

Performance Dashboard for Continuous Benchmarking of HPC Libraries

Chingun Ariunbat, Jamil Bagga, Walter Alexander Böttcher,
Darius Schefer, Maximilian Schik

2021-05-13



Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Goals	3
2.1	Required	3
2.2	Optional	3
2.3	Limitation	4
3	Usage	4
4	Product Environment	5
5	Functional Requirements	6
6	Nonfunctional Requirements	6
7	Product Data	7
8	User Interface	8
9	Tests	9
10	Scenarios	10
11	Use Cases	14
	Glossary	20

1 Introduction

Performance Dashboard for Continuous Benchmarking of HPC Libraries

PSE SS21

2 Goals

2.1 Required

heading NICHT IMPLEMENTIERT **M1**

yet more placeholder

Schnelle Weiterleitung Kurz- zu Lang-URL **M2**

Implementiert durch: [FR1](#)

Authentifizieren mit E-Mail oder Facebook **M3**

Implementiert durch: [FR2](#)

Rechtliche Vorgaben werden eingehalten **M4**

Implementiert durch: [FR3](#) [FR4](#)

template

2.2 Optional

Authentifizieren mit Github **K1**

Implementiert durch: [FR2](#)

Seite mit Betreiberinfo keine entsprechende Anforderung **K2**

template

2.3 Limitation

Keine Wahl Kurz-URL

A1

template

3 Usage

template

4 Product Environment

template

5 Functional Requirements

Schnelle Weiterleitung	NICHT GETESTET	FR1
Implementiert: M2		
template		
template	NICHT GETESTET	FR2
Implementiert: M3 K1		
template		
Auf jeder Seite ist ein Link "Impressum"	NICHT GETESTET	FR3
Implementiert: M4		
template		
Auf jeder Seite ist ein Link "Datenschutz"	NICHT GETESTET	FR4
Implementiert: M4		
template		
Daten werden persistent gespeichert	NICHT GETESTET	FR5
Implementiert:		
template		

6 Nonfunctional Requirements

Modernes Design	NF1
template	
Persistenz	NF2
template	
Erweiterbarkeit	NF3
template	

7 Product Data

Benchmark Results (Name in progress)

PD1

Format: JSON/CSV

Description:

- saved on server
- algorithm result data (time, storage, accuracy, convergence(?))

Git Histories

PD2

Format: ??? (WIP)

Templates

PD3

Format: JSON (?)

8 User Interface




Figure 1: Main page




Figure 2: Configuration Popup

9 Tests

10 Scenarios

Scenario name: pushAndInspect

Participating actor: Ted: [Developer](#)

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository and fires off a benchmark test
- Ted opens the web app and selects his last pushed change
- Ted chooses a type of [visualization](#)
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: visualizeFromTemplate

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app
- Greta chooses a [template](#) for a [visualization](#)
- Greta chooses which commit she wants to visualize
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the commit

Scenario name: saveTemplate

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app
- Greta configures a visualization
- Greta saves her visualization as a [template](#) for future use

Scenario name: inspect

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta wants to see the latest performance benchmarks for the project
- Greta opens the web app and selects the latest change
- Greta chooses a benchmark to compare

- Greta chooses a type of [visualization](#) by selecting which value to plot on the x axis and which value on the y axis
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: compareImplementations

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta wants to know which implementation is the fastest
- Greta opens the web app and selects a benchmark
- Greta selects commits from different branches containing different implementations
- Greta chooses a type of [visualization](#) by selecting which value to plot on the x axis and which value on the y axis.
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: pushAndCompare

Participating actor: Ted: [developer](#)

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- Benchmark results are fed into the database.
- Ted opens the web app and selects his last pushed change>
- Ted selects a previous change that he wants to compare to.
- Ted chooses a type of [visualization](#).
- The app creates the given type of [visualization](#) with the benchmark results from the selected changes.

Scenario name: badPerformance

Participating actor: Ted: [developer](#)

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- Benchmark results are fed into the database.

- Our dashboard-backend realizes that the benchmark data for this change is far worse than usual.
- Ted gets notified that his last pushed change significantly worsened the performance and the related details about that.

Scenario name: impossiblePerformance

Participating actor: Ted: [developer](#)

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- Benchmark results are fed into the database.
- Our dashboard-backend realizes that the benchmark data for this change is theoretically impossible.
- Ted gets notified that his last pushed change has improved the performance above the theoretical maximum and the related details about that.

Scenario name: shareVisualization

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta found an interesting [visualization](#) for something.
- Greta clicks a *share* button next to the [visualization](#).
- Greta gets a link she can share with others that redirects them to the exact same [visualization](#).

Scenario name: visualizeCommitWithoutBenchmark

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app and wants to visualize benchmark data for a specific commit. This commit has no benchmark data attached to it, only the commit before and the commit after.
- Greta can't click on the commit because it is greyed out.

Scenario name: takeVisualizationFromHistory

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app and visualizes something. She then visualizes something else. Her previous [visualizations](#) are stored in a list somewhere.

- Greta decides to take another look at a previous [visualization](#).
- Greta picks her previous visualization and gets the previous [visualization](#).

Scenario name: postBenchmarkResults

Participating actor: bencharkCI: [CI](#)

- The benchmarkCI processes a benchmark and gets some results.
- The benchmarkCI posts the results to the backend of the system using the API supplied by the system.
- The benchmark results are stored in the backend database system.

11 Use Cases




Figure 3: Use case diagram

Use case name: Visualize

Participating actors: initiated by User

Entry conditions: configuration is available

Flow of events:

1. The web app sends a request to the backend containing the configuration.
2. The backend fetches the specified data from a databank.
3. The backend does the calculations specified in the configuration (mean, median,

standard deviation).

4. The backend sends the data back to the webapp.
5. The webapp takes the data and generates the plot specified in the [configuration](#).
6. The user gets redirected to a new site where he can inspect the generated plot.

Exit conditions: The plot specified by the [configuration](#) gets shown to the User.

Quality requirements: Shouldn't take more than 10 seconds

Use case name: Configure Visualization

Participating actors: initiated by User

Entry conditions: User selected the "Create New Plot" option

Flow of events:

1. A popup appears.
2. The user chooses a plot type.
3. The user chooses between certain options that are specific to the plot type.

Exit conditions: A [configuration](#) gets created.

Quality requirements: The available options should be understandable without any previous knowledge or otherwise described by a short text.

Use case name: Inspect Change

Participating actors: initiated by User

Entry conditions: benchmark data for commit is available

Flow of events:

1. The user selects a single commit.
2. The user initiates the **Configure Visualization** use case by selecting the "Create New Plot" option.
3. Once the user is satisfied with his [configuration](#), he initiates the **Visualize** use case by selecting the "Create New Plot" option in the popup.

Exit conditions: Visualization is displayed to the user

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Compare commits

Participating actors: initiated by User

Entry conditions: benchmark results for all selected commits are available

Flow of events:

1. The user selects multiple commits.
2. The user initiates the **Configure Visualization** use case by selecting the "Create New Plot" option.
3. Once the user is satisfied with his [configuration](#), he initiates the **Visualize** use case by selecting the "Generate New Plot" option in the popup.

Exit conditions: Visualization is displayed to the user

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Share Visualization

Participating actors: initiated by User

Entry conditions: A [visualization](#) has been generated

Flow of events:

1. The user selects the "Share Visualization" option.
2. A link gets displayed.
3. The link redirects any visitors to the same [visualization](#).

Exit conditions: A link is shown which redirects to the [visualization](#)

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Export Visualization

Participating actors: initiated by User

Entry conditions: A [visualization](#) has been generated

Flow of events:

1. The user selects the "Export Visualization" option.
2. A popup appears.
3. The user chooses a filetype for the export.
4. The user confirms and downloads the [visualization](#) in the chosen file format.

Exit conditions: The User is offered a download of an export of the [visualization](#)
Quality requirements: Support for the filetypes png, pdf and pgf (what is the preferred latex format?)

Use case name: Save Template

Participating actors: initiated by User, (maybe web browser as well? the cookies get stored on the web browser)

Entry conditions: The user is in the `Configure Visualization` use case

Flow of events: The `Save Template` use case extends the `Configure Visualization` use case.

1. The User selects the “save template” option.
2. The User enters a name for the [template](#).
3. The webapp stores the template locally (cookies).

Exit conditions: [Template](#) is stored on the locally (might add global templates for later?)

Quality requirements: Requires less than 1kB of memory

Use case name: Use Template

Participating actors: initiated by User (maybe web browser as well? the cookies get stored on the web browser)

Entry conditions: The user is in the `Configure Visualization` use case and a [template](#) is available locally

Flow of events: The `Use Template` use case extends the `Configure Visualization` use case.

1. The User selects the “use template” option.
2. User is shown a list of all available [templates](#).
3. User selects a [template](#) from the list.
4. The current [configuration](#) options get set to the values specified in the template.

Exit conditions: The [template](#) is applied to the current configuration.

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Post Benchmark Results

Participating actors: initiated by External Benchmarking System

Entry conditions: The external benchmarking system ran the benchmarks

Flow of events:

1. The benchmarking system makes a POST request to the backend containing the new benchmark data in [JSON](#) format.
2. The backend converts the received data into the correct format.
3. The backend stores the received data in a database.

Exit conditions: The received performance data is stored in a database

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: Performance Tracking

Participating actors: communicates with External Webservice

Entry conditions: New benchmark data has been posted to the backend

Flow of events:

1. The backend evaluates the performance of the new benchmark data.
2. The backend compares the performance of the new benchmark with the performance of the corresponding benchmark of the last commit.
3. The backend relays the results to a configured number of hooks.
4. The hooks contact their external webservices according to how they have been configured.

Exit conditions: The server fires a POST Request to all webhook subscribers

Quality requirements:

Use case name: Benchmark Results POST Failure

Participating actors: return error code to External Benchmarking System

Entry conditions:

Flow of events: This use case extends the `Post Benchmark Results` use case if an error occurs.

1. The backend identifies the error.
2. The backend creates a response with the correct error code.

Exit conditions:

Quality requirements:

Use case name: Benchmark Data Fetching Failure

Participating actors: displays error to User

Entry conditions: This use case extends the `Visualization` use case if an error occurs.

Flow of events:

1. The backend identifies the error.
2. The backend creates a response with the correct error code.
3. The webapp displays an error message.

Exit conditions:

Quality requirements:

Use case name: UseCaseTemplate

Participating actors: Actors

Entry conditions: Entry cond.

Flow of events:

1. Flow 1
2. Flow 2

Exit conditions: Exit cond.

Quality requirements: Quality Requirements(?)

Glossary

CI Continuous Integration.

configuration A complete description of a [visualization](#). It contains all the necessary information except the benchmark data.

developer Person working on the project that is to be benchmarked.

JSON JavaScript Object Notation.

template A partial configuration of a [visualization](#), It contains preconfigured values, but leaves others blank for the user to customize.

visualization A graphical representation of benchmark data.