Performance Dashboard for Continuous Benchmarking of HPC Libraries

Chingun Ariunbat, Maximilian Schik, Walter Alexander Böttcher, Darius Schefer, Jamil Bagga

2021-05-10

1 Introduction

Performance Dashboard for Continuous Benchmarking of HPC Libraries

PSE SS21

2 Goals

2.1 Required

	heading NICHT IMPLEMENTIERT	M 1
	yet more placeholder	
	Schnelle Weiterleitung Kurz- zu Lang-URL Implementiert durch: FR1	M2
	Authentifizieren mit E-Mail oder Facebook Implementiert durch: FR2	МЗ
	Rechtlichte Vorgaben werden eingehalten Implementiert durch: FR3 FR4	M 4
	template	
2.2	Optional	
	Authentifizieren mit Github Implementiert durch: FR2	K 1
	Seite mit Betreiberinfo keine entsprechende Anforderung	K2
	template	
2.3	Limitation	
	Keine Wahl Kurz-URL	A 1
	template	

3 Usage

template

4 Product Environment

template

5 Functional Requirements

template

Schnelle Weiterleitung FR1 NICHT GETESTET Implementiert: M2 template template NICHT GETESTET FR2 Implementiert: M3 K1 template Auf jeder Seite ist ein Link "Impressum" NICHT GETESTET FR3 Implementiert: M4 template Auf jeder Seite ist ein Link "Datenschutz" NICHT GETESTET FR4 Implementiert: M4 template Daten werden persistent gespeichert NICHT GETESTET FR5 Implementiert:


6 Nonfunctional Requirements

Modernes Design	NF1
template	
Persistenz	NF2
template	
Erweiterbarkeit	NF3
template	

7 Product Data

Benchmark Results (Name in progress)	PD1
Format: JSON/CSV Description:	
saved on server	
 algorithm result data (time, storage, accuracy, convergence(?)) 	
Git Histories	PD2
Format: ??? (WIP)	
Templates	PD3
	FD3
Format: JSON (?)	

8 User Interface



just a placeholder

9 Tests

10 Scenarios

Scenario name: pushAndInspect **Participating actor:** Ted: Developer

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository and fires off a benchmark test
- Ted opens the web app and selects his last pushed change
- Ted chooses a type of visualization
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: visualizeFromTemplate

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app
- Greta chooses a template for a visualization
- · Greta chooses which commit she wants to visualize
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the commit

Scenario name: saveTemplate **Participating actor:** Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app
- Greta configures a visualization
- Greta saves her visualization as a template for future use

Scenario name: inspect

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta wants to see the latest performance benchmarks for the project
- Greta opens the web app and selects the latest change
- Greta chooses a benchmark to compare

- Greta chooses a type of visualization by selecting which value to plot on the x axis and which value on the y axis
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: compareImplementations

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta wants to know which implementation is the fastest
- Greta opens the web app and selects a benchmark
- Greta selects commits from different branches containing different implementations
- Greta chooses a type of visualization by selecting which value to plot on the x axis and which value on the y axis.
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the benchmark results from the selected change

Scenario name: pushAndCompare **Participating actor:** Ted: developer

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- Benchmark results are fed into the database.
- Ted opens the web app and selects his last pushed change>
- Ted selects a previous change that he wants to compare to.
- Ted chooses a type of visualization.
- The app creates the given type of visualization with the benchmark results from the selected changes.

Scenario name: badPerformance **Participating actor:** Ted: developer

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- · Benchmark results are fed into the database.

- Our dashboard-backend realizes that the benchmark data for this change is far worse than usual
- Ted gets notified that his last pushed change significantly worsened the performance and the related details about that.

Scenario name: impossiblePerformance **Participating actor:** Ted: developer

- Ted pushes his work to a git repository, and fires off a benchmark test.
- · Benchmark results are fed into the database.
- Our dashboard-backend realizes that the benchmark data for this change is theoretically impossible.
- Ted gets notified that his last pushed change has improved the performance above the theoretical maximum and the related details about that.

Scenario name: shareVisualization **Participating actor:** Greta: User

- · Greta found an interesting visualization for something.
- Greta clicks a *share* button next to the visualization.
- Greta gets a link she can share with others that redirects them to the exact same visualization.

Scenario name: visualizeCommitWithoutBenchmark

Participating actor: Greta: User

- Greta opens the web app and wants to visualize benchmark data for a specific commit. This commit has no benchmark data attached to it, only the commit before and the commit after.
- Greta can't click on the commit because it is greyed out.

Scenario name: takeVisualizationFromHistory

Participating actor: Greta: User

• Greta opens the web app and visualizes something. She then visualizes something else. Her previous visualizations are stored in a list somewhere.

- Greta decides to take another look at a previous visualization.
- Greta picks her previous visualization and gets the previous visualization.

Scenario name: postBenchmarkResults **Participating actor:** bencharkCI: CI

- The benchmarkCl processes a benchmark and gets some results.
- The benchmarkCI posts the results to the backend of the system using the API supplied by the system.
- The benchmark results are stored in the backend database system.

11 Use Cases

Use case name: Visualize

Participating actors: initiated by User **Entry conditions:** configuration is available

Flow of events:

- 1. Web app fetches the data specified in the configuration from the backend
- 2. The web app uses the fetched data to generate a plot according to the specification
- 3. The generated plot is visualized in the web app

Exit conditions: The plot specified by the configuration gets shown to the User.

Quality requirements: Shouldn't take more than 30 seconds?

Use case name: Compare commits

Participating actors: Server

Entry conditions: benchmark results for all selected commits are available

Flow of events:

- 1. The backend compares the performance of all selected commits
- 2. ???

Exit conditions: The server caches the results for later use

Quality requirements: wip

Use case name: InspectChange **Participating actors:** initated by User

Entry conditions: benchmark data for commit is available

Flow of events:

- 1. User selects a single change from the history
- 2. Server generates comparison -> CompareCommits

Exit conditions: Results are displayed in the web app

Quality requirements: If data is present: no more than 30 seconds?

Use case name: SaveTemplate **Participating actors:** User, Server

Entry conditions: Web app is currently displaying data

Flow of events:

- 1. User selects the "save template" option
- 2. User is prompted for name for the template
- 3. The Server generates a JSON file representing the template

Exit conditions: Template is stored on the server for later use

Quality requirements: Requires less than 1kB of storage in the database

Use case name: UseTemplate **Participating actors:** User, Server

Entry conditions: A template is stored in the database

Flow of events:

- 1. The User selects the "open template" option
- 2. User is shown a list of all saved templates
- 3. User selects a template from the list

Exit conditions: The User's dashboard is set to the selected template

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: PostBenchmarkResults **Participating actors:** Developer, Server

Entry conditions: The application was benchmarked

Flow of events:

- 1. The developer makes a POST request to the server containing the new benchmark data in JSON format
- 2. The Server converts the received data into the correct format
- 3. The Server stores the received data in the database

Exit conditions: The received performance data is stored in the database

Quality requirements: ???

Use case name: ShareVisualization

Participating actors: User

Entry conditions: A visualization exists already

Flow of events:

1. The User selects the "share visualization" option on the web app dashboard with the visualization on it

2.

Exit conditions: Quality requirements:

Use case name: ExportVisualization

Participating actors: User

Entry conditions: A visualization exists already

Flow of events:

- 1. The User selects the "export visualization" option on the web app dashboard with the visualization on the dashboard
- 2. The web app serializes the data as a JSON file
- 3. The web app prompts a download of the serialized JSON file

Exit conditions: The User is offered a download of an export of the visualization **Quality requirements:** This may not take longer than 30 seconds. (Probably.)

Use case name: ImportVisualization (probably)

Participating actors: User

Entry conditions: Flow of events:

- 1. The user selects the "import visualization" option on the web app
- 2. The web app promps the user to upload a JSON File. (or to paste it?)
- 3. The web app parses the data within the JSON File, and displays it on the web app.

Exit conditions:

Quality requirements:

Use case name: Notify

Participating actors: Server, User

Entry conditions: A comparison has finished (see compare commits)

Flow of events:

- 1. The server has finished the comparison of the latest commit with benchmark data with its predecessing commit with benchmark data.
- 2. The server fires a POST Request to all of its webhook subscribers, containing a notification that the latest change was negatively impacting the performance, a bried description of how it did so, and a link to the web app for immediate review.
- 3. The user sees this notification on its webhook service (e.g. Discord).

Exit conditions: The server fires a POST Request to all webhook subscribers **Quality requirements:**

Use case name: UseCaseTemplate

Participating actors: Actors Entry conditions: Entry cond.

Flow of events:

- 1. Flow 1
- 2. Flow 2

Exit conditions: Exit cond.

Quality requirements: Quality Requirements(?)

Glossary

CI Continuous Integration.

developer Person working on the project that is to be benchmarked.

JSON JavaScript Object Notation.

template Configuration of a visualization.

visualization (WIP) uhhh... graphs and stuff on one website?.