Module 6b: Mutual Reference

CPSC 110

Module 6b: Mutual Reference

Learning goals

Learn how to use multiple mutually referential types.

- Be able to identify problem domain information of arbitrary size that should be represented using arbitrary arity trees.
- Be able to use the design recipes to design with arbitrary arity trees.
- Be able to use the design recipes with mutually-referential data.
- Be able to predict and identify the correspondence between external-, self- and mutual-reference in a data definition and calls, recursion and mutual-recursion in functions that operate on the data.

Notes

- Mutually recursive data: Arbitrary-arity trees
 - Requires two cycles in the type reference graph
 - Due to arbitrary size in 2 dimensions
- · Getting stuck: strategies to get unstuck
 - Make sure you have examples for what you are trying to write.
 - If you missed some examples at the beginning, GO WRITE THEM IN when you get to a situation that isn't covered!
 - Do it on paper.

01-Mutually-Recursive Data (video)

- ListOfElement
 - self-reference (SR) cycle: allows directory's list of sub-elements to be arbitrarily long
 - reference to Element: mutual reference (MR)
- Element
 - reference to ListOfElement: mutual reference (MR)
- The **mutual reference cycle** allows each element (or node) to have an arbitrary number of sub-elements (or children)
 - i.e. allows tree to have arbitrary breadth
 - ONLY *Mutual Reference* (MR) if both types reference each other. Otherwise, it is just a reference.
- There are a few "base cases" for this tree for which it stops growing. One or more of these must be the case.

- 1. When an element has non-zero data. That node cannot have children.
- 2. When an element has zero data and an empty list.
- 3. When an element has zero data and a list with elements with non-zero data. The element's children will not have children (no grandchildren for you!).

HTDF for Mutually Recursive Data**

- We don't design a single function. We design a function for EACH type.
- Function naming convention: <base-fn-name>--<data-type>
 - All functions have a base name (eg. sum-data) with the type that is being operated on as a suffix (eg. element or loe)
 - eg. sum-data--element and sum-data--loe
 - All functions are named base-fn-name because they are mutually recursive & require each other to work
- Functions usually all produce the same data (but there are exceptions)
- spd/tags tags
 - HtDF tag at top includes all functions

```
* (@HtDF <fn>--<type1> <fn>--<type2> ... <fn>-<typen>)
```

- Separate signatures for each function (both above purpose)
- Separate template tags for each function (above each function)

Why does it work?** Because our method is data-driven, we do all the hard work with our data definitions.

- 1. Well-formed, self and mutually referential type comments
- 2. Templates support natural mutual recursion (NMR)
- 3. Derived functions will
 - · have the right structure, and
 - terminate in a base case

Backtracking

Three main things about backtracking:

- 1. Signature produces a Type or false
- 2. Function body of fn. consuming ListOfX has:
 - (if (not (false? (find--region 1 (first lor)))))
 - This "if not false?" pattern is important for generic functions.
 - We could instead use region?, but this would only work for a tree of regions.

- 3. Backtracking tag: (if (not (false? is a structural characteristic of all backtracking problems
 - Add backtracking to each function's template tag
 - (@template Region add-parambacktracking)
 - (@template ListOfRegion add-parambacktracking)

Terminology

- Arbitary-arity tree: nodes can have an arbitrary number of children
 - Arbitarily deep: an unknown number of levels
 - Aribitarily "wide": an unknown number of children

Off-topic Questions

In Racket, For a search function, we can produce Value or **false** which represents two cases:

- 1. Success! We find the key and produce its value.
- 2. Failure. We do not find the key and return false.

How might we transfer this to other languages like C++ or Java?

In C++, there is a find function for vector lists. It behaves as such:

- 1. If found element, returns iterator to it.
- 2. Otherwise, return iterator to the last element.

Is there a better way? I have often found myself being unsure of how to represent a "failing" case. The solutions I have used are either,

- 1. Return 0, -1, or some other meaningless value. Give this value meaning where the function is called (i.e. user is responsible for implementation details)
- 2. Use an Enum to give meaning to arbitrary integers.
- 3. Throw an exception. (I assume this is the best method)