

Performance Dashboard for Continuous Benchmarking of HPC Libraries

Chingun Ariunbat, Maximilian Schik, Walter Alexander Böttcher,
Darius Schefer, Jamil Bagga

2021-05-10

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Goals	4
2.1	Required	4
2.2	Optional	4
2.3	Limitation	4
3	Usage	5
4	Product Environment	6
5	Functional Requirements	7
6	Nonfunctional Requirements	8
7	Product Data	9
8	User Interface	10
9	Tests	11
10	Scenarios	12
11	Use Cases	16
	Glossary	20

1 Introduction

Performance Dashboard for Continuous Benchmarking of HPC Libraries

PSE SS21

2 Goals

2.1 Required

heading	NICHT IMPLEMENTIERT	M1
yet more placeholder		
Schnelle Weiterleitung Kurz- zu Lang-URL		M2
Implementiert durch:	FR1	
Authentifizieren mit E-Mail oder Facebook		M3
Implementiert durch:	FR2	
Rechtliche Vorgaben werden eingehalten		M4
Implementiert durch:	FR3 FR4	
template		

2.2 Optional

Authentifizieren mit Github		K1
Implementiert durch:	FR2	
Seite mit Betreiberinfo	keine entsprechende Anforderung	K2
template		

2.3 Limitation

Keine Wahl Kurz-URL		A1
template		

3 Usage

template

4 Product Environment

template

5 Functional Requirements

Schnelle Weiterleitung	NICHT GETESTET	FR1
Implementiert: M2		
template		
template	NICHT GETESTET	FR2
Implementiert: M3 K1		
template		
Auf jeder Seite ist ein Link "Impressum"	NICHT GETESTET	FR3
Implementiert: M4		
template		
Auf jeder Seite ist ein Link "Datenschutz"	NICHT GETESTET	FR4
Implementiert: M4		
template		
Daten werden persistent gespeichert	NICHT GETESTET	FR5
Implementiert:		
template		

6 Nonfunctional Requirements

Modernes Design

NF1

template

Persistenz

NF2

template

Erweiterbarkeit

NF3

template

7 Product Data

Benchmark Results (Name in progress)

PD1

Format: JSON/CSV

Description:

- saved on server
- algorithm result data (time, storage, accuracy, convergence(?))

Git Histories

PD2


Format: ??? (WIP)

Templates

PD3

Format: JSON (?)

8 User Interface



just a placeholder

9 Tests

10 Scenarios

Scenario name: pushAndInspect

Participating actor: Ted: [Developer](#)

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository and fires off a benchmark test
- Ted opens the web app and selects his last pushed change
- Ted chooses a type of [visualization](#)
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: visualizeFromTemplate

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app
- Greta chooses a [template](#) for a [visualization](#)
- Greta chooses which commit she wants to visualize
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the commit

Scenario name: saveTemplate

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app
- Greta configures a visualization
- Greta saves her visualization as a [template](#) for future use

Scenario name: inspect

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta wants to see the latest performance benchmarks for the project
- Greta opens the web app and selects the latest change
- Greta chooses a benchmark to compare

- Greta chooses a type of [visualization](#) by selecting which value to plot on the x axis and which value on the y axis
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: compareImplementations

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta wants to know which implementation is the fastest
- Greta opens the web app and selects a benchmark
- Greta selects commits from different branches containing different implementations
- Greta chooses a type of [visualization](#) by selecting which value to plot on the x axis and which value on the y axis.
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: pushAndCompare

Participating actor: Ted: [developer](#)

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- Benchmark results are fed into the database.
- Ted opens the web app and selects his last pushed change>
- Ted selects a previous change that he wants to compare to.
- Ted chooses a type of [visualization](#).
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the benchmark results from the selected changes.

Scenario name: badPerformance

Participating actor: Ted: [developer](#)

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- Benchmark results are fed into the database.

- Our dashboard-backend realizes that the benchmark data for this change is far worse than usual.
- Ted gets notified that his last pushed change significantly worsened the performance and the related details about that.

Scenario name: impossiblePerformance

Participating actor: Ted: [developer](#)

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- Benchmark results are fed into the database.
- Our dashboard-backend realizes that the benchmark data for this change is theoretically impossible.
- Ted gets notified that his last pushed change has improved the performance above the theoretical maximum and the related details about that.

Scenario name: shareVisualization

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta found an interesting [visualization](#) for something.
- Greta clicks a *share* button next to the [visualization](#).
- Greta gets a link she can share with others that redirects them to the exact same [visualization](#).

Scenario name: visualizeCommitWithoutBenchmark

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app and wants to visualize benchmark data for a specific commit. This commit has no benchmark data attached to it, only the commit before and the commit after.
- Greta can't click on the commit because it is greyed out.

Scenario name: takeVisualizationFromHistory

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app and visualizes something. She then visualizes something else. Her previous [visualizations](#) are stored in a list somewhere.

- Greta decides to take another look at a previous [visualization](#).
- Greta picks her previous visualization and gets the previous [visualization](#).

Scenario name: postBenchmarkResults

Participating actor: bencharkCI: [CI](#)

- The benchmarkCI processes a benchmark and gets some results.
- The benchmarkCI posts the results to the backend of the system using the API supplied by the system.
- The benchmark results are stored in the backend database system.

11 Use Cases

Use case name: Visualize

Participating actors: initiated by User

Entry conditions: configuration is available

Flow of events:

1. Web app fetches the data specified in the configuration from the backend
2. The web app uses the fetched data to generate a plot according to the specification
3. The generated plot is visualized in the web app

Exit conditions: The plot specified by the configuration gets shown to the User.

Quality requirements: Shouldn't take more than 30 seconds?

Use case name: Compare commits

Participating actors: Server

Entry conditions: benchmark results for all selected commits are available

Flow of events:

1. The backend compares the performance of all selected commits
2. ???

Exit conditions: The server caches the results for later use

Quality requirements: wip

Use case name: InspectChange

Participating actors: initiated by User

Entry conditions: benchmark data for commit is available

Flow of events:

1. User selects a single change from the history
2. Server generates comparison -> `CompareCommits`

Exit conditions: Results are displayed in the web app

Quality requirements: If data is present: no more than 30 seconds?

Use case name: SaveTemplate

Participating actors: User, Server

Entry conditions: Web app is currently displaying data

Flow of events:

1. User selects the “save template” option
2. User is prompted for name for the [template](#)
3. The Server generates a [JSON](#) file representing the [template](#)

Exit conditions: [Template](#) is stored on the server for later use

Quality requirements: Requires less than 1kB of storage in the database

Use case name: UseTemplate

Participating actors: User, Server

Entry conditions: A [template](#) is stored in the database

Flow of events:

1. The User selects the “open template” option
2. User is shown a list of all saved [templates](#)
3. User selects a [template](#) from the list

Exit conditions: The User’s dashboard is set to the selected template

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: PostBenchmarkResults

Participating actors: [Developer](#), Server

Entry conditions: The application was benchmarked

Flow of events:

1. The [developer](#) makes a POST request to the server containing the new benchmark data in [JSON](#) format
2. The Server converts the received data into the correct format
3. The Server stores the received data in the database

Exit conditions: The received performance data is stored in the database

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: ShareVisualization

Participating actors: User

Entry conditions: A [visualization](#) exists already

Flow of events:

1. The User selects the “share visualization” option on the web app dashboard with the [visualization](#) on it
- 2.

Exit conditions:

Quality requirements:

Use case name: ExportVisualization

Participating actors: User

Entry conditions: A [visualization](#) exists already

Flow of events:

1. The User selects the “export visualization” option on the web app dashboard with the [visualization](#) on the dashboard
2. The web app serializes the data as a [JSON](#) file
3. The web app prompts a download of the serialized [JSON](#) file

Exit conditions: The User is offered a download of an export of the visualization

Quality requirements: This may not take longer than 30 seconds. (Probably.)

Use case name: ImportVisualization (probably)

Participating actors: User

Entry conditions:

Flow of events:

1. The user selects the “import visualization” option on the web app
2. The web app prompts the user to upload a JSON File. (or to paste it?)
3. The web app parses the data within the JSON File, and displays it on the web app.

Exit conditions:

Quality requirements:

Use case name: Notify

Participating actors: Server, User

Entry conditions: A comparison has finished (see compare commits)

Flow of events:

1. The server has finished the comparison of the latest commit with benchmark data with its predeccessing commit with benchmark data.
2. The server fires a POST Request to all of its webhook subscribers, containing a notification that the latest change was negatively impacting the performance, a bried description of how it did so, and a link to the web app for immediate review.
3. The user sees this notification on its webhook service (e.g. Discord).

Exit conditions: The server fires a POST Request to all webhook subscribers

Quality requirements:

Use case name: UseCaseTemplate

Participating actors: Actors

Entry conditions: Entry cond.

Flow of events:

1. Flow 1
2. Flow 2

Exit conditions: Exit cond.

Quality requirements: Quality Requirements(?)

Glossary

CI Continuous Integration.

developer Person working on the project that is to be benchmarked.

JSON JavaScript Object Notation.

template Configuration of a [visualization](#).

visualization (WIP) uhhh... graphs and stuff on one website?.