# CMSC631: Project Report

Shrey Patel, UID: 120210242

December 2024

## Project Overview: Coq for Numerical Analysis

Goal: To study how Coq can be used for formal verification of numerical algorithms for solving differential equations.

## **Accomplished Goals**

- Survey and understanding of real analysis libraries:
  - 1. Coq STL:
    - Set of real numbers R
    - Operators +, \* with identities RO, R1
    - Axioms on real numbers: total\_order, completeness, etc.
    - Lemmas for operators, orders, transcendental functions on reals (e.g. trigonometric, exponential, etc.)
  - 2. Coquelicot[2]:
    - Extension of Coq STL to support differential and integral calculus
    - Subsets defined as predicates on sets: T -> Prop
    - Neighbourhoods defined as filters: (T -> Prop) -> Prop
    - Limits defined as maps between neighbourhoods:

```
(T -> U) -> ((T -> Prop) -> Prop) -> ((U -> Prop) -> Prop)
```

- Derivatives as maps between functions: (T -> U) -> ((T -> Prop) -> Prop) -> (T -> U) -> Prop
- Lemmas for derivatives, integrals, Taylor Series, etc.
- Defined and proved various helper lemmas on real numbers (in code file Base.v):

```
Lemma Rplus_lt_r : forall r r' : R, (0 < r') \rightarrow (r < r + r').

Lemma Rplus_le_r : forall r r' : R, (0 <= r') \rightarrow (r <= r + r').

Lemma Rabs_scalar : forall x y : R, (0 < x) \rightarrow (\text{Rabs } (x * y) = x * \text{Rabs } y).
```

- Defined an ordinary differential equation for exponential decay (in code file ODE.v):
  - Differential Equation:  $\frac{dy}{dt} = -\lambda y$ ,  $y(t_0) = y_0$
  - Coq definition: As a relation of type  $R \rightarrow (R \rightarrow R) \rightarrow Prop$ :

```
forall (x: R) (n: nat),  \begin{split} &\text{ex\_derive\_n f n x.} \\ &\text{Definition exp\_ode (lambda: R) } (y:R\to R) := \\ &\text{(is\_differentiable y)} \land \\ &\text{(forall t: R, Derive\_n y 1 t} = - \text{(lambda*(y t)))}. \end{split}
```

Definition is\_differentiable (f:  $R \rightarrow R$ ): Prop :=

- Defined and proved a lemma for double derivative:

```
Lemma double_deriv : forall lambda zeta : R, forall y : R \rightarrow R, (forall t : R, Derive_n y 1 t = - (lambda * y t)) \rightarrow Derive_n y 2 zeta = lambda * lambda * y zeta.
```

- Implemented a numerical algorithm for solving the above differential equation (in code file NumericalMethod.v):
  - Forward Euler:  $y_{n+1} = (1 \lambda \Delta t)y_n$
  - Coq definition:

- Proved a theorem on local error bound (in code file LocalError.v)
  - **Theorem:** Let the exact solution be y and the numerical solution be  $\hat{y}$ . Then, assuming that at time  $t_n$ , both the exact and the numerical solution agree (i.e.  $y(t_n) = \hat{y}_n$ ), the error introduced by the numerical solution in one time step after  $t_n$  is bounded by the factor  $\left| \left| \frac{y_0(\lambda \Delta t)^2}{2} \right| \right|$ , where  $y_0$  is the initial value of y, i.e.  $y_0 = y(t_0)$ . In short,  $|y(t_n + \Delta t) \hat{y}_{n+1}| \le \left| \left| \frac{y_0(\lambda \Delta t)^2}{2} \right| \right|$
  - Coq definition:

```
Theorem local_error_bounded: forall y: R \rightarrow R, forall lambda t0 tn dt: R, 0 < \text{lambda} \rightarrow 0 < \text{dt} \rightarrow 0 < \text{tn} \rightarrow 0 < (\text{lambda} * \text{dt}) < 1 > 0 < (\text{lambda} * \text{dt}) < 1 > 0 < (\text{lambda} * \text{dt}) < 1 > 0 < (\text{lambda} * \text{dt}) > 0 < (\text{lambda} * \text{lambda} * \text{la
```

- Proved a theorem on global error bound (in code file GlobalError.v)
  - **Theorem:** Let the exact solution be y and the numerical solution be  $\hat{y}$ . Then, after starting from the same initial state  $y_0$  at time  $t_0$ , the error after n time steps (i.e. at time  $t_n = t_0 + n \Delta t$ ) is bounded by the factor  $\left| \left| \frac{ny_0(\lambda \Delta t)^2}{2} \right| \right|$ , meaning that the error grows linearly in worst case for the chosen numerical algorithm. In short,  $|y(t_0 + n \Delta t) \hat{y}_n| \le \left| \left| \frac{ny_0(\lambda \Delta t)^2}{2} \right| \right|$
  - Coq definition:

```
Theorem global_error_bounded: forall y: R \rightarrow R, forall lambda t0 dt: R, 0 < lambda \rightarrow 0 < dt \rightarrow 0 < (lambda * dt) < 1 \rightarrow exp_ode lambda y \rightarrow forall n: nat, (Rabs ((y (t0 + (INR n) * dt)) - (euler (y t0) lambda dt n))) <= INR n * (((lambda * dt)^2 * (Rabs (y t0))) / INR 2).
```

### **Unaccomplished Goal:**

The proof for local error uses a lemma (defined in code file ODE.v) that any function of type R  $\rightarrow$  R which satisfies the differential equation exp\_ode defined above for a given value of  $\lambda$  and initial value  $y_0$  must be of the form exp\_ode\_exact defined below:

```
Definition exp_ode_exact (lambda y0 : R) := fun (t : R) \Rightarrow (y0 * (exp (- (lambda * t)))). (* Theorem to prove that the exact solution is the only solution of the given ODE *) Theorem exp_eqv : forall lambda t0 : R, forall y : R \rightarrow R, exp_ode lambda y \rightarrow y = exp_ode_exact lambda (y t0).
```

This lemma is crucial for expressing the local and global error bounds in terms of the initial value of the function  $y_0$ . It is analytically provable using integration. Integration in Coquelicot library is implemented using Reimann sums, which I have not been able to understand properly, so I have not been able to complete the proof of this lemma.

#### References

- 1. Sylvie Boldo, Catherine Lelay, Guillaume Melquiond. Improving Real Analysis in Coq: A User-Friendly Approach to Integrals and Derivatives. 2012.
- 2. Sylvie Boldo, Catherine Lelay, Guillaume Melquiond. Coquelicot: A user-friendly library of real analysis for Coq. 2014.
- 3. Ariel Kellison and Andrew Appel. Verified Numerical Methods for Ordinary Differential Equations. 2022.