template is stored on the locally (might add global templates for later?)

Quality requirements: Requires less than 1kB of memory

Use case name: Use Template

Participating actors: initiated by User (maybe web browser as well? the cookies get

stored on the web browser)

Entry conditions: The user is in the Configure Visualization use case and a template

is available locally

Flow of events: The Use Template use case extends the Configure Visualization use case.

- 1. The User selects the "use template" option.
- 2. User is shown a list of all available templates.
- 3. User selects a template from the list.
- 4. The current configuration options get set to the values specified in the template.

Exit conditions: The template is applied to the current configuration.

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Post Benchmark Results

Participating actors: initiated by External Benchmarking System

Entry conditions: The external benchmarking system ran the benchmarks

Flow of events:

- 1. The benchmarking system makes a POST request to the backend containing the new benchmark data in JSON format.
- 2. The backend converts the received data into the correct format.
- 3. The backend stores the received data in a database.

Exit conditions: The received performance data is stored in a database

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Performance Tracking

Participating actors: communicates with External Webservice

Entry conditions: New benchmark data has been posted to the backend

Flow of events:

- 1. The backend evaluates the performance of the new benchmark data.
- 2. The backend compares the performance of the new benchmark with the performance of the corresponding benchmark of the last commit.
- 3. The backend relays the results to a configured number of hooks.

4. The hooks contact their external webservices according to how they have been configured.

Exit conditions: The server fires a POST Request to all webhook subscribers **Quality requirements:**

Use case name: Benchmark Results POST Failure

Participating actors: return error code to External Benchmarking System

Entry conditions:

 $\textbf{Flow of events:} \ \textbf{This use case extends the Post Benchmark Results use case if an error}$

occurs.

- 1. The backend identifies the error.
- 2. The backend creates a response with the correct error code.

Exit conditions:

Quality requirements:

Use case name: Benchmark Data Fetching Failure

Participating actors: displays error to User

Entry conditions: This use case extends the Visualization use case if an error occurs.

Flow of events:

- 1. The backend identifies the error.
- 2. The backend creates a response with the correct error code.
- 3. The webapp displays an error message.

Exit conditions:

Quality requirements:

Use case name: UseCaseTemplate

Participating actors: Actors Entry conditions: Entry cond.

Flow of events:

- 1. Flow 1
- 2. Flow 2

Exit conditions: Exit cond.

Quality requirements: Quality Requirements(?)

Glossary

CI Continuous Integration.

configuration A complete description of a visualization. It contains all the necessary information except the benchmark data.

developer Person working on the project that is to be benchmarked.

JSON JavaScript Object Notation.

template A partial configuration of a visualization, It contains preconfigured values, but leaves others blank for the user to costumize.

visualization A graphical representation of benchmark data.