

Species occurrence as a function of emergent biological traits and environmental context

Peter D. Smits^{1,*}

1. University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637.

* Corresponding author; e-mail: psmits@uchicago.edu.

Manuscript elements:

Keywords: macroecology, macroevolution, paleobiology, species selection, species pool, community assembly

Manuscript type: Article

Prepared using the suggested L^AT_EX template for *Am. Nat.*

All the world's a stage, And all the men and women merely players; They have their
2 exits and their entrances...

(Shakespeare, *As You Like It*, Act II, Scene VII)

4 **Abstract**

The set of species in a region changes over time as new species enter through speciation or
6 immigration and as species leave the system through extinction and extirpation. How a regional
8 species pool changes over time is the product of many processes acting at multiple levels of
organization. Changes in the functional composition of a regional species pool are changes that
10 occur across all local communities drawn from that species pool. While a species' presence in a
local community is due to the availability of the necessary biotic-biotic or biotic-abiotic
12 interactions that enable coexistence, a species' presence in a regional species pool just requires
that at least one local community has that set of necessary interactions. The goal of this
14 analysis is to understand when, and possibly for what reasons, mammal ecotypes are enriched or
depleted relative to their average diversity. Here, I analyze the diversity history of North
16 American mammals ecotypes for most of the Cenozoic (the last 65 million years). This analysis
frames mammal diversity in terms of both their means of interacting with the biotic and abiotic
18 environment (i.e. functional group or ecotype) as well as their regional and global environmental
context. Using two hierarchical Bayesian hidden Markov models of diversity, I find that changes
20 to mammal diversity are driven more by the influx of new species than by selective extinction. I
also find that the only ecotypes which experience a near constant increase in diversity over time
22 are digitigrade and unguligrade herbivores, while arboreal ecotypes become increasingly rare and
in many cases disappear entirely from the species pool over the Cenozoic. Additionally, I find
that global temperature is only associated with the origination of some mammal ecotypes but,
24 in almost all cases, does not affect the extinction of mammal ecotypes.

Introduction

26 Changes to species diversity are the result of evolutionary and ecological processes acting both in
concert and continually. Local communities are shaped by dispersal and local ecological processes
28 such as resource competition and predator-prey relationships. The constituent species of these

community are drawn from a regional species pool, or the set of all species that are present in at least one community within a region (Harrison and Cornell, 2008; Mittelbach and Schemske, 2015; Urban et al., 2008). Species dispersal from the regional species pool to the local communities is a sorting process shaped by biotic and abiotic environmental filters which are mediated by those species traits (Cottenie, 2005; Elith and Leathwick, 2009; Harrison and Cornell, 2008; Loeuille and Leibold, 2008; Shipley et al., 2006; Urban et al., 2008). Regional species pools are shaped by speciation, extinction, migration, and extirpation. The gain or loss regional diversity reflects macroevolutionary dynamics which, in turn, shape downstream macroecological dynamics of the species pool and its constituent local communities (Harrison and Cornell, 2008; Mittelbach and Schemske, 2015; Urban et al., 2008).

Fundamentally, all species respond differently to climate and environmental change (Blois and Hadly, 2009). Similarities in ecological roles of species within a regional species pool can be described as a collection of guilds or functional groups (Bambach, 1977; Brown and Maurer, 1989; Simberloff and Dayan, 1991; Valentine, 1969; Wilson, 1999). Species within the same functional group are expected to have more similar macroecological dynamics than to species of a different functional group. By focusing on the relative diversity of functional groups, changes to diversity are interpretable as changes to the set of ways species within a species pool could interact with the biotic and abiotic environment.

A key question when comparing communities or regional species pools based their functional composition is whether a functional group is enriched or depleted and why; what are the processes that led to a species pool having the functional composition it does (Blois and Hadly, 2009; Brown and Maurer, 1989; McGill et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2008; Weber et al., 2017). Comparisons of contemporaneous regional species pools only determines if a functional group is enriched or depleted relative to other species pools; this does not reveal if that functional group is enriched or depleted relative to its diversity in the regional species pool over time (Blois and Hadly, 2009). While a species pool may be depleted of a functional group relative to other contemporaneous species pools, that same functional group may be actually be enriched in that species pool relative to its historical diversity. Because the processes which shape regional species pool diversity (e.g.

origination, extinction) operate on much longer time scales than is possible for studies of the

58 Modern, paleontological data provides a unique opportunity to observe and estimate the changes to
functional diversity and how species functional traits and environmental context can shape the

60 enrichment or depletion of functional groups within a regional species pool (Blois and Hadly, 2009;
Smith et al., 2008).

62 The paleontological record of North American mammals for the Cenozoic (66 million years ago to
present) provides one of the best opportunities for understanding how regional species pool

64 functional diversity. The North American mammal record is a relatively complete temporal
sequence for the entire Cenozoic which primarily, but not exclusively, based on fossil localities from

66 the Western Interior of North America (Alroy, 1996, 2009; Alroy et al., 2000). Additionally,
mammal fossils preserve a lot of important physiological information, such as teeth, so that

68 functional traits like the dietary/trophic category of species are easy to estimate (Eronen et al.,
2010; Polly et al., 2011, 2015).

70 The goals of this study are to understand when are unique functional groups, called ecotypes,
enriched or depleted in the North American mammal regional species pool and to estimate the

72 relationship between changes to regional ecotypic diversity and changes to their environmental
context.

74 **Background**

The diversity history of North American mammals for the Cenozoic is relatively well understood as

76 it has been the focus of considerable study (Alroy, 1996, 2009; Alroy et al., 2000; Badgley and
Finarelli, 2013; Blois and Hadly, 2009; Figueirido et al., 2012; Fraser et al., 2015; Janis, 1993; Janis
78 and Wilhelm, 1993; Pires et al., 2015; Quental and Marshall, 2013; Silvestro et al., 2015; Slater,
2015; Smits, 2015). Previous approaches to understanding mammal diversity, both in North
80 America and elsewhere, fall into a number of overlapping categories: total diversity (Alroy, 1996;
Alroy et al., 2000; Figueirido et al., 2012; Liow et al., 2008), with/between guild comparisons (Janis
82 et al., 2004; Janis, 2008; Janis et al., 2000; Janis and Wilhelm, 1993; Jernvall and Fortelius, 2004;

Pires et al., 2015), within/between clade comparisons (Cantalapiedra et al., 2017; Fraser et al.,
84 2015; Quental and Marshall, 2013; Silvestro et al., 2015; Slater, 2015), and estimating the impact of
environmental process on diversity (Alroy et al., 2000; Badgley and Finarelli, 2013; Badgley et al.,
86 2017; Blois and Hadly, 2009; Eronen et al., 2015; Fraser et al., 2015; Janis, 1993; Janis and
Wilhelm, 1993). Each of these individual perspectives provide a limited perspective on the
88 macroevolutionary and macroecological processes shaping diversity and diversification. Integration
across perspectives is necessary for producing a holistic and internally consistent picture of how the
90 North American mammal species pool has changed through time. One of the goals of this study is
to present a framework for approaching hypotheses about diversity and diversification through
92 multiple lenses simultaneously so that our inferences are better constrained and the relative
importance of various functional traits and environmental factors may be better elucidated.

94 The narrative of the diversification of North American mammals over the Cenozoic is one of
gradual change. There is little convincing evidence that there have been any major or sudden
96 cross-functional group or cross-taxonomic turnover events for the entire Cenozoic (Alroy, 1996, 2009;
Alroy et al., 2000; Eronen et al., 2015; Janis, 1993). Instead of being concentrated in time, turnover
98 has been found to be distributed through time. It is then expected then that, for this analysis,
turnover events or periods of rapid diversification or depletion should not occur simultaneously for
100 all functional groups under study. Additionally, changes to mammal diversification seem to be
primarily driven by changes to origination rate and not to extinction (Alroy, 1996, 2009; Alroy
102 et al., 2000). An unresolved aspect of the general history of mammal diversification is whether that
diversity is limited or self-regulating; namely, to what extent is mammal diversification
104 diversity-dependent (Alroy, 2009; Harmon and Harrison, 2015; Rabosky, 2013; Rabosky and
Hurlbert, 2015). Similarly, this question can also be asked of specific functional groups (Jernvall
106 and Fortelius, 2004; Quental and Marshall, 2013; Silvestro et al., 2015; Valkenburgh, 1999).

Within the overall narrative of mammal diversity, the histories of a selection of taxonomic and
108 functional groups are better understood. These groups have particularly good fossil records and/or
have been the focus of previous analyses.

110 The diversity history of ungulate herbivores has been characterized by more recently originating
111 taxa having longer legs, higher crowned teeth, and a shift from graze-dominated to
112 browse-dominated diets than their earlier originating counterparts (Cantalapiedra et al., 2017;
113 Fraser et al., 2015; Janis et al., 2004; Janis, 2008; Janis et al., 2000; Janis and Wilhelm, 1993). The
114 mechanisms which drive this pattern are theorized to be some combination of tectonic activity
115 driving environmental change such as the drying of the western interior of North America due
116 mountain building and global temperature and environmental change such as the formation of polar
icecaps (Badgley et al., 2017; Blois and Hadly, 2009; Eronen et al., 2015; Janis, 2008).

118 In contrast, the origination of modern cursorial carnivore forms was not until much later in the
119 Cenozoic; this is not to say that carnivore diversity only grew in the late Cenozoic, but that those
120 forms were late entrants. Instead, the diversity history of carnivores is reflective of
121 density-dependence or some other form of self-regulation (Silvestro et al., 2015; Slater, 2015;
122 Valkenburgh, 1999). Specifically, it has been proposed that different canid clades have replaced each
123 other as the dominate members of that macroecological role within the species pool (Silvestro et al.,
124 2015; Valkenburgh, 1999). It is then expected that for this analysis the diversity of digitigrade and
125 plantigrade carnivores (i.e. the “carnivore” guild of Valkenburgh (1999)) should be relatively
126 constant for the Cenozoic, but possibly more so the Neogene than the Paleogene.

In a relevant study, Smits (2015) found that functional traits such as a species dietary or locomotor
128 category structure differences in mammal extinction risk. In particular, arboreal taxa were found to
have a shorter duration on average than species from other locomotor categories (Smits, 2015). Two
130 possible scenarios that could yield this pattern were proposed: the extinction risk faced by arboreal
species is constant and high for the entire Cenozoic or the Paleogene and Neogene represent
132 different regimes and extinction risk increased in the Neogene, thus driving up the Cenozoic average
extinction risk. These two possible explanations have clear and testable predictions with respect to
134 the diversity history of arboreal taxa: 1) if arboreal taxa always have an elevated extinction risk
when compared to other taxa, then the diversity history of arboreal taxa is expected to be constant
136 with time, albeit possibly at low diversity; and 2) if the Paleogene and Neogene represent difference
selective regimes with the former being associated with lower extinction risk than the latter, then

138 the diversity history of arboreal taxa are expected to be present in the Paleogene but depleted or absent from the species pool during the Neogene.

140 The climate history of the Cenozoic can be broadly described as a gradual cooling trend, with polar ice-caps forming in the Neogene (Cramer et al., 2011; Zachos et al., 2008, 2001). There are of course
142 exceptions to this pattern such as the Eocene climatic optimum, the mid-Miocene climatic optimum, and the sudden drop in temperature at the Eocene/Oligocene boundary (Zachos et al.,
144 2008, 2001). In terms of the biotic environment of North America, the Cenozoic is additionally characterized by major transition from having closed, partially forested biomes being common in
146 the Paleogene to the landscape being dominated by savannah and grasslands biomes by the Neogene (Blois and Hadly, 2009; Janis, 1993; Janis et al., 2000; Strömberg, 2005); though this
148 transition was not itself coincident with the transition within herbivores from browse-dominated to graze-dominated diets (Strömberg, 2005). Additionally, the fundamental landscape of North
150 America changed substantially over the Cenozoic with mountain uplift and other tectonic actives in Western North America (Badgley and Finarelli, 2013; Blois and Hadly, 2009; Eronen et al., 2015;
152 Janis, 2008). This type of geological activity affects both local climates as well as continental weather patterns while also mobilizing increased grit into the environment, something which may
154 be responsible for increasing trend of hyposodony (high crowned teeth) among herbivores (Damuth and Janis, 2011; Jardine et al., 2012; Jernvall and Fortelius, 2002).

156 The effect of climate on mammal diversity and its accompanying diversification process has been the focus of considerable research with a slight consensus favoring mammal diversification being
158 more biologically-mediated than climate-mediated (Alroy, 1996; Alroy et al., 2000; Clyde and Gingerich, 1998; Figueirido et al., 2012). However, differences in temporal and geographic scale
160 seem to underly the contrast between these two perspectives. For example when the mammal fossil record analyzed at small temporal and geographic scales a correlation between diversity and climate
162 is observable (Clyde and Gingerich, 1998). However, when the record is analyzed at the scale of the continent and most of the Cenozoic there is no correlation with diversity and climate (Alroy et al.,
164 2000). This result, however, does not go against the idea that there may be short periods of correlation and that the correlation between diversity and climate can change or even reverse

¹⁶⁶ direction over time; this type result means that there is no single direction of correlation between diversity and climate (Figueirido et al., 2012).

¹⁶⁸ In the case of a fluctuating correlation between diversity and climate it is hard to make the argument for an actual causal link between the two without modeling the underlying ecological

¹⁷⁰ differences between species; after all, species respond differently based on their individual ecologies (Blois and Hadly, 2009). When analysis is based on diversity or taxonomy alone no mechanisms are

¹⁷² possible to infer. Taxonomy, like body size, stands in for many important species traits to the point that mechanistic or process based inference is impossible. While emergent patterns might

¹⁷⁴ correspond to taxonomic grouping, this itself is an emergent phenomenon. Instead, by framing hypotheses in terms of species traits and their environmental context, these emergent phenomena

¹⁷⁶ can be observed rather than assumed.

Foreground

¹⁷⁸ Fourth-corner modeling is an approach to explaining the patterns of either species abundance or presence/absence as a product of species traits, environmental factors, and the interaction between

¹⁸⁰ traits and environment (Brown et al., 2014; Jamil et al., 2013; Pollock et al., 2012; Warton et al., 2015); effectively uniting species distribution modeling (SDMs) with trait-based community

¹⁸² assembly (CATS, MaxEnt). In modern ecological studies, what is being modeled is species occurrences at localities distributed across a region (Jamil et al., 2013; Pollock et al., 2012). In this

¹⁸⁴ study, what is being modeled is the pattern of species occurrence over time for most of the Cenozoic in North America (Fig. 1). By incorporating an additional dimension (time) to the

¹⁸⁶ fourth-corner framework we can gain better inference of how an instantaneous species pool (i.e. the Modern) is assembled over time. These two approaches, modern and paleontological, are different

¹⁸⁸ views of the same three-dimensional pattern: species at localities over time. The temporal limitations of modern ecological studies and difficulties with uneven spatial occurrences of fossils in

¹⁹⁰ paleontological studies means that these approaches are complementary but reveal different patterns of how species are distributed in time and space.




Figure 1: Conceptual diagram of the paleontological fourth corner problem. The observed presence matrix (orange) is the empirical presence/absence pattern for all species for all time points; this matrix is an incomplete observation of the “true” presence/absence pattern (purple). The estimated true presence matrix is modeled as a function of both environmental factors over time (blue) and multiple species traits (green). Additionally, the effects of environmental factors on species traits are also modeled, as traits are expected to mediate the effects of a species environmental context. This diagram is based partially on material presented in Brown et al. (2014) and Warton et al. (2015).

- 192 My approach to delimiting and assigning mammal functional groups is inspired on the ecocube
 heuristic used to classify marine invertebrate species by three functional traits (Bambach et al.,
 194 2007; Bush and Bambach, 2011; Bush et al., 2007; Bush and Novack-Gottshall, 2012;
 Novack-Gottshall, 2007; Villéger et al., 2011). Unique combinations of traits represent ecotypes,
 196 which are equivalent to functional groups defined by functional traits instead of a holistic
 understanding how a taxon interacts with its environment. In this study, the two functional traits
 198 used to define a species’ ecotype are dietary (e.g. herbivore, carnivore, etc.) and locomotor category
 (e.g. arboreal, unguligrade, etc.). Species body mass was also included as a species trait in this
 200 analysis, but not as a functional trait for defining ecotypes; instead, its inclusion is principally to
 control for differences in species dynamics that driven by mass and not ecotype.
- 202 The environmental factors included in this study are estimates of global temperature and the

changing floral groups present in North America across the Cenozoic (Cramer et al., 2011; Graham,
204). These covariates were chosen because they provide high level characterizations of the
environmental context of the entire North American regional species pool for most of the Cenozoic.
206 Importantly, the effects of a species ecotype on diversity are themselves modeled as functions of
environmental factors (Fig. 1) allowing for inference as to how a species ecology can mediate
208 selective pressures due to its environmental context.

All observations, paleontological or modern, are made with uncertainty. With presence/absence
210 data this uncertainty comes from not knowing if an absence is a “true” absence or just a failure to
observe (Foote, 2001; Foote and Sepkoski, 1999; Lloyd et al., 2011; Royle and Dorazio, 2008; Royle
212 et al., 2005; Wang and Marshall, 2016). For paleontological data, the incomplete preservation and
sampling of species means that the true times of origination or extinction may not be observed
214 (Foote, 2001; Foote and Sepkoski, 1999; Wang et al., 2016; Wang and Marshall, 2016). The model(s)
I propose below represent an attempt to translate the verbal/visual model described here (Fig. 1)
216 into a statistical model for estimating the relative diversity of mammal ecotypes over time and how
those ecotypes respond to changes to environmental context while taking into account the
218 fundamental incompleteness of the fossil record.

Ultimately, the goals of this analysis are to understand when unique ecotypes enriched or
220 depleted in the North American mammal regional species pool and how these changes in ecotypic
diversity are related to changes in species’ environmental context. In the analyses done here, many
222 covariates which describe a species’ macroecology and its environmental context are considered. In
order to analyze this complex and highly structured data set, I developed a hierachal Bayesian
224 model combing the fourth-corner modeling approach with a model of an observation-occurrence or
observation-origination-extinction process.

²²⁶ **Materials and Methods**

Taxon occurrences and species-level information

²²⁸ All fossil occurrence information used in this analysis was downloaded from the Paleobiology Database (PBDB). The initial download restricted occurrences to Mammalia observed in North America between the Maastrichtian (72-66 Mya) and Gelasian (2.58-1.8 Mya) stages (Cohen et al., 2015). Occurrences were then further limited to those occurring between 64 and 2 million years ago (Mya); this age restriction was to insure that observation time series lines up with the temperature time series (Cramer et al., 2011). Taxonomic, stratigraphic, and ecological metadata for each occurrence and species was also downloaded. A new download for a raw, unfiltered PBDB datafile following the same criterion used here is available at <http://goo.gl/2s1geU>. The raw datafile used as a part of this study, along with all code for filtering and manipulating this download is available at <http://github.com/psmits/copings>.

²³⁸ After being downloaded, the raw occurrence data was then sorted, cleaned, and manipulated programmatically before analysis. Many species taxonomic assignments as present in the raw PBDB data were updated for accuracy and consistency. For example, species classified in the order Artiodactyla were reclassified as Cetartiodactyla. These re-assignments follow Smits (2015) which were based on taxonomies present in the Encyclopedia of Life (<http://eol.org>) and Janis et al. (2008, 1998). All taxa whose life habit was classified as either volant (i.e. Chiroptera) or aquatic (e.g. Cetacea) were excluded from this analysis because of their lack of direct applicability to the study of terrestrial species pools.

²⁴⁶ Species ecotype is defined based on a combination of locomotor and diet categories; the goal is to classify species based on the manner with which they interact with their environment. Most mammal species records in the PBDB have life habit (i.e. locomotor category) and dietary category assignments. In order to simplify interpretation, analysis, and per-ecotype sample size these classifications were coarsened in a similar manner to (Smits, 2015) following Table 1. Additionally for this study, the life history category was further broken up to better reflect the diversity of

252 mammal locomotor modes. Ground dwelling species locomotor categories were reassigned based on
 the ankle posture associated with their taxonomic group, as described in Table 2 (Carrano, 1999).
 254 Ankle posture was assumed uniform for all species within a taxonomic group except for those
 species assigned a non-ground dwelling locomotor category by the PBDB. All species for which it
 256 was possible to assign a locomotor category had one assigned, including species for which
 post-crana are unknown but for which a taxonomic grouping is known. Ground dwelling species
 258 which were unable to be reassigned based on posture were excluded from analysis. Finally, ecotype
 categories with less than 10 total species were excluded, yielding a total of 18 observed ecotypes out
 260 of a possible 24.

Table 1: Species trait assignments in this study are a coarser version of the information available in the PBDB. Information was coarsened to improve per category sample size.

This study		PBDB categories
Diet	Carnivore	Carnivore
	Herbivore	Browser, folivore, granivore, grazer, herbivore.
	Insectivore	Insectivore.
	Omnivore	Frugivore, omnivore.
Locomotor	Arboreal	Arboreal.
	Ground dwelling	Fossorial, ground dwelling, semifossorial, saltatorial.
	Scansorial	Scansorial.

Table 2: Ankle posture assignment as based on taxonomy. Assignments are based on (Carrano, 1999). Taxonomic groups are presented alphabetically and without reference for the nestedness of families in orders.

Order	Family	Stance
	Ailuridae	plantigrade
	Allomyidae	plantigrade
	Amphicyonidae	plantigrade
	Amphilemuridae	plantigrade
	Anthracotheriidae	digitigrade
	Antilocapridae	unguligrade
	Apheliscidae	plantigrade

Continued on next page

Table 2 – continued from previous page

Order	Family	Stance
	Aplodontidae	plantigrade
	Apternodontidae	scansorial
	Arctocyonidae	unguligrade
	Barbourofelidae	digitigrade
	Barylambdidae	plantigrade
	Bovidae	unguligrade
	Camelidae	unguligrade
	Canidae	digitigrade
	Cervidae	unguligrade
	Cimolodontidae	scansorial
	Coryphodontidae	plantigrade
	Cricetidae	plantigrade
	Cylindrodontidae	plantigrade
	Cyriacotheriidae	plantigrade
	Dichobunidae	unguligrade
Dinocerata		unguligrade
	Dipodidae	digitigrade
	Elephantidae	digitigrade
	Entelodontidae	unguligrade
	Eomyidae	plantigrade
	Erethizontidae	plantigrade
	Erinaceidae	plantigrade
	Esthonychidae	plantigrade
	Eutypomyidae	plantigrade
	Felidae	digitigrade

Continued on next page

Table 2 – continued from previous page

Order	Family	Stance
	<i>Florentiamyidae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Gelocidae</i>	unguligrade
	<i>Geolabididae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Glyptodontidae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Gomphotheriidae</i>	unguligrade
	<i>Hapalodectidae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Heteromyidae</i>	digitigrade
	<i>Hyaenidae</i>	digitigrade
	<i>Hyaenodontidae</i>	digitigrade
	<i>Hypertragulidae</i>	unguligrade
	<i>Ischyromyidae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Jimomyidae</i>	plantigrade
Lagomorpha		digitigrade
	<i>Leptictidae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Leptochoeridae</i>	unguligrade
	<i>Leptomerycidae</i>	unguligrade
	<i>Mammutidae</i>	unguligrade
	<i>Megalonychidae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Megatheriidae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Mephitidae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Merycoidodontidae</i>	digitigrade
Mesonychia		unguligrade
	<i>Mesonychidae</i>	digitigrade
	<i>Micropternodontidae</i>	plantigrade
	<i>Mixodectidae</i>	plantigrade

Continued on next page

Table 2 – continued from previous page

Order	Family	Stance
	Moschidae	unguligrade
	Muridae	plantigrade
	Mustelidae	plantigrade
	Mylagaulidae	fossorial
	Mylodontidae	plantigrade
	Nimravidae	digitigrade
	Nothrotheriidae	plantigrade
Notoungulata		unguligrade
	Oromerycidae	unguligrade
	Oxyaenidae	digitigrade
	Palaeomerycidae	unguligrade
	Palaeoryctidae	plantigrade
	Pampatheriidae	plantigrade
	Pantolambdidae	plantigrade
	Peritychidae	digitigrade
Perissodactyla		unguligrade
	Phenacodontidae	unguligrade
Primates		plantigrade
	Procyonidae	plantigrade
	Proscalopidae	plantigrade
	Protoceratidae	unguligrade
	Reithroparamyidae	plantigrade
	Sciuravidae	plantigrade
	Sciuridae	plantigrade
	Simimyidae	plantigrade

Continued on next page

Table 2 – continued from previous page

Order	Family	Stance
	Soricidae	plantigrade
	Suidae	digitigrade
	Talpidae	fossorial
	Tayassuidae	unguligrade
	Tenrecidae	plantigrade
	Titanoideidae	plantigrade
	Ursidae	plantigrade
	Viverravidae	plantigrade
	Zapodidae	plantigrade

- ²⁶² Estimates of species mass used as a covariate in this study were sourced from multiple databases and papers, especially those focusing on similar macroevolutionary or macrecological questions
- ²⁶⁴ (Brook and Bowman, 2004; Freudenthal and Martín-Suárez, 2013; McKenna, 2011; Raia et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2004; Tomiya, 2013); this is similar to what was done in Smits (2015). When species
- ²⁶⁶ mass was not available, proxy measures were used and then transformed into estimates of mass. For example, given a measurement of a mammal tooth size, it is possible and routine to estimate its
- ²⁶⁸ mass given some regression equation. The PBDB has one or more body part measures for many species. These were used as body size proxies for many species, as was the case in Smits (2015).
- ²⁷⁰ Mass was log-transformed and then rescaled by first subtracting mean log-mass from all mass estimates, then dividing by two-times its standard deviation; this insures that the magnitude of
- ²⁷² effects for both continuous and discrete covariates are directly comparable (Gelman, 2008; Gelman and Hill, 2007).
- ²⁷⁴ All fossil occurrences from 64 to 2 million years ago (Mya) were binned into 31 2 million year (My) bins. This temporal length was chosen because it is approximately the resolution of the North

Table 3: Regression equations used in this study for estimating body size. Equations are presented with reference to taxonomic grouping, part name, and reference.

Group	Equation	log(Measurement)	Source
General	$\log(m) = 1.827x + 1.81$	lower m1 area	Legendre (1986)
General	$\log(m) = 2.9677x - 5.6712$	mandible length	Foster (2009)
General	$\log(m) = 3.68x - 3.83$	skull length	Luo et al. (2001)
Carnivores	$\log(m) = 2.97x + 1.681$	lower m1 length	Van Valkenburgh (1990)
Insectivores	$\log(m) = 1.628x + 1.726$	lower m1 area	Bloch et al. (1998)
Insectivores	$\log(m) = 1.714x + 0.886$	upper M1 area	Bloch et al. (1998)
Lagomorph	$\log(m) = 2.671x - 2.671$	lower toothrow area	Tomiya (2013)
Lagomorph	$\log(m) = 4.468x - 3.002$	lower m1 length	Tomiya (2013)
Marsupials	$\log(m) = 3.284x + 1.83$	upper M1 length	Gordon (2003)
Marsupials	$\log(m) = 1.733x + 1.571$	upper M1 area	Gordon (2003)
Rodentia	$\log(m) = 1.767x + 2.172$	lower m1 area	Legendre (1986)
Ungulates	$\log(m) = 1.516x + 3.757$	lower m1 area	Mendoza et al. (2006)
Ungulates	$\log(m) = 3.076x + 2.366$	lower m2 length	Mendoza et al. (2006)
Ungulates	$\log(m) = 1.518x + 2.792$	lower m2 area	Mendoza et al. (2006)
Ungulates	$\log(m) = 3.113x - 1.374$	lower toothrow length	Mendoza et al. (2006)

276 American mammal fossil record (Alroy, 1996, 2009; Alroy et al., 2000; Marcot, 2014).

Environmental and temporal covariates

278 The environmental covariates used in this study are collectively referred to as group-level covariates
because they predict the response of a “group” of individual-level observations (i.e. species
280 occurrences of an ecotype). Additionally, these covariates are defined for temporal bins and not the
species themselves; as such they predict the parts of each species occurrence history. The
282 group-level covariates in this study are two global temperature estimates and the Cenozoic “plant
phases” defined by Graham (2011).
284 Global temperature across most of the Cenozoic was calculated from Mg/Ca isotope record from
deep sea carbonates (Cramer et al., 2011). Mg/Ca based temperature estimates are preferable to
286 the frequently used $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ temperature proxy (Alroy et al., 2000; Figueirido et al., 2012; Zachos
et al., 2008, 2001) because Mg/Ca estimates do not conflate temperature with ice sheet volume and
288 depth stratification changes. The former is particularly important to this analysis as the current
polar ice-caps appeared and grew over the Cenozoic. These properties make Mg/Ca based

Table 4: Definitions of the start and stop times of the three plant phases used this study as defined by Graham (2011).

Plant phase	Phase number	Start	Stop
Paleocene-Eocene	1	66	50
Eocene-Miocene	2	50	16
Miocene-Pleistocene	3	16	2

temperature estimates preferable for macroevolutionary and macroecological studies (Ezard et al., 2016). Two aspects of the Mg/Ca-based temperature curve were included in this analysis: mean and range. Both were calculated as the mean of all respective estimates for each 2 My temporal bins. Both mean and range were then rescaled by subtracting the mean and then dividing by twice the standard deviation.

The second set of environmental factors included in this study are the Cenozoic plant phases defined in Graham (2011). Graham’s plant phases are holistic descriptors of the taxonomic composition of 12 ecosystem types, which plants are present at a given time, and the relative modernity of those plant groups with younger phases representing increasingly modern taxa (Graham, 2011). Graham (2011) defines four intervals from the Cretaceous to the Pliocene, though only three of these intervals take place during the time frame being analyzed. Graham’s plant phases was included as a series of “dummy variables” encoding the three phases included in this analysis (Gelman and Hill, 2007); this means that the first phase is synonymous with the intercept and subsequent phases are defined by their differences from the first phase. The temporal boundaries of these plant phases are defined in Table 4.

Modelling species occurrence

Two different models were used in this study: a pure-presence model and a birth-death model. Both models at their core are a hidden Markov model where the latent process has an absorbing state (Allen, 2011). The difference between these two models lies in whether the probabilities of a species originating or surviving are considered equal or different (Table 5). While there are only two state “codes” in a presence-absence matrix (i.e. 0/1), there are in fact three states in a birth-death model:

		State at $t + 1$		
		0_{never}	1	$0_{extinct}$
State at t	0_{never}	$1 - \theta$	θ	0
	1	0	θ	$1 - \theta$
	$0_{extinct}$	0	0	1

(a) Pure-presence

		State at $t + 1$		
		0_{never}	1	$0_{extinct}$
State at t	0_{never}	$1 - \phi$	ϕ	0
	1	0	π	$1 - \pi$
	$0_{extinct}$	0	0	1

(b) Birth-death

Table 5: Transition matrices for the pure-presence (5a) and birth-death (5b) models. Both of these models share the core machinery of discrete-time birth-death processes but make distinct assumptions about the equality of originating and surviving (Eq. 2, and 3). Note also that while there are only two state “codes” (0, 1), there are in fact three states: never having originated 0_{never} , present 1, extinct $0_{extinct}$ (Allen, 2011).

Table 6: Parameters associated with the observation process part of the hidden Markov model.

Parameter	dimensions	explanation
y	$N \times T$	observed species presence/absence
z	$N \times T$	“true” species presence/absence
p	T	probability of observing a species that is present at time t
m	N	species log mass, rescaled
α_0	1	average log-odds of p
α_1	1	change in average log-odds of p per change mass
r	T	difference from α_0 associated with time t
σ	1	standard deviation of r

not having originated yet, extant, and extinct. The last of these is the absorbing state, as once a species has gone extinct it cannot re-originate (Allen, 2011). Thus, in the transition matrices the probability of an extinct species changing states is 0 (Table 5). See below for parameter explanations (Tables 6, 7, and 8).

Observation process

The type of hidden Markov model used in this study has three characteristic probabilities: probability p of observing a species given that it is present, probability ϕ of a species surviving from one time to another, and probability π of a species first appearing (Royle and Dorazio, 2008). In this formulation, the probability of a species becoming extinct is $1 - \phi$. For the pure-presence model $\phi = \pi$, while for the birth-death model $\phi \neq \pi$.

The probability p of observing a species that is present is modeled as a logistic regression with a

Table 7: Parameters for the model of presence in the pure-presence model

Parameter	dimensions	explanation
z	$N \times T$	“true” species presence/absence
θ	$N \times T - 1$	probability of $z = 1$
a	$T - 1 \times D$	ecotype-varying intercept; mean value of log-odds of θ
m	N	species log mass, rescaled
b_1	1	effect of species mass on log-odds of θ
b_2	1	effect of species mass, squared, on log-odds of θ
U	$T \times D$	matrix of group-level covariates
γ	$U \times D$	matrix of group-level regression coefficients
Σ	$D \times D$	covariance matrix of a
Ω	$D \times D$	correlation matrix of a
τ	D	vector of standard deviations for each ecotype a_d

322 time-varying intercept and species mass as a covariate. The effect of species mass on p was assumed
 linear and constant over time and given a prior reflecting a possible positive relationship; these
 324 assumptions are reflected in the structure of the model Equation 1, presented here:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i,t} &\sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_{i,t} z_{i,t}) \\ p_{i,t} &= \text{logit}^{-1}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 m_i + r_t) \\ r_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The parameters associated with Equation 1 are described in Table 6.

326 Pure-presence process

For the pure-presence model there is only a single probability dealing with the presence of a species
 328 θ (Table 5a). This probability was modeled as multi-level logistic regression with both species-level
 and group-level covariates (Gelman et al., 2013; Gelman and Hill, 2007). The parameters associated
 330 with the pure-presence model are presented in Table 7, and the full sampling statement in Equation
 2.

332 Presence is a logistic regression where the intercept varies by ecotype (Eq. 2). Species mass was
 included as a covariate associated with two regression coefficients allowing a quadratic relationship

³³⁴ with log-odds of occurrence. Because the distribution of mammal species body mass is unimodal
and approximately normal (Smith et al., 2004), I assume that species of intermediate body size will
³³⁶ be more common than species of very large or very small mass. These assumptions are also
reflected in the choice of priors for b_1 and b_2 where the latter is given a weakly informative prior
³³⁸ with most of the density is below 0 (Eq. 2).

The values of each ectype's intercept are themselves modeled as regressions using the group-level
³⁴⁰ covariates associated with environmental context. Each of these regressions has an associated
variance of possible values of each ectype's intercept (Gelman and Hill, 2007). In addition, the
³⁴² covariances between ectype intercepts, given this group-level regression, are modeled (Gelman and
Hill, 2007).

³⁴⁴ All parameters not modeled elsewhere were given weakly informative priors (Gelman et al., 2013;
McElreath, 2016; Stan Development Team, 2016). Weakly informative means that priors do not
³⁴⁶ necessarily encode actual prior information but instead help regularize or weakly constrain posterior
estimates. These priors have a concentrated probability density around and near zero; this has the
³⁴⁸ effect of tempering our estimates and help prevent overfitting the model to the data (Gelman et al.,
2013; McElreath, 2016; Stan Development Team, 2016). The general line of thinking behind this
³⁵⁰ approach is that a result of 0 or “no effect” is more preferable to a wrong or extremely weak result.

$$\begin{aligned}
y_{i,t} &\sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_{i,t} z_{i,t}) & \alpha_0 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\
p_{i,t} &= \text{logit}^{-1}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 m_i + r_t) & \alpha_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(1, 1) \\
r_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma) & \sigma &\sim \mathcal{N}^+(1) \\
z_{i,1} &\sim \text{Bernoulli}(\rho) & b_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\
z_{i,t} &\sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta_{i,t}) & b_2 &\sim \mathcal{N}(-1, 1) \\
\theta_{i,t} &= \text{logit}^{-1}(a_{t,j[i]} + b_1 m_i + b_2 m_i^2) & \gamma &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\
a &\sim \text{MVN}(u\gamma, \Sigma) & \tau &\sim \mathcal{N}^+(1) \\
\Sigma &= \text{diag}(\tau)\Omega\text{diag}(\tau) & \Omega &\sim \text{LKJ}(2)
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Birth-death process

- 352 In the birth-death version of the model, $\phi \neq \pi$ and so each of these probabilities is modeled
 separately but each is handled in a similar manner to how θ is modeled in the pure-presence model
 354 (Eq. 2, Table 5b). The parameters associated with the birth-death presence model are presented in
 Table 8 and the full sampling statement, including observation (Eq. 1), is described in Equation 3:

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{i,t} &\sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_{i,t} z_{i,t}) & \Sigma^\phi &= \text{diag}(\tau^\phi) \Omega^\phi \text{diag}(\tau^\phi) \\
 p_{i,t} &= \text{logit}^{-1}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 m_i + r_t) & \Sigma^\pi &= \text{diag}(\tau^\pi) \Omega^\pi \text{diag}(\tau^\pi) \\
 r_t &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma) & \rho &\sim U(0, 1) \\
 \alpha_0 &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) & b_1^\phi &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\
 \alpha_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(1, 1) & b_1^\pi &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\
 \sigma &\sim \mathcal{N}^+(1) & b_2^\phi &\sim \mathcal{N}(-1, 1) \\
 z_{i,1} &\sim \text{Bernoulli}(\phi_{i,1}) & b_2^\pi &\sim \mathcal{N}(-1, 1) \\
 z_{i,t} &\sim \text{Bernoulli} \left(z_{i,t-1} \pi_{i,t} + \sum_{x=1}^t (1 - z_{i,x}) \phi_{i,t} \right) & \gamma^\phi &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\
 \phi_{i,t} &= \text{logit}^{-1}(a_{t,j[i]}^\phi + b_1^\phi m_i + b_2^\phi m_i^2) & \gamma^\pi &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \\
 \pi_{i,t} &= \text{logit}^{-1}(a_{t,j[i]}^\pi + b_1^\pi m_i + b_2^\pi m_i^2) & \tau^\phi &\sim \mathcal{N}^+(1) \\
 a^\phi &\sim \text{MVN}(U\gamma^\phi, \Sigma^\phi) & \tau^\pi &\sim \mathcal{N}^+(1) \\
 a^\pi &\sim \text{MVN}(U\gamma^\pi, \Sigma^\pi) & \Omega^\phi &\sim \text{LKJ}(2) \\
 && \Omega^\pi &\sim \text{LKJ}(2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- 356 Similar to the pure-presence model, both ϕ and π are modeled as logistic regressions with varying
 intercept and one covariate associated with two parameters. The possible relationships between
 358 mass and both ϕ and π are reflected in the parameterization of the model and choice of priors (Eq.
 3).
 360 The intercepts of ϕ and π both vary by species ecotype and those values are themselves the product
 of group-level regression using environmental factors as covariates (Eq. 3); this is identical to the

Table 8: Parameters for the model of presence in the pure-presence model

Parameter	dimensions	explanation
z	$N \times T$	“true” species presence/absence
ϕ	$N \times T$	probability of $z_{-,t} = 1 z_{-,t-1} = 0$; origination
π	$N \times T - 1$	probability of $z_{-,t} = 1 z_{-,t-1} = 1$; survival
a^ϕ	$T - 1 \times D$	ecotype-varying intercept; mean value of log-odds of θ
a^π	$T - 1 \times D$	ecotype-varying intercept; mean value of log-odds of θ
m	N	species log mass, rescaled
b_1^ϕ	1	effect of species mass on log-odds of ϕ
b_1^π	1	effect of species mass on log-odds of π
b_2^ϕ	1	effect of species mass, squared, on log-odds of ϕ
b_2^π	1	effect of species mass, squared, on log-odds of π
U	$T \times D$	matrix of group-level covariates
γ^ϕ	$U \times D$	matrix of group-level regression coefficients
γ^π	$U \times D$	matrix of group-level regression coefficients
Σ^ϕ	$D \times D$	covariance matrix of a^ϕ
Σ^π	$D \times D$	covariance matrix of a^π
Ω^ϕ	$D \times D$	correlation matrix of a^ϕ
Ω^π	$D \times D$	correlation matrix of a^π
τ^ϕ	D	vector of standard deviations for each ecotype a_d^ϕ
τ^π	D	vector of standard deviations for each ecotype a_d^π

³⁶² pure presence model (Eq. 2).

Posterior inference and model adequacy

³⁶⁴ Computer programs that implement joint posterior inference for the above models (Eqs. 2, 3) were
written in the probabilistic programming language Stan (Stan Development Team, 2016). The
³⁶⁶ models used here feature latent discrete parameters in the large matrix z (Tables 6, 7, 8; Eqs. 1, 2,
3). All methods for posterior inference implemented in Stan are derivative-based; this causes
³⁶⁸ complications for actually implementing the above models, because integers do not have derivatives.
Instead of implementing a latent discrete parameterization, the log posterior probabilities of all
³⁷⁰ possible states of the latent parameters z were calculated and summed (i.e. marginalized).

Species durations at minimum range through from their first appearance to their last appearance in
³⁷² the fossil record, but the incompleteness of all observations means that the actual times of
origination and extinction are unknown. The marginalization approach used here means that the

374 probabilities of all possible histories for a species are calculated, from the end members of the
 species having existed for the entire study interval and the species having only existed between the
 376 directly observed FAD and LAD to all possible intermediaries (Fig 2) (Stan Development Team,
 2016). This process is identical, language-wise, to assuming range-through and then estimating the
 378 possibility of range extension due to incomplete sampling.

	Time Bin							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Observed	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Certain	?	?	?	1	1	1	1	?
.....
Potential	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0
Potential	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0
Potential	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
Potential	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
Potential	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
Potential	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Potential	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Figure 2: Conceptual figure of all possible occurrence histories for an observed species. The first row represents the observed presence/absence pattern for a single species at eight time points. The second row corresponds to the known aspects of the “true” occurrence history of that species. The remaining rows correspond to all possible occurrence histories that are consistent with the observed data. By marginalizing over all possible occurrence histories, the probability of each potential history is estimated. The process of parameter marginalization is described in the text.

The combined size of the dataset and large number of parameters in both models (Eqs. 2, 3),
 380 specifically the total number of latent parameters that are the matrix z , means that stochastic
 approximate posterior inference is computationally very slow even using NUTS based HMC
 382 implemented. Instead, an approximate Bayesian approach was used: variational inference. A
 recently developed automatic variational inference algorithm called “automatic differentiation
 384 variational inference” (ADVI) is implemented in Stan and was used here (Kucukelbir et al., 2015;
 Stan Development Team, 2016). ADVI assumes that the posterior is Gaussian but still yields a true

386 Bayesian posterior; this assumption is similar to quadratic approximation of the likelihood function
commonly used in maximum likelihood based inference (McElreath, 2016). The principal limitation
388 of assuming the joint posterior is Gaussian is that the true topology of the log-posterior isn't
estimated; this is a particular burden for scale parameters which are bound to be positive (e.g.
390 standard deviation).

Of additional concern for posterior inference is the partial identifiability of observation parameters
392 $p_{t=1}$ and $p_{t=T}$ (Royle and Dorazio, 2008). This issue means that the estimates of sampling
probabilities at the “edges” of the time series cannot fully be estimated because there are no known
394 “gaps” in species occurrence histories that are guaranteed to be filled. Instead, the values of the first
and final columns of the “true” presence-absence matrix z for those observations that do not already
396 have presences in the observed presence-absence matrix y cannot be estimated (Royle and Dorazio,
2008). The hierarchical modeling approach used here helps mitigate this problem by pulling the
398 values of $p_{t=1}$ and $p_{t=T}$ towards the overall mean of p (Gelman et al., 2013), and in fact this
approach might be more analytically sound than the more ad-hoc approaches that are occasionally
400 used to overcome this hurdle (Royle and Dorazio, 2008). Additionally, because $p_{t=1}$ and $p_{t=T}$ are
only partially identifiable, estimates of occurrence θ and origination ϕ at $t = 1$ and estimates of θ , ϕ
402 and survival π at $t = T$ may suffer from similar edge effects. Again, the hierarchical modeling
approach used here may help correct for this reality by drawing these estimates towards the overall
404 means of those parameters.

After fitting both models (Eqs. 2, 3) using ADVI, model adequacy and quality of fit were assessed
406 using a posterior predictive check (Gelman et al., 2013). By simulating 100 theoretical data sets
from the posterior estimates of the model parameters and the observed covariate information the
408 congruence between predictions made by the model and the observed empirical data can be
assessed. These datasets are simulated by starting with the observed states of the presence-absence
410 matrix at $t = 1$; from there, the time series roll forward as stochastic processes with covariate
information given from the empirical observations. Importantly, this is fundamentally different from
412 observing the posterior estimates of the “true” presence-absence matrix z . The posterior predictive
check used in this study is to compare the observed average number of observations per species to a

⁴¹⁴ distribution of simulated averages; if the empirically observed value sits in the middle of the
 distribution then the model can be considered adequate in reproducing the observed number of
⁴¹⁶ occurrences per species.

The ADVI assumption of a purely Gaussian posterior limits the utility and accuracy of the
⁴¹⁸ posterior predictive checks because parameter estimates do not reflect the true posterior
 distribution and are instead just an approximation (Gelman et al., 2013). Because of this, posterior
⁴²⁰ predictive estimates are themselves only approximate checks of model adequacy. The posterior
 predictive check that is used in this study focuses on mean occurrence and not to any scale
⁴²² parameters that might be most affected by the ADVI assumptions.

Given parameter estimates, diversity and diversification rates are estimated through posterior
⁴²⁴ predictive simulations. Given the observed presence-absence matrix y , estimates of the true
 presence-absence matrix z can be simulated and the distribution of possible occurrence histories
⁴²⁶ can be analyzed. This is conceptually similar to marginalization where the probability of each
 possible occurrence history is estimated (Fig. 2).

⁴²⁸ The posterior distribution of z gives the estimate of standing diversity N_t^{stand} for all time points as

$$N_t^{stand} = \sum_{i=1}^M z_{i,t}. \quad (4)$$

Given estimates of N^{stand} for all time points, the estimated number of originations O_t is estimated
⁴³⁰ as

$$O_t = \sum_{i=1}^M z_{i,t} = 1 | z_{i,t-1} = 0 \quad (5)$$

and number of extinctions E_t estimated as

$$E_t = \sum_{i=1}^M z_{i,t} = 0 | z_{i,t-1} = 1. \quad (6)$$

⁴³² Per-capita growth D^{rate} , origination O^{rate} and extinction E^{rate} rates are then calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} O_t^{rate} &= \frac{O_t}{N_{t-1}^{stand}} \\ E_t^{rate} &= \frac{E_t}{N_{t-1}^{stand}} \\ D_t^{rate} &= O_t^{rate} - E_t^{rate}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Results

⁴³⁴ The results of the analyses described above take one of two forms: direct inspection of parameter posterior estimates from both models, and downstream estimates of diversity and diversification ⁴³⁶ rates based on posterior predictive simulations from the birth-death model; the reason for which is explained below in the comparison of the models' posterior predictive check results.

⁴³⁸ **Comparing parameter estimates from the pure-presence and birth-death models**




Figure 3: Comparison of the average observed number of occurrences per species (blue line) to the average number of occurrences from 100 posterior predictive datasets using the posterior estimates from the pure-presence and birth-death models.

⁴⁴⁰ Comparison of the posterior predictive results from the pure-presence and birth-death models

reveals a striking difference in performance of either model to predict the structure of the
442 underlying data (Fig. 3). The simulated datasets generated from the birth-death model are clearly
able to better reproduce the observed average number of occurrence than the pure-birth model
444 which greatly underestimates the observed average number of occurrences. This result means that
inferences based on the birth-death model are more likely to be representative of the underlying
446 data than inferences based on the pure-presence model. Further inspection of the posterior
parameter estimates from both models gives further insight into the reasons for this difference in
448 posterior predictive results (Gelman et al., 2013).

Occurrence probabilities estimated from the pure-presence model (Fig. 4) are broadly similar to the
450 estimates of origination probability from the birth-death model (Fig. 5) but not the survival
probability estimates (Fig. 6). This result supports the idea that changes to the North American
452 regional species pool is more likely due to changes in origination than extinction, a result that is
returned to later in the discussion of per-capita diversification, origination, and extinction rates.

454 For most ecotypes, both estimated occurrence probabilities from the pure-presence model (Fig. 4)
and origination probabilities estimated from the birth-death model (Fig. 5) increase with time. This
456 makes sense given that, over time, all species that have at least one observed occurrence must have
had that occurrence by the last time point, so our certainty in a species occurring must increase
458 with time. Importantly, there are potential issues surrounding the partial identifiability of the
observation parameters p which may contribute to edge effects in estimates of occurrence,
460 origination, and extinction (Royle and Dorazio, 2008). Notably, ecotypes with arboreal components
do not appear to follow a similar pattern; instead, occurrence and origination probabilities appear
462 relatively flat for most of the Cenozoic; this is most likely caused by those species of those ecotypes
no longer originating or originating very rarely.

464 The dramatic differences in the estimates of origination and survival probabilities are indicative of
how differently these processes affect the diversification process and may also be responsible for the
466 better posterior predictive performance of the birth-death model over the pure-presence model (Fig.
3a, and 3b). While the estimates at all points along both time series have high variance, what is

- 468 striking is how mean origination probability changes over time while most ecotype survival
probabilities have relatively stable means for the entire Cenozoic (Fig. 5, and 6).
- 470 For most ecotypes, the estimates of origination probabilities are with less uncertainty than similar
estimates of survival probabilities (Fig. 5, and 6). In logistic regression, high uncertainty in the
472 estimates of the underlying log-odds of occurrence, origination, or survival tends to be indicative of
extreme rarity or complete absence of the specific ecotype; the latter is called complete separation
474 which occurs when there is no uncertainty in the effect of a covariate on presence/absence, the
effect of which has been mitigated by the hierarchical modeling strategy used here (Gelman et al.,
476 2013; Gelman and Hill, 2007; McElreath, 2016).




Figure 4: Probability of a mammal ecotype occurring over time as estimated from the pure-presence model. Each panel depicts 100 random samples from the model's posterior. The columns are by locomotor category and rows by dietary category; their intersections are the observed and analyzed ecotypes. Panels with no lines are ecotypes not observed in the dataset.

The pure-presence and birth-death models also differ in the estimated effect of mass on the
478 probability of sampling a species that is present (Fig. 7). For the pure-presence model, mass is
estimated to not have a strong effect on the probability of sampling a species that is presence (Fig.
480 7a). Contrastingly, for the birth-death model mass is found to have a negative relationship with




Figure 5: Probability of a mammal ecotype origination probabilities at each time point as estimated from the birth-death model. Each panel depicts 100 random samples from the model’s posterior. The columns are by locomotor category and rows by dietary category; their intersections are the observed and analyzed ecotypes. Panels with no lines are ecotypes not observed in the dataset.

observation such that larger species are less likely to be observed if present than smaller species

(Fig. 7b). The result from the birth-death model is partially expected based on previous research (Damuth, 1982). What this result means is that the record of large bodied species is expected on average to have more gaps in sampling and a less consistent record from time point to time point than smaller bodied species. Additionally, as this is presence/absence data higher preservation and collection in terms of individual specimens at a location or a single temporal horizon does not necessarily translate to high preservation over multiple time points.

The average sampling probabilities for both the pure-presence model and birth-death model are both at the point where (rescaled log) mass equals 0; visual comparison indicates that, on average, sampling probability has greater posterior estimate in the pure-presence model than the birth-death model (Fig.7). The probability that one estimate is different from the other, however, are not directly calculable as they come from different models; what this tells us is how adding more information to the model (i.e. replacing occurrence with origination and extinction) changes




Figure 6: Probability of a mammal ecotype survival probabilities at each time point as estimated from the birth-death model. Each panel depicts 100 random samples from the model’s posterior. The columns are by locomotor category and rows by dietary category; their intersections are the observed and analyzed ecotypes. Panels with no lines are ecotypes not observed in the dataset.

494 parameter estimates in the model.

The effect of species mass on probability of occurrence as estimated from the pure-presence (Fig. 8)
 496 are most similar to the estimated effect of species mass on probability of origination for the
 birth-death model (Fig. 9). The striking pattern observable in both sets of estimates is the higher
 498 probability of occurrence for species with body sizes closer to the mean than either extremes. This
 result is consistent with the canonically normal distribution of mammal body sizes (Smith et al.,
 500 2004); it is then expected that the most likely to occur species would be those from the middle of
 the distribution, and that species originating will on average be of average mass, especially
 502 considering species shared common ancestry (Felsenstein, 1985). Note that all variation in estimates
 between ecotypes (Fig. 9) is due to differences in ecotype-specific survival probability and the
 504 associated effects of plant phase; the effect of mass was considered constant for all ecotypes.

In contrast, the effect of species mass on probability of survival as estimated from the birth-death




Figure 7: Estimates of the effect of species mass on probability of sampling a present species (p). Mass has been log-transformed, centered, and rescaled; this means that a mass of 0 corresponds to the mean of log-mass of all observed species and that mass is in standard deviation units. Estimates are from both the pure-presence and birth-death models.

model (Fig. 10) is consistent with previous findings that there is little effect of mass on extinction for North American mammals for the Cenozoic (Smits, 2015; Tomiya, 2013). Note that all variation between ecotypes depicted in Figure 10 is due to differences in ecotype-specific survival probability and the associated effects of plant phase; the effect of mass was considered constant for all ecotypes (Eqs. 2, 3).

Similarities in parameters estimates between ecotypes may be due to similar response to environmental factors (Fig. 11, 12, and 13). As with previous comparisons between posterior estimates from the pure-presence and birth-death models, the effects of the group-level covariates in the pure-presence model (Fig. 11) are more similar to those estimates of the group-level effects on origination (Fig. 12) as opposed to survival (Fig. 13). As demonstrated in the comparisons of the effect of body mass on occurrence from the pure-presence model (Fig. 8) with the effect of body mass on origination and survival from the birth-death model (Fig. 9, and 10), there is considerable variation in the effect of plant phases on ecotype-specific estimates.

An association between plant phase and differences in the log-odds of occurrence (Fig. 11), origination (Fig. 12), or extinction (Fig. 13) is interpreted to mean that if the set of possible mammal-plant interactions was either favorable (positive association) or adverse (negative association) to those ecotypes. In the case of species origination, for example, favorable conditions




Figure 8: Mean estimate of the effect of species mass on the probability of a species occurrence for each of the three plant phases. The effect of mass is considered constant over time and that the only aspect of the model that changes with plant phase is the intercept of the relationship between mass and occurrence. The three plant phases are indicated by the color of the line. Mass has been log-transformed, centered, and rescaled; this means that a mass of 0 corresponds to the mean of log-mass of all observed species and that mass is in standard deviation units. Only the mean estimates of the effects of both mass and plant phase are plotted for clarity; these estimates are obviously made with uncertainty.

for an ecotype may be indicative of an increasing number of possible and available mammal-plant

524 interactions (e.g. ecological opportunity; Losos, 2010; Losos and Mahler, 2010; Yoder et al., 2010);

while adverse conditions may translate to a decreasing set of interactions or loss of appropriate

526 environmental context. Remember that favorable versus adverse condition of a plant phase is

definitional relative to the other two plant phases.

528 One of the limitations to this interpretation is the almost deterministic increase in probability of
occurrence and origination for most ecotypes (Fig. 4, 5). This “pull of the Recent” means that

530 interpreting the biological meaning of differences between the final plant phase and the two
previous phases is difficult as the guaranteed occurrence of the later taxa increases the average

532 probability for that phase, which in turn affects the other time bins in that phase.




Figure 9: Mean estimate of the effect of species mass on the probability of a species originating for each of the three plant phases. The effect of mass is considered constant over time and that the only aspect of the model that changes with plant phase is the intercept of the relationship between mass and origination. The three plant phases are indicated by the color of the line. Mass has been log-transformed, centered, and rescaled; this means that a mass of 0 corresponds to the mean of log-mass of all observed species and that mass is in standard deviation units. Only the mean estimates of the effects of both mass and plant phase are plotted for clarity; these estimates are obviously made with uncertainty.

Plant phases are associated with large differences in log-odds for occurrence and origination

534 probabilities (Tables 9, 10), though there is little evidence of plant phase being an important
distinguishing factor in species survival as only a few ecotypes demonstrate strong affinities with
536 some plant phases (Table 11). As with previous comparisons between parameter estimates
associated with species occurrence and species origination, parameters associated with probability
538 of newly originating appear as a more “tempered” version of those associated with probability
occurrence.

540 The almost universal pattern of the effect of plant phase on ecotype occurrence or origination is
that the during first and last plant phases ecotypes have a greater log-odds of occurrence or
542 origination than the second plant phase (Fig. 4, 5). The three ecotypes that do not follow this




Figure 10: Mean estimate of the effect of species mass on the probability of a species survival for each of the three plant phases. The effect of mass is considered constant over time and that the only aspect of the model that changes with plant phase is the intercept of the relationship between mass and survival. The three plant phases are indicated by the color of the line. Mass has been log-transformed, centered, and rescaled; this means that a mass of 0 corresponds to the mean of log-mass of all observed species and that mass is in standard deviation units. Only the mean estimates of the effects of both mass and plant plant are plotted for clarity; these estimates are obviously made with uncertainty.

pattern are fossorial herbivores, scansorial herbivores, and arboreal insectivores.

544 The difference between the third plant phase and the other two plant phases, for all ecotypes except
 arboreal carnivores, is obvious upon inspection the occurrence and origination time series as there
 546 is large up-tick in probability of occurring or originating towards the modern (Fig. 4, 5). The
 differences in mean probability of occurring or originating attributable to the plant phases are
 548 observable as shifts along the time series corresponding to the phase barriers (Table 4). For
 example, scansorial herbivore occurrence and origination probabilities demonstrate clear shifts at 50
 550 Mya and 16 Mya (Fig. 4, 5).

Both aspects of global temperature analyzed here are estimated to have strong effects on species
 552 occurrence and origination for most mammal ecotypes (Tables 12, 13). Similarity, temperature is




Figure 11: Estimated effects of the group-level covariates describing environmental context on log-odds of species occurrence. These estimates are from the pure-presence model. The effect of plant phase graphed here is calculated as Phase 1 = $\gamma_{phase\ 1}$, Phase 2 = $\gamma_{phase\ 1} + \gamma_{phase\ 2}$, and so on.

only expected to have a strong effect on species extinction for very few ecotypes (Table 14). For the

554 occurrence and origination probabilities of many ecotypes, both temperature covariates have

negative estimates which means that as temperature decreases, occurrence or origination are

556 expected to increase. The only strongly positive estimate (e.g. temperature decrease, origination

decrease) is for the effect of temperature range on arboreal herbivores. In the case of survival, the

558 only strong ecotype associations for either of the temperature covariates are with plantigrade

carnivores, plantigrade herbivores, and to a less certain extent arboreal herbivores and scansorial

560 insectivores (Tab. 14). The effects of the temperature covariates on these ecotypes are all estimated

to be positive (e.g. temperature range increase, increase in survival).

562 Analysis of diversity

All of the analyses of diversification and macroevolutionary rates has been done using only the

564 birth-death model because of the model's better posterior predictive check performance (Fig. 3).




Figure 12: Estimated effects of the group-level covariates describing environmental context on log-odds of species origination. These estimates are from the birth-death model. The effect of plant phase graphed here is calculated as Phase 1 = $\gamma_{phase\ 1}$, Phase 2 = $\gamma_{phase\ 1} + \gamma_{phase\ 2}$, and so on.

The general pattern of the estimated North American total mammal diversity for the Cenozoic is
 566 “stable” in that diversity fluctuates around a constant mean standing diversity, does not fluctuate wildly and rapidly over the Cenozoic, and demonstrates no sustained directional trends (Fig. 14a).

568 In broad strokes, the first 15 or so million years of the Cenozoic are characterized by a gradual decline in standing diversity until approximately 45-50 million years ago (early-middle Eocene).

570 Following this decline, standing diversity is broadly constant from 45 to 18 Mya (early Miocene). After this, there is a rapid spike in diversity followed by a slight decline in diversity up to the
 572 Modern. This characterization of the estimated diversity history is knowingly broad strokes and diversity time series is not without variation and vagaries.

574 The pattern exhibited by the diversity history estimated in this study (Fig. 14a) has some major similarities with previous mammal diversity curves (Alroy, 2009): both curves begin with an
 576 increase in diversity most of the major increases in diversity are retained including the large diversity spike during the Miocene. Note that my time series begins at a different point than that




Figure 13: Estimated effects of the group-level covariates describing environmental context on log-odds of species survival. These estimates are from the birth-death model. The effect of plant phase graphed here is calculated as Phase 1 = $\gamma_{phase\ 1}$, Phase 2 = $\gamma_{phase\ 1} + \gamma_{phase\ 2}$, and so on.

- 578 Alroy (2009) and I'm able to interpolate over unsampled/poorly sampled time periods. Additionally,
 the time intervals used by Alroy (2009) are slightly shorter than those used here, so this may cause
 580 some of the minor differences between the curves. Also, please note that the diversity values are
 plotted at the “ceiling” of each temporal interval and not at the midpoint (Fig. 14a).
- 582 When viewed through the lens of diversification rate, some of the structure behind the estimated
 diversity history begins to take shape (Fig. 14b). For most of the Cenozoic, the diversification rate
 584 hovers around zero, punctuated by both positive and negative spikes. The largest spike in
 diversification rate is at 18 Mya, which is early Oligocene (Fig. 14b). Other notable increases in
 586 diversification rate occur 56, 46, 38, and 6 Mya (Table 15), though the last of these may be due
 edge effects surrounding the partial-identifiability of $p_{t=T}$. Notable decreases in diversification rate
 588 occur 60, 54, 50, 44, 34, 20, 16, 12, and 8 Mya (Table 15), meaning that diversification rate has
 more major decreases than increases. Given that diversification rate more closely resembles
 590 origination rate than extinction rate (Fig. 14b, 14c, 14d), these decreases in diversification rate may

Table 9: Posterior probability of the differences in the log-odds of an ecotype occurring based on plant phase. These probabilities are calculated as $P(\text{Phase 1} > 2) = (\sum \gamma_{\text{phase1}} > \gamma_{\text{phase1}} + \gamma_{\text{phase2}})/100$ and similarly for the other comparisons. These estimates are from the pure-presence model.

	P(Phase 1 > Phase 2)	P(Phase 2 > Phase 3)	P(Phase 1 > Phase 3)
arboreal carnivore	0.315	0.043	0.000
digitigrade carnivore	0.000	1.000	0.000
plantigrade carnivore	1.000	0.000	0.793
scansorial carnivore	0.000	0.618	0.000
arboreal herbivore	0.997	0.367	1.000
digitigrade herbivore	0.023	0.654	0.024
fossorial herbivore	0.000	1.000	0.001
plantigrade herbivore	0.475	0.000	0.000
scansorial herbivore	1.000	0.000	0.778
unguligrade herbivore	0.000	1.000	0.000
arboreal insectivore	0.007	0.961	0.309
fossorial insectivore	1.000	0.000	0.796
plantigrade insectivore	0.001	0.189	0.000
scansorial insectivore	0.000	0.845	0.000
arboreal omnivore	0.999	0.000	0.000
plantigrade omnivore	1.000	0.289	1.000
scansorial omnivore	0.141	0.000	0.000
unguligrade omnivore	0.000	0.592	0.000

be indicative of “depletions” (failure to replace extinct taxa) rather than pulses of extinction.

- 592 The comparison between per capita origination and extinction rate estimates reveals how
 diversification rate is formed (Fig. 14c, 14d). As expected given previous inspection of the ecotype
 594 specific estimates of origination and survival probabilities from the birth-death model,
 diversification rate seems most driven by changes in origination rate as opposed to extinction rate.
 596 Extinction rate, on the other hand, demonstrates an almost saw-toothed pattern around a constant
 mean (Fig. 14d). These results are broadly consistent with those from previous analyses of North
 598 American mammals diversity and diversification (Alroy, 1996, 2009; Alroy et al., 2000).

Diversity partitioned by ecotype reveals a lot of the complexity behind the pattern of mammal
 600 diversity for the Cenozoic (Fig. 15).

Arboreal ecotypes obtain peak diversity early in the Cenozoic and then decline for the rest of the
 602 time series, becoming increasingly rare or absent as diversity approaches the Modern (Fig. 15).

Table 10: Posterior probability of the differences in the log-odds of an ecotype originating based on plant phase. These probabilities are calculated as $P(\text{Phase 1} > \text{2}) = (\sum \gamma_{\text{phase1}} > \gamma_{\text{phase1}} + \gamma_{\text{phase2}})/100$ and similarly for the other comparisons. These estimates are from the birth-death model.

	P(Phase 1 > Phase 2)	P(Phase 2 > Phase 3)	P(Phase 1 > Phase 3)
arboreal carnivore	0.373	0.810	0.873
digitigrade carnivore	1.000	0.066	1.000
plantigrade carnivore	1.000	0.036	1.000
scansorial carnivore	1.000	0.019	1.000
arboreal herbivore	1.000	0.134	1.000
digitigrade herbivore	1.000	0.985	1.000
fossorial herbivore	1.000	0.919	1.000
plantigrade herbivore	1.000	0.996	1.000
scansorial herbivore	1.000	0.843	1.000
unguligrade herbivore	1.000	0.001	1.000
arboreal insectivore	0.096	0.996	1.000
fossorial insectivore	1.000	0.019	1.000
plantigrade insectivore	0.993	0.331	1.000
scansorial insectivore	1.000	0.293	1.000
arboreal omnivore	0.998	0.378	1.000
plantigrade omnivore	1.000	0.277	1.000
scansorial omnivore	0.999	0.353	1.000
unguligrade omnivore	1.000	0.224	1.000

Arboreal herbivores and omnivores obtain peak diversity at the beginning of the Cenozoic then go

604 into decline while remaining a small part of the species pool, while arboreal carnivores and
 insectivores obtain peak diversity 52-50 Mya and then quickly decline and become extremely rare or
 606 entirely absent from the species pool. This is consistent with increasing extinction risk in the
 Neogene compared to the Paleogene as proposed by Smits (2015).

608 The diversity of both digitigrade and unguligrade herbivores increase over the Cenozoic (Fig. 15).
 In contrast, plantigrade herbivore diversity does not have a single, broad-strokes pattern; instead,
 610 diversity increases, decreases, and may have then increased till the Modern. Contrastingly, fossorial
 and scansorial herbivores demonstrate a much flatter history of diversity, with a slight increase in
 612 diversity that over time is more pronounced among fossorial taxa than scansorial taxa. The
 expansion of digitigrade and unguligrade herbivores over the Cenozoic is consistent with the
 614 gradual expansion of grasslands which these ecotypes are better adapted to than closed

Table 11: Posterior probability of the differences in the log-odds of an ecotype surviving based on plant phase. These probabilities are calculated as $P(\text{Phase 1} > \text{2}) = (\sum \gamma_{\text{phase1}} > \gamma_{\text{phase1}} + \gamma_{\text{phase2}})/100$ and similarly for the other comparisons. These estimates are from the birth-death model.

	P(Phase 1 > Phase 2)	P(Phase 2 > Phase 3)	P(Phase 1 > Phase 3)
arboreal carnivore	0.919	0.128	0.439
digitigrade carnivore	0.358	0.238	0.029
plantigrade carnivore	0.898	0.244	0.702
scansorial carnivore	0.462	0.480	0.373
arboreal herbivore	0.244	0.707	0.436
digitigrade herbivore	0.002	0.939	0.080
fossorial herbivore	0.491	0.696	0.822
plantigrade herbivore	0.593	0.331	0.343
scansorial herbivore	0.489	0.335	0.228
unguligrade herbivore	0.699	0.016	0.003
arboreal insectivore	0.735	0.370	0.610
fossorial insectivore	0.417	0.472	0.324
plantigrade insectivore	0.295	0.560	0.303
scansorial insectivore	0.034	0.935	0.464
arboreal omnivore	0.435	0.317	0.133
plantigrade omnivore	0.541	0.514	0.623
scansorial omnivore	0.286	0.493	0.176
unguligrade omnivore	0.212	0.534	0.189

environments (Blois and Hadly, 2009; Strömberg, 2005).

- 616 Digitigrade carnivores have a multi-modal diversity history, with peaks 54-52 and 12-10 Mya
(Fig.15). Between these two peaks digitigrade carnivore diversity dips below average diversity
618 following the first peak and then grows slowly until the second peak. Plantigrade carnivores obtain
peak diversity in the early Cenozoic and then maintain a relatively stable diversity until another
620 peak at the end of the Cenozoic. The generally flat diversity history digitigrade carnivores lacks any
sustained temporal trends and seems to reflect previous findings of limited diversity in spite of
622 constant turnover and morphological evolution (Silvestro et al., 2015; Slater, 2015; Valkenburgh,
1999)
- 624 There are some broad similarities in diversity histories of insectivorous and omnivorous taxa. The
diversity histories of arboreal, plantigrade, and scansorial insectivorous taxa all demonstrate a
626 decreasing pattern with time, while fossorial insectivores have a flat diversity history with a peak
approximately 10 Mya (Fig. 15). Arboreal and scansorial omnivores decrease in diversity from their

Table 12: Posterior probability the effects of the two temperature covariates on the log-odds of an ecotype occurring are greater than 0. What is estimated is the probability that these estimates are greater than 0; high or low probabilities indicate the “strength” of the covariate in that direction (positive and negative, respectively). These estimates are from the pure-presence model.

	$P(\gamma_{temp\ mean} > 0)$	$P(\gamma_{temp\ range} > 0)$
arboreal carnivore	0.954	0.955
digitigrade carnivore	0.000	0.000
plantigrade carnivore	0.012	0.883
scansorial carnivore	0.000	0.001
arboreal herbivore	0.907	0.984
digitigrade herbivore	0.000	0.000
fossorial herbivore	0.000	0.003
plantigrade herbivore	0.998	0.996
scansorial herbivore	0.010	0.000
unguligrade herbivore	0.000	0.000
arboreal insectivore	0.117	0.684
fossorial insectivore	0.000	0.002
plantigrade insectivore	0.986	0.975
scansorial insectivore	0.989	0.997
arboreal omnivore	0.955	0.911
plantigrade omnivore	0.000	0.143
scansorial omnivore	0.708	0.607
unguligrade omnivore	0.978	0.944

- 628 initial peaks early in the Cenozoic, and plantigrade omnivores have a generally flat diversity history
with a sudden peak in diversity late in the Cenozoic (Fig. 15). Unguligrade omnivores also
630 demonstrate a possible decrease in diversity over the Cenozoic, but not as clearly as arboreal and
scansorial omnivores.
- 632 Many of the estimated ecotype specific diversity histories share a similar increases in diversity to
one degree or another at the late Cenozoic 16-14 Mya (Fig. 15); these increases are either sustained
634 or temporary: digitigrade carnivores, plantigrade carnivores, scansorial carnivores, unguiligrade
herbivores, fossorial insectivores, and plantigrade omnivores.
- 636 When ecotype diversity is decomposed into the number of origination events per time bin (Fig. 16)
and the number of extinction events per time bin (Fig. 17) the estimates are clearly similar; there
638 are no obvious major cross-ecotype origination or extinction events, and there is no evidence of a
sudden turnover as expected peaks in originations proceed peaks in peaks in the number of

Table 13: Posterior probability that the effects of the two temperature covariates on the log-odds of an ecotype origination are greater than 0. What is estimated is the probability that these estimates are greater than 0; high or low probabilities indicate the “strength” of the covariate in that direction (positive and negative, respectively). These estimates are from the birth-death model.

	$P(\gamma_{temp\ mean} > 0)$	$P(\gamma_{temp\ range} > 0)$
arboreal carnivore	0.060	0.062
digitigrade carnivore	0.000	0.001
plantigrade carnivore	0.014	0.098
scansorial carnivore	0.003	0.101
arboreal herbivore	0.709	0.833
digitigrade herbivore	0.000	0.000
fossorial herbivore	0.000	0.002
plantigrade herbivore	0.000	0.393
scansorial herbivore	0.100	0.003
unguligrade herbivore	0.006	0.000
arboreal insectivore	0.030	0.260
fossorial insectivore	0.014	0.003
plantigrade insectivore	0.173	0.055
scansorial insectivore	0.107	0.207
arboreal omnivore	0.659	0.649
plantigrade omnivore	0.015	0.104
scansorial omnivore	0.743	0.720
unguligrade omnivore	0.014	0.034

640 extinctions. Also, it is clear that the sustained increases in digitigrade and unguligrade herbivore
 diversity observed above (Fig. 15) is driven by an increase in the average number of originations as
 642 with a relatively constant number of extinctions over time (Fig. 16, 17).

Discussion

644 Both the composition of a species pool and its environmental context changes over time, though not
 necessarily at the same rate or concurrently. Local communities, who’s species are drawn from the
 646 regional species pool, have “roles” in their communities defined by their interactions with a host of
 biotic and abiotic interactors (i.e. a species’ niche). For higher level ecological characterizations like
 648 ecotypes and guilds, these roles are broad and not defined by specific interactions but by the genre
 of interactions species within that grouping participate in. The diversity of species within an

Table 14: Posterior probability that the effects of the two temperature covariates on the log-odds of an ecotype survival are greater than 0. What is estimated is the probability that these estimates are greater than 0; high or low probabilities indicate the “strength” of the covariate in that direction (positive and negative, respectively). These estimates are from the birth-death model.

	$P(\gamma_{temp\ mean} > 0)$	$P(\gamma_{temp\ range} > 0)$
arboreal carnivore	0.716	0.704
digitigrade carnivore	0.289	0.071
plantigrade carnivore	0.800	0.902
scansorial carnivore	0.557	0.529
arboreal herbivore	0.241	0.831
digitigrade herbivore	0.725	0.828
fossorial herbivore	0.704	0.733
plantigrade herbivore	0.223	0.979
scansorial herbivore	0.328	0.458
unguligrade herbivore	0.809	0.545
arboreal insectivore	0.592	0.616
fossorial insectivore	0.675	0.276
plantigrade insectivore	0.437	0.697
scansorial insectivore	0.262	0.836
arboreal omnivore	0.490	0.576
plantigrade omnivore	0.487	0.507
scansorial omnivore	0.527	0.503
unguligrade omnivore	0.500	0.682

ecotype or guild can be stable over millions of years despite constant species turnover (Jernvall and Fortelius, 2004; Slater, 2015; Valkenburgh, 1999). This implies that the size and scope of the role of an ecotype or guild in local communities, and the regional species pool as a whole, is preserved even as the individual interactors change. This also implies the structure of regional species pools can be constant over time despite a constantly changing set of “players.” This result supports the hypothesis that ecotypes or guilds are at least partially self-organizing and truly emergent (Scheffer and van Nes, 2006).

Comparison of the posterior predictive check results for the pure-presence and birth-death models supports the conclusion that regional species pool dynamics cannot simply be described by a single occurrence probability and is instead the result of the interplay between origination and extinction. Additionally, changes to the ecotypic composition and diversification rate for the North American regional species pool are driven primarily by variation in origination rates. These aspects of how

662 regional species pool diversity is shaped is not directly observable in studies of the Modern where
time scales are short and macroevolutionary dynamics are inferable solely from phylogeny (Fritz
664 et al., 2013).

Extinction rate for the entire regional species pool through time is highly variable and demonstrates
666 a saw-toothed pattern around an apparently constant mean. While a constant mean extinction rate
is consistent with previous observation (Alroy, 1996; Alroy et al., 2000), the degree to which
668 extinction rate is actually variable may not have been equally appreciated. What is most consistent
with previous observations, however, is that diversity seems to be most structured by changes to
670 origination rather than changes to extinction (Alroy, 1996; Alroy et al., 2000).

Comparison of the ecotype specific diversity histories supports the conclusion that there were no
672 major, simultaneous changes in diversity between the functional groups of the regional speies pool;
instead these results support a more gradual and idiosyncratic shifts in relative ecotypic diversity
674 over time (Fig. 15). The closest examples to a sudden increase or decrease of a specific ecotype is
the jump in standing diversity of scansorial carnivores and, to a lesser extent, fossorial insectivores
676 at 16 Mya (i.e. the start of the third plant phase). However, this result may not reflect the
dynamics of individual local communities as this is an analysis of the entire North American
678 mammal regional species pool.

Arboreal taxa disappear from the regional species pool over the Cenozoic, with long term decline
680 over the Paleogene leading to the disappearance by start of Neogene ~22 Mya. This is consistent
with one of the two possible patterns presented here and in Smits (2015) that would result in
682 arboreal taxa having a greater extinction risk than other ecotypes: the Paleogene and Neogene were
different selective regimes and while the earliest Cenozoic may have been neutral wrt arboreal taxa,
684 they disappeared quickly over the Cenozoic which may account for their higher extinction risk. In
addition to all arboreal taxa, the diversity of plantigrade and scansorial insectivores decreases with
686 time (Fig. 15).

Digitigrade carnivores have a relatively stable diversity history through the Cenozoic and can be
688 characterized as varying around a constant mean diversity. This ecotype has a large amount of

overlap with the carnivore guild which has been the focus of much research (Janis and Wilhelm,
690 Pires et al., 2015; Slater, 2015; Valkenburgh, 1999). This result is consistent with some form
of “control” on the diversity of this ecotype, such as diversity-dependent diversification (Silvestro
692 et al., 2015; Slater, 2015; Valkenburgh, 1999).

Both digitigrade and unguligrade herbivores increase in diversity over the Cenozoic. The increase of
694 these cursorial forms is consistent with the gradual opening up of the North American landscape
(Blois and Hadly, 2009; Graham, 2011; Strömberg, 2005). These herbivore increase in diversity over
696 the Cenozoic which may be indicative of a long-term shift in the interactors associated with those
ecotypes leading to increased contribution to the regional species pool. This result may be
698 comparable to the increasing percentage of hypsodont (high-crowned teeth) mammals in the
Neogene of Europe being due to an enrichment of hyposodont taxa and not a depletion of
700 non-hypsodont taxa. Smaller scale increases in fossorial herbivore species, and a lesser extent
702 plantigrade herbivores, suggests that the increase of interactors may be associated mostly with the
herbivore dietary category with locomotor category tempering that relationship. These results
704 support the conclusion that the increase in digitigrade and unguligrade herbivores is the result of
an enrichment of these ecotypes as opposed to being caused by the depletion of other herbivorous
706 ecotypes; this is further supported by the lack of major changes to the number of extinctions of all
herbivore ecotypes (Fig. 17).

An association between plant phase and differences in ecotype occurrence or origination-extinction
708 probabilities is interpreted to mean that an ecotype enrichment or depletion is due to to
associations between that ecotype and whatever plants are dominate at that time and are thus a
710 contributing factor to the constancy of an ecotype, or the lack there of. Plant phase clearly
structures the occurrence and origination probability time series (Fig. 4, 5). These differences in
712 occurrence or origination translate opaquely to the estimates of diversity and diversification rate;
the largest spike in both diversity, diversification rate, and origination rate all correspond to the
714 onset of the last plant phase (Fig. 14). The clearest example of the diversity of an ecotype
increasing at this particular transition is in scansorial carnivores (Fig. 15); similar shifts in other
716 ecotypes are much more subtle, as was previously noted for fossorial insectivores.

Interestingly, all of the ecotypes with sudden changes to diversity at this transition increase in
718 diversity, even if only temporarily. There are two interpretations of these results. A biological
interpretation of this result is that, because plant phase associations are only with occurrence or
720 origination probabilities and not survival, these ecotypes were well suited for the newly available
mammal-plant interactions due to the increased modernization of their floral context (Graham,
722 2011). Alternatively, the increase in diversity associated with the third plant phase may be
caused by the edge effect in origination probability that is artificially inflating the number of
724 origination events (Fig. 5). However, the estimated number of origination events does not have a
tremendous spike at this transition, nor is a major increase in the number of origination events
726 sustained (Fig. 16).

There are fewer, less obvious shifts in diversity surrounding the transition from the first to second,
728 with the following ecotypes having apparent shifts in diversity at 50 My: digitigrade carnivores
(down), plantigrade carnivores (down), plantigrade herbivores (up), arboreal omnivores (down), and
730 scansorial omnivores (down). Because plant phase has been found to structure
occurrence/origination (Fig. 4, 5), but not survival (Fig. 6. My interpretation of these results is that
732 new species were not entering the system because there were fewer available mammal-plant
interactions available for those ecotypes. Instead, these ecotypes were poorly suited for the newly
734 available mammal-plant interactions brought upon by the changing environmental context
(Graham, 2011).

736 The estimated effects of temperature on occurrence and origination-extinction probabilities are
similar to those of the plant phases. The occurrence and origination probabilities of many mammal
738 ecotypes have strong relationships with the two temperature covariates (Tables 12, 13). In most
cases, there is a negative association between temperature and probability of occurring or first
740 originating; this means that if temperature decreases, we would then expect the probability of
occurring or first originating would increase. Contrastingly, only temperature range are estimated
742 to be good predictors of survival in four mammal ecotypes and only marginally for two of those
(Table 14). Additionally, in all four of these cases are expected to have positive relationships,
744 meaning that if temperature decreases it is expected that species survival will also decrease.

The result that temperature does not affect the extinction probability of most ecotypes is consistent
746 with previous analysis of mammal diversity (Alroy et al., 2000). The result that temperature affects
origination probability, on the other hand, is in strong contrast to the results Alroy et al. (2000).
748 An important difference between the analyses presented here and that of Alroy et al. (2000) is I am
considering the effect of temperature on the probability of a species originating, assuming it hasn't
750 originated yet while Alroy et al. (2000) analyzes the correlation between the first differences of the
origination and extinction rates with an oxygen isotope curve (Zachos et al., 2001). Origination or
752 extinction rates have very different properties than the origination probabilities estimated here
brought upon by the difference both in definition and units. Origination probability is the expected
754 probability that a species that has never been present and is not present at time t will be present at
time $t + 1$; origination probability is defined for a single species. In contrast, per capita rates are
756 defined (for origination) as the expected number of new species to have originated between time t
and $t + 1$ given the total number of species present at time t ; per capita rates are defined for the
758 standing diversity. It is also important to note that even though the occurrence and origination
probabilities increase over time because of the increasingly deterministic occurrence of those species
760 which had not yet originated (Fig. 4, 5, the corresponding rates and population level birth/death
dynamics do not share that pattern (Fig. 14, 16, 17). In effect, the finding that temperature has an
762 effect on origination simply because as time approaches the present the number of species which
have originated increases and not because of climatic forcing of origination.

764 Analysis of relationship between temperature and origination rate is probably better suited for a
continuous-time birth-death model instead of a discrete-time model because the former estimates
766 rates while the latter estimates probabilities (Allen, 2011). The PyRate model(s) are based on a
continuous-time birth-death process (Silvestro et al., 2015, 2014). Unfortunately, a continuous-time
768 model may be unsuited for most paleontological data as the fossil record is naturally discrete; fossils
are assigned to temporal units, such as stages, which have age ranges. Fossils are not assigned
770 individual numeric ages. This reality was in fact my one of motivations for using discrete-time
birth-death model instead of one in continuous-time.

772 The comparative size of the effects of plant phase and temperature are approximately equal in

importance in the sense that they have similar effect sizes on the ecotypes. The focus in previous
774 research on temperature and major climatic or geological events without other measures of
environmental context may have been a mistake and perhaps led to increasing confusion in
776 discussions of how the “environment” affects mammal diversity and diversification. The
environment or climate is not just global or regional temperature, it is the set of all possible biotic
778 and abiotic interactions that can be experienced by a member of the species pool. By including
more descriptors of species’ environmental context a more complete “picture” of the diversification
780 process is inferred.

The effect of species mass on either occurrence or origination and extinction was not allowed to
782 vary by ecotype or environmental context. The primary reason for this modeling choice was that
this study focuses on ecotypic based differences in either occurrence, or origination and extinction.
784 Allowing the effect of body size to vary by ecotype, time, and environmental factors would increase
the overall complexity of the model, something that I felt was not necessary because the overall
786 scope of the study. Instead, body size was included in order to control for its possible underlying
effects (McElreath, 2016). A control means that if there is variation due to body mass, having a
788 term to “absorb” that effect is better than ignoring it which may affect other parameter estimates.
Additionally, the effect of body size was allowed to have a second-order polynomial form and no
790 higher order polynomials were considered; this was done because it is hard to conceive of a more
complex third- or higher-order relationship between body size and the other parameters. Finally,
792 parametric forms of nonlinearity have not previously been considered, so the simple act of
estimating a potential second-order relationship is an opportunity to test more complex hypotheses
794 of the relationship between body size and both macroevolutionary and macroecological processes.

The only covariate allowed to affect sampling probability was mass and only as a linear predictor.
796 Other covariates, such as the environmental factors considered here, could have affected the
underlying preservation process that limits sampling probability; their exclusion as covariates of
798 sampling/observation was the product of a few key decisions: model complexity, model
interpretability, the scope of this study, and a lack of good hypotheses related to these covariates to
800 warrant their inclusion.

The potential effects of common ancestry (i.e. phylogeny) on origination and extinction are not
802 directly considered in this analysis. While a birth-death process approximates the
speciation-extinction process underlying the phylogeny (Silvestro et al., 2014) this is not same as
804 considering how the similarity between closely related species may affect the estimates of the effects
of species traits to environmental factors on both origination and extinction (Harnik et al., 2014;
806 Smits, 2015). The inclusion of phylogeny can help disentangle if the functional composition of
species diversity is shaped either by many closely related species occurring at the same time or if
808 closely related species are more evenly distributed in time; this is analogous to if species within
local communities are clumped or dispersed relative to their relatedness (Cavender-Bares et al.,
810 2009; Kraft et al., 2007; Webb et al., 2002). One of the principle barriers to the inclusion of the
effect of phylogeny in either the pure-presence or birth-death models is computational; with well
812 over 1000 tips, the calculation of the scale parameter defining the phylogenetic effect would be very
slow and further increase the already slow computation time necessary for the marginalization of all
814 possible discrete occurrence histories for z .

Conclusions

816 These results support the conclusion that the relative ecotypic diversity of the North American
mammal species pool has changed gradually over time. While there is constant species turnover for
818 the entire Cenozoic, there is little evidence of major cross-ecotype upheaval and sudden
reorganization of the functional composition of the regional species pool. The results of this study
820 also support the conclusion that mammal diversification over the Cenozoic is driven primarily by
changes to origination rate and not extinction rate. There are a number of interesting estimated
822 ecotype diversity patterns. While arboreal ecotypes are diverse in the Paleogene, by the Neogene all
arboreal ecotypes dramatically decreased in diversity and became either rare or absent from the
824 regional species pool. The other ecotypes that decrease in diversity over the Cenozoic are
plantigrade and scansorial insectivores and scansorial omnivores. Contrastingly, the only ecotypes
826 that demonstrate a sustained pattern of increasing diversity are digitigrade and unguligrade
herbivores. Interestingly, when the environmental covariates analyzed here are inferred to affect the

828 diversification of an ecotype, this effect is virtually always for origination and not survival. This
analysis provides a much more complete picture of North American mammal diversity and
830 diversification, specifically the dynamics of the ecotypic composition of that diversity. By increasing
the complexity of analysis while precisely translating research questions into a statistical model, the
832 context of the results is much better understood. Future studies of diversity and diversification
should incorporate as much information as possible into their analyses in order to better
834 understand or at least contextualize the complex processes underlying that diversity.

Acknowledgements

836 I would like to thank K. Angielczyk, M. Foote, P. D. Polly, R. Ree, and G. Slater for helpful
discussion and advice. This entire study would have not been possible without the Herculean
838 effort of the many contributors to the Paleobiology Database. In particular, I would like to thank J.
Alroy and M. Uhen for curating most of the mammal occurrences recorded in the PBDB. This is
840 Paleobiology Database publication XXX.

References

- 842 Allen, L. J. S. 2011. An introduction to stochastic processes with applications to biology. 2nd ed.
Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- 844 Alroy, J. 1996. Constant extinction, constrained diversification, and uncoordinated stasis in North
American mammals. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 127:285–311.
- 846 ———. 2009. Speciation and extinction in the fossil record of North American mammals. Pages
302–323 *in* R. K. Butlin, J. R. Bridle, and D. Schlüter, eds. *Speciation and patterns of diversity*.
848 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Alroy, J., P. L. Koch, and J. C. Zachos. 2000. Global climate change and North American
850 mammalian evolution. *Paleobiology* 26:259–288.

- Badgley, C., and J. A. Finarelli. 2013. Diversity dynamics of mammals in relation to tectonic and
852 climatic history: comparison of three Neogene records from North America. *Paleobiology*
39:373–399.
- 854 Badgley, C., T. M. Smiley, R. Terry, E. B. Davis, L. R. G. Desantis, D. L. Fox, S. S. B. Hopkins,
T. Jezkova, M. D. Matocq, N. Matzke, J. L. McGuire, A. Mulch, B. R. Riddle, V. L. Roth, J. X.
856 Samuels, C. A. E. Strömberg, and B. J. Yanites. 2017. Biodiversity and Topographic Complexity:
Modern and Geohistorical Perspectives. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* pages 1–16.
- 858 Bambach, R. K. 1977. Species richness in marine benthic habitats through the Phanerozoic.
Paleobiology 3:152–167.
- 860 Bambach, R. K., A. M. Bush, and D. H. Erwin. 2007. Autecology and the filling of ecospace: Key
metazoan radiations. *Palaeontology* 50:1–22.
- 862 Bloch, J. I., K. D. Rose, and P. D. Gingerich. 1998. New species of Batodonoides (Lipotyphla,
Geolabididae) from the Early Eocene of Wyoming: smallest known mammal? *Journal of
864 Mammalogy* 79:804–827.
- Blois, J. L., and E. A. Hadly. 2009. Mammalian Response to Cenozoic Climatic Change. *Annual
866 Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 37:181–208.
- Brook, B. W., and D. M. J. S. Bowman. 2004. The uncertain blitzkrieg of Pleistocene megafauna.
868 *Journal of Biogeography* 31:517–523.
- Brown, A. M., D. I. Warton, N. R. Andrew, M. Binns, G. Cassis, and H. Gibb. 2014. The
870 fourth-corner solution - using predictive models to understand how species traits interact with
the environment. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5:344–352.
- 872 Brown, J. H., and B. A. Maurer. 1989. Macroecology: the division of food and space among species
on continents. *Science* 243:1145–1150.
- 874 Bush, A. M., and R. K. Bambach. 2011. Paleoecologic Megatrends in Marine Metazoa. *Annual
Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 39:241–269.

- 876 Bush, A. M., R. K. Bambach, and G. M. Daley. 2007. Changes in theoretical ecospace utilization in
marine fossil assemblages between the mid-Paleozoic and late Cenozoic. *Paleobiology* 33:76–97.
- 878 Bush, A. M., and P. M. Novack-Gottshall. 2012. Modelling the ecological-functional diversification
of marine Metazoa on geological time scales. *Biology Letters* 8:151–155.
- 880 Cantalapiedra, J. L., J. L. Prado, and M. T. Alberdi. 2017. Decoupled ecomorphological evolution
and diversification in Neogene-Quaternary horses. *Science* 355:627–630.
- 882 Carrano, M. T. 1999. What, if anything, is a cursor? Categories versus continua for determining
locomotor habit in mammals and dinosaurs. *Journal of Zoology* 247:29–42.
- 884 Cavender-Bares, J., K. H. Kozak, P. V. a. Fine, and S. W. Kembel. 2009. The merging of
community ecology and phylogenetic biology. *Ecology letters* 12:693–715.
- 886 Clyde, W. C., and P. D. Gingerich. 1998. Mammalian community response to the latest Paleocene
thermal maximum: an isotaphonomic study in the northern Bighorn Basin, Wyoming. *Geology*
888 26:1011–1014.
- 890 Cohen, K. M., S. C. Finney, P. L. Gibbard, and J.-X. Fan. 2015. The ICS International
Chronostratigraphic Chart.
- 892 Cottenie, K. 2005. Integrating environmental and spatial processes in ecological community
dynamics. *Ecology Letters* 8:1175–1182.
- 894 Cramer, B. S., K. Miller, P. Barrett, and J. Wright. 2011. Late Cretaceous-Neogene trends in deep
ocean temperature and continental ice volume: Reconciling records of benthic foraminiferal
geochemistry ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and Mg/Ca) with sea level history. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*
896 116:1–23.
- 898 Damuth, J. 1982. Analysis of the preservation of community structure in assemblages of fossil
mammals. *Paleobiology* 8:434–446.
- 900 Damuth, J., and C. M. Janis. 2011. On the relationship between hypsodonty and feeding ecology in
ungulate mammals, and its utility in palaeoecology. *Biological Reviews* 86:733–758.

- Elith, J., and J. R. Leathwick. 2009. Species distribution models: ecological explanation and
902 prediction across space and time. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*
40:677–697.
- Eronen, J. T., C. M. Janis, C. P. Chamberlain, and A. Mulch. 2015. Mountain uplift explains
904 differences in Palaeogene patterns of mammalian evolution and extinction between North
906 America and Europe. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 282:20150136.
- Eronen, J. T., P. D. Polly, M. FRED, J. Damuth, D. C. FRANK, V. Mosbrugger,
908 C. SCHEIDECKER, N. C. Stenseth, and M. Fortelius. 2010. Ecometrics: The traits that bind
the past and present together. *Integrative Zoology* 5:88–101.
- Ezard, T. H. G., A. Purvis, and H. Morlon. 2016. Environmental changes define ecological limits to
910 species richness and reveal the mode of macroevolutionary competition. *Ecology Letters*
912 19:899–906.
- Felsenstein, J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. *The American Naturalist* 125:1–15.
- Figueirido, B., C. M. Janis, J. A. Pérez-Claros, M. De Renzi, and P. Palmqvist. 2012. Cenozoic
914 climate change influences mammalian evolutionary dynamics. *Proceedings of the National
916 Academy of Sciences* 109:722–727.
- Foote, M. 2001. Inferring temporal patterns of preservation, origination, and extinction from
918 taxonomic survivorship analysis. *Paleobiology* 27:602–630.
- Foote, M., and J. J. Sepkoski. 1999. Absolute measures of the completeness of the fossil record.
920 *Nature* 398:415–7.
- Foster, J. R. 2009. Preliminary body mass estimates for mammalian genera of the Morrison
922 Formation (Upper Jurassic, North America). *PaleoBios* 28:114–122.
- Fraser, D., R. Gorelick, and N. Rybczynski. 2015. Macroevolution and climate change influence
924 phylogenetic community assembly of North American hoofed mammals. *Biological Journal of the
Linnean Society* 114:485–494.

- 926 Freudenthal, M., and E. Martín-Suárez. 2013. Estimating body mass of fossil rodents. *Scripta Geologica* 145:1–130.
- 928 Fritz, S. A., J. Schnitzler, J. T. Eronen, C. Hof, K. Böhning-Gaese, and C. H. Graham. 2013. Diversity in time and space: wanted dead and alive. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 28:509–16.
- 930 Gelman, A. 2008. Scaling regression inputs by dividing by two standard deviations. *Statistics in Medicine* pages 2865–2873.
- 932 Gelman, A., J. B. Carlin, H. S. Stern, D. B. Dunson, A. Vehtari, and D. B. Rubin. 2013. Bayesian data analysis. 3rd ed. Chapman and Hall, Boca Raton, FL.
- 934 Gelman, A., and J. Hill. 2007. Data Analysis using Regression and Multilevel/Hierarchical Models. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY.
- 936 Gelman, A., J. Hill, and M. Yajima. 2012. Why We (Usually) Don't Have to Worry About Multiple Comparisons. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness* 5:189–211.
- 938 Gelman, A., and E. Loken. 2014. The statistical crisis in science: data-dependent analysis – a “garden of forking paths” – explains why many statistically significant comparisons don't hold up. *American Scientist* 102:460–465.
- 940 Gordon, C. L. 2003. A First Look at Estimating Body Size in Dentally Conservative Marsupials. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* page 21.
- 942 Graham, A. 2011. A natural history of the New World: the ecology and evolution of plants in the Americas. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- 944 Harmon, L. J., and S. Harrison. 2015. Species Diversity Is Dynamic and Unbounded at Local and Continental Scales. *The American Naturalist* 185:000–000.
- 946 Harnik, P. G., P. C. Fitzgerald, J. L. Payne, and S. J. Carlson. 2014. Phylogenetic signal in extinction selectivity in Devonian terebratulide brachiopods. *Paleobiology* 40:675–692.
- 948 Harrison, S., and H. Cornell. 2008. Toward a better understanding of the regional causes of local community richness. *Ecology Letters* 11:969–979.

- Jamil, T., W. A. Ozinga, M. Kleyer, and C. J. F. Ter Braak. 2013. Selecting traits that explain
952 species-environment relationships: A generalized linear mixed model approach. *Journal of*
Vegetation Science 24:988–1000.
- 954 Janis, C., J. Damuth, and J. M. Theodor. 2004. The species richness of Miocene browsers, and
implications for habitat type and primary productivity in the North American grassland biome.
956 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 207:371–398.
- 958 Janis, C. M. 1993. Tertiary mammal evolution in the context of changing climates, vegetation, and
tectonic events. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 24:467–500.
- 960 ———. 2008. An evolutionary history of browsing and grazing ungulates. Pages 21–45 in I. J.
Gordon and H. H. T. Prins, eds. *The Ecology of Browsing and Grazing*. Springer-Verlag.
- 962 Janis, C. M., J. Damuth, and J. M. Theodor. 2000. Miocene ungulates and terrestrial primary
productivity: where have all the browsers gone? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
97:7899–904.
- 964 Janis, C. M., G. F. Gunnell, and M. D. Uhen. 2008. Evolution of Tertiary mammals of North
America. Vol. 2. Small mammals, xenarthrans, and marine mammals. Cambridge University
966 Press, Cambridge.
- 968 Janis, C. M., K. M. Scott, and L. L. Jacobs. 1998. Evolution of Tertiary mammals of North
America. Vol. 1. Terrestrial carnivores, ungulates, and ungulatelike mammals. Cambridge
University Press, Cambridge.
- 970 Janis, C. M., and P. B. Wilhelm. 1993. Were there mammalian pursuit predators in the tertiary?
Dances with wolf avatars. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* 1:103–125.
- 972 Jardine, P. E., C. M. Janis, S. Sahney, and M. J. Benton. 2012. Grit not grass: concordant patterns
of early origin of hypodonty in Great Plains ungulates and Glires. *Palaeogeography,*
974 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 365-366:1–10.

- Jernvall, J., and M. Fortelius. 2002. Common mammals drive the evolutionary increase of
976 hypsodonty in the Neogene. *Nature* 417:538–40.
- . 2004. Maintenance of trophic structure in fossil mammal communities: site occupancy and
978 taxon resilience. *The American Naturalist* 164:614–624.
- Kraft, N. J. B., W. K. Cornwell, C. O. Webb, and D. D. Ackerly. 2007. Trait evolution, community
980 assembly, and the phylogenetic structure of ecological communities. *The American Naturalist*
170:271–283.
- 982 Kucukelbir, A., R. Ranganath, A. Gelman, and D. M. Blei. 2015. Automatic Variational Inference
in Stan. Pages 568–576 *in* NIPS. Vol. 28.
- 984 Legendre, S. 1986. Analysis of mammalian communities from the Late Eocene and Oligocene of
Southern France. *Paleovertebrata* 16:191–212.
- 986 Liow, L. H., M. Fortelius, E. Bingham, K. Lintulaakso, H. Mannila, L. Flynn, and N. C. Stenseth.
2008. Higher origination and extinction rates in larger mammals. *Proceedings of the National
988 Academy of Sciences* 105:6097–6102.
- Lloyd, G. T., J. R. Young, and A. B. Smith. 2011. Taxonomic Structure of the Fossil Record is
990 Shaped by Sampling Bias. *Systematic Biology* 61:80–89.
- Loeuille, N., and M. a. Leibold. 2008. Evolution in metacommunities: on the relative importance of
992 species sorting and monopolization in structuring communities. *The American naturalist*
171:788–99.
- 994 Losos, J. B. 2010. Adaptive radiation, ecological opportunity, and evolutionary determinism. *The
American naturalist* 175:623–39.
- 996 Losos, J. B., and D. L. Mahler. 2010. Adaptive radiation: the interaction of ecological opportunity,
adaptation, and speciation. Chap. 15, pages 381–420 *in* M. A. Bell, D. J. Futuyma, W. F. Eanes,
998 and J. S. Levinton, eds. *Evolution since Darwin: the first 150 years*. Sinauer Associates,
Sunderland, MA.

- 1000 Luo, Z.-X., A. W. Crompton, and A.-L. Sun. 2001. A New Mammaliaform from the Early Jurassic
and Evolution of Mammalian Characteristics. *Science* 292:1535–1540.
- 1002 Marcot, J. D. 2014. The fossil record and macroevolutionary history of North American ungulate
mammals: standardizing variation in intensity and geography of sampling. *Paleobiology*
1004 40:237–254.
- 1006 McElreath, R. 2016. Statistical rethinking: a Bayesian course with examples in R and Stan. CRC
Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 1008 McGill, B. J., B. J. Enquist, E. Weiher, and M. Westoby. 2006. Rebuilding community ecology
from functional traits. *TRENDS in Ecology and Evolution* 21:178–185.
- 1010 McKenna, R. T. 2011. Potential for Speciation in Mammals Following Vast , Late Miocene Volcanic
Interruptions in the Pacific Northwest. Masters. Portland State University.
- 1012 Mendoza, M., C. M. Janis, and P. Palmqvist. 2006. Estimating the body mass of extinct ungulates:
a study on the use of multiple regression. *Journal of Zoology* 270:90–101.
- 1014 Mittelbach, G. G., and D. W. Schemske. 2015. Ecological and evolutionary perspectives on
community assembly. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 30:241–247.
- 1016 Novack-Gottshall, P. M. 2007. Using a theoretical ecospace to quantify the ecological diversity of
Paleozoic and modern marine biotas Using a theoretical ecospace to quantify the ecological
diversity of Paleozoic and modern marine biotas. *Paleobiology* 33:273–294.
- 1018 Pires, M. M., D. Silvestro, and T. B. Quental. 2015. Continental faunal exchange and the
asymmetrical radiation of carnivores. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*
1020 282:20151952.
- 1022 Pollock, L. J., W. K. Morris, and P. A. Vesk. 2012. The role of functional traits in species
distributions revealed through a hierarchical model. *Ecography* 35:716–725.
- Polly, P., J. Eronen, M. Fred, G. P. Dietl, V. Mosbrugger, C. Scheidegger, D. C. Frank, J. Damuth,

- 1024 N. C. Stenseth, and M. Fortelius. 2011. History matters: eometrics and integrative climate
change biology. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 278:1131–1140.
- 1026 Polly, P. D., A. M. Lawing, J. T. Eronen, and J. Schnitzler. 2015. Processes of eometric patterning:
modelling functional traits, environments, and clade dynamics in deep time. *Biological Journal of
the Linnean Society* pages n/a–n/a.
- 1028
- Quental, T. B., and C. R. Marshall. 2013. How the Red Queen Drives Terrestrial Mammals to
Extinction. *Science* 341:290–292.
- 1030
- Rabosky, D. L. 2013. Diversity-Dependence, Ecological Speciation, and the Role of Competition in
1032 Macroevolution. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 44:1–22.
- 1034 Rabosky, D. L., and A. H. Hurlbert. 2015. Species Richness at Continental Scales Is Dominated by
Ecological Limits. *The American Naturalist* 185:000–000.
- 1036 Raia, P., F. Carotenuto, F. Passaro, D. Fulgione, and M. Fortelius. 2012. Ecological specialization
in fossil mammals explains Cope’s rule. *The American Naturalist* 179:328–37.
- 1038 Royle, J. A., and R. M. Dorazio. 2008. Hierarchical modeling and inference in ecology: the analysis
of data from populations, metapopulations and communities. Elsevier, London.
- 1040 Royle, J. A., J. D. Nichols, and M. Kéry. 2005. Modelling occurrence and abundance of species
when detection is imperfect. *Oikos* 110:353–359.
- 1042 Scheffer, M., and E. H. van Nes. 2006. Self-organized similarity, the evolutionary emergence of
groups of similar species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 103:6230–6235.
- 1044 Shipley, B., D. Vile, and E. Garnier. 2006. From plant traits to plant communities: a statistical
mechanistic approach to biodiversity. *Science* 314:812–814.
- 1046 Silvestro, D., A. Antonelli, N. Salamin, and T. B. Quental. 2015. The role of clade competition in
the diversification of North American canids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
the United States of America* 112:8684–9.

- 1048 Silvestro, D., J. Schnitzler, L. H. Liow, A. Antonelli, and N. Salamin. 2014. Bayesian estimation of speciation and extinction from incomplete fossil occurrence data. *Systematic biology* 63:349–67.
- 1050 Simberloff, D., and T. Dayan. 1991. The Guild Concept and the Structure of Ecological Communities. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 22:115–143.
- 1052 Slater, G. J. 2015. Iterative adaptive radiations of fossil canids show no evidence for diversity-dependent trait evolution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112:4897–4902.
- 1054 Smith, F. A., J. Brown, J. Haskell, and S. Lyons. 2004. Similarity of mammalian body size across the taxonomic hierarchy and across space and time. *The American Naturalist* 163:672–691.
- 1056 Smith, F. A., S. K. Lyons, S. Morgan Ernest, and J. H. Brown. 2008. Macroecology: more than the division of food and space among species on continents. *Progress in Physical Geography* 32:115–138.
- 1060 Smits, P. D. 2015. Expected time-invariant effects of biological traits on mammal species duration. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112:13015–13020.
- 1062 Stan Development Team. 2016. Stan Modeling Language Users Guide and Reference Manual.
- 1064 Strömberg, C. A. E. 2005. Decoupled taxonomic radiation and ecological expansion of open-habitat grasses in the Cenozoic of North America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102:11980–4.
- 1066 Tomiya, S. 2013. Body Size and Extinction Risk in Terrestrial Mammals Above the Species Level. *The American Naturalist* 182:196–214.
- 1068 Urban, M. C., M. A. Leibold, P. Amarasekare, L. De Meester, R. Gomulkiewicz, M. E. Hochberg, C. A. Klausmeier, N. Loeuille, C. de Mazancourt, J. Norberg, J. H. Pantel, S. Y. Strauss, M. Vellend, and M. J. Wade. 2008. The evolutionary ecology of metacommunities. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 23:311–317.

- 1072 Valentine, J. W. 1969. Patterns of taxonomic and ecological structure of the shelf benthos during
Phanerozoic time. *Paleontology* 12:684–709.
- 1074 Valkenburgh, B. V. 1999. Major patterns in the history of carnivorous mammals. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 27:463–493.
- 1076 Van Valkenburgh, B. 1990. Skeletal and dental predictors of body mass in carnivores. Pages
181–205 in J. Damuth and B. J. Macfadden, eds. *Body size in mammalian paleobiology: estimation and biological implications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 1078 Villéger, S., P. M. Novack-Gottshall, and D. Mouillot. 2011. The multidimensionality of the niche
reveals functional diversity changes in benthic marine biotas across geological time. *Ecology Letters* 14:561–8.
- 1082 Wang, S. C., P. J. Everson, H. J. Zhou, D. Park, and D. J. Chudzicki. 2016. Adaptive credible
intervals on stratigraphic ranges when recovery potential is unknown. *Paleobiology* 42:240–256.
- 1084 Wang, S. C., and C. R. Marshall. 2016. Estimating times of extinction in the fossil record. *Biology Letters* 12:20150989.
- 1086 Warton, D. I., B. Shipley, and T. Hastie. 2015. CATS regression - a model-based approach to
studying trait-based community assembly. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 6:389–398.
- 1088 Webb, C. O., D. D. Ackerly, M. a. McPeek, and M. J. Donoghue. 2002. Phylogenies and
Community Ecology. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 33:475–505.
- 1090 Weber, M. G., C. E. Wagner, R. J. Best, L. J. Harmon, and B. Matthews. 2017. Evolution in a
Community Context: On Integrating Ecological Interactions and Macroevolution. *Trends in
Ecology & Evolution* xx:1–14.
- 1092 Wilson, J. B. 1999. Guilds, functional types and ecological groups. *Oikos* 86:507–522.
- 1094 Yoder, J. B., E. Clancey, S. Des Riches, J. M. Eastman, L. Gentry, W. Godsoe, T. J. Hagey,
D. Jochimsen, B. P. Oswald, J. Robertson, B. A. J. Sarver, J. J. Schenk, S. F. Spear, and L. J.

- 1096 Harmon. 2010. Ecological opportunity and the origin of adaptive radiations. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 23:1581–1596.
- 1098 Zachos, J. C., G. R. Dickens, and R. E. Zeebe. 2008. An early Cenozoic perspective on greenhouse warming and carbon-cycle dynamics. *Nature* 451:279–283.
- 1100 Zachos, J. C., M. Pagani, L. Sloan, E. Thomas, and K. Billups. 2001. Trends, rhythms, and aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to present. *Science* 292:686–693.




Figure 14: Posterior estimates of the time series of Cenozoic North American mammal diversity and its characteristic macroevolutionary rates; all estimates are from the birth-death model and 100 posterior draws are plotted to indicate the uncertainty in these estimates. The blue horizontal strip corresponds to the 80% credible interval of estimated mean standing diversity, diversification rate, origination rate, and extinction rate respectively; the median estimate is also indicated. What is also plotted is the The dramatic differences between diversity estimates at the first and second time points and the penultimate and last time points in this series are caused by well known edge effects in discrete-time birth-death models caused by $p_{-,t=1}$ and $p_{-,t=T}$ being partially unidentifiable (Royle and Dorazio, 2008); the hierarchical modeling strategy used here helps mitigate these effects but they are still present (Gelman et al., 2013; Royle and Dorazio, 2008). Diversification rate is in units of species gained per species present per time unit (2 My), origination rate is in units of species originating per species present per time unit, and extinction rate is in units of species becoming extinct per species present per time unit.

Table 15: Posterior probabilities of diversity N_t^{stand} or diversification rate D_t^{rate} being greater than average standing diversity \bar{N}^{stand} or average diversification rate \bar{D}^{rate} for the whole Cenozoic. The “Time” column corresponds to the top of each of the temporal bins. Diversification rate can not be estimated for the last time point because it is unknown how many more species originated or went extinct following this temporal bin. The estimates are from the birth-death model.

Time (Mya)	$P(N_t^{stand} > \bar{N}^{stand})$	$P(D_t^{rate} > \bar{D}^{rate})$
64.00	0.07	0.63
62.00	0.28	0.94
60.00	0.86	0.13
58.00	0.68	0.18
56.00	0.62	0.99
54.00	1.00	0.00
52.00	0.68	0.41
50.00	0.80	0.00
48.00	0.12	0.04
46.00	0.01	0.98
44.00	0.64	0.00
42.00	0.02	0.47
40.00	0.03	0.08
38.00	0.00	0.89
36.00	0.40	0.46
34.00	0.52	0.00
32.00	0.02	0.27
30.00	0.06	0.09
28.00	0.02	0.88
26.00	0.22	0.39
24.00	0.38	0.03
22.00	0.09	0.96
20.00	0.81	0.00
18.00	0.29	1.00
16.00	1.00	0.00
14.00	0.95	0.02
12.00	0.80	0.01
10.00	0.13	0.83
8.00	0.67	0.00
6.00	0.02	1.00
4.00	0.91	




Figure 15: Posterior of standing log-diversity of North American mammals by ecotype for the Cenozoic as estimated from the birth-death model; 100 posterior draws are plotted to indicate the uncertainty in these estimates and what is technically plotted is log of diversity plus 1.




Figure 16: Posterior estimates of the number of origination events from one temporal bin to another, plotted at the bin they originate from. 100 posterior draws are plotted to indicate the uncertainty in these estimates. Also, what is plotted is log of the number of originations plus 1.




Figure 17: Posterior estimates of the number of extinction events from one temporal bin to another, plotted at the bin they go extinct from. 100 posterior draws are plotted to indicate the uncertainty in these estimates. Also, what is plotted is log of the number of extinctions plus 1.